

Contemporary Issues in Healthcare Law

View Online



1.
Laurie GT, Harmon S, Dove ES, Mason JK, McCall Smith A. *Mason & McCall Smith's Law & Medical Ethics*. 11th edn. Oxford University Press; 2019.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198826217.001.0001>

2.
Brazier M, Cave E. *Medicine, Patients and the Law*. Vol Contemporary Issues in Bioethics, Law and Medical Humanities. Sixth edition. Manchester University Press; 2016.

3.
Lord Lane C.J., Ormrod L.J. and Smith J. 1981 March 17(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [1981] 1 W.L.R. 690. *690 Regina v Malcherek

4.
Seamus Burns. Human Rights: How Certain Is Death? *The New Law Journal* 159

5.
Keown J. *Euthanasia, Ethics and Public Policy: An Argument against Legalisation*. Second edition. Cambridge University Press; 2018.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/9781107337909>

- 6.

Dworkin R. Life's Dominion: An Argument about Abortion and Euthanasia. HarperCollins; 1993.

7.

Harris J, Ebooks Corporation Limited. The Value of Life. Routledge & Kegan Paul; 1985.
http://www.GLA.eblib.com/EBLWeb/patron?target=patron&extendedid=P_178154_0&
[amp;](#)

8.

Glover J. Causing Death and Saving Lives. Vol Penguin philosophy. Penguin; 1990.

9.

A code of practice for the diagnosis and confirmation of death - Academy of Medical Royal Colleges.
<http://www.aomrc.org.uk/publications/reports-guidance/ukdec-reports-and-guidance/code-practice-diagnosis-confirmation-death/>

10.

Brain stem death - NHS Choices.
<https://www.nhs.uk/Conditions/Brain-death/Pages/Introduction.aspx>

11.

Bagheri A. Individual choice in the definition of death. Journal of Medical Ethics. 2007;33(3):146-149. doi:10.1136/jme.2006.016014

12.

Ford M. The Personhood Paradox and the 'Right to Die'. Medical Law Review. 2005;13(1):80-101. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwi004

13.

Harris J. The Right to Die Lives! There is no Personhood Paradox. Medical Law Review.

2005;13(3):386-392. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwi030

14.

Maclean A. *The Elimination of Morality: Reflections on Utilitarianism and Bioethics*. Routledge; 1993.

15.

Kuhse H. *The Sanctity-of-Life Doctrine in Medicine: A Critique*. Clarendon; 1987.

16.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/death-definition/>

17.

Laurie GT, Harmon S, Dove ES, Mason JK, McCall Smith A. *Mason & McCall Smith's Law & Medical Ethics*. 11th edn. Oxford University Press; 2019.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198826217.001.0001>

18.

UK Parliament, Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology Briefing Paper: Vegetative and minimally conscious states. 2015;489.
<http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/POST-PN-489#fullreport>

19.

Mental Capacity Act 2005.

20.

Mental Capacity Act Code of Practice.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/mental-capacity-act-code-of-practice>

21.

Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE). <http://www.scie.org.uk/?res=true>

22.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000.

23.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000: Code of Practice (Third Edition): For Practitioners Authorised to Carry Out Medical Treatment or Research Under Part 5 of the Act effective from 10 May 2010 - 0105906.pdf.
<http://www.gov.scot/Resource/Doc/327864/0105906.pdf>

24.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000: A short guide to the Act.
<http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2008/03/25120154/1>

25.

Lord Keith of Kinkel, Lord Goff of Chieveley, Lord Lowry, Lord Browne-Wilkinson and Lord Mustill Sir Thomas Bingham M.R., Butler-Sloss and Hoffmann L.JJ. Sir Stephen Brown P.1992 Dec. 14, 15, 16; 1993 Feb. 41992 Dec. 1, 2, 3; 91992 Nov. 12, 13; 19(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [1993] 2 W.L.R. 316. *789 Airedale N.H.S. Trust Respondents v Bland Appellant

26.

Law Hospital N.H.S. Trust Pursuers against Lord Advocate and Others Defenders. Scottish Civil Law Reports

27.

Dame Elizabeth Butler-Sloss P2000 Oct 5, 6; 25(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [2001] 2 W.L.R. 942. *348 NHS Trust A v M

28.

Munby J2004 Feb 26, 27, March 1; July 30(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [2004] EWHC 1879 (Admin). *424 Regina (Burke) v General Medical Council (Official Solicitor intervening)

29.

/2086 Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 28 July 2005 Before: Lord Phillips of Worth Matravers, MR Lord Justice Waller and Lord Justice Wall Thursday 28th July, 2005 Crown copyright. [2005] EWCA Civ 1003. The Queen on the Application of Oliver Leslie Burke v The General Medical Council

30.

483 Court of Protection 28 September 2011 Before: The Hon Mr Justice Baker Date: 28/09/2011 Crown copyright. [2011] EWHC 2443 (Fam). W (by her litigation friend, B) v M (by her litigation friend, the Official Solicitor), S, A NHS Primary Care Trust

31.

483 Court of Protection 28 September 2011 Before: The Hon Mr Justice Baker Date: 28/09/2011 Crown copyright. [2011] EWHC 2443 (Fam). W (by her litigation friend, B) v M (by her litigation friend, the Official Solicitor), S, A NHS Primary Care Trust

32.

4253 Court of Protection 23 January 2013 Before: The Honourable Mr Justice Peter Jackson Date: 23/1/2013 Crown copyright. [2013] EWHC 78 (COP). The NHS Trust v AW (by her litigation friend, the Official Solicitor)

33.

8359 Court of Protection 21 July 2014 Before: Mrs Justice Pauffley Date: 21/07/2014 Crown copyright. [2014] EWCOP 16. United Lincolnshire Hospitals NHS Trust v N (by her litigation friend the Official Solicitor)

34.

1264 Court of Protection 27 November 2014 Before: The Honourable Mr Justice Baker
 Date: 27/11/2014 Crown copyright. [2014] EWCOP 49. Gloucestershire Clinical
 Commissioning Group v AB, (by his litigation friend, the Official Solicitor), CD

35.

1732 Court of Protection 26 June 2015 Before: Mr Justice Newton Date: 26/06/2015 Crown
 copyright. [2015] EWCOP 42. St George's Healthcare NHS Trust v P (by his litigation friend,
 the Official Solicitor), Q

36.

N, Re [2015] EWCOP 76 (19 November 2015).

37.

NHS TRUST A v H. 2(2001).

38.

An NHS Trust v J. 94

39.

0872 High Court of Justice Family Division Court of Protection 17 November 2010 Before:
 Mr. Justice Ryder Date: Wednesday, 17th November 2010 Crown copyright. [2010] EWHC
 3448 (FAM). A Primary Care Trust, An NHS Trust v Mr. CW by his Litigation Friend the
 Official Solicitor), HW (Mother), PW (Father), AW (Brother), EW (Sister)

40.

J.M. Finnis. Bland: crossing the Rubicon? Law Quarterly Review.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FICD05B010E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

41.

John Keown. Restoring moral and intellectual shape to the law after Bland. *Law Quarterly Review*.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FICDDCC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

42.

Court of Protection Practice Direction 9E - Serious Medical Treatment.

<https://www.judiciary.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/copd-pd-9e-serious-medical-treatment.pdf>

43.

Vegetative state: guidance on diagnosis and management – RCP London.

<https://cdn.shopify.com/s/files/1/0924/4392/files/vegetative-state.pdf?7537870595093585378>

44.

RCP London.

<https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/search?text=press%20releases%20patients%20vegetative%20state%20updated%20guidelines&keys=>

45.

Prolonged disorders of consciousness: national clinical guidelines | RCP London.

<https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/guidelines-policy/prolonged-disorders-consciousness-national-clinical-guidelines>

46.

Mullock A. Deciding the fate of a minimally conscious patient: an unsatisfactory balancing act? *Medical Law Review*. 2012;20(3):460-469. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fws017

47.

Skene L, Wilkinson D, Kahane G, Savulescu J. Neuroimaging and the withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment from patients in vegetative state. *Medical Law Review*.

2009;17(2):245-261. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwp002

48.

Lewis P. 'Withdrawal of treatment from a patient in a permanent vegetative state: judicial involvement and innovative 'treatment''. *Medical Law Review*. 2007;15(3):392-399. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwm017

49.

Mclean SAM. Permanent vegetative state: the legal position. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*. 15(3):237-250. doi:10.1080/09602010443000272

50.

Maclean A. Crossing the Rubicon on the Human Rights Ferry. *The Modern Law Review*. 2001;64(5):775-794. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/1097281>

51.

BMA - Withdrawing or withholding artificial nutrition and hydration. <https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/ethics/mental-capacity/withdraw-withhold-artificial-nutrition-and-hydration>

52.

Treatment and care towards the end of life: decision making. http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/end_of_life_care.asp

53.

End-of-Life Decisions: views of the BMA. Published online 2009. http://bmaopac.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/exlibris/aleph/a23_1/apache_media/VITJEQ4TGE1SQBYUCDDQ2T93SAHVT.pdf

54.

Mental Capacity Act 2005.

55.

Mental Capacity Act Code of Practice.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/mental-capacity-act-code-of-practice>

56.

Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE). <http://www.scie.org.uk/?res=true>

57.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000.

58.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000: Code of Practice (Third Edition): For Practitioners Authorised to Carry Out Medical Treatment or Research Under Part 5 of the Act effective from 10 May 2010 - 0105906.pdf.

<http://www.gov.scot/Resource/Doc/327864/0105906.pdf>

59.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000: A short guide to the Act.

<http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2008/03/25120154/1>

60.

Thorpe J.1993 Oct. 8, 11; 14(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [1994] 1 W.L.R. 290. *290 In re C. (Adult: Refusal of Treatment)

61.

Re T (Adult: Refusal of Medical Treatment). All England Law Reports 4(1992).

62.

Re B (Adult: Refusal of Medical Treatment). All England Law Reports 2(2002).

63.

Munby J2004 Feb 26, 27, March 1; July 30(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [2004] EWHC 1879 (Admin). *424 Regina (Burke) v General Medical Council (Official Solicitor intervening)

64.

/2086 Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 28 July 2005 Before: Lord Phillips of Worth Matravers, MR Lord Justice Waller and Lord Justice Wall Thursday 28th July, 2005 Crown copyright. [2005] EWCA Civ 1003. The Queen on the Application of Oliver Leslie Burke v The General Medical Council

65.

Brooke, Clarke and Maurice Kay LJJ 2004 Sept 17(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [2004] EWCA Civ 1324. *833 W Healthcare NHS Trust v H and others

66.

Re E (Medical Treatment: Anorexia) (Rev 1) [2012] EWCOP 1639 (15 June 2012).

67.

Treatment and care towards the end of life: decision making.
http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/end_of_life_care.asp

68.

End-of-Life Decisions: views of the BMA. Published online 2009.
http://bmaopac.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/exlibris/aleph/a23_1/apache_media/VITJEQ4TGE1SQBYUCDDQ2T93SAHVT.pdf

69.

British Medical Association, Dawson Books. Withholding and Withdrawing Life-Prolonging Medical Treatment: Guidance for Decision Making. 3rd ed. Blackwell Pub; 2007.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781405181464>

70.

Biggs, H. Taking Account of the Views of the Patient, but Only if the Clinician (and the Court) Agrees - R (Burke) v General Medical Council. Child and Family Law Quarterly. 2007;19(2):225-238.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/chilflq19&id=225>

71.

BMA - Withdrawing or withholding artificial nutrition and hydration.
<https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/ethics/mental-capacity/withdraw-withhold-artificial-nutrition-and-hydration>

72.

BMA - Withdrawing or withholding artificial nutrition and hydration.
<https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/ethics/mental-capacity/withdraw-withhold-artificial-nutrition-and-hydration>

73.

British Medical Association, Dawson Books. Withholding and Withdrawing Life-Prolonging Medical Treatment: Guidance for Decision Making. 3rd ed. Blackwell Pub; 2007.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781405181464>

74.

Treatment and care towards the end of life: decision making.
http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/end_of_life_care.asp

75.

Price, D. My View of the Sanctity of Life: A Rebuttal of John Keown's Critique. *Legal Studies*. 2007;27(4):549-565.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/legstd27&id=559>

76.

Keown, J. Restoring the Sanctity of Life and Replacing the Caricature: A Reply to David Price. *Legal Studies*. 2006;26(1):109-119.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/legstd26&id=119>

77.

Price, D. Fairly Bland: An Alternative View of a Supposed New Death Ethic and the BMA Guidelines. *Legal Studies*. 2001;21(4):618-643.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/legstd21&id=620>

78.

Coggon J. Could the right to die with dignity represent a new right to die in English law? *Medical Law Review*. 2006;14(2):219-237. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwl002

79.

Cartwright W. Killing and letting die: a defensible distinction. *British Medical Bulletin*. 1996;52(2):354-361. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.bmb.a011550

80.

Keownz J. Beyond Bland: a critique of the BMA guidance on withholding and withdrawing medical treatment. *Legal Studies*. 2000;20(1):66-84.
doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2000.tb00133.x

81.

Michalowski S. Advance Refusals of Life-Sustaining Medical Treatment: The Relativity of an Absolute Right. *Modern Law Review*. 2005;68(6):958-982.
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2005.00568.x

82.

Practice Direction.

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110204003313/http://www.hmcourts-service.gov.uk/cms/files/09E_-_Serious_Medical_Treatment_PD.pdf

83.

Laurie GT, Harmon S, Dove ES, Mason JK, McCall Smith A. Mason & McCall Smith's Law & Medical Ethics. 11th edn. Oxford University Press; 2019.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198826217.001.0001>

84.

Mental Capacity Act 2005 c. 9Arrangement of Act.

85.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000 Asp 4 (Scottish Act)Arrangement of Act.

86.

In Re C (Adult: Refusal of Treatment) [1994] 1 WLR 290. C (Adult: Refusal of Medical Treatment), Re

87.

Re T (Adult: Refusal of Medical Treatment). All England Law Reports 4(1992).

88.

In Re T (Adult - Refusal of Medical Treatment) [1992] 3 WLR 782. T (Adult: Refusal of Treatment), Re

89.

Re AK. 58

90.

HE v A Hospital NHS Trust [2003] EWHC 1017. HE v A Hospital NHS Trust

91.

W Healthcare NHS Trust v. H and Others [2005] 1 W.L.R. 834. W Healthcare NHS Trust v H

92.

W Healthcare NHS Trust v. H and Others [2005] 1 W.L.R. 834. W Healthcare NHS Trust v H

93.

Re E (Medical Treatment: Anorexia) (Rev 1) [2012] EWCOP 1639 (15 June 2012).

94.

Re M; W v M [2011] EWHC 2443 (COP) - Mental Health Law Online.

95.

Dresser R. Dworkin on Dementia: Elegant Theory, Questionable Policy. The Hastings Center Report. 1995;25(6). doi:10.2307/3527839

96.

Dworkin R. Life's Dominion: An Argument about Abortion and Euthanasia. HarperCollins; 1993.

97.

Maclean AR. Advance Directives and the Rocky Waters of Anticipatory Decision-making. *Medical Law Review*. 2008;16(1):1-22. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwm019

98.

Michalowski S. Trial and Error at the End of Life--No Harm Done? *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 2005;27(2):257-280. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqi040

99.

Walker S. Autonomy or Preservation of Life? Advance Directives and patients with dementia. *UCL jurisprudence review*. 2011;17:100-121.

100.

Kerrigan J. Living wills - why? *The Journal Online*. 2009;54(1).
<https://www.lawscot.org.uk/members/journal/issues/vol-54-issue-01/living-wills-why/>

101.

Maclean AR. Advance directives, future selves and decision-making. *Medical Law Review*. 2006;14(3):291-320. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwl009

102.

Herring, J. Losing It - Losing What - The Law and Dementia. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 2009;21(1):3-29.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/chilflq21&id=3>

103.

Kuhse H. Some Reflections on the Problem of Advance Directives, Personhood, and Personal Identity. *Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal*. 1999;9(4):347-364.
doi:10.1353/ken.1999.0027

104.

KUCZEWSKI MG. WHOSE WILL IS IT, ANYWAY? A DISCUSSION OF ADVANCE DIRECTIVES, PERSONAL IDENTITY, AND CONSENSUS IN MEDICAL ETHICS. *Bioethics*. 1994;8(1):27-48. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.1994.tb00240.x

105.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/advance-directives/>

106.

The Commission on Assisted Dying. The current legal status of assisted dying is inadequate and incoherent. https://www.demos.co.uk/files/476_CoAD_FinalReport_158x240_I_web_single-NEW_.pdf?1328113363

107.

Montgomery J. Guarding the gates of St Peter: life, death and law making. *Legal Studies*. 2011;31(4):644-666. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2011.00205.x

108.

Livingston, B. A Right to Assist - Assisted Dying and the Interim Policy. *Journal of Criminal Law*. 2010;74(1):31-52. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/jcriml74&id=37>

109.

Heywood R. The DPP's Prosecutorial Policy on Assisted Suicide. *King's Law Journal*. 2010;21(3):425-444. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/kingsclj21&id=425>

110.

Greasley K. *R(Purdy) v DPP and the Case for Wilful Blindness*. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 2010;30(2):301-326. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqq010

111.

Mullock A. Overlooking the criminally compassionate: what are the implications of prosecutorial policy on encouraging or assisting suicide? *Medical Law Review*. 2010;18(4):442-470. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwq027

112.

Cartwright N. 48 Years On: Is The Suicide Act Fit For Purpose? *Medical Law Review*. 2009;17(3):467-476. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwp022

113.

Keown J. Physician-Assisted Suicide: Lord Joffe's Slippery Bill. *Medical Law Review*. 2007;15(1):126-135. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwl020

114.

McLean S. *Assisted Dying: Reflections on the Need for Law Reform*. Vol Biomedical law and ethics library. Routledge-Cavendish; 2007.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780203940471>

115.

Lewis P. *Assisted Dying and Legal Change*. Oxford University Press; 2007.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199212873.001.0001>

116.

Dieterle JM. Physician Assisted Suicide: A New Look at the Arguments. *Bioethics*. 2007;21(3):127-139. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2007.00536.x

117.

The House of Lords - Assisted Dying Bill Reports.
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld/lldasdy.htm>

118.

Otlowski M. Voluntary Euthanasia and the Common Law. Oxford University Press; 2000.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198298687.001.0001>

119.

McLean S, Britton A, Frontline Scotland, System Three (Scotland) Limited. Sometimes a Small Victory. University of Glasgow, Institute of Law and Ethics in Medicine; 1996.

120.

McLean S. End-of-life decisions and the law. Journal of Medical Ethics. 1996;22(5).
doi:10.1136/jme.22.5.261

121.

Battin MP. The Least Worst Death: Essays in Bioethics on the End of Life. Oxford University Press; 1994.

122.

Dworkin R. Life's Dominion: An Argument about Abortion and Euthanasia. HarperCollins; 1993.

123.

End of life care: Flow chart for decision making when patients may lack capacity.
https://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/end_of_life_decision_making_flowchart.asp

124.

End of life care - NHS Choices.
<https://www.nhs.uk/planners/end-of-life-care/Pages/End-of-life-care.aspx>

125.

Herring J. Medical Law and Ethics. 8th edition. Oxford University Press; 2020.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198846956.001.0001>

126.

Stauch M, Wheat K. Text, Cases and Materials on Medical Law and Ethics. Fifth edition. Routledge; 2015.

127.

Jackson E. Medical Law: Text, Cases, and Materials. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

128.

Brazier M, Cave E. Medicine, Patients and the Law. Vol Contemporary Issues in Bioethics, Law and Medical Humanities. Sixth edition. Manchester University Press; 2016.

129.

Sally Lipscombe. Assisted suicide.
<http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/SN04857>

130.

Suicide Act 1961 c. 60 Arrangement of Act.

131.

Coroners and Justice Act 2009 c. 25 Arrangement of Act.

132.

Assisted Dying (No. 2) Bill 2015-16 — UK Parliament.
<http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2015-16/assisteddyingno2.html>

133.

Assisted Dying Bill (HL Bill 25 2015-16).

<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/bills/lbill/2015-2016/0025/160025.pdf>

134.

Assisted Dying Bill Explanatory Notes (HL Bill 25).

<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/bills/lbill/2015-2016/0025/en/160025en.pdf>

135.

Assisted Dying Bill (HL Bill 6 2014-15).

<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/bills/lbill/2014-2015/0006/15006.pdf>

136.

Bill documents — Assisted Dying Bill [HL] 2014-15 — UK Parliament.

<http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2014-15/assisteddying/documents.html>

137.

Assisted Dying Bill (HL Bill 6 2014-15) explanatory notes.

<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/bills/lbill/2014-2015/0006/en/15006en.pdf>

138.

Assisted Suicide (Scotland) Bill.

http://www.parliament.scot/S4_Bills/Assisted%20Suicide/b40s4-introd.pdf

139.

Assisted Suicide Bill Explanatory Notes.

http://www.parliament.scot/S4_Bills/Assisted%20Suicide/b40s4-introd-en.pdf

140.

Scottish parliament rejects assisted dying law. The Guardian. Published online 2015.
<https://www.theguardian.com/society/2015/may/27/scottish-parliament-rejects-assisted-suicide-law>

141.

Assisted Suicide (Scotland) Bill.
<http://www.parliament.scot/parliamentarybusiness/Bills/69604.aspx>

142.

The CPS : Assisted Suicide : 'Policy for Prosecutors in respect of cases of encouraging or assisting suicide'. <https://www.cps.gov.uk/publication/assisted-suicide>

143.

The CPS : Assisted Suicide. <https://www.cps.gov.uk/publication/assisted-suicide>

144.

Director of Public Prosecutions responds to Supreme Court on assisted suicide policy.
http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest_news/director_of_public_prosecutions_responds_to_supreme_court_on_assisted_suicide_policy/

145.

DPP publishes assisted suicide policy. http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest_news/109_10/

146.

DPP publishes interim policy on prosecuting assisted suicide - CPS press release.
http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest_news/144_09/

147.

Kerr D. Preserving Life: Scots Law and Assisted Suicide. The Juridical review. 2011;1:33-44.

148.

Laurie G, Mason JJ. Assistance in Dying or Euthanasia - Comments on the End of Life Assistance (Scotland) Bill. *Edinburgh Law Review*. 2010;14(3):493-497.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/edinlr14&id=493>

149.

McLean SAM, Connelly C, Mason JK. Purdy in Scotland: We Hear But Should We Listen? *The Juridical review*. 2009;4:265-284.

150.

Ferguson PR. Killing without Getting into Trouble - Assisted Suicide and Scots Criminal Law. *Edinburgh Law Review*. 1998;2(3):288-314.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/edinlr2&id=294>

151.

Biggs H. Legitimate Compassion or Compassionate Legitimation? Reflections on the Policy for Prosecutors in Respect of Cases of Encouraging or Assisting Suicide. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 2011;19(1):83-91. doi:10.1007/s10691-011-9165-6

152.

Lewis P. Informal legal change on assisted suicide: the policy for prosecutors. *Legal Studies*. 2011;31(1):119-134. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2010.00184.x

153.

Medical Law Review. 2010;18(4).
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://academic-oup-com/medlaw/issue/18/4>

154.

Chalmers, J. Assisted Suicide: Jurisdiction and Discretion. *Edinburgh Law Review*. 2010;14(2):295-300.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&>

handle=hein.journals/edinlr14&id=295

155.

Williams, G. Assisting Suicide, the Code for Crown Prosecutors and the DPP's Discretion. *Common Law World Review*. 2010;39(2):181-203.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/comlwr39&id=185>

156.

Burns S. The Limits of Maternal Love. *Criminal Law & Justice Weekly*. 2010;174.
<https://www.criminallawandjustice.co.uk/features/Limits-Maternal-Love>

157.

Ost S. The de-medicalisation of assisted dying: is a less medicalised model the way forward? *Medical Law Review*. 2010;18(4):497-540. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwq025

158.

Mullock A. 'Prosecutors Making Bad Law? *Medical Law Review*. 2009;17(2):290-299.
doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwp009

159.

Grosse C, Grosse A. Assisted suicide: Models of legal regulation in selected European countries and the case law of the European Court of Human Rights. *Medicine, Science and the Law*. 2015;55(4):246-258. doi:10.1177/0025802414540636

160.

Battin MP, van der Heide A, Ganzini L, van der Wal G, Onwuteaka-Philipsen BD. Legal physician-assisted dying in Oregon and the Netherlands: evidence concerning the impact on patients in 'vulnerable' groups. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2007;33(10):591-597.
doi:10.1136/jme.2007.022335

161.

Oregon Health Authority : Frequently Asked Questions : Death with Dignity Act : State of Oregon.
<http://www.oregon.gov/oha/ph/ProviderPartnerResources/EvaluationResearch/DeathwithDignityAct/Pages/faqs.aspx>

162.

R v Reed [1982] Crim LR 819.

163.

Attorney General v Able and Others [1984] 1 QB 795. Attorney General v Able

164.

R v Cox. 12

165.

R v Director of Public Prosecutions, Secretary of State for the Home Department, Ex Parte Dianne Pretty [2002] 1 AC 800 (HL). R. (on the application of Pretty) v DPP

166.

Pretty v UK, Application No. 2346/02 [2002] 2 FLR 45. Pretty v United Kingdom (2346/02)

167.

Pretty v UK, Application No. 2346/02 (2002) 35 EHRR 1. Pretty v United Kingdom (2346/02)

168.

Re Z [2004] WL 2790666 (Fam Div), [2005] 1 W.L.R. 959. Z (Local Authority: Duty), Re

169.

R. v S [2005] EWCA Crim 819. R. v S

170.

R. (on the Application of Purdy) v DPP [2009] EWCA Civ 92. R. (on the application of Purdy) v DPP

171.

Appeal to the House of Lords, [2009] UKHL 45. R. (on the application of Purdy) v DPP

172.

Nicklinson v Ministry of Justice [2014] UKSC 38. R. (on the application of Nicklinson) v Ministry of Justice

173.

Nicklinson v UK (Admissibility) (2015) 61 EHRR SE7. Nicklinson v United Kingdom (Admissibility) (2478/15)

174.

The Coroners and Justice Bill 2008-9.
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200809/cmbills/009/2009009.pdf>

175.

LDW - Parliament - Safeguarding Choice - Draft Bill.
<http://www.livinganddyingwell.org.uk/sites/default/files/LDW%20-%20Parliament%20-%20Safeguarding%20Choice%20-%20Draft%20Bill.pdf>

176.

Info about the All Party Parliamentary Group on Choice at the End of Life | Social work and end-of-life care.

<https://sweol.wordpress.com/2012/11/13/info-about-the-all-party-parliamentary-group-on-choice-at-the-end-of-life/>

177.

Assisted Dying for the Terminally Ill Bill 2005 (Lord Joffe's Bill).

<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200506/ldbills/036/2006036.pdf>

178.

Dying with Dignity Consultation paper.

http://www.parliament.scot/S2_MembersBills/Draft%20proposals/Dying%20with%20Dignity%20Consultation%20paper.pdf

179.

End of Life Assistance (Scotland) Bill.

<http://www.parliament.scot/parliamentarybusiness/Bills/21272.aspx>

180.

End of Life Assistance (Scotland) Bill.

<http://www.parliament.scot/SPICeResources/Research%20briefings%20and%20fact%20sheets/SB10-51.pdf>

181.

Margo MacDonald's End of Life Assistance Bill rejected - BBC News.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-11876821>

182.

Healthcare Professionals for Assisted Dying Home. <http://www.hpad.org.uk/>

183.

Campaign for Dignity in Dying. <https://www.dignityindying.org.uk/about-us/>

184.

Assisted Dying in Oregon, USA | Dignity in Dying.

<https://www.dignityindying.org.uk/assisted-dying/international-examples/assisted-dying-oregon/>

185.

Care Not Killing. <http://www.carenotkilling.org.uk/>

186.

Treatment and care towards the end of life: decision making.

http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/end_of_life_care.asp

187.

End-of-Life Decisions: views of the BMA. Published online 2009.

http://bmaopac.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/exlibris/aleph/a23_1/apache_media/VITJEQ4TGE1SQBYUCDDQ2T93SAHVT.pdf

188.

Laurie GT, Harmon S, Dove ES, Mason JK, McCall Smith A. Mason & McCall Smith's Law & Medical Ethics. 11th edn. Oxford University Press; 2019.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198826217.001.0001>

189.

McLean S. Assisted Dying: Reflections on the Need for Law Reform. Vol Biomedical law and ethics library. Routledge-Cavendish; 2007.

<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780203940471>

190.

Jackson E, Keown J. Debating Euthanasia. Vol Debating law. Hart; 2012.

191.

Jackson E. *Medical Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*. 5th edn. Oxford University Press; 2019.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198825845.001.0001>

192.

R v Cox. 12

193.

Nicklinson v Ministry of Justice [2014] UKSC 38. R. (on the application of Nicklinson) v Ministry of Justice

194.

Nicklinson v UK (Admissibility) (2015) 61EHRRE7. Nicklinson v United Kingdom (Admissibility) (2478/15)

195.

Glover J. *Causing Death and Saving Lives*. Vol Penguin philosophy. Penguin; 1990.

196.

Harris J, Ebooks Corporation Limited. *The Value of Life*. Routledge & Kegan Paul; 1985.
http://www.GLA.eblib.com/EBLWeb/patron?target=patron&extendedid=P_178154_0&

197.

Keown J. *Euthanasia, Ethics and Public Policy: An Argument against Legalisation*. Second edition. Cambridge University Press; 2018.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/9781107337909>

198.

Kushe H. The 2. Case for Active Voluntary Euthanasia. *Law, Medicine and Health Care*. 1986;(4):145-148.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/medeth14&id=145>

199.

Otlowski M. *Voluntary Euthanasia and the Common Law*. Oxford University Press; 2000.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198298687.001.0001>

200.

Singer P. *Applied Ethics*. Vol Oxford readings in philosophy. Oxford University Press; 1986.

201.

Smith SW. Evidence for the Practical Slippery Slope in the Debate on Physician-Assisted Suicide and Euthanasia. *Medical Law Review*. 2005;13(1):17-44.

doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwi002

202.

Williams GO. *Intention and Causation in Medical Non-Killing: The Impact of Criminal Law Concepts on Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide*. Vol Biomedical law and ethics library. Routledge-Cavendish; 2007.

203.

McCall Smith A. Euthanasia: the strengths of the middle ground. *Medical Law Review*. 1999;7(2):194-207. doi:10.1093/medlaw/7.2.194

204.

Casonato, C. *Informed Consent and End-of-Life Decisions: Notes of Comparative Law*. *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law*. 2011;18(3):225-256.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&>

handle=hein.journals/maastje18&id=227

205.

Griffiths J, Weyers H, Adams M, Academic Rights Press. Euthanasia and Law in Europe. Hart Pub; 2008.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://portal.igpublish.com/iglibrary/search/HARTB000419.html>

206.

Cohen-Almagori R. The Chabot Case: Analysis and Account of Dutch Perspectives. *Medical Law International*. 2002;5(3):141-159. doi:10.1177/096853320200500301

207.

De Haan J. The New Dutch Law on Euthanasia. *Medical Law Review*. 2002;10(1):57-75. doi:10.1093/medlaw/10.1.57

208.

Griffiths J. Assisted Suicide in the Netherlands: The Chabot Case. *The Modern Law Review*. 1995;58(2):232-248.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/1096356>

209.

Keown J. *Euthanasia, Ethics and Public Policy: An Argument against Legalisation*. Second edition. Cambridge University Press; 2018.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/9781107337909>

210.

Keown J. *Euthanasia Examined: Ethical, Clinical and Legal Perspectives*. Cambridge University Press; 1997.

211.

Jochemsen H. Euthanasia in Holland: an ethical critique of the new law. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 1994;20(4). doi:10.1136/jme.20.4.212

212.

Jochemsen H, Keown J. Voluntary euthanasia under control? Further empirical evidence from The Netherlands. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 1999;25(1):16-21. doi:10.1136/jme.25.1.16

213.

Kimsma GK, Van Leeuwen E. Dutch Euthanasia: Background, Practice, and Present Justifications. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 1993;2(01). doi:10.1017/S096318010000058X

214.

Legemaate J, Gevers JKM. Physician-Assisted Suicide in Psychiatry: Developments in the Netherlands. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 1997;6(02). doi:10.1017/S0963180100007799

215.

Möller M. Euthanasia in the Netherlands: the case of "life fatigue". *The New Law Journal*. 151(7006).
<https://plus-lexis-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/api/document/collection/uk/id/4GR8-W780-TWWR-G0X1-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

216.

Ogilvie AD, Potts SG. Assisted suicide for depression: the slippery slope in action? *BMJ*. 1994;309(6953):492-493. doi:10.1136/bmj.309.6953.492

217.

van Delden JJ. Slippery slopes in flat countries--a response. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 1999;25(1):22-24. doi:10.1136/jme.25.1.22

218.

Van der Maas P. Euthanasia and other medical decisions concerning the end of life. *The Lancet*. 1991;338(8768):669-674. doi:10.1016/0140-6736(91)91241-L

219.

van der Maas PJ, van der Wal G, Haverkate I, et al. Euthanasia, Physician-Assisted Suicide, and Other Medical Practices Involving the End of Life in the Netherlands, 1990–1995. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1996;335(22):1699-1705. doi:10.1056/NEJM199611283352227

220.

van der Wal G, Muller MT, Christ LM, Ribbe MW, van Eijk JThM. 'Voluntary Active Euthanasia and Physician-Assisted Suicide in Dutch Nursing Homes: Requests and Administration. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 1994;42:620-623.

221.

Vickers L. Assisted dying and the laws of three European countries. *The New Law Journal*. 147(6789).
<https://plus-lexis-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/api/document/collection/uk/id/4RCW-XHM0-TWWR-G1GM-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

222.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy.
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/euthanasia-voluntary/>

223.

Brownsword R. Regulating Human Genetics: New Dilemmas For A New Millennium. *Medical Law Review*. 2004;12(1):14-39. doi:10.1093/medlaw/12.1.14

224.

de Melo-Martin I. Firing up the nature/nurture controversy: bioethics and genetic determinism. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2005;31(9):526-530. doi:10.1136/jme.2004.008417

225.

Ilkilic I. Coming to Grips with Genetic Exceptionalism: Roots and Reach of an Explanatory Model. *Medicine Studies*. 2009;1(2):131-142. doi:10.1007/s12376-009-0015-7

226.

Kakuk P. Genetic Information in the Age of Genohype. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy*. 2006;9(3):325-337. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17072566>

227.

Wikler D. Can we learn from eugenics? *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 1999;25(2):183-194. doi:10.1136/jme.25.2.183

228.

Stewart A, Brice P. Genetics, Health and Disease. Published online 2004. <http://www.phgfoundation.org/>

229.

Lucassen A, Gilbar R. Disclosure of genetic information to relatives: balancing confidentiality and relatives' interests. *Journal of Medical Genetics*. 2018;55(4):285-286. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2017-104843

230.

Gilbar R. *The Status of the Family in Law and Bioethics: The Genetic Context*. Ashgate; 2005.

231.

ABC v St George's Healthcare NHS Trust & Ors [2017] EWCA Civ 336 (16 May 2017).

232.

Gilbar R, Foster C. It's arrived! Relational Autonomy Comes to Court: ABC v ST George's Healthcare NHS Trust [2017] EWCA 336. *Medical Law Review*. 2018;26(1):125-133. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwx044

233.

Smith & Anor v University of Leicester NHS Trust [2016] EWHC 817 (QB) (15 April 2016).

234.

Fay M. Cousins, Genetic Diagnosis and Liability of Clinicians: Smith & Another V University of Leicester NHS Trust [2016] EWHC 817 (QB). *Medical Law Review*. 2017;25(4):672-683. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwx032

235.

Confidentiality: good practice in handling patient information (2017). Published online 27 February 2017. https://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/confidentiality.asp

236.

Human Tissue Act 2004 c. 30Arrangement of Act.

237.

Lucassen A, Kaye J. Genetic testing without consent: the implications of the new Human Tissue Act 2004. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2006;32(12):690-692. doi:10.1136/jme.2005.013631

238.

Non-consensual DNA analysis | Human Tissue Authority. <https://www.hta.gov.uk/policies/non-consensual-dna-analysis>

239.

Chico V. Non-disclosure of genetic risks. *Medical Law International*. 2016;16(1-2):3-26.

doi:10.1177/0968533216653440

240.

Fay M. Negligence, genetics and families. *Medical Law International*. 2016;16(3-4):115-142. doi:10.1177/0968533216664113

241.

Gilbar R. The Passive Patient and Disclosure of Genetic Information: Can English Tort Law Protect the Relatives' Right to Know? *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2016;30(1):79-104. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebv015

242.

Mitchell CR. A duty to warn relatives in clinical genetics: arguably 'fair, just and reasonable' in English law? *Professional Negligence*. 2016;32:120-136.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI008F37404AE911E69426958412386EA1%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

243.

Andorno R. The right not to know: an autonomy based approach. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2004;30(5):435-439. doi:10.1136/jme.2002.001578

244.

Liao SM. Is there a duty to share genetic information? *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2009;35(5):306-309. doi:10.1136/jme.2008.027029

245.

Parker M. Genetic information: a joint account? *BMJ*. 2004;329(7458):165-167. doi:10.1136/bmj.329.7458.165

246.

Dheensa S, Fenwick A, Lucassen A. 'Is this knowledge mine and nobody else's? I don't feel that.' Patient views about consent, confidentiality and information-sharing in genetic medicine: Table 1. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2016;42(3):174-179.
doi:10.1136/medethics-2015-102781

247.

Foster C, Herring J, Boyd M. Testing the limits of the 'joint account' model of genetic information: a legal thought experiment. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2015;41(5):379-382.
doi:10.1136/medethics-2014-102142

248.

Chadwick RF, Levitt M, Shickle D, eds. *The Right to Know and the Right Not to Know: Genetic Privacy and Responsibility*. Second edition. Cambridge University Press; 2014.

249.

Mulheron RP. *Medical Negligence: Non-Patient and Third Party Claims*. Ashgate; 2010.

250.

Stark Z, Wallace J, Gillam L, Burgess M, Delatycki MB. Predictive genetic testing for neurodegenerative conditions: how should conflicting interests within families be managed? *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2016;42(10):640-642.
doi:10.1136/medethics-2016-103400

251.

Malpas PJ. Is genetic information relevantly different from other kinds of non-genetic information in the life insurance context? *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2008;34(7):548-551.
doi:10.1136/jme.2007.023101

252.

Mittra J. Predictive Genetic Information and Access to Life Assurance: The Poverty of 'Genetic Exceptionalism'. *BioSocieties*. 2007;2(3):349-373.
doi:10.1017/S1745855207005789

253.

Stirton R. Insurance, Genetic Information and the Future of Industry Self-Regulation in the UK. *Law, Innovation and Technology*. 2012;4(2):212-237. doi:10.5235/LIT.4.2.212

254.

Thomas RG. Genetics and insurance in the United Kingdom 1995–2010: the rise and fall of "scientific" discrimination. *New Genetics and Society*. 2012;31(2):203-222. doi:10.1080/14636778.2012.662046

255.

Wilkinson R. Unjustified Discrimination: Is the Moratorium on the use of Genetic Test Results by Insurers a Contradiction in Terms? *Health Care Analysis*. 2010;18(3):279-293. doi:10.1007/s10728-009-0137-9

256.

Wilkinson RH. Genetic information: Important but not "exceptional". *Identity in the Information Society*. 2010;3(3):457-472. doi:10.1007/s12394-010-0070-y

257.

Code on Genetic Testing and Insurance.
https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/751230/code-on-genetic-testing-and-insurance.pdf

258.

The Employment Practices Code.
https://ico.org.uk/media/for-organisations/documents/1064/the_employment_practices_code.pdf

259.

The Employment Practices Code – Supplementary Guidance.
https://ico.org.uk/media/for-organisations/documents/1066/employment_practice_code_supplementary_guidance.pdf

260.

Lippert-Rasmussen K. Genetic Discrimination and Health Insurance. *Res Publica*. 2015;21(2):185-199. doi:10.1007/s11158-015-9271-4

261.

Otlowski M, Taylor S, Bombard Y. Genetic Discrimination: International Perspectives. *Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics*. 2012;13(1):433-454. doi:10.1146/annurev-genom-090711-163800

262.

Plümecke T. Genes, symptoms, and the "asymptomatic ill": toward a broader understanding of genetic discrimination. *New Genetics and Society*. 2016;35(2):124-148. doi:10.1080/14636778.2016.1176525

263.

Jackson E. *Medical Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

264.

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990.

265.

HFEA Code of Practice.
<https://www.hfea.gov.uk/media/2793/2019-01-03-code-of-practice-9th-edition-v2.pdf>

266.

Dawson Books. *Revisiting the Regulation of Human Fertilisation and Embryology*. (Horsey K, ed.). Routledge; 2015.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781315767895>

267.

Fovargue S, Bennett R. What Role Should Public Opinion Play in Ethico-Legal decision Making? The Example of Selecting Sex for Non-Medical Reasons Using Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis. *Medical Law Review*. Published online 24 January 2016. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwv046

268.

Malek J. Deciding against disability: does the use of reproductive genetic technologies express disvalue for people with disabilities? *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2010;36(4):217-221. doi:10.1136/jme.2009.034645

269.

Porter G, Smith MK. Preventing the selection of "deaf embryos" under the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008: problematizing disability? *New Genetics and Society*. 2013;32(2):171-189. doi:10.1080/14636778.2013.788355

270.

Smith MK. The Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008: restrictions on the creation of "saviour siblings" and the relevance of the harm principle. *New Genetics and Society*. 2013;32(2):154-170. doi:10.1080/14636778.2013.788358

271.

de Melo-Martín I. Sex Selection and the Procreative Liberty Framework. *Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal*. 2013;23(1):1-18. doi:10.1353/ken.2013.0000

272.

Gilbar R. Between Unconditional Acceptance and Responsibility: Should Family Ethics Limit the Scope of Reproductive Autonomy. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 2009;(3). <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/chilflq21&id=1>

273.

Gyngell C, Douglas T. Selecting Against Disability: The Liberal Eugenic Challenge and the Argument from Cognitive Diversity. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*. 2018;35(2):319-340. doi:10.1111/japp.12199

274.

Krahn TM. Regulating preimplantation genetic diagnosis: the case of Down's Syndrome. *Medical Law Review*. 2011;19(2):157-191. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwr009

275.

Wilkinson S. Sexism, Sex Selection and 'Family Balancing'. *Medical Law Review*. 2008;16(3):369-389. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwn013

276.

Savulescu J, Kahane G. The Moral Obligation to Create Children with the Best Chance of the Best Life. *Bioethics*. 2009;23(5):274-290. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00687.x

277.

Bennett R. The Fallacy of the Principle of Procreative Beneficence. *Bioethics*. 2009;23(5):265-273. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00655.x

278.

R. (on the Application of Quintavalle) v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority (HL) [2005] UKHL 28.

279.

Alghrani, A. Suitable to Be a Saviour: R (Quintavalle) v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 2006;(3).
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/chilflq18&id=1>

280.

Brownsword, R. Happy Families, Consenting Couples, and Children with Dignity: Sex Selection and Saviour Siblings. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 2005;(4).
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/chilflq17&id=1>

281.

Welcome to the HFEA | Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority.
<https://www.hfea.gov.uk/>

282.

Jackson E. *Medical Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

283.

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990.

284.

de Melo-Martin I. On Cloning Human Beings. *Bioethics*. 2002;16(3):246-265.
doi:10.1111/1467-8519.00284

285.

Elsner D. Just another reproductive technology? The ethics of human reproductive cloning as an experimental medical procedure. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2006;32(10):596-600.
doi:10.1136/jme.2005.013748

286.

Harris J. Time to Exorcise the Cloning Demon. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 2014;23(01):53-62. doi:10.1017/S0963180113000443

287.

Hayry M. Philosophical Arguments for and Against Human Reproductive Cloning. *Bioethics*.

2003;17(5-6):447-460. doi:10.1111/1467-8519.00360

288.

Holm S. Going to the Roots of the Stem Cell Controversy. *Bioethics*. 2002;16(6):493-507. doi:10.1111/1467-8519.00307

289.

Savulescu J, Harris J. The Creation Lottery: Final Lessons from Natural Reproduction: Why Those Who Accept Natural Reproduction Should Accept Cloning and Other Frankenstein Reproductive Technologies. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 2004;13(01). doi:10.1017/S0963180104241123

290.

Sparrow R. Cloning, Parenthood, and Genetic Relatedness. *Bioethics*. 2006;20(6):308-318. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2006.00509.x

291.

Camporesi S, Boniolo G. Fearing a non-existing Minotaur? The ethical challenges of research on cytoplasmic hybrid embryos. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2008;34(11):821-825. doi:10.1136/jme.2008.024877

292.

Harris J. Cloning and Human Dignity. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 7(2):163-167.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-quarterly-of-healthcare-ethics/article/cloning-and-human-dignity/8013F6CB7E353AF55711F75DD2B79B>

293.

Havstad JC. Human Reproductive Cloning: A Conflict of Liberties. *Bioethics*. 2010;24(2):71-77. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00692.x

294.

Matti Häyry. Response to Special Section: "Cloning: Technology, Policy, and Ethics" (CQ Vol 7, No 2): But What If We *Feel* That Cloning Is Wrong? Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics. 10(2):205-208.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-quarterly-of-healthcare-ethics/article/response-to-special-section-cloning-technology-policy-and-ethics-cq-vol-7-no-2/9A19907ED4C4458D97F2849B269569C6>

295.

Holm S. A Life in the Shadow: One Reason Why We Should Not Clone Humans. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics. 7(2):160-162.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-quarterly-of-healthcare-ethics/article/life-in-the-shadow-one-reason-why-we-should-not-clone-humans/C7041CE009D24E27729F64BD329938D2>

296.

Hansen JES. Embryonic stem cell production through therapeutic cloning has fewer ethical problems than stem cell harvest from surplus IVF embryos. (Original Article). Journal of Medical Ethics. 2002;28:86-88.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?p=AONE&u=gilasuni&id=GALE|A85370632&v=2.1&it=r&sid=summon&authCount=1>

297.

Jensen DA. Human reproductive cloning and reasons for deprivation. Journal of Medical Ethics. 2008;34(8):619-623. doi:10.1136/jme.2007.021980

298.

McDougall R. A resource-based version of the argument that cloning is an affront to human dignity. Journal of Medical Ethics. 2008;34(4):259-261. doi:10.1136/jme.2006.018804

299.

Strong C. Cloning and Infertility. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics. 7(3):279-293.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-quarterly-of-healthcare-ethics/article/cloning-and-infertility/E5D1B6551037AD9AB07C0858419546BA>

300.

R (On the Application of Quintavalle) v Secretary of State for Health (HL) [2003] UKHL 13.

301.

Mason JK. Clones and Cell Nuclear Replacements: A Saga. Edinburgh Law Review. 2003;7(3):379-387. doi:10.3366/elr.2003.7.3.379

302.

World's first gene-edited babies created in China, claims scientist. Guardian. Published online 26 November 2018.
<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2018/nov/26/worlds-first-gene-edited-babies-created-in-china-claims-scientist>

303.

Second woman carrying gene-edited baby, Chinese authorities confirm. Guardian. Published online 22 January 2019.
<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2019/jan/22/second-woman-carrying-gene-edited-baby-chinese-authorities-confirm>

304.

Hannah Devlin. Gene-edited babies: why are scientists so appalled? – Science Weekly podcast. Guardian. Published online 7 December 2018.
<https://www.theguardian.com/science/audio/2018/dec/07/gene-edited-babies-why-are-scientists-so-appalled-science-weekly-podcast>

305.

Ian Sample. UK doctors select first women to have 'three-person babies'. Guardian. Published online 1 February 2018.
<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2018/feb/01/permission-given-to-create-britains-first-three-person-babies>

306.

Holtug N. Altering Humans—The Case For and Against Human Gene Therapy. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 1997;6(02). doi:10.1017/S0963180100007787

307.

Sarah Barber. Mitochondrial Donation.
<http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/SN06833>

308.

Nuffield Council on Bioethics Genome editing and human reproduction short guide.
<http://nuffieldbioethics.org/wp-content/uploads/Genome-editing-and-human-reproduction-short-guide-website.pdf>

309.

Nuffield Council on Bioethics Genome editing and human reproduction.
<http://nuffieldbioethics.org/wp-content/uploads/Genome-editing-and-human-reproduction-FINAL-website.pdf>

310.

Harris J. Germline Modification and the Burden of Human Existence. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 2016;25(01):6-18. doi:10.1017/S0963180115000237

311.

De Melo-Martin I. When the Milk of Human Kindness Becomes a Luxury (and Untested) Good. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 2017;26(01):159-165.
doi:10.1017/S0963180116000724

312.

Harris J. How To Welcome New Technologies. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 2017;26(01):166-172. doi:10.1017/S0963180116000736

313.

Baylis F. Human Nuclear Genome Transfer (So-Called Mitochondrial Replacement): Clearing the Underbrush. *Bioethics*. 2017;31(1):7-19. doi:10.1111/bioe.12309

314.

Chau PL, Herring J. Three parents and a baby. 2015;45(8):861-1020.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=5K8D-GM21-DYHX-92J6&csi=432082&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

315.

Herbrand C. Mitochondrial Replacement Techniques: Who are the Potential Users and will they Benefit? *Bioethics*. 2017;31(1):46-54. doi:10.1111/bioe.12311

316.

Jones DA. The other woman: Evaluating the language of 'three parent' embryos. *Clinical Ethics*. 2015;10(4):97-106. doi:10.1177/1477750915599721

317.

Varvaštian S. UK's Legalisation of Mitochondrial Donation in IVF Treatment: A Challenge to the International Community or a Promotion of Life-saving Medical Innovation to Be Followed by Others? *European Journal of Health Law*. 2015;22(5):405-425.
doi:10.1163/15718093-12341366
<http://dx.doi.org.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/10.1163/15718093-12341366>

318.

Brandt R. Mitochondrial donation and 'the right to know'. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2016;42(10):678-684. doi:10.1136/medethics-2016-103587

319.

Gyngell C, Douglas T, Savulescu J. The Ethics of Germline Gene Editing. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*. 2017;34(4):498-513. doi:10.1111/japp.12249

320.

Wrigley A, Wilkinson S, Appleby JB. Mitochondrial Replacement: Ethics and Identity. *Bioethics*. 2015;29(9):631-638. doi:10.1111/bioe.12187

321.

Rulli T. The Mitochondrial Replacement 'Therapy' Myth. *Bioethics*. 2017;31(5):368-374. doi:10.1111/bioe.12332

322.

The Human Fertilisation and Embryology (Mitochondrial Donation) Regulations 2015.

323.

HFEA Code of Practice.
<https://www.hfea.gov.uk/media/2793/2019-01-03-code-of-practice-9th-edition-v2.pdf>

324.

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990.