

Human Reproduction and the Law

Level 4 Law course

View Online



1

Laurie GT, Harmon S, Dove ES, et al. Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics. 11th edn. [Oxford]: : Oxford University Press 2019.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198826217.001.0001>

2

Re D (A Minor) [1976] 2 W.L.R. 279. 17AD.

3

Re B (a minor) [1987] 2 W.L.R. 1213.

4

Margaret Patricia Briody v St Helen's & Knowsley Area Health Authority 2001 WL 676777. 29AD.

5

Natallie Evans v Amicus Healthcare Ltd & Others [2004] EWCA (Civ) 727. 25AD.

6

EVANS v. THE UNITED KINGDOM - 6339/05 [2006] ECHR 200 (7 March 2006).

7

R (on the application of IM, MM) v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority [2015] EWHC 1706 (Admin). 15AD.

8

H v Austria (57813/00). 3AD.

9

Council of Europe: European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Convention_ENG.pdf

10

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990 c. 37.

11

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008.

12

Eijkholt M. The Right To Found A Family As A Stillborn Right To Procreate? Medical Law Review 2010;**18**:127–51. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwq013

13

Hugh LaFollette. Licensing Parents. Philosophy & Public Affairs 1980;**9**:182–97.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2265111>

14

Mulligan A. Reproductive rights under article 8: the right to respect for the decision to become or not to become a parent E.H.R.L.R. European Human Rights Law Review 2014;**4**:378–87.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters>.

com%2FDocument%2FIE00210F021B011E4A575BB3329EF9E75%2FView%2FFullText.html
%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue

15

LaFOLLETTE H. Licensing Parents Revisited. *Journal of Applied Philosophy* 2010;**27**:327-43.
doi:10.1111/j.1468-5930.2010.00497.x

16

Priaulx N. Rethinking Progenitive Conflict: Why Reproductive Autonomy Matters. *Medical Law Review* 2008;**16**:169-200. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwn009

17

Parker M. The best possible child. *Journal of Medical Ethics* 2007;**33**:279-83.
doi:10.1136/jme.2006.018176

18

Robertson JA. *Children of choice: freedom and the new reproductive technologies*.
Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1994.

19

Birk D. *Human fertilisation and embryology: the new law*. Bristol: : Family Law 2009.

20

Burns S. *The law of assisted reproduction*. Haywards Heath: : Bloomsbury Professional 2012.

21

Scherpe JM. MEDICALLY ASSISTED PROCREATION: THIS MARGIN NEEDS TO BE
APPRECIATED. *The Cambridge Law Journal* 2012;**71**:276-9.
doi:10.1017/S0008197312000463

22

Storow R. SH v Austria denies infertile Europeans human rights - BioNews. 2012.http://www.bionews.org.uk/page_117832.asp

23

Warnock M. Making babies: is there a right to have children? Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2002.

24

Quigley M. A Right to Reproduce? Bioethics 2010;**24**:403-11.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00722.x

25

Harris J, Holm S, MyiLibrary. The future of human reproduction: ethics, choice and regulation. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1998.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=80723&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

26

McGuinness S. Health, Human Rights and the Regulation of Reproductive Technologies in S.H. And Others V Austria (Application No. 57813/00). Medical Law Review 2013;**21**:146-60. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwt003

27

Farrell A-M, Dove ES, Laurie GT, et al. Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics. Twelfth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2023.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780192866226.001.0001>

28

Jackson E. Medical law: text, cases, and materials. 5th edn. [Oxford]: : Oxford University

Press 2019.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198825845.001.0001>

29

R v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, ex parte Blood [1999] Fam. 151. 6AD.

30

5/FD02P00895 High Court of Justice Queens Bench Division 26 February 2003 Before: The President Wednesday 26th February, 2003, Hearing dates: 20th, 21st and 22nd January 2003 Crown copyright. The Leeds Teaching Hospital NHS Trust v A & Others case [2003] EWHC 259(QB). 26AD.

31

Natallie Evans v Amicus Healthcare Ltd & Others [2004] EWCA (Civ) 727. 25AD.

32

Case of Evans v The United Kingdom - 6339/05 [2006] ECHR 200 (7 March 2006). 7AD.

33

Dickson v The United Kingdom - 44362/04 [2006] ECHR 430 (18 April 2006).

34

Yearworth v North Bristol NHS Trust [2009] EWCA Civ 37, [2009] 3 WLR 118 (CA).

35

A (Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008: Assisted Reproduction: Parent), Re [2015] EWHC 2602 (Fam); 11AD.

36

R (on the application of M) v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority [2016] EWCA Civ 611. 30AD.

37

R (ex p. Mellor) v Secretary of State for the Home Dept, April 2001 (CA).

38

R v Ethical Committee of St. Mary's Hospital (Manchester), ex p. Harriot [1988] FLR 512.

39

R v Sheffield Health Authority, ex parte Seale (1994) 25 BMLR 1.

40

Thompson v Sheffield Fertility Clinic (QBD) 2000 WL 33148917. 24AD.

41

Lord Phillips of Worth Matravers MR, Thorpe and Buxton LJ 2002 Jan 16; 18(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. R (ex p. Quintavalle) v Secretary of State for Health [2002] EWCA Civ 29.

42

R v HFEA, (ex p. Assisted Reproduction and Gynaecology Centre and H) [2002] EWCA Civ 20. 31AD.

43

Mrs U v Centre for Reproductive Medicine [2002] EWCA Civ 565. 24AD.

44

Attorney General's Reference 2 of 2003 [2004] 1 WLR 2062. 1AD.

45

Rose and another v Secretary of State for Health, Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority [2002] EWHC 1593 (ADMIN). 26AD.

46

L v The Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, Secretary of State for Health - [2008] EWHC 2149 (Fam).

47

G (Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008), Re [2016] EWHC 729 (Fam), [2016] 4 WLR 65.

48

Re E (Assisted Reproduction: Parent), also known as: AB v CD, [2013] EWHC 1418 (Fam) official transcript on Westlaw.

49

Holdich v Lothian Health Board [2013] CSOH 197; 2014 S.L.T. 495; Court of Session (Outer House).

50

R (on the application of AB) v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority [2014] EWHC 1528 (Admin).

51

Warren v Care Fertility (Northampton) Ltd [2014] EWHC 602 (Fam).

52

In the matter of HFEA 2008 (Cases A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H Declaration of Parentage) [2015] EWHC 2602 (Fam).

53

X v St Bartholomew's Hospital Centre for Reproductive Medicine [2015] EWFC 13; [2015].

54

Parrillo v Italy (Application No.46470/11), Grand Chamber Judgment [2015] ECHR 755, (2016) 62 EHRR 8, 27 August 2015.

55

M (Human Fertilisation Embryology Act 2008), Re [2016] EWHC 1572 (Fam).

56

Jefferies v BMI Healthcare Ltd - Case Analysis - EWHC 2493 (Fam); [2016] Med. L.R. 656 (Fam Div).

57

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990 c. 37.

58

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008.

59

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, Strategy and Information Directorate, Web team,. HFEA - Code of Practice 8. <https://www.hfea.gov.uk/code-of-practice/>

60

Human Fertilisation and Embryology (Mitochondrial Donation) Regulations 2015/572.

61

Alghrani A, Harris J. Reproductive liberty: should the foundation of families be regulated? *Child and Family Law Quarterly* 2006;**18**:157–306.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq18&size=2&collection=journals&id=195>

62

Walker SEP. Potential persons and the welfare of the (potential) child test. *Medical Law International* 2014;**14**:157–71. doi:10.1177/0968533214550117

63

Sheldon S, Lee E, Macvarish J. 'Supportive Parenting', Responsibility and Regulation: The Welfare Assessment under the Reformed Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act (1990). *The Modern Law Review* 2015;**78**:461–92. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.12124

64

House of Commons, Science and Technology Committee (March 2005) 'Human Reproductive Technologies and the Law', 5th Report of Session 2004-05, HC 7-1. <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200405/cmselect/cmsctech/7/7i.pdf>

65

Laing JA. Artificial Reproduction, the 'Welfare Principle', and the Common Good. *Medical Law Review* 2005;**13**:328–56. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwi022

66

Clarke V. Men Not Included? *Journal of GLBT Family Studies* 2007;**3**:309–49. doi:10.1300/J461v03n04_01

67

Fenton RA. Time for change (1). The New Law Journal 15AD;**157**
[.https://plus-lexis-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/api/document/collection/uk/id/4P01-5BK0-TWWR-G0BY-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563](https://plus-lexis-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/api/document/collection/uk/id/4P01-5BK0-TWWR-G0BY-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563)

68

Fenton RA. Time for change (2). The New Law Journal 6AD;**157**
[.https://plus-lexis-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/api/document/collection/uk/id/4P4F-J1B0-TWWR-G0V2-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563](https://plus-lexis-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/api/document/collection/uk/id/4P4F-J1B0-TWWR-G0V2-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563)

69

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, Strategy and Information Directorate, Web team,. HFEA - Code of Practice 8. <https://www.hfea.gov.uk/code-of-practice/>

70

Parental status 'lost to incompetence in IVF sector' - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-34222268>

71

NHS IVF services to be fairer and faster | Scottish Government | Official Press Release.
<http://www.wired-gov.net/wg/wg-news-1.nsf/0/254AEB4F6E6547BB80257B6D0021AA82?OpenDocument>

72

Can I get IVF treatment on the NHS? - Health questions - NHS Choices.
<https://www.nhs.uk/chq/Pages/889.aspx>

73

65-year-old woman's IVF pregnancy branded irresponsible and inadvisable | World news | The Guardian.
<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2015/apr/15/german-politicians-criticise-65-annegret-r>

aunigk-pregnant-quadruplets

74

German woman Annegret Raunigk, 65, has quadruplets - media - BBC News. 2015.<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-32857398>

75

Is this Wales' oldest IVF dad? Malcolm, 67, fathers daughter with wife, 31 - Wales Online. 2013.<http://www.walesonline.co.uk/news/local-news/wales-oldest-ivf-dad-malcolm-2031950>

76

Callus T. Ensuring Operational Compliance and Ethical Responsibility in the Regulation of ART: The HFEA, Past, Present, and Future. *Law, Innovation and Technology* 2011;**3**:85–111. doi:10.5235/175799611796399858

77

Black G. When is a Parent not a Parent? *CS v KS and JS and the Question of Genetic Parentage or Social Parenting*. *Edinburgh Law Review* 2015;**19**:263–8. doi:10.3366/elr.2015.0279

78

Embryo donation: in vitro fertilisation - scientific research. *European Human Rights Law Review* 2015;:656–61.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI534B357099E011E5AEE9D7053076D9EE%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

79

ESHRE First live birth after transplantation of ovarian tissue removed and frozen during childhood 10 June 2015. 10AD.<https://www.eshre.eu/Press-Room/Press-releases-2015/Live-birth-after-ovarian-freezing>

80

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, Strategy and Information Directorate, Web team,. Medical Frontiers: Debating mitochondria replacement. 2013.https://sciencewise.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/Mito-Annex_V-open_consultation_meetings.pdf

81

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, Strategy and Information Directorate, Web team,. What you can find out about your child's donor - HFEA.
<http://www.hfea.gov.uk/118.html>

82

Two health regulators spared axe but face efficiency review | Society | The Guardian.
<https://www.theguardian.com/society/2013/jan/25/two-health-regulators-spared-axe>

83

Laurie GT, Harmon S, Dove ES, et al. Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics. 11th edn. [Oxford]: : Oxford University Press 2019.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198826217.001.0001>

84

Jackson E. Medical law: text, cases, and materials. Fourth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

85

Surrogacy Arrangements Act 1985 c. 49.

86

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990 c. 37.

87

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990 c. 37.

88

Human Fertilisation and Embryology (Parental Orders) Regulations 2010/985.

89

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, Strategy and Information Directorate, Web team,. HFEA - Code of Practice 8.
<https://www.hfea.gov.uk/media/2062/2017-10-02-code-of-practice-8th-edition-full-version-11th-revision-final-clean.pdf>

90

Warnock M, Department of Health and Social Security; In Vitro Fertilisation Departmental Committee. Report of the Committee of inquiry into human fertilisation & embryology. Chairman - Dame Mary Warnock. Dept of Health and Social Security; In Vitro Fertilisation Departmental Committee: Report of the Committee of inquiry into human fertilisation & embryology. Chairman - Dame Mary Warnock.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://parlipapers.proquest.com/parlipapers/docview/t70.d75.1983-077555?accountid=14540>

91

Brazier M, Campbell A, Golombok S. Surrogacy: review for health ministers of current arrangements for payments and regulation : report of the review team. London: : Stationery Office 1998.

92

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008.

93

Re C [1985] FLR 846. 1985.

94

Re an adoption application (surrogacy). 1987.

95

Re an adoption application (surrogacy) [1987] 2 All ER 826.

96

Re C (A Child) [2002] EWHC 157 (Fam Div).

97

Re X and Y (Foreign Surrogacy) [2008] EWHC 3030 (Fam). 2008.

98

*Re X and another (Foreign Surrogacy) , [2008] EWHC 3030 (Fam). All England Reporter
<https://plus-lexis-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/api/document/collection/uk/id/7VK4-48H0-Y96Y-H32V-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

99

Re S (Parental Order) [2009] EWHC 2977 (Fam). 2009.

100

Re G (Surrogacy: Foreign Domicile) [2007] EWHC 2814 (Fam). 2007.

101

Re G (Surrogacy: Foreign Domicile) [2007] EWHC 2814 (Fam).

102

Re G (Surrogacy: Foreign Domicile) [2007] EWHC 2814 (Fam). 2007.

103

Re L (a minor) [2010] EWHC 3146 (Fam).

104

Re IJ (a child) [2011] EWHC 921 (Fam).

105

A & Anor v P & Ors [2011] EWHC 1738 (Fam).

106

Re T (a child)(Surrogacy: residence order) [2011] EWHC 33 (Fam).

107

Briody v St Helen's and Knowsley Area Health Authority [2001] EWCA Civ 1010.

108

K (Minors: Foreign Surrogacy) [2010] EWHC 1180 (Fam)-.

109

G v G [2012] EWHC 1979 (Fam).

110

CC v DD [2014] EWHC 1307 (Fam).

111

A & B v X & Y & Ors [2015] EWHC 2080 (Fam) - Field Court Chambers.

112

H v S (Surrogacy Agreement) [2015] EWFC 36.

113

Horsey K, Sheldon S. Still hazy after all these years: the law regulating surrogacy. Medical Law Review 2012;**20**:67–89. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwr039

114

The Hague, 'A preliminary report on the issues arising from international surrogacy arrangements' April 2012. <https://assets.hcch.net/upload/wop/gap2012pd10en.pdf>

115

Beaumont P, Trimmings K. Regulating international surrogacy arrangements. International Family Law Journal 2012;;1–134.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://plus.lexis.com/api/permalink/9673682e-e18d-4bfc-a7f8-08aa5691c866/?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

116

Hutchinson A-M, Khan H. International Surrogacy Arrangements: Time for a Multi-lateral Convention? International Family Law Journal 2011;;263–360.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://plus.lexis.com/api/permalink/cdd3bb72-6e96-48e1-9b66-c4f2bbccf156/?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

117

Horsey K. Challenging presumptions: legal parenthood and surrogacy arrangements. Child and Family Law Quarterly 2010;**22**

:377-520.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://plus.lexis.com/uk?identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

118

Gamble N, Ghevaert L. The Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008: Revolution or Evolution? *Family law* 2009;**39**:649-776.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://plus.lexis.com/api/permalink/b3a5b9eb-63a5-4493-9fc7-f442e4aeb64c/?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

119

Ramsey J. Fit for the 21st Century? A Review of Surrogacy Provisions within the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990. *Medical Law International* 2006;**7**:281-307. doi:10.1177/096853320600700401

120

Ramsey J. Paying for Reproduction – The Case Against Paid Surrogacy. *The Juridical review* 2006;:325-49.

121

McLachlan HV, Swales JK. Babies, Child Bearers and Commodification: Anderson, Brazier et al., and the Political Economy of Commercial Surrogate Motherhood. *Health Care Analysis* 2000;**8**:1-18. doi:10.1023/A:1009494710517

122

Anderson ES. Why Commercial Surrogate Motherhood Unethically Commodifies Women and Children: Reply to McLachlan and Swales. *Health Care Analysis* 2000;**8**:19-26. doi:10.1023/A:1009477906883

123

Freeman M. Does surrogacy have a future after Brazier? *Medical Law Review* 1999;**7**:1-20. doi:10.1093/medlaw/7.1.1

124

McLean S. Legal issues in human reproduction. Aldershot: : Dartmouth/Gower 1989.

125

Gamble N. Surrogacy: creating a sensible national and international legal framework. International Family Law

2012;;257-366.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://plus.lexis.com/api/permalink/cb91e83a-0c94-4804-a39f-d87f3345c7f1/?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

126

Gamble N. International surrogacy law conference in Las Vegas, October 2011. Family Law 2012;**42**

:115-248.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://plus.lexis.com/api/permalink/f2e81c12-8d6a-48b6-bae0-ba48e01466c3/?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

127

Gamble N, Ghevaert L. International Surrogacy: Payments, Public Policy and Media Hype. Family law 2011;**41**

:445-558.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://plus.lexis.com/api/permalink/22882172-90ad-4b99-ad15-1ea0404ce6c7/?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

128

Gamble N, Ghevaert L. Lesbian Mothers in Dispute: T v B. Family law 2010;**40**

:1153-248.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://plus.lexis.com/api/permalink/9a0344b1-8efc-43a8-b4f4-b97746015621/?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

129

Finnerty S. Who profits from international surrogacy? The legal and bioethical ramifications of international surrogacy. Medico-Legal Journal of Ireland 2015;**21**

:83-7.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FIEB093FD0A98311E5A3FC839483A8C718%2FView%2FFullText.html%3Fski>

pAnonymous%3Dtrue

130

Blyth E. Parental Orders and identity registration: one country three systems. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2010;**32**:345–52. doi:10.1080/09649069.2010.539353

131

Wilkinson S. The exploitation argument against commercial surrogacy. *Bioethics* 2003;**17**:169–87. doi:10.1111/1467-8519.00331

132

Jackson E. *Regulating reproduction: law, technology, and autonomy*. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2001.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://portal.igpublish.com/iglibrary/search/HARTB000222.html>

133

British Medical Association. *Changing conceptions of motherhood: the practice of surrogacy in Britain*. London: : BMA 1996.

134

Cutas D, Chan S, editors. *Families: beyond the nuclear ideal*. Paperback edition. London: : Bloomsbury Academic 2014.

135

Ramskold LAH, Posner MP. Commercial surrogacy: how provisions of monetary remuneration and powers of international law can prevent exploitation of gestational surrogates. *Journal of Medical Ethics* 2013;**39**:397–402.
doi:10.1136/medethics-2012-100527

136

Leading cases - Natalie Gamble Associates.

<http://www.nataliegambleassociates.co.uk/about-us/leading-cases>

137

Childlessness Overcome Through Surrogacy (COTS). <http://www.surrogacy.org.uk/>

138

Gamble and Ghevaert LLP – Fertility and Parenting Law Specialists.

<http://www.nataliegambleassociates.co.uk/knowledge-centre>

139

Leading cases - Natalie Gamble Associates.

<http://www.nataliegambleassociates.co.uk/about-us/leading-cases>

140

Register a birth - GOV.UK. <https://www.gov.uk/register-birth/overview>

141

Home Office UK Border Agency Website, 'Inter-Country Surrogacy and the Immigration Rules' Inter- Country Surrogacy Leaflet (October 2009).

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/261435/Inter-country-surrogacy-leaflet.pdf

142

Foreign and Commonwealth Office: Surrogacy Overseas.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/477720/new_1.pdf

143

In the matter of Z (A Child) - Parental Order and Single.

<https://www.judiciary.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/re-z-20160520.pdf>

144

Knowledge centre - Natalie Gamble Associates.

http://www.nataliegambleassociates.co.uk/knowledge-centre?root_level_topic=surrogacy

145

J v G (Parental Orders) [2013] EWHC 1432 (Fam). Family law reports 2013;1

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://plus.lexis.com/api/permalink/8405b1cf-33a4-4e80-9d6a-9920d2a35b1b/?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

146

Home - Brilliant Beginnings. <http://www.brilliantbeginnings.co.uk/>

147

Another thought - womb transplants as a future.

148

BBC Four - House of Surrogates. <http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b03c591s>

149

House of Commons, Science and Technology Committee (2005) Human Reproductive Technologies and the Law 5th Report of Session 2004-05, HC 7-1, 14th March 2005.

<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200405/cmselect/cmsctech/7/7i.pdf>

150

Great Britain. Department of Health. Review of the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act: a public consultation.

2005.http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+www.dh.gov.uk/en/Consultations/Closed_consultations/DH_4123863

151

Department of Health (December 2006) Review of the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act – Proposals for revised legislation.

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130107105354/http://www.dh.gov.uk/prod_consum_dh/groups/dh_digitalassets/@dh/@en/documents/digitalasset/dh_073065.pdf

152

Consultation report on the Human Fertilisation and Embryology (Parental Orders) Regulations 2010, Department of Health, January 2010.

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/www.dh.gov.uk/en/Consultations/Responsestoconsultations/DH_111659

153

Human Fertilisation and Embryology (Parental Orders) Regulations 2010/985.

154

Maternity leave rights to be introduced for parents through surrogacy' NatalieGamble Associates (2012) - Blog - Natalie Gamble Associates.

<http://www.nataliegambleassociates.co.uk/blog/2012/11/15/maternity-leave-rights-to-be-introduced-for-parents-through-surrogacy/>

155

Modern workplaces consultation - government response.

2012.<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/modern-workplaces-consultation-government-response--2>

156

R (ex p. John Smeaton on Behalf of Society for the Protection of Unborn Children) v The Secretary of State for Health, Schering Health Care Limited, Family Planning Association 18 April 2002 QBD (Admin Ct) [2002] EWHC 610.

157

R v Sec of State for Health and FPA, ex p Axon [2006] EWCA 37.

158

Gillick v West Norfolk and Wisbech Area Health Authority [1985] 3 WLR 830 (HL).

159

A Local Authority v Mrs A & Mr B [2010] EWHC 1549.

160

Sheldon S. The regulatory cliff edge between contraception and abortion: the legal and moral significance of implantation. *Journal of Medical Ethics* 2015;**41**:762–5. doi:10.1136/medethics-2015-102712

161

Bridgeman J. Young people and sexual health: whose rights? Whose responsibilities? *Medical Law Review* 2006;**14**:418–24. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwl014

162

Scheiwe K. Between autonomy and dependency: minors' rights to decide on matters of sexuality, reproduction, marriage, and parenthood. Problems and the state of debate - an introduction. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2004;**18**:262–82. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI29059EC0E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

163

Chalmers J. Regulating adolescent sexuality: English and Scottish approaches compared. *Child and family law quarterly* 2011;**23**:429–546. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://plus.lexis.com/api/permalink/c2cc6afe-3cba-4a5e-be83-4c4aa1cbbf87/?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

164

Mason JK. Medico-legal aspects of reproduction and parenthood. 2nd ed. Aldershot: : Dartmouth 1998.

165

Kennedy I. Treat me right: essays in medical law and ethics. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1988.

166

Keown J. 'Morning after' pills, 'miscarriage' and muddle. Legal Studies 2005;**25**:296–319. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2005.tb00616.x

167

Smith JC, Tausz D. Morning-after pill: whether prescription, supply, administration or use of contraceptive pills involving commission of any offences. Criminal Law Review 2002;:664–6.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI78EF5EB0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

168

Robertson JA. Children of choice: freedom and the new reproductive technologies. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1994.

169

Dworkin R. Life's dominion: an argument about abortion and euthanasia. London: : HarperCollins 1993.

170

Glover J. Causing death and saving lives. Harmondsworth: : Penguin 1990.

171

Harris J, Dawson Books. The value of life. London: : Routledge & Kegan Paul 1985.
<http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Glasgow&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203005606>

172

Lockwood M. Moral dilemmas in modern medicine. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1985.

173

Department of Health, 'Best practice guidance for doctors and other health professionals on the provision of advice and treatment to young people under 16 on contraception, sexual and reproductive health' (July 2004).
http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130107105354/http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_4086960

174

0-18 years: guidance for all doctors.
<https://www.gmc-uk.org/ethical-guidance/ethical-guidance-for-doctors/0-18-years>

175

Age of Legal Capacity (Scotland) Act 1991 c. 50.

176

Section 8 Family Law Reform Act 1969.

177

Mental Capacity Act 2005.

178

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000 asp 4 (Scottish Act).

179

What is contraception? - Contraception guide - NHS Choices.

<https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/contraception-guide/pages/what-is-contraception.aspx>

180

DIY contraceptive jab to be offered by the NHS for the first time - BBC Newsbeat.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/newsbeat/article/34346610/diy-contraceptive-jab-to-be-offered-by-the-nhs-for-the-first-time>

181

Mental Health Trust v DD [2015] EWCOP 4.

182

Re D (a minor) [1976] 1 All ER 326. 1976.

183

Re D (a minor) [1976] 2 WLR 279.

184

Re B (a minor) (wardship: sterilisation) [1987] 2 All ER 206 (HL). 1987.

185

Re B (a minor) (wardship: sterilisation)[1987] 2 W.L.R. 1213.

186

Re B (a minor) (wardship: sterilisation) [1988] A.C. 199.

187

F v West Berkshire Area Health Authority [1989] 2 All ER 545 (HL).

188

F v West Berkshire Area Health Authority [1989] 2 W.L.R. 1025 [1990] 2 A.C. 1.

189

Re S (Adult Patient: Sterilisation: Patient's Best Interests) [2001] Fam 15.

190

Re SL (Adult Patient) (Medical Treatment) [2000] 3 WLR 1288.

191

Re SL v SL [2000] 2 FLR 389.

192

Re SL [2000] 55 BMLR 105(CA).

193

Re A (male sterilisation) (Mental Patient: Sterilisation), Also known as: Re A (Medical Treatment: Male Sterilisation) and as Re R-B (A Patient) v Official Solicitor and as Re RB (Male Patient: Sterilisation) [2000] 1 FLR 549.

194

Re A (Medical Treatment: Male Sterilisation [2000] 1 FCR 193.

195

Re A (medical treatment: male sterilisation) [2000] 53 BMLR 66 (CA).

196

Re R-B (A Patient) v Official Solicitor 1999 WL 1425731.

197

Trust A and Another v H (An Adult Patient) [2006] EWHC 1230 (Fam) - Family Law Week.

198

E v Eve (1986) 31 DLR (4th) 1, (Supreme Court of Canada).

199

Laurie GT, Harmon S, Dove ES, et al. Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics. 11th edn. [Oxford]: : Oxford University Press 2019.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198826217.001.0001>

200

Barton-Hanson R. Sterilization of men with intellectual disabilities: Whose best interest is it anyway? Medical Law International 2015;**15**:49-73. doi:10.1177/0968533215592444

201

Shaw J. Sterilisation of Mentally Handicapped People: Judges Rule OK? The Modern Law Review 1990;**53**:91-106.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/1096044>

202

Freeman MDA. Medicine, ethics and the law. London: : Stevens 1988.

203

McNorrie KMck. Family planning practice and the law. Aldershot: : Dartmouth 1991.

204

Wheat K. Best Interests and the Bolam Test. Nottingham Law Journal 2001;**10**
:68-74.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/notnghtmlj10&collection=journals&id=76>

205

Samiloff J. Whose choice? The New Law Journal;**157**
. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4R3C-4B00-TWWR-G1CS-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

206

BMA - Mental capacity for adults in Scotland and Northern Ireland.
<https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/ethics/mental-capacity/mental-capacity-scotland-and-ni>

207

Practice Note (Official Solicitor: Declaratory Proceedings: Medical and Welfare Proceedings for Adults Who Lack Capacity) 1 May 2001. Family Law Reports 2001;**2**
. <https://plus-lexis-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/api/document/collection/uk/id/5J VX-KPY1-DY9F-G10K-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

208

Practice Note (Official Solicitor: Declaratory Proceedings: Medical and Welfare Proceedings for Adults Who Lack Capacity) 1 May 2001 - [2001] 2 FLR 158, [2001] 2 FCR 569.

209

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000 asp 4 (Scottish Act).

210

Adults with Incapacity (Specified Medical Treatments) (Scotland) Regulations 2002/275 (Scottish SI).

211

Adults with Incapacity (Specified Medical Treatments) (Scotland) Amendment Regulations 2002/302 (Scottish SI).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI6B936120E42311DAA7CF8F68F6EE57AB%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

212

Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000, Code of Practice, 1st July 2002.

<https://www.mwcscot.org.uk/law-and-rights/adults-incapacity-act>

213

Mental Capacity Act 2005 c. 9.

214

Mental Capacity Act 2005 (Independent Mental Capacity Advocates) (General) Regulations 2006/1832.

215

Code of Practice for the Mental Capacity Act 2005, April 2007.

<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/http://www.dca.gov.uk/legal-policy/mental-capacity/mca-cp.pdf>

216

Scottish Law Commission. Scottish Law Commission, Report on Incapable Adults, (Scot Law Com No. 151). 1995.http://www.scotlawcom.gov.uk/files/5013/2758/0994/rep151_1.pdf

217

BMA Guidance for Scotland - Adults with incapacity Scotland April 2009.

<https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/ethics/mental-capacity/mental-capacity-scotland-and-ni>

218

Judge approves man's sterilisation in legal first - BBC News.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-23721893>

219

Essex mother fights to sterilise her disabled daughter - BBC News.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-essex-23883063>

220

Sterilisation legal bid withdrawn - BBC News. <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-13147612>

221

Coombes R. Ashley X: a difficult moral choice. BMJ 2007;**334**:72-3.

doi:10.1136/bmj.39091.555856.B7

222

UK aid helps to fund forced sterilisation of India's poor | World news | The Guardian.

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2012/apr/15/uk-aid-forced-sterilisation-india>

223

Project Prevention - Children Requiring a Caring Community.

<http://www.projectprevention.org/>

224

Charity offers UK drug addicts Â£200 to be sterilised - BBC News.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-london-11545519>

225

Laurie GT, Harmon S, Dove ES, et al. Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics. 11th edn. [Oxford]: : Oxford University Press 2019.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198826217.001.0001>

226

Jackson E. Medical law: text, cases, and materials. Fourth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

227

Brown J. Scotland and the Abortion Act 1967: historic flaws, contemporary problems. Juridical Review 2015;**2015**:135–55.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI935360A00B1211E5A7DEE1FD78849580%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

228

Neal M. When conscience isn't clear: Greater Glasgow Health Board v Doogan and Another [2014] UKSC 68. Medical Law Review 2015;**23**:668–82. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwv027

229

Sheldon S. The Decriminalisation of Abortion: An Argument for Modernisation. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 2016;**36**:334–65. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqv026

230

McGuinness S, Thomson M. Medicine and Abortion Law Complicating the Reforming Profession. Medical Law Review 2015;**23**:177–99. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwv012

231

Palmer S. Abortion and human rights. *European Human Rights Law Review* 2014;**2014**:596–605. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2F18F3126807C6D11E4B490925E6739F560%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

232

McGuinness S. Law, Reproduction, and Disability: Fatally 'Handicapped'? *Medical Law Review* 2013;**21**:213–42. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fws041

233

Scott R. Interpreting the disability ground of the Abortion Act. *Cambridge Law Journal* 2005;**64**:388–412. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2F1FB3AF520E72A11DC88EB9BE684C867E2%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

234

Swift K, Robson M. Why Doctors Need Not Fear Prosecution for Gender-Related Abortions. *Journal of Criminal Law* 2012;**76**:348–58. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/jcriml76&id=376>

235

Scott R. Risks, Reasons and Rights: The European Convention on Human Rights and English Abortion Law. *Medical Law Review* 2015;:1–33. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwv020

236

Burin AK. Beyond Pragmatism: Defending the 'Bright Line' of Birth. *Medical Law Review* 2014;**22**. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/5DW2-S201-DYJF-0476-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

237

Neal M. Devolving abortion law. *Edinburgh Law Review* 2016;**20**:399–404.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FIB490F6B0769611E6890FF24C4AB7456E%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

238

Rosamund Scott. Risks, reasons and rights: the European Convention on Human Rights and English abortion law. *Medical law review* 2016;**24**:1–33.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI42050760D6A211E5A668A3518D52AAFC%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

239

Abortion Act 1967 c. 87.

240

Offences Against the Person Act 1861 c. 100.

241

Infant Life (Preservation) Act 1929 c. 34.

242

Abortion (Sex-Selection) Bill 2014-15 (Private Member's Bill) UK Parliament.
<http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2014-15/abortionsexselection.html>

243

Reproductive Health (Access to Terminations) Bill 2016-17 — UK Parliament.
<https://services.parliament.uk/bills/2016-17/reproductivehealthaccesstoterminations.html>

244

Abortion (Foetus Protection) Bill [HL] 2017-19 — UK Parliament.
<https://services.parliament.uk/bills/2017-19/abortionfoetusprotection.html>

245

R v Bourne [1939] 1 KB 687.

246

Paton v BPAS [1979] 1 QB 276.

247

Paton v United Kingdom (1981) 3 EHRR 408 (ECHR).

248

C v S [1988] QB 135.

249

C v S [1987] 1 All ER 1230.

250

Kelly v Kelly [1997] 2 FLR 828.

251

Kelly v Kelly [1997] SLT 896 CS (IH).

252

Jepson v Chief Constable of West Mercia Police Constabulary [2003] EWHC 3318.

253

VO v France application no 53924/00 8 July 2004 (ECHR).

254

A and Others v Ireland (25579/05) (2011) 53 EHRR. 13.

255

Greater Glasgow and Clyde Health Board v Doogan and Another [2014] UKSC 68.

256

Decision not to charge over gender-based abortions was right, DPP says - BBC News.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-24430356>

257

Director of Public Prosecutions publishes fuller reasons for decision not to prosecute doctors over abortion case.
http://cps.gov.uk/news/latest_news/dpp_abortion_case_fuller_reasons/index.html

258

Doctor to appear in court in UK's first gender abortion prosecution - Telegraph.
2014.<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/uknews/law-and-order/11217504/Doctor-to-appear-in-court-in-UKs-first-gender-abortion-prosecution.html>

259

CPS decision to stop private prosecutions of doctors charged with abortion offences - CPS News Brief.
<http://blog.cps.gov.uk/2015/03/cps-decision-to-stop-private-prosecutions-of-doctors-charged-with-abortion-offences.html>

260

Abortion law to be devolved to Scottish Parliament.

2015.<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/abortion-law-to-be-devolved-to-scottish-parliament>

261

Scotland offers free abortions to women from Northern Ireland - BBC News.

https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-41879520?intlink_from_url=https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/topics/f16883ee-804f-43cd-8bc3-80d0fed59682/abortion&link_location=live-reporting-story

262

Abortion laws 'punish sex-crime victims' - BBC News.

https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-northern-ireland-41756688?intlink_from_url=https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/topics/f16883ee-804f-43cd-8bc3-80d0fed59682/abortion&link_location=live-reporting-story

263

Abortion should not be a crime, say Britain's childbirth doctors | World news | The Guardian.

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2017/sep/22/abortion-decriminalise-crime-britain-childbirth-doctors>

264

Statement from Director of Public Prosecutions on abortion related cases - CPS News Brief.

<http://blog.cps.gov.uk/2013/10/statement-from-director-of-public-prosecutions-on-abortion-related-cases.html>

265

BBC Radio 4 - Moral Maze, 50 Years of the Abortion Act.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b097c1g3>

266

An Overview of Abortion Laws | Guttmacher Institute.

<https://www.guttmacher.org/state-policy/explore/overview-abortion-laws>

267

Scott R. Maternal duties toward the unborn? Soundings from the law of tort. *Medical Law Review*; **8**

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K3D-9F80-TWWR-J032&csi=280341&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

268

Laurie GT, Harmon S, Dove ES, et al. *Mason & McCall Smith's law & medical ethics*. 11th edn. [Oxford]: : Oxford University Press 2019.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198826217.001.0001>

269

Jackson E. *Medical law: text, cases, and materials*. 5th edn. [Oxford]: : Oxford University Press 2019.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198825845.001.0001>

270

McCluskey v HM Advocate (1989) SLT 175.

271

Burton v Islington Health Authority de Martell v Merton and Sutton Health Authority (1992). 1992.

272

A and B (minors) (by C their mother and next friend) v A Health and Social Services Trust (2010).

273

HAMILTON v. FIFE HEALTH BOARD.

274

Montreal Tramways v Leveille [1933] 4 DLR 337.

275

Watt v Rama [1972] VicRp 40; [1972] VR 353 (14 December 1971).

276

Campbell TD, McKay AJM. Antenatal Injury and the Rights of the Foetus. The Philosophical Quarterly 1978;**28**. doi:10.2307/2219040

277

Grubb A, Laing JM. Principles of medical law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2003.

278

Thomson EAC. Nasciturus and Delict—Born Yesterday? Scots Law Times 2005;**21**:121–6.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ID46496F0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

279

Law Commission. Report on injuries to unborn children (Cmnd 5709). 1974.<https://s3-eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/lawcom-prod-storage-11jsxou24uy7q/uploads/2016/08/LC.-060-REPORT-ON-INJURIES-TO-UNBORN-CHILDREN-ADVICE-TO-THE-LORD-CHANCELLOR-UNDER-SECTION-31E-OF-THE-LAW-COMMISSIONS-ACT-1965.pdf>

280

Scottish Law Commission. Liability for antenatal injury: report on a reference under section 3(1)(e) of the Law Commissions Act 1965. Edinburgh: : H.M.S.O. 1973.

281

Congenital Disabilities (Civil Liability) Act 1976.

282

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990 c. 37.

283

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008.

284

McKAY AND ANOTHER v. ESSEX AREA HEALTH AUTHORITY AND ANOTHER [1978 M. No. 2947].

285

Curlender v. Bio-Science Laboratories (1980) :: :: California Court of Appeal Decisions :: California Case Law :: California Law :: US Law :: Justia.

286

Turpin v. Sortini :: :: Supreme Court of California Decisions :: California Case Law :: California Law :: US Law :: Justia.

287

Harbeson v. Parke-Davis, Inc. :: 1983 :: Washington Supreme Court Decisions :: Washington Case Law :: Washington Law :: US Law :: Justia.

288

Procanik by Procanik v. Cillo :: 1984 :: Supreme Court of New Jersey Decisions :: New Jersey Case Law :: New Jersey Law :: US Law :: Justia.

289

Harriton v Stephens [2006] HCA 15; (2006) 226 CLR 52; (2006) 226 ALR 391; (2006) 80 ALJR 791 (9 May 2006).

290

Harris J. The Wrong of Wrongful Life. Journal of Law and Society 1990;**17**.
doi:10.2307/1409957

291

Feinberg J. Freedom and fulfillment: philosophical essays. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1992.

292

Scott R. Reconsidering "Wrongful Life" in England after Thirty Years: Legislative Mistakes and Unjustifiable Anomalies. The Cambridge Law Journal;**72**
:115-54.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=57YB-5241-DY08-C13P&csi=374818&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

293

Sheldon S. Only Skin Deep? The Harm of Being Born a Different Colour to One's Parents. Medical Law Review;**19**
. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=54G3-MJ21-DYJF-025Y&csi=280341&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

294

Warner KA. Wrongful life goes down down-under. Law Quarterly Review;**123**
:209-12.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FIB7A3BD61CC4611DBB309A63ACD578CE5%2FView%2FFullText.ht>

ml%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue

295

Norrie KMck. Wrongful life in Scots law: no right no remedy. The Juridical review 1990;**205**.

296

Harvey Teff. The Action for 'Wrongful Life' in England and the United States. The International and Comparative Law Quarterly 1985;**34**:423-41.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/759304>

297

Fortin J. Is the 'wrongful life' action really dead? Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law 1987;**9**:306-13. doi:10.1080/09649068708413556

298

A. Morris, Severine Saintier. To Be or Not to Be: Is That The Question? Wrongful Life and Misconceptions. Medical Law Review 2003;**11**:167-93. doi:10.1093/medlaw/11.2.167

299

Mason JK. Wrongful Life: The Problem of Causation. Medical Law International 2004;**6**:149-61. doi:10.1177/096853320400600205

300

Priaulx N. The harm paradox: tort law and the unwanted child in an era of choice. London: : Routledge-Cavendish 2007.

301

Sheldon T. Court awards damages to disabled child for having been born. BMJ 2003;**326**:784b-784. doi:10.1136/bmj.326.7393.784/b

302

Lord Slynn of Hadley , Lord Steyn , Lord Hope of Craighead , Lord Clyde and Lord Millett 1999 July 5, 6, 7; Nov. 25(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. *McFarlane v Tayside Health Board* [1999] 3 WLR 1301.

303

Parkinson v St James and Seacroft University Hospital NHS Trust. All England Law Reports 2001;**3**
. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J90-TWP1-6121&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

304

Rees v. Darlington Memorial Hospital NHS Trust [2003] UKHL 52, [2003] 3 WLR 1091, HL.

305

AD v East Kent Community NHS Trust. 2003.

306

**ABC v St George's Healthcare NHS Trust and others*. All England Reporter
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5NK6-XP41-DYBP-N15G&csi=274665&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

307

Goodwill v British Pregnancy Advisory Service. All England Law Reports 1996;**2**
. <https://plus-lexis-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-4J80-TWP1-612J-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

308

Emeh v Kensington and Chelsea and Westminster Area Health Authority. All England Law Reports 1984;**3**

<https://plus-lexis-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-49F0-TWP1-61P0-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

309

Udale v Bloomsbury Area Health Authority. All England Law Reports 1983;**2**
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-3KV0-TWP1-60X8&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

310

Thake and another v Maurice. All England Law Reports 1986;**1**
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-3KT0-TWP1-617C&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

311

The New law journal.

312

Anderson v Forth Valley Health Board. ;**44**
<https://plus-lexis-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FNG-MXN0-TW8-X0WT-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

313

McLelland v Greater Glasgow HB [2001] SLT 446, Ex Div.

314

Greenfield v Flather and others. All England Reporter
<https://plus-lexis-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/api/document/collection/uk/id/4SPK-6KP0-TWP1-70CS-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=32QDCK57563>

315

Lloyd's law reports: Medical. 1998.

316

Cattanach v Melchior [2003] HCA 38.

<http://www.vrlaw.com.au/cases/pdfs/200402261614260.Cattanach.PDF>

317

Laura C. H. Hoyano. Misconceptions about Wrongful Conception. *The Modern Law Review* 2002;**65**:883–906.http://www.jstor.org/stable/1097534?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

318

Chico V. Wrongful Conception: Policy, Inconsistency and the Conventional Award. *Medical Law International* 2007;**8**:139–64. doi:10.1177/096853320700800202

319

Maclean A, An Alexandrian approach to the knotty problem of wrongful pregnancy: *Rees v Darlington Memorial Hospital NHS Trust* in the House of Lords.

<http://www.bailii.org/uk/other/journals/WebJCLI/2004/issue3/maclean3.html>

320

Scott R. Prenatal Screening, Autonomy and Reasons: The Relationship Between the Law of Abortion and Wrongful Birth. *Medical Law Review* 2003;**11**:265–325.

doi:10.1093/medlaw/11.3.265

321

Law Society of Scotland. *The journal of the Law Society of Scotland*. 1995.

322

Mason, J. J. Unwanted Pregnancy: A Case of Retroversion. *Edinburgh Law Review* Published Online First:

2000.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/edinlr4&id=197>

323

Mason, J. J. Wrongful Pregnancy, Wrongful Birth and Wrongful Terminology. *Edinburgh Law Review* Published Online First: 2002. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/edinlr6&id=52>

324

The Juridical review.

325

Walker SEP. Applying the actual/potential person distinction to reproductive torts. *Medical Law International* 2014;**14**:3-21. doi:10.1177/0968533214537288

326

Witting, C. Physical Damage in Negligence. *Cambridge Law Journal* Published Online First: 2002. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/camblj61&id=205>