

Managerial Accounting & Organisational Behaviour

View Online



1.
Burchell S, Clubb C, Hopwood A, Hughes J, Nahapiet J. The roles of accounting in organizations and society. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1980 Jan;5(1):5-27.
2.
Demski, Joel S., Feltham, Gerald A. *Cost determination: a conceptual approach*. Ames: Iowa State University Press; 1976.
3.
T. A. Lee et al., editor. *Accounting history from the Renaissance to the present: a remembrance of Luca Pacioli*. New York: Garland Pub; 1996.
4.
Fleischman RK. Completing the triangle: Taylorism and the paradigms. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 2000;13(5):597-624.
5.
Fleischman RK, Tyson TN. Parallels between US and UK cost accountancy in the World War I era. *Accounting, Business & Financial History*. 2000 July;10(2):191-212.
6.
Johnson HT. The search for gain in markets and firms: A review of the historical emergence of management accounting systems. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1983

Jan;8(2-3):139-146.

7.

Johnson, H. Thomas, Kaplan, Robert S. Relevance lost: the rise and fall of management accounting. Boston, Mass: Harvard Business School Press; 1991.

8.

Loft A. Towards a critical understanding of accounting: The case of cost accounting in the U.K., 1914-1925. Accounting, Organizations and Society. 1986 Jan;11(2):137-169.

9.

H. Okano. Invisibility in Management Accounting: A Historical Perspective. Osaka City University Business Review. 1993;4.

10.

Solomons, David. Studies in cost analysis. 2 ed. Sydney: Law Book Co; 1968.

11.

T. A. Lee et al., editor. Accounting history from the Renaissance to the present: a remembrance of Luca Pacioli. New York: Garland Pub; 1996.

12.

M. C. Wells. Influences on the Development of Cost Accounting. The Accounting Historians Journal [Internet]. 4(2). Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/40697542>

13.

C. Argyris. The Impact of Budgets on People. New York: Controllershship Foundation; 1952.

14.

University of Illinois. The International journal of accounting: education and research. Urbana, Ill: Center for International Education and Research in Accounting;

15.

William J. Bruns, Jr. and John H. Waterhouse. Budgetary Control and Organization Structure. Journal of Accounting Research [Internet]. Wiley; 1975;13(2):177-203. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2490360>

16.

Edwin H. Caplan. Behavioral Assumptions of Management Accounting. The Accounting Review [Internet]. American Accounting Association; 1966;41(3):496-509. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/244479>

17.

Edwin H. Caplan. Behavioral Assumptions of Management Accounting -- Report of a Field Study. The Accounting Review [Internet]. American Accounting Association; 1968;43(2):342-362. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/243771>

18.

Donaldson, Lex. The contingency theory of organizations. London: SAGE; 2001.

19.

Gordon LA, Miller D. A contingency framework for the design of accounting information systems. Accounting, Organizations and Society. 1976 Jan;1(1):59-69.

20.

Heath, Robert L. Management of corporate communication: from interpersonal contacts to external affairs. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum; 1994.

21.

Hopwood AG. Towards an organizational perspective for the study of accounting and information systems. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1978 Jan;3(1):3-13.

22.

Luthans, Fred. *Organizational behavior*. 12th ed. London: McGraw-Hill Education - Europe; 2011.

23.

Otley DT. The contingency theory of management accounting: Achievement and prognosis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1980 Jan;5(4):413-428.

24.

Robert W. Scapens, David T. Otley & Roger J. Lister. *Management accounting, organizational theory and capital budgeting: three surveys*. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 1984.

25.

Reid GC, Smith JA. The impact of contingencies on management accounting system development. *Management Accounting Research*. 2000 Dec;11(4):427-450.

26.

Robert W. Scapens, David T. Otley & Roger J. Lister. *Management accounting, organizational theory and capital budgeting: three surveys*. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 1984.

27.

Waterhouse JH, Tiessen P. A contingency framework for management accounting systems research. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1978 Jan;3(1):65-76.

28.

Margaret Woods. A contingency theory perspective on the risk management control system within Birmingham City Council. *Management Accounting Research*. 20(1).

29.

G. Baldvinsdottir, M. Falconer & H. Norreklit. Issues in the relationship between theory and practice in management accounting. *Management Accounting Research*. 2010 June;21(2):79-82.

30.

Boer G. Five Modern Management Accounting Myths. *Management Accounting (USA)* [Internet]. 1994;75(7). Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA15004299&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=EAIM&sw=w&asid=538ede8fe4aa5d0fd34a165148542071>

31.

Nasuhi I. Bursal. German cost accounting education and the changing manufacturing environment. *Management Accounting Research*. 1992 Mar;3(1):39-51.

32.

Child, John. *Organization: contemporary principles and practice*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing; 2005.

33.

Edwards KA, Emmanuel CR. Diverging views on the boundaries of management accounting. *Management Accounting Research*. 1990 Mar;1(1):51-63.

34.

M. Ezzamel et al. Managing It All By numbers: A Review of Johnson & Kaplan's 'Relevance Lost'. *Accounting and Business Research* [Internet]. 1990;20(1):153-166. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=13833208&site=ehost-live>

35.

Hopper T, Armstrong P. Cost accounting, controlling labour and the rise of conglomerates. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1991 Jan;16(5-6):405-438.

36.

Trevor Hopper et al. Does financial accounting dominate management accounting—a research note. *Management Accounting Research*. 1992 Dec;3(4):307-311.

37.

Management accounting. London: Institute of Cost and Works Accountants; 1965;

38.

Scapens RW. Never mind the gap: towards an institutional perspective on management accounting practice. *Management Accounting Research*. 1994 Sept;5(3-4):301-321.

39.

Management accounting. London: Institute of Cost and Works Accountants; 1965;

40.

University of Florida, Society of Accounting Research Digest (U.S.). *Journal of accounting literature*. Gainesville, Fla: Published for the Accounting Research Center of the University of Florida by the Society of Accounting Research Digest; 1982;

41.

John T. Addison and W. Stanley Siebert. The Social Charter of the European Community: Evolution and Controversies. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* [Internet]. Cornell University, School of Industrial & Labor Relations; 1991;44(4):597-625. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2524452>

42.

JUDY A. BROWN BROWN. COMPETING IDEOLOGIES IN THE ACCOUNTING AND INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS ENVIRONMENT. *The British Accounting Review*. 2000 Mar;32(1):43-75.

43.

Craft JA. Information disclosure and the role of the accountant in collective bargaining. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1981 Jan;6(1):97-107.

44.

Foley, B. J. and Maunders, K. T. *Accounting information disclosure and collective bargaining*. London: Macmillan; 1977.

45.

Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales. *Accountancy: the journal of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales*. London: The Institute;

46.

David Mautz R. Inflation-adjusted disclosures and the determination of ability to pay in collective bargaining. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1990 Jan;15(4):273-295.

47.

Management accounting. London: Institute of Cost and Works Accountants; 1965;

48.

Ogden S, Bougen P. A radical perspective on the disclosure of accounting information to trade unions. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1985 Jan;10(2):211-224.

49.

Peter F. Pope and D. A. Peel. Information Disclosure to Employees and Rational Expectations: a Game-Theoretical Perspective: a Comment. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*. 1997 Oct;24(9 & 10):1433-1435.

50.

Purdy D. The provision of financial information to employees: A study of the reporting practices of some large public companies in the United Kingdom. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1981 Jan;6(4):327-338.

51.

Ken Shackleton. THE SOCIAL POLICY OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITY: REPORTING INFORMATION TO EMPLOYEES, a U.K. perspective: Historical Analysis and Prognosis [Internet]. Available from: http://gemf.fe.uc.pt/workingpapers/pdf/1996/gemf96_5.pdf

52.

Johnson, H. Thomas, Kaplan, Robert S. *Relevance lost: the rise and fall of management accounting*. Boston, Mass: Harvard Business School Press; 1987.

53.

Magdy G. Abdel-Kadera and David Dugdaleb Abdel-Kader. Investment in advanced manufacturing technology: a study of practice in large U.K. companies. *Management Accounting Research*. 1998 Sept;9(3):261-284.

54.

Baker WM, Fry TD, Kirwan K. The Rise and Fall of Time Based Manufacturing. *Management Accounting (USA)* [Internet]. 75(12). Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA15536557&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=EAIM&sw=w&asid=9475d0043c80d5e2c32c99676766ef54>

55.

Peter Armstrong and Chris Jones Armstrong. The decline of operational expertise in the knowledge-base of management accounting: An examination of some post-war trends in the qualifying requirements of the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants. *Management Accounting Research*. 1992 Mar;3(1):53-75.

56.

Josep Bisbe and Ricardo Malagueño Bisbe. Using strategic performance measurement systems for strategy formulation: Does it work in dynamic environments? *Management Accounting Research*. 2012 Dec;23(4):296–311.

57.

J. Bright, R.E. Davies, C.A. Downes and R.C. Sweeting Bright. The deployment of costing techniques and practices: a UK study. *Management Accounting Research*. 1992 Sept;3(3):201–211.

58.

Demirag IS. Management control systems and performance evaluations in Japanese companies: A British perspective. *Management control systems and performance evaluations in Japanese companies: A British perspective*. 72(7).

59.

Cooper R, Kaplan RS. How cost accounting distorts product costs. *Management Accounting (USA)*. 1988;69(10):20–22.

60.

Johanna Hyvönen. Strategy, performance measurement techniques and information technology of the firm and their links to organizational performance. *Management Accounting Research*. 2007 Sept;18(3):343–366.

61.

Howell RA, Sakurai M. Management accounting (and other) lessons from the Japanese. *Management Accounting (USA)*, [Internet]. 74(6). Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA13902076&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=EAIM&sw=w&asid=6c93ffcba04aba30d09f9b9dfca8d17c>

62.

J. Innes and F. Mitchell Innes. The process of change in management accounting: some field study evidence. *Management Accounting Research*. 1990 Mar;1(1):3–19.

63.

H.Thomas Johnson. Relevance Regained: Total Quality Management and the Role of Management Accounting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*. 1994 Sept;5(3):259-267.

64.

Kaplan RS. The role for empirical research in management accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1986 Jan;11(4-5):429-452.

65.

Kaplan RS. Relevance regained: cost accounting. *Management accounting*. London: Institute of Cost and Works Accountants; 66(8):38-42.

66.

Kerremans M, Theunisse H, Van Overloop G. Impact of Automation on Cost Accounting. *Accounting and Business Research*. 1991 Mar;21(82):147-155.

67.

Paul Scarbrough, Alfred J. Nanni Jr. and M. Sakurai. Japanese management accounting practices and the effects of assembly and process automation. *Management Accounting Research*. 1991 Mar;2(1):27-46.

68.

J.A.A. Sillince and G.M.H. Sykes Sillince. The role of accountants in improving manufacturing technology. *Management Accounting Research*. 1995 June;6(2):103-124.

69.

Journal of accounting literature. Gainesville, Fla: Published for the Accounting Research Center of the University of Florida by the Society of Accounting Research Digest;

70.

Kristi Yuthas and Tony Tinker Yuthas. Paradise Regained? Myth, Milton and Management Accounting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*. 1994 Sept;5(3):295–310.

71.

F. B. Green, F. Amenkhienan and G. Johnson. Performance measures and JIT: U.S. companies are revamping traditional cost systems. *Management accounting (US)*. 1991;72(8).

72.

Harrell HW. Materials variance analysis and JIT: a new approach. *Management Accounting (USA)* [Internet]. 73(11):33–38. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA12399337&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=EAIM&sw=w&asid=e02e0c3c853436be8ada7dee10209116>

73.

Kitney, Richard B. Production systems with pull. *CMA Magazine* [Internet]. 1994;68(6):22–24. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=9410100545&site=ehost-live>

74.

National Association of Accountants. *Management accounting (USA)*. New York: National Association of Accountants;

75.

Management accounting (UK). London: Institute of Cost and Works Accountants; 1965;

76.

Management accounting (UK). London: Institute of Cost and Works Accountants; 1965;

77.

Management accounting. London: Institute of Cost and Works Accountants; 1965;

78.

Rosemary R. Fullerton, Cheryl S. McWatters and Chris Fawson Fullerton. An examination of the relationships between JIT and financial performance. *Journal of Operations Management*. 2003 July;21(4):383-404.

79.

Clive Emmanuel, David Otley and Kenneth Merchant. *Accounting for management control*. 2nd ed. London: South-Western Cengage Learning; 2004.

80.

Monica Franco-Santos, Lorenzo Lucianetti and Mike Field. Contemporary performance measurement systems: A review of their consequences and a framework for research. *Management Accounting Research*. 2012 June;23(2):79-119.

81.

C. D. Ittner & D. F. Larcker. Innovations in Performance Measurement: Trends and Research Implications. *Journal of Management Accounting Research* [Internet]. 1998;10:205-240. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=2720283&site=ehost-live>

82.

Frank G.H. Hartmann. The appropriateness of RAPM: toward the further development of theory. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 2000 May;25(4-5):451-482.

83.

Robert H. Chenhall. Management control systems design within its organizational context: findings from contingency-based research and directions for the future. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 2003 Feb;28(2-3):127-168.

84.

Otley DT. The contingency theory of management accounting: Achievement and prognosis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1980 Jan;5(4):413-428.

85.

Mark K. Hirst. Accounting Information and the Evaluation of Subordinate Performance: A Situational Approach. *The Accounting Review* [Internet]. American Accounting Association; 1981;56(4):771-784. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/247200>

86.

Chia-Ling Lee and Huan-Jung Yang Lee. Organization structure, competition and performance measurement systems and their joint effects on performance. *Management Accounting Research*. 2011 June;22(2):84-104.

87.

Parker LD. Divisional Performance Measurement: Beyond an Exclusive Profit Test. *Accounting and Business Research*. 1979 Sept;9(36):309-319.

88.

Journal of accounting literature. Gainesville, Fla: Published for the Accounting Research Center of the University of Florida by the Society of Accounting Research Digest; 1982;

89.

Briers M, Hirst M. The role of budgetary information in performance evaluation. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1990 Jan;15(4):373-398.

90.

Emsley D. Budget-Emphasis in Performance Evaluation and Managers' Job Related Tension: the Moderating Effect of Information Completeness. *The British Accounting Review*. 2001 Sept;33(3):399-419.

91.

Ridgeway VF. Dysfunctional Consequences of Performance Measurements. *Administrative Science Quarterly* [Internet]. 1956;1(2):240-247. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2390989>

92.

Speckbacher G, Wentges P. The impact of family control on the use of performance measures in strategic target setting and incentive compensation: A research note. *Management Accounting Research*. 2012 Mar;23(1):34-46.

93.

D.E. Butler and Anthony King. *The British General Election of 1964*. London: Macmillan; 1965.

94.

Harold Macmillan. *The Macmillan diaries: The Cabinet years, 1950-1957*. Edited and with an introduction by Peter Catterall., editor. London: Pan; 2004.

95.

Harold Macmillan. *The Macmillan diaries: The Cabinet years, 1950-1957*. Edited and with an introduction by Peter Catterall, editor. London: Pan; 2004.

96.

Peter Hennessy and Anthony Seldon, editor. *Ruling performance: British governments from Attlee to Thatcher*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell; 1987.