

Contemporary Issues in Healthcare Law

View Online



-
1.
Laurie, G.T., Harmon, S., Dove, E.S., Mason, J.K., McCall Smith, A.: Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics. Oxford University Press, [Oxford] (2019).
 2.
Brazier, M., Cave, E.: Medicine, patients and the law. Manchester University Press, Manchester (2016).
 3.
Lord Lane C.J., Ormrod L.J. and Smith J. 1981 March 17(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales: [1981] 1 W.L.R. 690.
 4.
Seamus Burns: Human rights: How certain is death?
 5.
Keown, J.: Euthanasia, ethics and public policy: an argument against legalisation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2018).
 6.
Dworkin, R.: Life's dominion: an argument about abortion and euthanasia. HarperCollins, London (1993).

7.

Harris, J., Ebooks Corporation Limited: The value of life. Routledge & Kegan Paul, London (1985).

8.

Glover, J.: Causing death and saving lives. Penguin, Harmondsworth (1990).

9.

A code of practice for the diagnosis and confirmation of death - Academy of Medical Royal Colleges,

<http://www.aomrc.org.uk/publications/reports-guidance/ukdec-reports-and-guidance/code-practice-diagnosis-confirmation-death/>.

10.

Brain stem death - NHS Choices.

11.

Bagheri, A.: Individual choice in the definition of death. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 33, 146-149 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2006.016014>.

12.

Ford, M.: The Personhood Paradox and the 'Right to Die'. *Medical Law Review*. 13, 80-101 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwi004>.

13.

Harris, J.: The Right to Die Lives! There is no Personhood Paradox. *Medical Law Review*. 13, 386-392 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwi030>.

14.

Maclean, A.: The elimination of morality: reflections on utilitarianism and bioethics. Routledge, London (1993).

15.

Kuhse, H.: The sanctity-of-life doctrine in medicine: a critique. Clarendon, Oxford (1987).

16.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy.

17.

Laurie, G.T., Harmon, S., Dove, E.S., Mason, J.K., McCall Smith, A.: Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics. Oxford University Press, [Oxford] (2019).

18.

UK Parliament, Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology Briefing Paper: Vegetative and minimally conscious states,
<http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/POST-PN-489#fullreport>, (2015).

19.

Mental Capacity Act 2005.

20.

Mental Capacity Act Code of Practice,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/mental-capacity-act-code-of-practice>.

21.

Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE), <http://www.scie.org.uk/?res=true>.

22.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000.

23.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000: Code of Practice (Third Edition): For Practitioners Authorised to Carry Out Medical Treatment or Research Under Part 5 of the Act effective from 10 May 2010 - 0105906.pdf,
<http://www.gov.scot/Resource/Doc/327864/0105906.pdf>.

24.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000: A short guide to the Act,
<http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2008/03/25120154/1>.

25.

Lord Keith of Kinkel, Lord Goff of Chieveley, Lord Lowry, Lord Browne-Wilkinson and Lord Mustill Sir Thomas Bingham M.R., Butler-Sloss and Hoffmann L.JJ. Sir Stephen Brown P.1992 Dec. 14, 15, 16; 1993 Feb. 41992 Dec. 1, 2, 3; 91992 Nov. 12, 13; 19(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales: [1993] 2 W.L.R. 316.

26.

Law Hospital N.H.S. Trust Pursuers against Lord Advocate and others Defenders.

27.

Dame Elizabeth Butler-Sloss P2000 Oct 5, 6; 25(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales: [2001] 2 W.L.R. 942.

28.

Munby J2004 Feb 26, 27, March 1; July 30(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales: [2004] EWHC 1879 (Admin).

29.

/2086 Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 28 July 2005 Before: Lord Phillips of Worth Matravers, MR Lord Justice Waller and Lord Justice Wall Thursday 28th July, 2005 Crown copyright: [2005] EWCA Civ 1003.

30.

483 Court of Protection 28 September 2011 Before: The Hon Mr Justice Baker Date: 28/09/2011 Crown copyright: [2011] EWHC 2443 (Fam).

31.

483 Court of Protection 28 September 2011 Before: The Hon Mr Justice Baker Date: 28/09/2011 Crown copyright: [2011] EWHC 2443 (Fam).

32.

4253 Court of Protection 23 January 2013 Before: The Honourable Mr Justice Peter Jackson Date: 23/1/2013 Crown copyright: [2013] EWHC 78 (COP).

33.

8359 Court of Protection 21 July 2014 Before: Mrs Justice Pauffley Date: 21/07/2014 Crown copyright: [2014] EWCOP 16.

34.

1264 Court of Protection 27 November 2014 Before: The Honourable Mr Justice Baker Date: 27/11/2014 Crown copyright: [2014] EWCOP 49.

35.

1732 Court of Protection 26 June 2015 Before: Mr Justice Newton Date: 26/06/2015 Crown copyright: [2015] EWCOP 42.

36.

N, Re [2015] EWCOP 76 (19 November 2015).

37.

NHS TRUST A v H. (2001).

38.

An NHS Trust v J.

39.

0872 High Court of Justice Family Division Court of Protection 17 November 2010 Before: Mr. Justice Ryder Date: Wednesday, 17th November 2010 Crown copyright: [2010] EWHC 3448 (FAM).

40.

J.M. Finnis: Bland: crossing the Rubicon? Law Quarterly Review.

41.

John Keown: Restoring moral and intellectual shape to the law after Bland. Law Quarterly Review.

42.

Court of Protection Practice Direction 9E - Serious Medical Treatment, <https://www.judiciary.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/copd-pd-9e-serious-medical-treatment.pdf>.

43.

Vegetative state: guidance on diagnosis and management – RCP London, <https://cdn.shopify.com/s/files/1/0924/4392/files/vegetative-state.pdf?7537870595093585378>.

44.

RCP London,
<https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/search?text=press%20releases%20patients%20vegetative%20state%20updated%20guidelines&keys=>.

45.

Prolonged disorders of consciousness: national clinical guidelines | RCP London,
<https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/guidelines-policy/prolonged-disorders-consciousness-national-clinical-guidelines>.

46.

Mullock, A.: Deciding the fate of a minimally conscious patient: an unsatisfactory balancing act? *Medical Law Review*. 20, 460–469 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fws017>.

47.

Skene, L., Wilkinson, D., Kahane, G., Savulescu, J.: Neuroimaging and the withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment from patients in vegetative state. *Medical Law Review*. 17, 245–261 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwp002>.

48.

Lewis, P.: 'Withdrawal of treatment from a patient in a permanent vegetative state: judicial involvement and innovative 'treatment''. *Medical Law Review*. 15, 392–399 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwm017>.

49.

McClean, S.A.M.: Permanent vegetative state: the legal position. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*. 15, 237–250. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09602010443000272>.

50.

Maclean, A.: Crossing the Rubicon on the Human Rights Ferry. *The Modern Law Review*. 64, 775–794 (2001).

51.

BMA - Withdrawing or withholding artificial nutrition and hydration,
<https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/ethics/mental-capacity/withdraw-withhold-artificial-nutrition-and-hydration>.

52.

Treatment and care towards the end of life: decision making,
http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/end_of_life_care.asp.

53.

End-of-Life Decisions: views of the BMA,
http://bmaopac.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/exlibris/aleph/a23_1/apache_media/VITJEQ4TGE1SQBYUCDDQ2T93SAHVT.pdf, (2009).

54.

Mental Capacity Act 2005.

55.

Mental Capacity Act Code of Practice,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/mental-capacity-act-code-of-practice>.

56.

Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE), <http://www.scie.org.uk/?res=true>.

57.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000.

58.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000: Code of Practice (Third Edition): For Practitioners Authorised to Carry Out Medical Treatment or Research Under Part 5 of the Act effective from 10 May 2010 - 0105906.pdf,
<http://www.gov.scot/Resource/Doc/327864/0105906.pdf>.

59.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000: A short guide to the Act,
<http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2008/03/25120154/1>.

60.

Thorpe J.1993 Oct. 8, 11; 14(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales:
[1994] 1 W.L.R. 290.

61.

Re T (adult: refusal of medical treatment). (1992).

62.

Re B (adult: refusal of medical treatment). (2002).

63.

Munby J2004 Feb 26, 27, March 1; July 30(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for
England & Wales: [2004] EWHC 1879 (Admin).

64.

/2086Court of Appeal (Civil Division)28 July 2005Before: Lord Phillips of Worth Matravers
, MR Lord Justice Waller and Lord Justice Wall Thursday 28th July, 2005Crown copyright:
[2005] EWCA Civ 1003.

65.

Brooke , Clarke and Maurice Kay LJ 2004 Sept 17(c) Incorporated Council of Law
Reporting for England & Wales: [2004] EWCA Civ 1324.

66.

Re E (Medical treatment: Anorexia) (Rev 1) [2012] EWCOP 1639 (15 June 2012).

67.

Treatment and care towards the end of life: decision making,
http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/end_of_life_care.asp.

68.

End-of-Life Decisions: views of the BMA,
http://bmaopac.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/exlibris/aleph/a23_1/apache_media/VITJEQ4TGE1SQBYUCDDQ2T93SAHVT.pdf, (2009).

69.

British Medical Association, Dawson Books: Withholding and withdrawing life-prolonging medical treatment: guidance for decision making. Blackwell Pub, Malden, MA (2007).

70.

Biggs, H.: Taking Account of the Views of the Patient, but Only if the Clinician (and the Court) Agrees - R (Burke) v General Medical Council. Child and Family Law Quarterly. 19, 225-238 (2007).

71.

BMA - Withdrawing or withholding artificial nutrition and hydration,
<https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/ethics/mental-capacity/withdraw-withhold-artificial-nutrition-and-hydration>.

72.

BMA - Withdrawing or withholding artificial nutrition and hydration,
<https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/ethics/mental-capacity/withdraw-withhold-artificial-nutrition-and-hydration>.

73.

British Medical Association, Dawson Books: Withholding and withdrawing life-prolonging medical treatment: guidance for decision making. Blackwell Pub, Malden, MA (2007).

74.

Treatment and care towards the end of life: decision making,
http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/end_of_life_care.asp.

75.

Price, D.: My View of the Sanctity of Life: A Rebuttal of John Keown's Critique. *Legal Studies*. 27, 549–565 (2007).

76.

Keown, J.: Restoring the Sanctity of Life and Replacing the Caricature: A Reply to David Price. *Legal Studies*. 26, 109–119 (2006).

77.

Price, D.: Fairly Bland: An Alternative View of a Supposed New Death Ethic and the BMA Guidelines. *Legal Studies*. 21, 618–643 (2001).

78.

Coggon, J.: Could the right to die with dignity represent a new right to die in English law? *Medical Law Review*. 14, 219–237 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwl002>.

79.

Cartwright, W.: Killing and letting die: a defensible distinction. *British Medical Bulletin*. 52, 354–361 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.bmb.a011550>.

80.

Keownz, J.: Beyond Bland: a critique of the BMA guidance on withholding and withdrawing medical treatment. *Legal Studies*. 20, 66–84 (2000).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-121X.2000.tb00133.x>.

81.

Michalowski, S.: Advance Refusals of Life-Sustaining Medical Treatment: The Relativity of an Absolute Right. *Modern Law Review*. 68, 958-982 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2005.00568.x>.

82.

Practice Direction,
http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110204003313/http://www.hmcourts-service.gov.uk/cms/files/09E_-_Serious_Medical_Treatment_PD.pdf.

83.

Laurie, G.T., Harmon, S., Dove, E.S., Mason, J.K., McCall Smith, A.: *Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics*. Oxford University Press, [Oxford] (2019).

84.

Mental Capacity Act 2005 c. 9Arrangement of Act.

85.

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000 asp 4 (Scottish Act)Arrangement of Act.

86.

In re C (Adult: Refusal of treatment) [1994] 1 WLR 290.

87.

Re T (adult: refusal of medical treatment). (1992).

88.

In Re T (Adult - Refusal of Medical Treatment) [1992] 3 WLR 782.

89.

Re AK.

90.

HE v A Hospital NHS Trust [2003] EWHC 1017.

91.

W Healthcare NHS Trust v. H and others [2005] 1 W.L.R. 834.

92.

W Healthcare NHS Trust v. H and others [2005] 1 W.L.R. 834.

93.

Re E (Medical treatment: Anorexia) (Rev 1) [2012] EWCOP 1639 (15 June 2012).

94.

Re M; W v M [2011] EWHC 2443 (COP) - Mental Health Law Online.

95.

Dresser, R.: Dworkin on Dementia: Elegant Theory, Questionable Policy. The Hastings Center Report. 25, (1995). <https://doi.org/10.2307/3527839>.

96.

Dworkin, R.: Life's dominion: an argument about abortion and euthanasia. HarperCollins, London (1993).

97.

Maclean, A.R.: Advance Directives and the Rocky Waters of Anticipatory Decision-making. *Medical Law Review*. 16, 1-22 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwm019>.

98.

Michalowski, S.: Trial and Error at the End of Life--No Harm Done? *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 27, 257-280 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqi040>.

99.

Walker, S.: Autonomy or Preservation of Life? Advance Directives and patients with dementia. *U.C.L. jurisprudence review*. 17, 100-121 (2011).

100.

Kerrigan, J.: Living wills - why? *The Journal Online*. 54, (2009).

101.

Maclean, A.R.: Advance directives, future selves and decision-making. *Medical Law Review*. 14, 291-320 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwl009>.

102.

Herring, J.: Losing It - Losing What - The Law and Dementia. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 21, 3-29 (2009).

103.

Kuhse, H.: Some Reflections on the Problem of Advance Directives, Personhood, and Personal Identity. *Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal*. 9, 347-364 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1353/ken.1999.0027>.

104.

KUCZEWSKI, M.G.: WHOSE WILL IS IT, ANYWAY? A DISCUSSION OF ADVANCE DIRECTIVES,

PERSONAL IDENTITY, AND CONSENSUS IN MEDICAL ETHICS. *Bioethics*. 8, 27–48 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.1994.tb00240.x>.

105.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy.

106.

The Commission on Assisted Dying: The current legal status of assisted dying is inadequate and incoherent, https://www.demos.co.uk/files/476_CoAD_FinalReport_158x240_I_web_single-NEW_.pdf?1328113363.

107.

Montgomery, J.: Guarding the gates of St Peter: life, death and law making. *Legal Studies*. 31, 644–666 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-121X.2011.00205.x>.

108.

Livingston, B.: A Right to Assist - Assisted Dying and the Interim Policy. *Journal of Criminal Law*. 74, 31–52 (2010).

109.

Heywood, R.: The DPP's Prosecutorial Policy on Assisted Suicide. *King's Law Journal*. 21, 425–444 (2010).

110.

Greasley, K.: *R(Purdy) v DPP* and the Case for Wilful Blindness. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 30, 301–326 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqq010>.

111.

Mullock, A.: Overlooking the criminally compassionate: what are the implications of prosecutorial policy on encouraging or assisting suicide? *Medical Law Review*. 18, 442–470

(2010). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwq027>.

112.

Cartwright, N.: 48 Years On: Is The Suicide Act Fit For Purpose? *Medical Law Review*. 17, 467–476 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwq022>.

113.

Keown, J.: Physician-Assisted Suicide: Lord Joffe's Slippery Bill. *Medical Law Review*. 15, 126–135 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwl020>.

114.

McLean, S.: *Assisted dying: reflections on the need for law reform*. Routledge-Cavendish, Abingdon, Oxon (2007).

115.

Lewis, P.: *Assisted dying and legal change*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

116.

Dieterle, J.M.: Physician Assisted Suicide: A New Look at the Arguments. *Bioethics*. 21, 127–139 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2007.00536.x>.

117.

The House of Lords - Assisted Dying Bill Reports,
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld/lldasdy.htm>.

118.

Otlowski, M.: *Voluntary euthanasia and the common law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2000).

119.

McLean, S., Britton, A., Frontline Scotland, System Three (Scotland) Limited: Sometimes a small victory. University of Glasgow, Institute of Law and Ethics in Medicine, Glasgow (1996).

120.

McLean, S.: End-of-life decisions and the law. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 22, (1996).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.22.5.261>.

121.

Battin, M.P.: *The least worst death: essays in bioethics on the end of life*. Oxford University Press, New York (1994).

122.

Dworkin, R.: *Life's dominion: an argument about abortion and euthanasia*. HarperCollins, London (1993).

123.

End of life care: Flow chart for decision making when patients may lack capacity.

124.

End of life care - NHS Choices.

125.

Herring, J.: *Medical law and ethics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2020).

126.

Stauch, M., Wheat, K.: *Text, cases and materials on medical law and ethics*. Routledge, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon (2015).

127.

Jackson, E.: Medical law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

128.

Brazier, M., Cave, E.: Medicine, patients and the law. Manchester University Press, Manchester (2016).

129.

Sally Lipscombe: Assisted suicide,
<http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/SN04857>.

130.

Suicide Act 1961 c. 60 Arrangement of Act.

131.

Coroners and Justice Act 2009 c. 25 Arrangement of Act.

132.

Assisted Dying (No. 2) Bill 2015-16 — UK Parliament,
<http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2015-16/assisteddyingno2.html>.

133.

Assisted Dying Bill (HL Bill 25 2015-16),
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/bills/lbill/2015-2016/0025/160025.pdf>.

134.

Assisted Dying Bill Explanatory Notes (HL Bill 25),

<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/bills/lbill/2015-2016/0025/en/160025en.pdf>.

135.

Assisted Dying Bill (HL Bill 6 2014-15),
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/bills/lbill/2014-2015/0006/15006.pdf>.

136.

Bill documents — Assisted Dying Bill [HL] 2014-15 — UK Parliament,
<http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2014-15/assisteddying/documents.html>.

137.

Assisted Dying Bill (HL Bill 6 2014-15) explanatory notes,
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/bills/lbill/2014-2015/0006/en/15006en.pdf>.

138.

Assisted Suicide (Scotland) Bill,
http://www.parliament.scot/S4_Bills/Assisted%20Suicide/b40s4-introd.pdf.

139.

Assisted Suicide Bill Explanatory Notes,
http://www.parliament.scot/S4_Bills/Assisted%20Suicide/b40s4-introd-en.pdf.

140.

Scottish parliament rejects assisted dying law. The Guardian. (2015).

141.

Assisted Suicide (Scotland) Bill,
<http://www.parliament.scot/parliamentarybusiness/Bills/69604.aspx>.

142.

The CPS : Assisted Suicide : 'Policy for Prosecutors in respect of cases of encouraging or assisting suicide', <https://www.cps.gov.uk/publication/assisted-suicide>.

143.

The CPS : Assisted Suicide, <https://www.cps.gov.uk/publication/assisted-suicide>.

144.

Director of Public Prosecutions responds to Supreme Court on assisted suicide policy, http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest_news/director_of_public_prosecutions_responds_to_supreme_court_on_assisted_suicide_policy/.

145.

DPP publishes assisted suicide policy, http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest_news/109_10/.

146.

DPP publishes interim policy on prosecuting assisted suicide - CPS press release, http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest_news/144_09/.

147.

Kerr, D.: Preserving Life: Scots Law and Assisted Suicide. *The Juridical review*. 1, 33-44 (2011).

148.

Laurie, G., Mason, J.J.: Assistance in Dying or Euthanasia - Comments on the End of Life Assistance (Scotland) Bill. *Edinburgh Law Review*. 14, 493-497 (2010).

149.

McLean, S.A.M., Connelly, C., Mason, J.K.: Purdy in Scotland: We Hear But Should We

Listen? The Juridical review. 4, 265–284 (2009).

150.

Ferguson, P.R.: Killing without Getting into Trouble - Assisted Suicide and Scots Criminal Law. *Edinburgh Law Review*. 2, 288–314 (1998).

151.

Biggs, H.: Legitimate Compassion or Compassionate Legitimation? Reflections on the Policy for Prosecutors in Respect of Cases of Encouraging or Assisting Suicide. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 19, 83–91 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10691-011-9165-6>.

152.

Lewis, P.: Informal legal change on assisted suicide: the policy for prosecutors. *Legal Studies*. 31, 119–134 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-121X.2010.00184.x>.

153.

Medical Law Review. 18, (2010).

154.

Chalmers, J.: Assisted Suicide: Jurisdiction and Discretion. *Edinburgh Law Review*. 14, 295–300 (2010).

155.

Williams, G.: Assisting Suicide, the Code for Crown Prosecutors and the DPP's Discretion. *Common Law World Review*. 39, 181–203 (2010).

156.

Burns, S.: The Limits of Maternal Love. *Criminal Law & Justice Weekly*. 174, (2010).

157.

Ost, S.: The de-medicalisation of assisted dying: is a less medicalised model the way forward? *Medical Law Review*. 18, 497–540 (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwq025>.

158.

Mullock, A.: 'Prosecutors Making Bad Law? *Medical Law Review*. 17, 290–299 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwp009>.

159.

Grosse, C., Grosse, A.: Assisted suicide: Models of legal regulation in selected European countries and the case law of the European Court of Human Rights. *Medicine, Science and the Law*. 55, 246–258 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0025802414540636>.

160.

Battin, M.P., van der Heide, A., Ganzini, L., van der Wal, G., Onwuteaka-Philipsen, B.D.: Legal physician-assisted dying in Oregon and the Netherlands: evidence concerning the impact on patients in 'vulnerable' groups. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 33, 591–597 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2007.022335>.

161.

Oregon Health Authority : Frequently Asked Questions : Death with Dignity Act : State of Oregon,
<http://www.oregon.gov/oha/ph/ProviderPartnerResources/EvaluationResearch/DeathwithDignityAct/Pages/faqs.aspx>.

162.

R v Reed [1982] Crim LR 819.

163.

Attorney General v Able and others [1984] 1 QB 795.

164.

R v Cox.

165.

R v Director of Public Prosecutions, Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Dianne Pretty [2002] 1 AC 800 (HL).

166.

Pretty v UK, Application no. 2346/02 [2002] 2 FLR 45.

167.

Pretty v UK, Application no. 2346/02 (2002) 35 EHRR 1.

168.

Re Z [2004] WL 2790666 (Fam Div), [2005] 1 W.L.R. 959.

169.

R. v S [2005] EWCA Crim 819.

170.

R. (on the application of Purdy) v DPP [2009] EWCA Civ 92.

171.

Appeal to the House of Lords, [2009] UKHL 45.

172.

Nicklinson v Ministry of Justice [2014] UKSC 38.

173.

Nicklinson v UK (admissibility) (2015) 61 EHRR SE7.

174.

The Coroners and Justice Bill 2008-9,
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200809/cmbills/009/2009009.pdf>.

175.

LDW - Parliament - Safeguarding Choice - Draft Bill,
<http://www.livinganddyingwell.org.uk/sites/default/files/LDW%20-%20Parliament%20-%20Safeguarding%20Choice%20-%20Draft%20Bill.pdf>.

176.

Info about the All Party Parliamentary Group on Choice at the End of Life | Social work and end-of-life care,
<https://sweol.wordpress.com/2012/11/13/info-about-the-all-party-parliamentary-group-on-choice-at-the-end-of-life/>.

177.

Assisted Dying for the Terminally Ill Bill 2005 (Lord Joffe's Bill),
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200506/ldbills/036/2006036.pdf>.

178.

Dying with Dignity Consultation paper,
http://www.parliament.scot/S2_MembersBills/Draft%20proposals/Dying%20with%20Dignity%20Consultation%20paper.pdf.

179.

End of Life Assistance (Scotland) Bill,
<http://www.parliament.scot/parliamentarybusiness/Bills/21272.aspx>.

180.

End of Life Assistance (Scotland) Bill,

<http://www.parliament.scot/SPICeResources/Research%20briefings%20and%20fact%20sheets/SB10-51.pdf>.

181.

Margo MacDonald's End of Life Assistance Bill rejected - BBC News,

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-11876821>.

182.

Healthcare Professionals for Assisted Dying Home, <http://www.hpad.org.uk/>.

183.

Campaign for Dignity in Dying, <https://www.dignityindying.org.uk/about-us/>.

184.

Assisted Dying in Oregon, USA | Dignity in Dying,

<https://www.dignityindying.org.uk/assisted-dying/international-examples/assisted-dying-oregon/>.

185.

Care Not Killing, <http://www.carenotkilling.org.uk/>.

186.

Treatment and care towards the end of life: decision making,

http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/end_of_life_care.asp.

187.

End-of-Life Decisions: views of the BMA,
http://bmaopac.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/exlibris/aleph/a23_1/apache_media/VITJEQ4TGE1SQBYUCDDQ2T93SAHVT.pdf, (2009).

188.

Laurie, G.T., Harmon, S., Dove, E.S., Mason, J.K., McCall Smith, A.: *Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics*. Oxford University Press, [Oxford] (2019).

189.

McLean, S.: *Assisted dying: reflections on the need for law reform*. Routledge-Cavendish, Abingdon, Oxon (2007).

190.

Jackson, E., Keown, J.: *Debating euthanasia*. Hart, Oxford (2012).

191.

Jackson, E.: *Medical law: text, cases, and materials*. Oxford University Press, [Oxford] (2019).

192.

R v Cox.

193.

Nicklinson v Ministry of Justice [2014] UKSC 38.

194.

Nicklinson v UK (admissibility) (2015) 61EHRSE7.

195.

Glover, J.: *Causing death and saving lives*. Penguin, Harmondsworth (1990).

196.

Harris, J., Ebooks Corporation Limited: *The value of life*. Routledge & Kegan Paul, London (1985).

197.

Keown, J.: *Euthanasia, ethics and public policy: an argument against legalisation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2018).

198.

Kushe, H.: *The 2. Case for Active Voluntary Euthanasia*. *Law, Medicine and Health Care*. 145–148 (1986).

199.

Otlowski, M.: *Voluntary euthanasia and the common law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2000).

200.

Singer, P.: *Applied ethics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1986).

201.

Smith, S.W.: *Evidence for the Practical Slippery Slope in the Debate on Physician-Assisted Suicide and Euthanasia*. *Medical Law Review*. 13, 17–44 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwi002>.

202.

Williams, G.O.: *Intention and causation in medical non-killing: the impact of criminal law concepts on euthanasia and assisted suicide*. Routledge-Cavendish, London (2007).

203.

McCall Smith, A.: Euthanasia: the strengths of the middle ground. *Medical Law Review*. 7, 194–207 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/7.2.194>.

204.

Casonato, C.: Informed Consent and End-of-Life Decisions: Notes of Comparative Law. *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law*. 18, 225–256 (2011).

205.

Griffiths, J., Weyers, H., Adams, M., Academic Rights Press: *Euthanasia and law in Europe*. Hart Pub, Oxford (2008).

206.

Cohen-Almagori, R.: The Chabot Case: Analysis and Account of Dutch Perspectives. *Medical Law International*. 5, 141–159 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1177/096853320200500301>.

207.

De Haan, J.: The New Dutch Law on Euthanasia. *Medical Law Review*. 10, 57–75 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/10.1.57>.

208.

Griffiths, J.: Assisted Suicide in the Netherlands: The Chabot Case. *The Modern Law Review*. 58, 232–248 (1995).

209.

Keown, J.: *Euthanasia, ethics and public policy: an argument against legalisation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2018).

210.

Keown, J.: Euthanasia examined: ethical, clinical and legal perspectives. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997).

211.

Jochemsen, H.: Euthanasia in Holland: an ethical critique of the new law. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 20, (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.20.4.212>.

212.

Jochemsen, H., Keown, J.: Voluntary euthanasia under control? Further empirical evidence from The Netherlands. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 25, 16–21 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.25.1.16>.

213.

Kimsma, G.K., Van Leeuwen, E.: Dutch Euthanasia: Background, Practice, and Present Justifications. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 2, (1993). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S096318010000058X>.

214.

Legemaate, J., Gevers, J.K.M.: Physician-Assisted Suicide in Psychiatry: Developments in the Netherlands. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 6, (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963180100007799>.

215.

Möller, M.: Euthanasia in the Netherlands: the case of "life fatigue". *The New Law Journal*. 151,.

216.

Ogilvie, A.D., Potts, S.G.: Assisted suicide for depression: the slippery slope in action? *BMJ*. 309, 492–493 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.309.6953.492>.

217.

van Delden, J.J.: Slippery slopes in flat countries--a response. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 25, 22–24 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.25.1.22>.

218.

Van der Maas, P.: Euthanasia and other medical decisions concerning the end of life. *The Lancet*. 338, 669–674 (1991). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736\(91\)91241-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(91)91241-L).

219.

van der Maas, P.J., van der Wal, G., Haverkate, I., de Graaff, C.L.M., Kester, J.G.C., Onwuteaka-Philipsen, B.D., van der Heide, A., Bosma, J.M., Willems, D.L.: Euthanasia, Physician-Assisted Suicide, and Other Medical Practices Involving the End of Life in the Netherlands, 1990–1995. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 335, 1699–1705 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199611283352227>.

220.

van der Wal, G., Muller, M.T., Christ, L.M., Ribbe, M.W., van Eijk, J.Th.M.: 'Voluntary Active Euthanasia and Physician-Assisted Suicide in Dutch Nursing Homes: Requests and Administration. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 42, 620–623 (1994).

221.

Vickers, L.: Assisted dying and the laws of three European countries. *The New Law Journal*. 147,.

222.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy.

223.

Brownsword, R.: Regulating Human Genetics: New Dilemmas For A New Millennium. *Medical Law Review*. 12, 14–39 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/12.1.14>.

224.

de Melo-Martin, I.: Firing up the nature/nurture controversy: bioethics and genetic determinism. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 31, 526–530 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2004.008417>.

225.

Ilklic, I.: Coming to Grips with Genetic Exceptionalism: Roots and Reach of an Explanatory Model. *Medicine Studies*. 1, 131–142 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12376-009-0015-7>.

226.

Kakuk, P.: Genetic Information in the Age of Genohype. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy*. 9, 325–337 (2006).

227.

Wikler, D.: Can we learn from eugenics? *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 25, 183–194 (1999).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.25.2.183>.

228.

Stewart, A., Brice, P.: Genetics, Health and Disease, <http://www.phgfoundation.org/>, (2004).

229.

Lucassen, A., Gilbar, R.: Disclosure of genetic information to relatives: balancing confidentiality and relatives' interests. *Journal of Medical Genetics*. 55, 285–286 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2017-104843>.

230.

Gilbar, R.: *The status of the family in law and bioethics: the genetic context*. Ashgate, Aldershot (2005).

231.

ABC v St George's Healthcare NHS Trust & Ors [2017] EWCA Civ 336 (16 May 2017).

232.

Gilbar, R., Foster, C.: It's arrived! Relational Autonomy Comes to Court: ABC v ST George's Healthcare NHS Trust [2017] EWCA 336. *Medical Law Review*. 26, 125–133 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwx044>.

233.

Smith & Anor v University of Leicester NHS Trust [2016] EWHC 817 (QB) (15 April 2016).

234.

Fay, M.: Cousins, Genetic Diagnosis and Liability of Clinicians: Smith & Another V University of Leicester NHS Trust [2016] EWHC 817 (QB). *Medical Law Review*. 25, 672–683 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwx032>.

235.

Confidentiality: good practice in handling patient information (2017), https://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/ethical_guidance/confidentiality.asp, (2017).

236.

Human Tissue Act 2004 c. 30Arrangement of Act.

237.

Lucassen, A., Kaye, J.: Genetic testing without consent: the implications of the new Human Tissue Act 2004. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 32, 690–692 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2005.013631>.

238.

Non-consensual DNA analysis | Human Tissue Authority, <https://www.hta.gov.uk/policies/non-consensual-dna-analysis>.

239.

Chico, V.: Non-disclosure of genetic risks. *Medical Law International*. 16, 3-26 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0968533216653440>.

240.

Fay, M.: Negligence, genetics and families. *Medical Law International*. 16, 115-142 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0968533216664113>.

241.

Gilbar, R.: The Passive Patient and Disclosure of Genetic Information: Can English Tort Law Protect the Relatives' Right to Know? *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 30, 79-104 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1093/lawfam/ebv015>.

242.

Mitchell, C.R.: A duty to warn relatives in clinical genetics: arguably 'fair, just and reasonable' in English law? *Professional Negligence*. 32, 120-136 (2016).

243.

Andorno, R.: The right not to know: an autonomy based approach. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 30, 435-439 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2002.001578>.

244.

Liao, S.M.: Is there a duty to share genetic information? *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 35, 306-309 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2008.027029>.

245.

Parker, M.: Genetic information: a joint account? *BMJ*. 329, 165-167 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.329.7458.165>.

246.

Dheensa, S., Fenwick, A., Lucassen, A.: 'Is this knowledge mine and nobody else's? I don't feel that.' Patient views about consent, confidentiality and information-sharing in genetic medicine: Table 1. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 42, 174–179 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2015-102781>.

247.

Foster, C., Herring, J., Boyd, M.: Testing the limits of the 'joint account' model of genetic information: a legal thought experiment. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 41, 379–382 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2014-102142>.

248.

Chadwick, R.F., Levitt, M., Shickle, D. eds: *The right to know and the right not to know: genetic privacy and responsibility*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014).

249.

Mulheron, R.P.: *Medical negligence: non-patient and third party claims*. Ashgate, Burlington, VT (2010).

250.

Stark, Z., Wallace, J., Gillam, L., Burgess, M., Delatycki, M.B.: Predictive genetic testing for neurodegenerative conditions: how should conflicting interests within families be managed? *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 42, 640–642 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2016-103400>.

251.

Malpas, P.J.: Is genetic information relevantly different from other kinds of non-genetic information in the life insurance context? *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 34, 548–551 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2007.023101>.

252.

Mittra, J.: Predictive Genetic Information and Access to Life Assurance: The Poverty of 'Genetic Exceptionalism'. *BioSocieties*. 2, 349–373 (2007).

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1745855207005789>.

253.

Stirton, R.: Insurance, Genetic Information and the Future of Industry Self-Regulation in the UK. *Law, Innovation and Technology*. 4, 212–237 (2012).

<https://doi.org/10.5235/LIT.4.2.212>.

254.

Thomas, R.G.: Genetics and insurance in the United Kingdom 1995–2010: the rise and fall of "scientific" discrimination. *New Genetics and Society*. 31, 203–222 (2012).

<https://doi.org/10.1080/14636778.2012.662046>.

255.

Wilkinson, R.: Unjustified Discrimination: Is the Moratorium on the use of Genetic Test Results by Insurers a Contradiction in Terms? *Health Care Analysis*. 18, 279–293 (2010).

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10728-009-0137-9>.

256.

Wilkinson, R.H.: Genetic information: Important but not "exceptional". *Identity in the Information Society*. 3, 457–472 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12394-010-0070-y>.

257.

Code on Genetic Testing and Insurance,

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/751230/code-on-genetic-testing-and-insurance.pdf.

258.

The Employment Practices Code,

https://ico.org.uk/media/for-organisations/documents/1064/the_employment_practices_code.pdf.

259.

The Employment Practices Code – Supplementary Guidance,
https://ico.org.uk/media/for-organisations/documents/1066/employment_practice_code_supplementary_guidance.pdf.

260.

Lippert-Rasmussen, K.: Genetic Discrimination and Health Insurance. *Res Publica*. 21, 185–199 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11158-015-9271-4>.

261.

Otlowski, M., Taylor, S., Bombard, Y.: Genetic Discrimination: International Perspectives. *Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics*. 13, 433–454 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genom-090711-163800>.

262.

Plümecke, T.: Genes, symptoms, and the "asymptomatic ill": toward a broader understanding of genetic discrimination. *New Genetics and Society*. 35, 124–148 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14636778.2016.1176525>.

263.

Jackson, E.: *Medical law: text, cases, and materials*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

264.

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990.

265.

HFEA Code of Practice,
<https://www.hfea.gov.uk/media/2793/2019-01-03-code-of-practice-9th-edition-v2.pdf>.

266.

Dawson Books: *Revisiting the regulation of human fertilisation and embryology*. Routledge,

Abingdon, Oxon (2015).

267.

Fovargue, S., Bennett, R.: What Role Should Public Opinion Play in Ethico-Legal decision Making? The Example of Selecting Sex for Non-Medical Reasons Using Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis. *Medical Law Review*. (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwv046>.

268.

Malek, J.: Deciding against disability: does the use of reproductive genetic technologies express disvalue for people with disabilities? *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 36, 217–221 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2009.034645>.

269.

Porter, G., Smith, M.K.: Preventing the selection of "deaf embryos" under the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008: problematizing disability? *New Genetics and Society*. 32, 171–189 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14636778.2013.788355>.

270.

Smith, M.K.: The Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008: restrictions on the creation of "saviour siblings" and the relevance of the harm principle. *New Genetics and Society*. 32, 154–170 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14636778.2013.788358>.

271.

de Melo-Martín, I.: Sex Selection and the Procreative Liberty Framework. *Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal*. 23, 1–18 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1353/ken.2013.0000>.

272.

Gilbar, R.: Between Unconditional Acceptance and Responsibility: Should Family Ethics Limit the Scope of Reproductive Autonomy. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. (2009).

273.

Gyngell, C., Douglas, T.: Selecting Against Disability: The Liberal Eugenic Challenge and the Argument from Cognitive Diversity. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*. 35, 319–340 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/japp.12199>.

274.

Krahn, T.M.: Regulating preimplantation genetic diagnosis: the case of Down's Syndrome. *Medical Law Review*. 19, 157–191 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwr009>.

275.

Wilkinson, S.: Sexism, Sex Selection and 'Family Balancing'. *Medical Law Review*. 16, 369–389 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwn013>.

276.

Savulescu, J., Kahane, G.: The Moral Obligation to Create Children with the Best Chance of the Best Life. *Bioethics*. 23, 274–290 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00687.x>.

277.

Bennett, R.: The Fallacy of the Principle of Procreative Beneficence. *Bioethics*. 23, 265–273 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00655.x>.

278.

R. (on the application of Quintavalle) v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority (HL) [2005] UKHL 28.

279.

Alghrani, A.: Suitable to Be a Saviour: R (Quintavalle) v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. (2006).

280.

Brownsword, R.: Happy Families, Consenting Couples, and Children with Dignity: Sex

Selection and Saviour Siblings. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. (2005).

281.

Welcome to the HFEA | Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority,
<https://www.hfea.gov.uk/>.

282.

Jackson, E.: *Medical law: text, cases, and materials*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

283.

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990.

284.

de Melo-Martin, I.: On Cloning Human Beings. *Bioethics*. 16, 246–265 (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8519.00284>.

285.

Elsner, D.: Just another reproductive technology? The ethics of human reproductive cloning as an experimental medical procedure. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 32, 596–600 (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2005.013748>.

286.

Harris, J.: Time to Exorcise the Cloning Demon. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 23, 53–62 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963180113000443>.

287.

Hayry, M.: Philosophical Arguments for and Against Human Reproductive Cloning. *Bioethics*. 17, 447–460 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8519.00360>.

288.

Holm, S.: Going to the Roots of the Stem Cell Controversy. *Bioethics*. 16, 493–507 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8519.00307>.

289.

Savulescu, J., Harris, J.: The Creation Lottery: Final Lessons from Natural Reproduction: Why Those Who Accept Natural Reproduction Should Accept Cloning and Other Frankenstein Reproductive Technologies. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 13, (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963180104241123>.

290.

Sparrow, R.: Cloning, Parenthood, and Genetic Relatedness. *Bioethics*. 20, 308–318 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2006.00509.x>.

291.

Camporesi, S., Boniolo, G.: Fearing a non-existing Minotaur? The ethical challenges of research on cytoplasmic hybrid embryos. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 34, 821–825 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2008.024877>.

292.

Harris, J.: Cloning and Human Dignity. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 7, 163–167.

293.

Havstad, J.C.: Human Reproductive Cloning: A Conflict of Liberties. *Bioethics*. 24, 71–77 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00692.x>.

294.

Matti Häyry: Response to Special Section: "Cloning: Technology, Policy, and Ethics" (CQ Vol 7, No 2): But What If We *Feel* That Cloning Is Wrong? *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 10, 205–208.

295.

Holm, S.: A Life in the Shadow: One Reason Why We Should Not Clone Humans. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 7, 160–162.

296.

Hansen, J.-E.S.: Embryonic stem cell production through therapeutic cloning has fewer ethical problems than stem cell harvest from surplus IVF embryos. (Original Article). *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 28, 86–88 (2002).

297.

Jensen, D.A.: Human reproductive cloning and reasons for deprivation. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 34, 619–623 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2007.021980>.

298.

McDougall, R.: A resource-based version of the argument that cloning is an affront to human dignity. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 34, 259–261 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2006.018804>.

299.

Strong, C.: Cloning and Infertility. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 7, 279–293.

300.

R (On the Application of Quintavalle) v Secretary of State for Health (HL) [2003] UKHL 13.

301.

Mason, J.K.: Clones and Cell Nuclear Replacements: A Saga. *Edinburgh Law Review*. 7, 379–387 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.3366/elr.2003.7.3.379>.

302.

World's first gene-edited babies created in China, claims scientist. Guardian. (2018).

303.

Second woman carrying gene-edited baby, Chinese authorities confirm. Guardian. (2019).

304.

Hannah Devlin: Gene-edited babies: why are scientists so appalled? – Science Weekly podcast. Guardian. (2018).

305.

Ian Sample: UK doctors select first women to have 'three-person babies'. Guardian. (2018).

306.

Holtug, N.: Altering Humans—The Case For and Against Human Gene Therapy. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics. 6, (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963180100007787>.

307.

Sarah Barber: Mitochondrial Donation,
<http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/SN06833>.

308.

Nuffield Council on Bioethics Genome editing and human reproduction short guide,
<http://nuffieldbioethics.org/wp-content/uploads/Genome-editing-and-human-reproduction-short-guide-website.pdf>.

309.

Nuffield Council on Bioethics Genome editing and human reproduction,
<http://nuffieldbioethics.org/wp-content/uploads/Genome-editing-and-human-reproduction-F>

INAL-website.pdf.

310.

Harris, J.: Germline Modification and the Burden of Human Existence. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 25, 6–18 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963180115000237>.

311.

De Melo-Martin, I.: When the Milk of Human Kindness Becomes a Luxury (and Untested) Good. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 26, 159–165 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963180116000724>.

312.

Harris, J.: How To Welcome New Technologies. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 26, 166–172 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963180116000736>.

313.

Baylis, F.: Human Nuclear Genome Transfer (So-Called Mitochondrial Replacement): Clearing the Underbrush. *Bioethics*. 31, 7–19 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.12309>.

314.

Chau, P.-L., Herring, J.: Three parents and a baby. 45, 861–1020 (2015).

315.

Herbrand, C.: Mitochondrial Replacement Techniques: Who are the Potential Users and will they Benefit? *Bioethics*. 31, 46–54 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.12311>.

316.

Jones, D.A.: The other woman: Evaluating the language of 'three parent' embryos. *Clinical Ethics*. 10, 97–106 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1477750915599721>.

317.

Varvaštian, S.: UK's Legalisation of Mitochondrial Donation in IVF Treatment: A Challenge to the International Community or a Promotion of Life-saving Medical Innovation to Be Followed by Others? *European Journal of Health Law*. 22, 405–425 (2015).

<https://doi.org/10.1163/15718093-12341366>

<http://dx.doi.org.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/10.1163/15718093-12341366>.

318.

Brandt, R.: Mitochondrial donation and 'the right to know'. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 42, 678–684 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2016-103587>.

319.

Gyngell, C., Douglas, T., Savulescu, J.: The Ethics of Germline Gene Editing. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*. 34, 498–513 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/japp.12249>.

320.

Wrigley, A., Wilkinson, S., Appleby, J.B.: Mitochondrial Replacement: Ethics and Identity. *Bioethics*. 29, 631–638 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.12187>.

321.

Rulli, T.: The Mitochondrial Replacement 'Therapy' Myth. *Bioethics*. 31, 368–374 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.12332>.

322.

The Human Fertilisation and Embryology (Mitochondrial Donation) Regulations 2015.

323.

HFEA Code of Practice,

<https://www.hfea.gov.uk/media/2793/2019-01-03-code-of-practice-9th-edition-v2.pdf>.

324.

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990.