

# Contemporary Issues in Healthcare Law

View Online



---

1.

Laurie, G. T., Harmon, S., Dove, E. S., Mason, J. K. & McCall Smith, A. *Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics*. (Oxford University Press, 2019).

2.

Brazier, M. & Cave, E. *Medicine, patients and the law*. vol. *Contemporary Issues in Bioethics, Law and Medical Humanities* (Manchester University Press, 2016).

3.

Lord Lane C.J., Ormrod L.J. and Smith J. 1981 March 17(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [1981] 1 W.L.R. 690. \*690 Regina v Malcherek.

4.

Seamus Burns. *Human rights: How certain is death?* *The New Law Journal* vol. 159.

5.

Keown, J. *Euthanasia, ethics and public policy: an argument against legalisation*. (Cambridge University Press, 2018).

6.

Dworkin, R. *Life's dominion: an argument about abortion and euthanasia*. (HarperCollins, 1993).

7.

Harris, J. & Ebooks Corporation Limited. The value of life. (Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1985).

8.

Glover, J. Causing death and saving lives. vol. Penguin philosophy (Penguin, 1990).

9.

A code of practice for the diagnosis and confirmation of death - Academy of Medical Royal Colleges.

10.

Brain stem death - NHS Choices.

11.

Bagheri, A. Individual choice in the definition of death. Journal of Medical Ethics **33**, 146-149 (2007).

12.

Ford, M. The Personhood Paradox and the 'Right to Die'. Medical Law Review **13**, 80-101 (2005).

13.

Harris, J. The Right to Die Lives! There is no Personhood Paradox. Medical Law Review **13**, 386-392 (2005).

14.

Maclean, A. The elimination of morality: reflections on utilitarianism and bioethics.

(Routledge, 1993).

15.

Kuhse, H. The sanctity-of-life doctrine in medicine: a critique. (Clarendon, 1987).

16.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy.

17.

Laurie, G. T., Harmon, S., Dove, E. S., Mason, J. K. & McCall Smith, A. Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics. (Oxford University Press, 2019).

18.

UK Parliament, Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology Briefing Paper: Vegetative and minimally conscious states. vol. 489 (2015).

19.

Mental Capacity Act 2005.

20.

Mental Capacity Act Code of Practice.

21.

Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE). <http://www.scie.org.uk/?res=true>.

22.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000.

23.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000: Code of Practice (Third Edition): For Practitioners Authorised to Carry Out Medical Treatment or Research Under Part 5 of the Act effective from 10 May 2010 - 0105906.pdf.

24.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000: A short guide to the Act.

25.

Lord Keith of Kinkel, Lord Goff of Chieveley, Lord Lowry, Lord Browne-Wilkinson and Lord Mustill Sir Thomas Bingham M.R., Butler-Sloss and Hoffmann L.JJ. Sir Stephen Brown P.1992 Dec. 14, 15, 16; 1993 Feb. 4 1992 Dec. 1, 2, 3; 9 1992 Nov. 12, 13; 19(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [1993] 2 W.L.R. 316. \*789 Airedale N.H.S. Trust Respondents v Bland Appellant.

26.

Law Hospital N.H.S. Trust Pursuers against Lord Advocate and others Defenders. Scottish Civil Law Reports.

27.

Dame Elizabeth Butler-Sloss P2000 Oct 5, 6; 25(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [2001] 2 W.L.R. 942. \*348 NHS Trust A v M.

28.

Munby J2004 Feb 26, 27, March 1; July 30(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [2004] EWHC 1879 (Admin). \*424 Regina (Burke) v General Medical Council (Official Solicitor intervening).

29.

/2086 Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 28 July 2005 Before: Lord Phillips of Worth Matravers, MR Lord Justice Waller and Lord Justice Wall Thursday 28th July, 2005 Crown copyright.

[2005] EWCA Civ 1003. The Queen on the Application of Oliver Leslie Burke v The General Medical Council.

30.

483 Court of Protection 28 September 2011 Before: The Hon Mr Justice Baker Date: 28/09/2011 Crown copyright. [2011] EWHC 2443 (Fam). W (by her litigation friend, B) v M (by her litigation friend, the Official Solicitor), S, A NHS Primary Care Trust.

31.

483 Court of Protection 28 September 2011 Before: The Hon Mr Justice Baker Date: 28/09/2011 Crown copyright. [2011] EWHC 2443 (Fam). W (by her litigation friend, B) v M (by her litigation friend, the Official Solicitor), S, A NHS Primary Care Trust.

32.

4253 Court of Protection 23 January 2013 Before: The Honourable Mr Justice Peter Jackson Date: 23/1/2013 Crown copyright. [2013] EWHC 78 (COP). The NHS Trust v AW (by her litigation friend, the Official Solicitor).

33.

8359 Court of Protection 21 July 2014 Before: Mrs Justice Pauffley Date: 21/07/2014 Crown copyright. [2014] EWCOP 16. United Lincolnshire Hospitals NHS Trust v N (by her litigation friend the Official Solicitor).

34.

1264 Court of Protection 27 November 2014 Before: The Honourable Mr Justice Baker Date: 27/11/2014 Crown copyright. [2014] EWCOP 49. Gloucestershire Clinical Commissioning Group v AB, (by his litigation friend, the Official Solicitor), CD.

35.

1732 Court of Protection 26 June 2015 Before: Mr Justice Newton Date: 26/06/2015 Crown copyright. [2015] EWCOP 42. St George's Healthcare NHS Trust v P (by his litigation friend, the Official Solicitor), Q.

36.

N, Re [2015] EWCOP 76 (19 November 2015).

37.

NHS TRUST A v H. vol. 2 (2001).

38.

An NHS Trust v J. vol. 94.

39.

0872 High Court of Justice Family Division Court of Protection 17 November 2010 Before: Mr. Justice Ryder Date: Wednesday, 17th November 2010 Crown copyright. [2010] EWHC 3448 (FAM). A Primary Care Trust, An NHS Trust v Mr. CW by his Litigation Friend the Official Solicitor, HW (Mother), PW (Father), AW (Brother), EW (Sister).

40.

J.M. Finnis. Bland: crossing the Rubicon? Law Quarterly Review.

41.

John Keown. Restoring moral and intellectual shape to the law after Bland. Law Quarterly Review.

42.

Court of Protection Practice Direction 9E - Serious Medical Treatment.

43.

Vegetative state: guidance on diagnosis and management – RCP London.

44.

RCP London.

<https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/search?text=press%20releases%20patients%20vegetative%20state%20updated%20guidelines&keys=>.

45.

Prolonged disorders of consciousness: national clinical guidelines | RCP London.

46.

Mullock, A. Deciding the fate of a minimally conscious patient: an unsatisfactory balancing act? *Medical Law Review* **20**, 460–469 (2012).

47.

Skene, L., Wilkinson, D., Kahane, G. & Savulescu, J. Neuroimaging and the withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment from patients in vegetative state. *Medical Law Review* **17**, 245–261 (2009).

48.

Lewis, P. 'Withdrawal of treatment from a patient in a permanent vegetative state: judicial involvement and innovative 'treatment''. *Medical Law Review* **15**, 392–399 (2007).

49.

McClean, S. A. M. Permanent vegetative state: the legal position. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation* **15**, 237–250.

50.

Maclean, A. Crossing the Rubicon on the Human Rights Ferry. *The Modern Law Review* **64**, 775–794 (2001).

51.

BMA - Withdrawing or withholding artificial nutrition and hydration.  
<https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/ethics/mental-capacity/withdraw-withhold-artificial-nutrition-and-hydration>.

52.

Treatment and care towards the end of life: decision making.

53.

End-of-Life Decisions: views of the BMA. (2009).

54.

Mental Capacity Act 2005.

55.

Mental Capacity Act Code of Practice.

56.

Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE). <http://www.scie.org.uk/?res=true>.

57.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000.

58.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000: Code of Practice (Third Edition): For Practitioners Authorised to Carry Out Medical Treatment or Research Under Part 5 of the Act effective from 10 May 2010 - 0105906.pdf.

59.



Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000: A short guide to the Act.

60.

Thorpe J.1993 Oct. 8, 11; 14(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [1994] 1 W.L.R. 290. \*290 In re C. (Adult: Refusal of Treatment).

61.

Re T (adult: refusal of medical treatment). All England Law Reports vol. 4 (1992).

62.

Re B (adult: refusal of medical treatment). All England Law Reports vol. 2 (2002).

63.

Munby J2004 Feb 26, 27, March 1; July 30(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [2004] EWHC 1879 (Admin). \*424 Regina (Burke) v General Medical Council (Official Solicitor intervening).

64.

/2086Court of Appeal (Civil Division)28 July 2005Before: Lord Phillips of Worth Matravers, MR Lord Justice Waller and Lord Justice Wall Thursday 28th July, 2005Crown copyright. [2005] EWCA Civ 1003. The Queen on the Application of Oliver Leslie Burke v The General Medical Council.

65.

Brooke, Clarke and Maurice Kay LJJ 2004 Sept 17(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. [2004] EWCA Civ 1324. \*833 W Healthcare NHS Trust v H and others.

66.

Re E (Medical treatment: Anorexia) (Rev 1) [2012] EWCOP 1639 (15 June 2012).

67.

Treatment and care towards the end of life: decision making.

68.

End-of-Life Decisions: views of the BMA. (2009).

69.

British Medical Association & Dawson Books. Withholding and withdrawing life-prolonging medical treatment: guidance for decision making. (Blackwell Pub, 2007).

70.

Biggs, H. Taking Account of the Views of the Patient, but Only if the Clinician (and the Court) Agrees - R (Burke) v General Medical Council. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* **19**, 225-238 (2007).

71.

BMA - Withdrawing or withholding artificial nutrition and hydration.  
<https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/ethics/mental-capacity/withdraw-withhold-artificial-nutrition-and-hydration>.

72.

BMA - Withdrawing or withholding artificial nutrition and hydration.  
<https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/ethics/mental-capacity/withdraw-withhold-artificial-nutrition-and-hydration>.

73.

British Medical Association & Dawson Books. Withholding and withdrawing life-prolonging medical treatment: guidance for decision making. (Blackwell Pub, 2007).

74.

Treatment and care towards the end of life: decision making.  
[http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical\\_guidance/end\\_of\\_life\\_care.asp](http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/end_of_life_care.asp).

75.

Price, D. My View of the Sanctity of Life: A Rebuttal of John Keown's Critique. *Legal Studies* **27**, 549–565 (2007).

76.

Keown, J. Restoring the Sanctity of Life and Replacing the Caricature: A Reply to David Price. *Legal Studies* **26**, 109–119 (2006).

77.

Price, D. Fairly Bland: An Alternative View of a Supposed New Death Ethic and the BMA Guidelines. *Legal Studies* **21**, 618–643 (2001).

78.

Coggon, J. Could the right to die with dignity represent a new right to die in English law? *Medical Law Review* **14**, 219–237 (2006).

79.

Cartwright, W. Killing and letting die: a defensible distinction. *British Medical Bulletin* **52**, 354–361 (1996).

80.

Keownz, J. Beyond Bland: a critique of the BMA guidance on withholding and withdrawing medical treatment. *Legal Studies* **20**, 66–84 (2000).

81.

Michalowski, S. Advance Refusals of Life-Sustaining Medical Treatment: The Relativity of

an Absolute Right. *Modern Law Review* **68**, 958–982 (2005).

82.

Practice Direction.

83.

Laurie, G. T., Harmon, S., Dove, E. S., Mason, J. K. & McCall Smith, A. *Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics*. (Oxford University Press, 2019).

84.

Mental Capacity Act 2005 c. 9 Arrangement of Act. Mental Capacity Act 2005 c. 9.

85.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000 asp 4 (Scottish Act) Arrangement of Act. Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000 asp 4 (Scottish Act).

86.

*In re C (Adult: Refusal of treatment)* [1994] 1 WLR 290. *C (Adult: Refusal of Medical Treatment)*, Re.

87.

*Re T (adult: refusal of medical treatment)*. *All England Law Reports* vol. 4 (1992).

88.

*In Re T (Adult - Refusal of Medical Treatment)* [1992] 3 WLR 782. *T (Adult: Refusal of Treatment)*, Re.

89.

Re AK. vol. 58.

90.

HE v A Hospital NHS Trust [2003] EWHC 1017. HE v A Hospital NHS Trust.

91.

W Healthcare NHS Trust v. H and others [2005] 1 W.L.R. 834. W Healthcare NHS Trust v H.

92.

W Healthcare NHS Trust v. H and others [2005] 1 W.L.R. 834. W Healthcare NHS Trust v H.

93.

Re E (Medical treatment: Anorexia) (Rev 1) [2012] EWCOP 1639 (15 June 2012).

94.

Re M; W v M [2011] EWHC 2443 (COP) - Mental Health Law Online.

95.

Dresser, R. Dworkin on Dementia: Elegant Theory, Questionable Policy. The Hastings Center Report **25**, (1995).

96.

Dworkin, R. Life's dominion: an argument about abortion and euthanasia. (HarperCollins, 1993).

97.

Maclean, A. R. Advance Directives and the Rocky Waters of Anticipatory Decision-making. *Medical Law Review* **16**, 1–22 (2008).

98.

Michalowski, S. Trial and Error at the End of Life--No Harm Done? *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* **27**, 257–280 (2005).

99.

Walker, S. Autonomy or Preservation of Life? Advance Directives and patients with dementia. *U.C.L. jurisprudence review* **17**, 100–121 (2011).

100.

Kerrigan, J. Living wills - why? *The Journal Online* **54**, (2009).

101.

Maclean, A. R. Advance directives, future selves and decision-making. *Medical Law Review* **14**, 291–320 (2006).

102.

Herring, J. Losing It - Losing What - The Law and Dementia. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* **21**, 3–29 (2009).

103.

Kuhse, H. Some Reflections on the Problem of Advance Directives, Personhood, and Personal Identity. *Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal* **9**, 347–364 (1999).

104.

KUCZEWSKI, M. G. WHOSE WILL IS IT, ANYWAY? A DISCUSSION OF ADVANCE DIRECTIVES, PERSONAL IDENTITY, AND CONSENSUS IN MEDICAL ETHICS. *Bioethics* **8**, 27–48 (1994).

105.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy.

106.

The Commission on Assisted Dying. The current legal status of assisted dying is inadequate and incoherent.

107.

Montgomery, J. Guarding the gates of St Peter: life, death and law making. *Legal Studies* **31**, 644-666 (2011).

108.

Livingston, B. A Right to Assist - Assisted Dying and the Interim Policy. *Journal of Criminal Law* **74**, 31-52 (2010).

109.

Heywood, R. The DPP's Prosecutorial Policy on Assisted Suicide. *King's Law Journal* **21**, 425-444 (2010).

110.

Greasley, K. R(Purdy) v DPP and the Case for Wilful Blindness. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* **30**, 301-326 (2010).

111.

Mullock, A. Overlooking the criminally compassionate: what are the implications of prosecutorial policy on encouraging or assisting suicide? *Medical Law Review* **18**, 442-470 (2010).

112.

Cartwright, N. 48 Years On: Is The Suicide Act Fit For Purpose? *Medical Law Review* **17**,

467–476 (2009).

113.

Keown, J. Physician-Assisted Suicide: Lord Joffe's Slippery Bill. *Medical Law Review* **15**, 126–135 (2007).

114.

McLean, S. *Assisted dying: reflections on the need for law reform*. vol. *Biomedical law and ethics library* (Routledge-Cavendish, 2007).

115.

Lewis, P. *Assisted dying and legal change*. (Oxford University Press, 2007).

116.

Dieterle, J. M. Physician Assisted Suicide: A New Look at the Arguments. *Bioethics* **21**, 127–139 (2007).

117.

The House of Lords - Assisted Dying Bill Reports.  
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld/lldasdy.htm>.

118.

Otlowski, M. *Voluntary euthanasia and the common law*. (Oxford University Press, 2000).

119.

McLean, S., Britton, A., Frontline Scotland, & System Three (Scotland) Limited. *Sometimes a small victory*. (University of Glasgow, Institute of Law and Ethics in Medicine, 1996).



120.

McLean, S. End-of-life decisions and the law. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **22**, (1996).

121.

Battin, M. P. *The least worst death: essays in bioethics on the end of life*. (Oxford University Press, 1994).

122.

Dworkin, R. *Life's dominion: an argument about abortion and euthanasia*. (HarperCollins, 1993).

123.

End of life care: Flow chart for decision making when patients may lack capacity.

124.

End of life care - NHS Choices.

125.

Herring, J. *Medical law and ethics*. (Oxford University Press, 2020).

126.

Stauch, M. & Wheat, K. *Text, cases and materials on medical law and ethics*. (Routledge, 2015).

127.

Jackson, E. *Medical law: text, cases, and materials*. (Oxford University Press, 2016).

128.

Brazier, M. & Cave, E. Medicine, patients and the law. vol. Contemporary Issues in Bioethics, Law and Medical Humanities (Manchester University Press, 2016).

129.

Sally Lipscombe. Assisted suicide.

130.

Suicide Act 1961 c. 60 Arrangement of Act. Suicide Act 1961 c. 60.

131.

Coroners and Justice Act 2009 c. 25 Arrangement of Act. Coroners and Justice Act 2009 c. 25.

132.

Assisted Dying (No. 2) Bill 2015-16 — UK Parliament.

133.

Assisted Dying Bill (HL Bill 25 2015-16).

134.

Assisted Dying Bill Explanatory Notes (HL Bill 25).

135.

Assisted Dying Bill (HL Bill 6 2014-15).

136.

Bill documents — Assisted Dying Bill [HL] 2014-15 — UK Parliament.  
<http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2014-15/assisteddying/documents.html>.

137.

Assisted Dying Bill (HL Bill 6 2014-15) explanatory notes.

138.

Assisted Suicide (Scotland) Bill.

139.

Assisted Suicide Bill Explanatory Notes.

140.

Scottish parliament rejects assisted dying law. The Guardian (2015).

141.

Assisted Suicide (Scotland) Bill.

<http://www.parliament.scot/parliamentarybusiness/Bills/69604.aspx>.

142.

The CPS : Assisted Suicide : 'Policy for Prosecutors in respect of cases of encouraging or assisting suicide'.

143.

The CPS : Assisted Suicide. <https://www.cps.gov.uk/publication/assisted-suicide>.

144.

Director of Public Prosecutions responds to Supreme Court on assisted suicide policy.

[http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest\\_news/director\\_of\\_public\\_prosecutions\\_responds\\_to\\_supreme\\_court\\_on\\_assisted\\_suicide\\_policy/](http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest_news/director_of_public_prosecutions_responds_to_supreme_court_on_assisted_suicide_policy/).

145.

DPP publishes assisted suicide policy. [http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest\\_news/109\\_10/](http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest_news/109_10/).

146.

DPP publishes interim policy on prosecuting assisted suicide - CPS press release. [http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest\\_news/144\\_09/](http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest_news/144_09/).

147.

Kerr, D. Preserving Life: Scots Law and Assisted Suicide. *The Juridical review* **1**, 33–44 (2011).

148.

Laurie, G. & Mason, J. J. Assistance in Dying or Euthanasia - Comments on the End of Life Assistance (Scotland) Bill. *Edinburgh Law Review* **14**, 493–497 (2010).

149.

McLean, S. A. M., Connelly, C. & Mason, J. K. Purdy in Scotland: We Hear But Should We Listen? *The Juridical review* **4**, 265–284 (2009).

150.

Ferguson, P. R. Killing without Getting into Trouble - Assisted Suicide and Scots Criminal Law. *Edinburgh Law Review* **2**, 288–314 (1998).

151.

Biggs, H. Legitimate Compassion or Compassionate Legitimation? Reflections on the Policy for Prosecutors in Respect of Cases of Encouraging or Assisting Suicide. *Feminist Legal Studies* **19**, 83–91 (2011).

152.

Lewis, P. Informal legal change on assisted suicide: the policy for prosecutors. *Legal Studies* **31**, 119–134 (2011).

153.

*Medical Law Review*. **18**, (2010).

154.

Chalmers, J. Assisted Suicide: Jurisdiction and Discretion. *Edinburgh Law Review* **14**, 295–300 (2010).

155.

Williams, G. Assisting Suicide, the Code for Crown Prosecutors and the DPP's Discretion. *Common Law World Review* **39**, 181–203 (2010).

156.

Burns, S. The Limits of Maternal Love. *Criminal Law & Justice Weekly* **174**, (2010).

157.

Ost, S. The de-medicalisation of assisted dying: is a less medicalised model the way forward? *Medical Law Review* **18**, 497–540 (2010).

158.

Mullock, A. 'Prosecutors Making Bad Law? *Medical Law Review* **17**, 290–299 (2009).

159.

Grosse, C. & Grosse, A. Assisted suicide: Models of legal regulation in selected European countries and the case law of the European Court of Human Rights. *Medicine, Science and the Law* **55**, 246–258 (2015).

160.

Battin, M. P., van der Heide, A., Ganzini, L., van der Wal, G. & Onwuteaka-Philipsen, B. D. Legal physician-assisted dying in Oregon and the Netherlands: evidence concerning the impact on patients in 'vulnerable' groups. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **33**, 591–597 (2007).

161.

Oregon Health Authority : Frequently Asked Questions : Death with Dignity Act : State of Oregon.  
<http://www.oregon.gov/oha/ph/ProviderPartnerResources/EvaluationResearch/DeathwithDignityAct/Pages/faqs.aspx>.

162.

R v Reed [1982] Crim LR 819.

163.

Attorney General v Able and others [1984] 1 QB 795. Attorney General v Able.

164.

R v Cox. vol. 12.

165.

R v Director of Public Prosecutions, Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Dianne Pretty [2002] 1 AC 800 (HL). R. (on the application of Pretty) v DPP.

166.

Pretty v UK, Application no. 2346/02 [2002] 2 FLR 45. Pretty v United Kingdom (2346/02).

167.

Pretty v UK, Application no. 2346/02 (2002) 35 EHRR 1. Pretty v United Kingdom (2346/02).

168.

Re Z [2004] WL 2790666 (Fam Div), [2005] 1 W.L.R. 959. Z (Local Authority: Duty), Re.

169.

R. v S [2005] EWCA Crim 819. R. v S.

170.

R. (on the application of Purdy) v DPP [2009] EWCA Civ 92. R. (on the application of Purdy) v DPP.

171.

Appeal to the House of Lords, [2009] UKHL 45. R. (on the application of Purdy) v DPP.

172.

Nicklinson v Ministry of Justice [2014] UKSC 38. R. (on the application of Nicklinson) v Ministry of Justice.

173.

Nicklinson v UK (admissibility) (2015) 61 EHRR SE7. Nicklinson v United Kingdom (Admissibility) (2478/15).

174.

The Coroners and Justice Bill 2008-9.

175.

LDW - Parliament - Safeguarding Choice - Draft Bill.

176.

Info about the All Party Parliamentary Group on Choice at the End of Life | Social work and end-of-life care.

<https://sweol.wordpress.com/2012/11/13/info-about-the-all-party-parliamentary-group-on-choice-at-the-end-of-life/>.

177.

Assisted Dying for the Terminally Ill Bill 2005 (Lord Joffe's Bill).

178.

Dying with Dignity Consultation paper.

179.

End of Life Assistance (Scotland) Bill.

180.

End of Life Assistance (Scotland) Bill.

181.

Margo MacDonald's End of Life Assistance Bill rejected - BBC News.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-11876821>.

182.

Healthcare Professionals for Assisted Dying Home. <http://www.hpad.org.uk/>.

183.



Campaign for Dignity in Dying. <https://www.dignityindying.org.uk/about-us/>.

184.

Assisted Dying in Oregon, USA | Dignity in Dying.  
<https://www.dignityindying.org.uk/assisted-dying/international-examples/assisted-dying-oregon/>.

185.

Care Not Killing. <http://www.carenotkilling.org.uk/>.

186.

Treatment and care towards the end of life: decision making.  
[http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical\\_guidance/end\\_of\\_life\\_care.asp](http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/end_of_life_care.asp).

187.

End-of-Life Decisions: views of the BMA. (2009).

188.

Laurie, G. T., Harmon, S., Dove, E. S., Mason, J. K. & McCall Smith, A. Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics. (Oxford University Press, 2019).

189.

McLean, S. Assisted dying: reflections on the need for law reform. vol. Biomedical law and ethics library (Routledge-Cavendish, 2007).

190.

Jackson, E. & Keown, J. Debating euthanasia. vol. Debating law (Hart, 2012).

191.

Jackson, E. Medical law: text, cases, and materials. (Oxford University Press, 2019).

192.

R v Cox. vol. 12.

193.

Nicklinson v Ministry of Justice [2014] UKSC 38. R. (on the application of Nicklinson) v Ministry of Justice.

194.

Nicklinson v UK (admissibility) (2015) 61EHRSE7. Nicklinson v United Kingdom (Admissibility) (2478/15).

195.

Glover, J. Causing death and saving lives. vol. Penguin philosophy (Penguin, 1990).

196.

Harris, J. & Ebooks Corporation Limited. The value of life. (Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1985).

197.

Keown, J. Euthanasia, ethics and public policy: an argument against legalisation. (Cambridge University Press, 2018).

198.

Kushe, H. The 2. Case for Active Voluntary Euthanasia. Law, Medicine and Health Care 145-148 (1986).

199.

Otlowski, M. Voluntary euthanasia and the common law. (Oxford University Press, 2000).

200.

Singer, P. Applied ethics. vol. Oxford readings in philosophy (Oxford University Press, 1986).

201.

Smith, S. W. Evidence for the Practical Slippery Slope in the Debate on Physician-Assisted Suicide and Euthanasia. *Medical Law Review* **13**, 17–44 (2005).

202.

Williams, G. O. Intention and causation in medical non-killing: the impact of criminal law concepts on euthanasia and assisted suicide. vol. Biomedical law and ethics library (Routledge-Cavendish, 2007).

203.

McCall Smith, A. Euthanasia: the strengths of the middle ground. *Medical Law Review* **7**, 194–207 (1999).

204.

Casonato, C. Informed Consent and End-of-Life Decisions: Notes of Comparative Law. *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law* **18**, 225–256 (2011).

205.

Griffiths, J., Weyers, H., Adams, M., & Academic Rights Press. Euthanasia and law in Europe . (Hart Pub, 2008).

206.

Cohen-Almagori, R. The Chabot Case: Analysis and Account of Dutch Perspectives. *Medical Law International* **5**, 141–159 (2002).

207.

De Haan, J. The New Dutch Law on Euthanasia. *Medical Law Review* **10**, 57-75 (2002).

208.

Griffiths, J. Assisted Suicide in the Netherlands: The Chabot Case. *The Modern Law Review* **58**, 232-248 (1995).

209.

Keown, J. *Euthanasia, ethics and public policy: an argument against legalisation.* (Cambridge University Press, 2018).

210.

Keown, J. *Euthanasia examined: ethical, clinical and legal perspectives.* (Cambridge University Press, 1997).

211.

Jochemsen, H. Euthanasia in Holland: an ethical critique of the new law. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **20**, (1994).

212.

Jochemsen, H. & Keown, J. Voluntary euthanasia under control? Further empirical evidence from The Netherlands. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **25**, 16-21 (1999).

213.

Kimsma, G. K. & Van Leeuwen, E. *Dutch Euthanasia: Background, Practice, and Present Justifications.* *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* **2**, (1993).

214.

Legemaate, J. & Gevers, J. K. M. Physician-Assisted Suicide in Psychiatry: Developments in the Netherlands. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* **6**, (1997).

215.

Möller, M. Euthanasia in the Netherlands: the case of "life fatigue". *The New Law Journal* **151**,.

216.

Ogilvie, A. D. & Potts, S. G. Assisted suicide for depression: the slippery slope in action? *BMJ* **309**, 492–493 (1994).

217.

van Delden, J. J. Slippery slopes in flat countries--a response. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **25**, 22–24 (1999).

218.

Van der Maas, P. Euthanasia and other medical decisions concerning the end of life. *The Lancet* **338**, 669–674 (1991).

219.

van der Maas, P. J. et al. Euthanasia, Physician-Assisted Suicide, and Other Medical Practices Involving the End of Life in the Netherlands, 1990–1995. *New England Journal of Medicine* **335**, 1699–1705 (1996).

220.

van der Wal, G., Muller, M. T., Christ, L. M., Ribbe, M. W. & van Eijk, J. Th. M. 'Voluntary Active Euthanasia and Physician-Assisted Suicide in Dutch Nursing Homes: Requests and Administration. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* **42**, 620–623 (1994).

221.

Vickers, L. Assisted dying and the laws of three European countries. *The New Law Journal*

147,.

222.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy.

223.

Brownsword, R. Regulating Human Genetics: New Dilemmas For A New Millennium. *Medical Law Review* **12**, 14–39 (2004).

224.

de Melo-Martin, I. Firing up the nature/nurture controversy: bioethics and genetic determinism. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **31**, 526–530 (2005).

225.

Ilklic, I. Coming to Grips with Genetic Exceptionalism: Roots and Reach of an Explanatory Model. *Medicine Studies* **1**, 131–142 (2009).

226.

Kakuk, P. Genetic Information in the Age of Genohype. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy* **9**, 325–337 (2006).

227.

Wikler, D. Can we learn from eugenics? *Journal of Medical Ethics* **25**, 183–194 (1999).

228.

Stewart, A. & Brice, P. *Genetics, Health and Disease*. (2004).

229.

Lucassen, A. & Gilbar, R. Disclosure of genetic information to relatives: balancing confidentiality and relatives' interests. *Journal of Medical Genetics* **55**, 285–286 (2018).

230.

Gilbar, R. *The status of the family in law and bioethics: the genetic context*. (Ashgate, 2005).

231.

*ABC v St George's Healthcare NHS Trust & Ors* [2017] EWCA Civ 336 (16 May 2017).

232.

Gilbar, R. & Foster, C. It's arrived! Relational Autonomy Comes to Court: *ABC v ST George's Healthcare NHS Trust* [2017] EWCA 336. *Medical Law Review* **26**, 125–133 (2018).

233.

*Smith & Anor v University of Leicester NHS Trust* [2016] EWHC 817 (QB) (15 April 2016).

234.

Fay, M. Cousins, Genetic Diagnosis and Liability of Clinicians: *Smith & Another V University of Leicester NHS Trust* [2016] EWHC 817 (QB). *Medical Law Review* **25**, 672–683 (2017).

235.

*Confidentiality: good practice in handling patient information* (2017). (2017).

236.

Human Tissue Act 2004 c. 30 Arrangement of Act.

237.

Lucassen, A. & Kaye, J. Genetic testing without consent: the implications of the new Human Tissue Act 2004. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **32**, 690–692 (2006).

238.

Non-consensual DNA analysis | Human Tissue Authority.  
<https://www.hta.gov.uk/policies/non-consensual-dna-analysis>.

239.

Chico, V. Non-disclosure of genetic risks. *Medical Law International* **16**, 3–26 (2016).

240.

Fay, M. Negligence, genetics and families. *Medical Law International* **16**, 115–142 (2016).

241.

Gilbar, R. The Passive Patient and Disclosure of Genetic Information: Can English Tort Law Protect the Relatives' Right to Know? *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* **30**, 79–104 (2016).

242.

Mitchell, C. R. A duty to warn relatives in clinical genetics: arguably 'fair, just and reasonable' in English law? *Professional Negligence* **32**, 120–136 (2016).

243.

Andorno, R. The right not to know: an autonomy based approach. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **30**, 435–439 (2004).

244.

Liao, S. M. Is there a duty to share genetic information? *Journal of Medical Ethics* **35**, 306–309 (2009).



245.

Parker, M. Genetic information: a joint account? *BMJ* **329**, 165–167 (2004).

246.

Dheensa, S., Fenwick, A. & Lucassen, A. 'Is this knowledge mine and nobody else's? I don't feel that.' Patient views about consent, confidentiality and information-sharing in genetic medicine: Table 1. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **42**, 174–179 (2016).

247.

Foster, C., Herring, J. & Boyd, M. Testing the limits of the 'joint account' model of genetic information: a legal thought experiment. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **41**, 379–382 (2015).

248.

The right to know and the right not to know: genetic privacy and responsibility. (Cambridge University Press, 2014).

249.

Mulheron, R. P. *Medical negligence: non-patient and third party claims*. (Ashgate, 2010).

250.

Stark, Z., Wallace, J., Gillam, L., Burgess, M. & Delatycki, M. B. Predictive genetic testing for neurodegenerative conditions: how should conflicting interests within families be managed? *Journal of Medical Ethics* **42**, 640–642 (2016).

251.

Malpas, P. J. Is genetic information relevantly different from other kinds of non-genetic information in the life insurance context? *Journal of Medical Ethics* **34**, 548–551 (2008).

252.

Mitra, J. Predictive Genetic Information and Access to Life Assurance: The Poverty of 'Genetic Exceptionalism'. *BioSocieties* **2**, 349–373 (2007).

253.

Stirton, R. Insurance, Genetic Information and the Future of Industry Self-Regulation in the UK. *Law, Innovation and Technology* **4**, 212–237 (2012).

254.

Thomas, R. G. Genetics and insurance in the United Kingdom 1995–2010: the rise and fall of "scientific" discrimination. *New Genetics and Society* **31**, 203–222 (2012).

255.

Wilkinson, R. Unjustified Discrimination: Is the Moratorium on the use of Genetic Test Results by Insurers a Contradiction in Terms? *Health Care Analysis* **18**, 279–293 (2010).

256.

Wilkinson, R. H. Genetic information: Important but not "exceptional". *Identity in the Information Society* **3**, 457–472 (2010).

257.

Code on Genetic Testing and Insurance.

258.

The Employment Practices Code.

259.

The Employment Practices Code – Supplementary Guidance.

260.

Lippert-Rasmussen, K. Genetic Discrimination and Health Insurance. *Res Publica* **21**, 185–199 (2015).

261.

Otlowski, M., Taylor, S. & Bombard, Y. Genetic Discrimination: International Perspectives. *Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics* **13**, 433–454 (2012).

262.

Plümecke, T. Genes, symptoms, and the "asymptomatic ill": toward a broader understanding of genetic discrimination. *New Genetics and Society* **35**, 124–148 (2016).

263.

Jackson, E. *Medical law: text, cases, and materials*. (Oxford University Press, 2016).

264.

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990.

265.

HFEA Code of Practice.

266.

Dawson Books. *Revisiting the regulation of human fertilisation and embryology*. (Routledge, 2015).

267.

Fovargue, S. & Bennett, R. What Role Should Public Opinion Play in Ethico-Legal decision Making? The Example of Selecting Sex for Non-Medical Reasons Using Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis. *Medical Law Review* (2016) doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwv046.

268.

Malek, J. Deciding against disability: does the use of reproductive genetic technologies express disvalue for people with disabilities? *Journal of Medical Ethics* **36**, 217–221 (2010).

269.

Porter, G. & Smith, M. K. Preventing the selection of "deaf embryos" under the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008: problematizing disability? *New Genetics and Society* **32**, 171–189 (2013).

270.

Smith, M. K. The Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008: restrictions on the creation of "saviour siblings" and the relevance of the harm principle. *New Genetics and Society* **32**, 154–170 (2013).

271.

de Melo-Martín, I. Sex Selection and the Procreative Liberty Framework. *Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal* **23**, 1–18 (2013).

272.

Gilbar, R. Between Unconditional Acceptance and Responsibility: Should Family Ethics Limit the Scope of Reproductive Autonomy. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* (2009).

273.

Gyngell, C. & Douglas, T. Selecting Against Disability: The Liberal Eugenic Challenge and the Argument from Cognitive Diversity. *Journal of Applied Philosophy* **35**, 319–340 (2018).

274.

Krahn, T. M. Regulating preimplantation genetic diagnosis: the case of Down's Syndrome. *Medical Law Review* **19**, 157–191 (2011).

275.

Wilkinson, S. Sexism, Sex Selection and 'Family Balancing'. *Medical Law Review* **16**, 369–389 (2008).

276.

Savulescu, J. & Kahane, G. The Moral Obligation to Create Children with the Best Chance of the Best Life. *Bioethics* **23**, 274–290 (2009).

277.

Bennett, R. The Fallacy of the Principle of Procreative Beneficence. *Bioethics* **23**, 265–273 (2009).

278.

R. (on the application of Quintavalle) v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority (HL) [2005] UKHL 28.

279.

Alghrani, A. Suitable to Be a Saviour: R (Quintavalle) v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* (2006).

280.

Brownsword, R. Happy Families, Consenting Couples, and Children with Dignity: Sex Selection and Saviour Siblings. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* (2005).

281.

Welcome to the HFEA | Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority.  
<https://www.hfea.gov.uk/>.

282.

Jackson, E. *Medical law: text, cases, and materials*. (Oxford University Press, 2016).

283.

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990.

284.

de Melo-Martin, I. On Cloning Human Beings. *Bioethics* **16**, 246–265 (2002).

285.

Elsner, D. Just another reproductive technology? The ethics of human reproductive cloning as an experimental medical procedure. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **32**, 596–600 (2006).

286.

Harris, J. Time to Exorcise the Cloning Demon. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* **23**, 53–62 (2014).

287.

Hayry, M. Philosophical Arguments for and Against Human Reproductive Cloning. *Bioethics* **17**, 447–460 (2003).

288.

Holm, S. Going to the Roots of the Stem Cell Controversy. *Bioethics* **16**, 493–507 (2002).

289.

Savulescu, J. & Harris, J. The Creation Lottery: Final Lessons from Natural Reproduction: Why Those Who Accept Natural Reproduction Should Accept Cloning and Other Frankenstein Reproductive Technologies. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* **13**, (2004).

290.

Sparrow, R. Cloning, Parenthood, and Genetic Relatedness. *Bioethics* **20**, 308–318 (2006).

291.

Camporesi, S. & Boniolo, G. Fearing a non-existing Minotaur? The ethical challenges of research on cytoplasmic hybrid embryos. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **34**, 821–825 (2008).

292.

Harris, J. Cloning and Human Dignity. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* **7**, 163–167.

293.

Havstad, J. C. Human Reproductive Cloning: A Conflict of Liberties. *Bioethics* **24**, 71–77 (2010).

294.

Matti Häyry. Response to Special Section: "Cloning: Technology, Policy, and Ethics" (CQ Vol 7, No 2): But What If We *Feel* That Cloning Is Wrong? *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* **10**, 205–208.

295.

Holm, S. A Life in the Shadow: One Reason Why We Should Not Clone Humans. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* **7**, 160–162.

296.

Hansen, J.-E. S. Embryonic stem cell production through therapeutic cloning has fewer ethical problems than stem cell harvest from surplus IVF embryos. (Original Article). *Journal of Medical Ethics* **28**, 86–88 (2002).

297.

Jensen, D. A. Human reproductive cloning and reasons for deprivation. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **34**, 619–623 (2008).

298.

McDougall, R. A resource-based version of the argument that cloning is an affront to human dignity. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **34**, 259–261 (2008).

299.

Strong, C. Cloning and Infertility. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* **7**, 279–293.

300.

R (On the Application of Quintavalle) v Secretary of State for Health (HL) [2003] UKHL 13.

301.

Mason, J. K. Clones and Cell Nuclear Replacements: A  
Law Review **7**, 379–387 (2003).

Saga. Edinburgh

302.

World's first gene-edited babies created in China, claims scientist. *Guardian* (2018).

303.

Second woman carrying gene-edited baby, Chinese authorities confirm. *Guardian* (2019).

304.

Hannah Devlin. Gene-edited babies: why are scientists so appalled? – Science Weekly podcast. *Guardian* (2018).

305.

Ian Sample. UK doctors select first women to have 'three-person babies'. *Guardian* (2018).



306.

Holtug, N. Altering Humans—The Case For and Against Human Gene Therapy. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* **6**, (1997).

307.

Sarah Barber. Mitochondrial Donation.

308.

Nuffield Council on Bioethics Genome editing and human reproduction short guide.

309.

Nuffield Council on Bioethics Genome editing and human reproduction.

310.

Harris, J. Germline Modification and the Burden of Human Existence. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* **25**, 6–18 (2016).

311.

De Melo-Martin, I. When the Milk of Human Kindness Becomes a Luxury (and Untested) Good. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* **26**, 159–165 (2017).

312.

Harris, J. How To Welcome New Technologies. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* **26**, 166–172 (2017).

313.

Baylis, F. Human Nuclear Genome Transfer (So-Called Mitochondrial Replacement): Clearing the Underbrush. *Bioethics* **31**, 7–19 (2017).

314.

Chau, P.-L. & Herring, J. Three parents and a baby. **45**, 861-1020 (2015).

315.

Herbrand, C. Mitochondrial Replacement Techniques: Who are the Potential Users and will they Benefit? *Bioethics* **31**, 46-54 (2017).

316.

Jones, D. A. The other woman: Evaluating the language of 'three parent' embryos. *Clinical Ethics* **10**, 97-106 (2015).

317.

Varvaštian, S. UK's Legalisation of Mitochondrial Donation in IVF Treatment: A Challenge to the International Community or a Promotion of Life-saving Medical Innovation to Be Followed by Others? *European Journal of Health Law* **22**, 405-425 (2015).

318.

Brandt, R. Mitochondrial donation and 'the right to know'. *Journal of Medical Ethics* **42**, 678-684 (2016).

319.

Gyngell, C., Douglas, T. & Savulescu, J. The Ethics of Germline Gene Editing. *Journal of Applied Philosophy* **34**, 498-513 (2017).

320.

Wrigley, A., Wilkinson, S. & Appleby, J. B. Mitochondrial Replacement: Ethics and Identity. *Bioethics* **29**, 631-638 (2015).

321.

Rulli, T. The Mitochondrial Replacement 'Therapy' Myth. *Bioethics* **31**, 368–374 (2017).

322.

The Human Fertilisation and Embryology (Mitochondrial Donation) Regulations 2015.

323.

HFEA Code of Practice.

324.

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990.