

# Managerial Accounting & Organisational Behaviour

View Online



- 
1.  
Burchell, S., Clubb, C., Hopwood, A., Hughes, J., Nahapiet, J.: The roles of accounting in organizations and society. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 5, 5-27 (1980).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(80\)90017-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(80)90017-3).
  2.  
Demski, Joel S., Feltham, Gerald A.: *Cost determination: a conceptual approach*. Iowa State University Press, Ames (1976).
  3.  
T. A. Lee et al. ed: *Accounting history from the Renaissance to the present: a remembrance of Luca Pacioli*. Garland Pub, New York (1996).
  4.  
Fleischman, R.K.: Completing the triangle: Taylorism and the paradigms. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 13, 597-624 (2000).  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570010353729>.
  5.  
Fleischman, R.K., Tyson, T.N.: Parallels between US and UK cost accountancy in the World War I era. *Accounting, Business & Financial History*. 10, 191-212 (2000).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/095852000411032>.
  - 6.

Johnson, H.T.: The search for gain in markets and firms: A review of the historical emergence of management accounting systems. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 8, 139-146 (1983). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(83\)90021-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(83)90021-1).

7.

Johnson, H. Thomas, Kaplan, Robert S.: *Relevance lost: the rise and fall of management accounting*. Harvard Business School Press, Boston, Mass (1991).

8.

Loft, A.: Towards a critical understanding of accounting: The case of cost accounting in the U.K., 1914-1925. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 11, 137-169 (1986). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(86\)90028-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(86)90028-0).

9.

H. Okano: *Invisibility in Management Accounting: A Historical Perspective*. Osaka City University Business Review. 4, (1993).

10.

Solomons, David: *Studies in cost analysis*. Law Book Co, Sydney (1968).

11.

T. A. Lee et al. ed: *Accounting history from the Renaissance to the present: a remembrance of Luca Pacioli*. Garland Pub, New York (1996).

12.

M. C. Wells: *Influences on the Development of Cost Accounting*. *The Accounting Historians Journal*. 4,.

13.

C. Argyris: *The Impact of Budgets on People*. Controllership Foundation, New York (1952).

14.

University of Illinois: The International journal of accounting: education and research.

15.

William J. Bruns, Jr. and John H. Waterhouse: Budgetary Control and Organization Structure. *Journal of Accounting Research*. 13, 177–203 (1975).

16.

Edwin H. Caplan: Behavioral Assumptions of Management Accounting. *The Accounting Review*. 41, 496–509 (1966).

17.

Edwin H. Caplan: Behavioral Assumptions of Management Accounting -- Report of a Field Study. *The Accounting Review*. 43, 342–362 (1968).

18.

Donaldson, Lex: *The contingency theory of organizations*. SAGE, London (2001).

19.

Gordon, L.A., Miller, D.: A contingency framework for the design of accounting information systems. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1, 59–69 (1976).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(76\)90007-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(76)90007-6).

20.

Heath, Robert L.: *Management of corporate communication: from interpersonal contacts to external affairs*. Erlbaum, Hillsdale, N.J. (1994).

21.

Hopwood, A.G.: Towards an organizational perspective for the study of accounting and information systems. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 3, 3-13 (1978).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(78\)90003-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(78)90003-X).

22.

Luthans, Fred: *Organizational behavior*. McGraw-Hill Education - Europe, London (2011).

23.

Otley, D.T.: The contingency theory of management accounting: Achievement and prognosis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 5, 413-428 (1980).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(80\)90040-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(80)90040-9).

24.

Robert W. Scapens, David T. Otley & Roger J. Lister.: *Management accounting, organizational theory and capital budgeting: three surveys*. Macmillan, Basingstoke (1984).

25.

Reid, G.C., Smith, J.A.: The impact of contingencies on management accounting system development. *Management Accounting Research*. 11, 427-450 (2000).  
<https://doi.org/10.1006/mare.2000.0140>.

26.

Robert W. Scapens, David T. Otley & Roger J. Lister.: *Management accounting, organizational theory and capital budgeting: three surveys*. Macmillan, Basingstoke (1984).

27.

Waterhouse, J.H., Tiessen, P.: A contingency framework for management accounting systems research. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 3, 65-76 (1978).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(78\)90007-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(78)90007-7).

28.

Margaret Woods: A contingency theory perspective on the risk management control system within Birmingham City Council. *Management Accounting Research*. 20,. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2008.10.003>.

29.

G. Baldvinsdottir, M. Falconer & H. Norreklit: Issues in the relationship between theory and practice in management accounting. *Management Accounting Research*. 21, 79–82 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2010.02.006>.

30.

Boer, G.: Five Modern Management Accounting Myths. *Management Accounting (USA)*. 75, (1994).

31.

Nasuhi I. Bursal: German cost accounting education and the changing manufacturing environment. *Management Accounting Research*. 3, 39–51 (1992). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005\(92\)70002-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005(92)70002-X).

32.

Child, John: *Organization: contemporary principles and practice*. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, UK (2005).

33.

Edwards, K.A., Emmanuel, C.R.: Diverging views on the boundaries of management accounting. *Management Accounting Research*. 1, 51–63 (1990). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005\(90\)70045-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005(90)70045-5).

34.

M. Ezzamel et al: Managing It All By numbers: A Review of Johnson & Kaplan's 'Relevance Lost'. *Accounting and Business Research*. 20, 153–166 (1990).

35.

Hopper, T., Armstrong, P.: Cost accounting, controlling labour and the rise of conglomerates. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 16, 405–438 (1991).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(91\)90037-F](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(91)90037-F).

36.

Trevor Hopper et al: Does financial accounting dominate management accounting—a research note. *Management Accounting Research*. 3, 307–311 (1992).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005\(92\)70019-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005(92)70019-5).

37.

Management accounting. (1965).

38.

Scapens, R.W.: Never mind the gap: towards an institutional perspective on management accounting practice. *Management Accounting Research*. 5, 301–321 (1994).  
<https://doi.org/10.1006/mare.1994.1019>.

39.

Management accounting. (1965).

40.

University of Florida, Society of Accounting Research Digest (U.S.): *Journal of accounting literature*. (1982).

41.

John T. Addison and W. Stanley Siebert: The Social Charter of the European Community: Evolution and Controversies. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*. 44, 597–625 (1991).

42.

JUDY A. BROWN BROWN: COMPETING IDEOLOGIES IN THE ACCOUNTING AND INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS ENVIRONMENT. *The British Accounting Review*. 32, 43–75 (2000).  
<https://doi.org/10.1006/bare.1999.0118>.

43.

Craft, J.A.: Information disclosure and the role of the accountant in collective bargaining. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 6, 97–107 (1981).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(81\)90024-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(81)90024-6).

44.

Foley, B. J. and Maunders, K. T.: *Accounting information disclosure and collective bargaining*. Macmillan, London (1977).

45.

Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales: *Accountancy: the journal of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales*.

46.

David Mautz, R.: Inflation-adjusted disclosures and the determination of ability to pay in collective bargaining. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 15, 273–295 (1990).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(90\)90020-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(90)90020-U).

47.

*Management accounting*. (1965).

48.

Ogden, S., Bougen, P.: A radical perspective on the disclosure of accounting information to trade unions. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 10, 211–224 (1985).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(85\)90017-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(85)90017-0).

49.

Peter F. Pope and D. A. Peel: Information Disclosure to Employees and Rational Expectations: a Game-Theoretical Perspective: a Comment. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*. 24, 1433–1435 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5957.t01-1-00172>.

50.

Purdy, D.: The provision of financial information to employees: A study of the reporting practices of some large public companies in the United Kingdom. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 6, 327–338 (1981). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(81\)90012-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(81)90012-X).

51.

Ken Shackleton: THE SOCIAL POLICY OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITY: REPORTING INFORMATION TO EMPLOYEES, a U.K. perspective: Historical Analysis and Prognosis, [http://gemf.fe.uc.pt/workingpapers/pdf/1996/gemf96\\_5.pdf](http://gemf.fe.uc.pt/workingpapers/pdf/1996/gemf96_5.pdf).

52.

Johnson, H. Thomas, Kaplan, Robert S.: *Relevance lost: the rise and fall of management accounting*. Harvard Business School Press, Boston, Mass (1987).

53.

Magdy G. Abdel-Kadera and David Dugdaleb Abdel-Kader: Investment in advanced manufacturing technology: a study of practice in large U.K. companies. *Management Accounting Research*. 9, 261–284 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1006/mare.1998.0071>.

54.

Baker, W.M., Fry, T.D., Kirwan, K.: *The Rise and Fall of Time Based Manufacturing*. *Management Accounting (USA)*. 75,.

55.

Peter Armstrong and Chris Jones Armstrong: The decline of operational expertise in the knowledge-base of management accounting: An examination of some post-war trends in the qualifying requirements of the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants. *Management Accounting Research*. 3, 53–75 (1992). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005\(92\)70003-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005(92)70003-1).

56.

Josep Bisbe and Ricardo Malagueño Bisbe: Using strategic performance measurement systems for strategy formulation: Does it work in dynamic environments? *Management Accounting Research*. 23, 296–311 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2012.05.002>.

57.

J. Bright, R.E. Davies, C.A. Downes and R.C. Sweeting Bright: The deployment of costing techniques and practices: a UK study. *Management Accounting Research*. 3, 201–211 (1992). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005\(92\)70011-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005(92)70011-0).

58.

Demirag, I.S.: Management control systems and performance evaluations in Japanese companies: A British perspective. *Management control systems and performance evaluations in Japanese companies: A British perspective*. 72,.

59.

Cooper, R., Kaplan, R.S.: How cost accounting distorts product costs. *Management Accounting (USA)*. 69, 20–22 (1988).

60.

Johanna Hyvönen: Strategy, performance measurement techniques and information technology of the firm and their links to organizational performance. *Management Accounting Research*. 18, 343–366 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2007.02.001>.

61.

Howell, R.A., Sakurai, M.: Management accounting (and other) lessons from the Japanese. *Management Accounting (USA)*,. 74,.

62.

J. Innes and F. Mitchell Innes: The process of change in management accounting: some field study evidence. *Management Accounting Research*. 1, 3–19 (1990).

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005\(90\)70042-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005(90)70042-X).

63.

H.Thomas Johnson: Relevance Regained: Total Quality Management and the Role of Management Accounting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*. 5, 259–267 (1994).  
<https://doi.org/10.1006/cpac.1994.1015>.

64.

Kaplan, R.S.: The role for empirical research in management accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 11, 429–452 (1986).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(86\)90012-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(86)90012-7).

65.

Kaplan, R.S.: Relevance regained: cost accounting. *Management accounting*. 66, 38–42.

66.

Kerremans, M., Theunisse, H., Van Overloop, G.: Impact of Automation on Cost Accounting. *Accounting and Business Research*. 21, 147–155 (1991).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.1991.9729827>.

67.

Paul Scarbrough, Alfred J. Nanni Jr. and M. Sakurai: Japanese management accounting practices and the effects of assembly and process automation. *Management Accounting Research*. 2, 27–46 (1991). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005\(91\)70025-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-5005(91)70025-5).

68.

J.A.A. Sillince and G.M.H. Sykes Sillince: The role of accountants in improving manufacturing technology. *Management Accounting Research*. 6, 103–124 (1995).  
<https://doi.org/10.1006/mare.1995.1006>.

69.

Journal of accounting literature.

70.

Kristi Yuthas and Tony Tinker Yuthas: Paradise Regained? Myth, Milton and Management Accounting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*. 5, 295–310 (1994).  
<https://doi.org/10.1006/cpac.1994.1018>.

71.

F. B. Green, F. Amenkhienan and G. Johnson: Performance measures and JIT: U.S. companies are revamping traditional cost systems. *Management accounting (U.S.)*. 72, (1991).

72.

Harrell, H.W.: Materials variance analysis and JIT: a new approach. *Management Accounting (USA)*. 73, 33–38.

73.

Kitney, Richard B.: Production systems with pull. *CMA Magazine*. 68, 22–24 (1994).

74.

National Association of Accountants: *Management accounting (USA)*.

75.

*Management accounting (UK)*. (1965).

76.

*Management accounting (UK)*. (1965).

77.

Management accounting. (1965).

78.

Rosemary R. Fullerton, Cheryl S. McWatters and Chris Fawson Fullerton: An examination of the relationships between JIT and financial performance. *Journal of Operations Management*. 21, 383–404 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963\(03\)00002-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(03)00002-0).

79.

Clive Emmanuel, David Otley and Kenneth Merchant.: Accounting for management control. South-Western Cengage Learning, London (2004).

80.

Monica Franco-Santos, Lorenzo Lucianetti and Mike Field: Contemporary performance measurement systems: A review of their consequences and a framework for research. *Management Accounting Research*. 23, 79–119 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2012.04.001>.

81.

C. D. Ittner & D. F. Larcker: Innovations in Performance Measurement: Trends and Research Implications. *Journal of Management Accounting Research*. 10, 205–240 (1998).

82.

Frank G.H. Hartmann: The appropriateness of RAPM: toward the further development of theory. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 25, 451–482 (2000). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(98\)00036-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(98)00036-1).

83.

Robert H. Chenhall: Management control systems design within its organizational context: findings from contingency-based research and directions for the future. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 28, 127–168 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(01\)00027-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(01)00027-7).

84.

Otley, D.T.: The contingency theory of management accounting: Achievement and prognosis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 5, 413–428 (1980).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(80\)90040-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(80)90040-9).

85.

Mark K. Hirst: Accounting Information and the Evaluation of Subordinate Performance: A Situational Approach. *The Accounting Review*. 56, 771–784 (1981).

86.

Chia-Ling Lee and Huan-Jung Yang Lee: Organization structure, competition and performance measurement systems and their joint effects on performance. *Management Accounting Research*. 22, 84–104 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2010.10.003>.

87.

Parker, L.D.: Divisional Performance Measurement: Beyond an Exclusive Profit Test. *Accounting and Business Research*. 9, 309–319 (1979).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.1979.9729172>.

88.

*Journal of accounting literature*. (1982).

89.

Briers, M., Hirst, M.: The role of budgetary information in performance evaluation. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 15, 373–398 (1990).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(90\)90024-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(90)90024-O).

90.

Emsley, D.: Budget-Emphasis in Performance Evaluation and Managers' Job Related Tension: the Moderating Effect of Information Completeness. *The British Accounting Review*. 33, 399–419 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1006/bare.2001.0171>.

91.

Ridgeway, V.F.: Dysfunctional Consequences of Performance Measurements. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 1, 240-247 (1956).

92.

Speckbacher, G., Wentges, P.: The impact of family control on the use of performance measures in strategic target setting and incentive compensation: A research note. *Management Accounting Research*. 23, 34-46 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2011.06.002>.

93.

D.E. Butler and Anthony King.: *The British General Election of 1964*. Macmillan, London (1965).

94.

Harold Macmillan: *The Macmillan diaries: The Cabinet years, 1950-1957*. Pan, London (2004).

95.

Harold Macmillan: *The Macmillan diaries: The Cabinet years, 1950-1957*. Pan, London (2004).

96.

Peter Hennessy and Anthony Seldon ed: *Ruling performance: British governments from Attlee to Thatcher*. Basil Blackwell, Oxford (1987).