

Philosophy of Perception

View Online



1.

Crane T, French C. The Problem of Perception. Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/perception-problem/>

2.

O'Callaghan C. Perception. In: Frankish K, Ramsey W, eds. The Cambridge Handbook of Cognitive Science. Cambridge University Press; 2012:73-91. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139033916.007

3.

Low E. An Introduction to the Philosophy of Mind. Cambridge University Press; 2000. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=201950>

4.

Low E. An Introduction to the Philosophy of Mind. Cambridge University Press; 2000. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=201950>

5.

Huemer M. Sense-Data. Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/sense-data/>

6.

Craig E, Routledge (Firm). Routledge encyclopedia of philosophy online. Published 2003.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://rep.routledge.com>

7.

Russell B. *The Problems of Philosophy.*; 2009.
<http://www.gutenberg.org/files/5827/5827-h/5827-h.htm>

8.

Moore GE. *Some Main Problems of Philosophy.* Vol *Muirhead library of philosophy.* Allen & Unwin; 1953.

9.

Ayer AJ. *The Foundations of Empirical Knowledge.* Macmillan and Co; 1940.

10.

Jackson F. *Perception: A Representative Theory.* Vol *Modern revivals in philosophy.* Gregg Revivals; 1993.

11.

Robinson H. *Perception.* Vol *The problems of philosophy.* Routledge; 2001.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780203164358>

12.

Bermudez JL. *Naturalized Sense Data.* *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research.* 2000;61(2). doi:10.2307/2653655

13.

Ryle G. *The Concept of Mind.* Hutchinson; 1949.

14.

Austin JL, Warnock GJ. Sense and Sensibilia. Clarendon; 1962.

15.

Pitcher G. A Theory of Perception. Princeton University Press; 1971.

16.

A. M. Quinton. The Problem of Perception. Mind. 1955;64(253):28-51.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2251042>

17.

Swartz RJ. Perceiving, Sensing and Knowing: A Book of Readings from Twentieth-Century Sources in the Philosophy of Perception. Doubleday; 1965.

18.

Schilpp PA. The Philosophy of G.E. Moore. Vol The library of living philosophers. Northwestern University; 1942.

19.

Dancy J. An Introduction to Contemporary Epistemology. Blackwell Publishing; 1985.

20.

Jackson F. Perception: A Representative Theory. Cambridge University Press; 1977.

21.

Low E. An Introduction to the Philosophy of Mind. Cambridge University Press; 2000.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=201950>

22.

Warnock GJ. *The Philosophy of Perception*. Vol Oxford readings in philosophy. Oxford University Press; 1967.

23.

Lewis D. Veridical hallucination and prosthetic vision. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*. 1980;58(3):239-249. doi:10.1080/00048408012341251

24.

Lowe EJ. *Subjects of Experience*. Vol Cambridge studies in philosophy. Cambridge University Press; 1996.

25.

Tye M. A Causal Analysis of Seeing. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*. 1982;42(3). doi:10.2307/2107488

26.

Noë A. Causation and Perception: The Puzzle Unravelled. *Analysis*. 2003;63(2):93-100. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3329214>

27.

Lycan W. Representational Theories of Consciousness. *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy Archive*. <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2015/entries/consciousness-representational/>

28.

Seager W, MyiLibrary. *Theories of Consciousness: An Introduction and Assessment*. Vol Philosophical issues in science. Routledge; 1999. <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=19487&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

29.

Dretske F. Experience as Representation. *Philosophical Issues*. 2003;13(1):67-82.
doi:10.1111/1533-6077.00005

30.

Harman G. The Intrinsic Quality of Experience. *Philosophical Perspectives*. 1990;4.
doi:10.2307/2214186

31.

Tye M. Inverted Earth, Swampman, and Representationism. *Philosophical Perspectives*. 1998;12:459-477.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2676158>

32.

Dretske FI. *Naturalizing the Mind*. Vol Jean Nicod lectures. The MIT Press; 1997.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cognet.mit.edu/book/naturalizing-mind>

33.

Lycan WG. *Consciousness and Experience*. MIT Press; 1996.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=48459&site=ehost-live>

34.

Tye M. *Ten Problems of Consciousness: A Representational Theory of the Phenomenal Mind*. Vol Representation and mind. The MIT Press; 1995.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cognet.mit.edu/book/ten-problems-of-consciousness>

35.

Tye M. *Consciousness, Color, and Content*. Vol Representation and mind. The MIT Press; 2000.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cognet.mit.edu/book/consciousness-color-and-content>

36.

Byrne A. Intentionalism Defended. *The Philosophical Review*. 2001;110(2).
doi:10.2307/2693675

37.

Block NJ, Flanagan OJ, Güzeldere G. *The Nature of Consciousness: Philosophical Debates*. MIT Press; 1997.

38.

Block N. Mental Paint and Mental Latex. *Philosophical Issues*. 1996;7. doi:10.2307/1522889

39.

Block N, Tye M. Is Experiencing Just Representing? *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*. 1998;58(3). doi:10.2307/2653766

40.

Kind A. What's so Transparent about Transparency? *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition*. 2003;115(3):225-244.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4321404>

41.

Macpherson F. Colour Inversion Problems for Representationalism. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*. 2005;70(1):127-152.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/40040782>

42.

Macpherson F. Novel Colours and the Content of Experience. *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly*. 2003;84(1):43-66. doi:10.1111/1468-0114.00162

43.

Wright EL. The Case for Qualia. MIT Press; 2008.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/9780262232661.001.0001>

44.

Wright W. Nonconceptual Content. In: Matthen M, ed. The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Perception. First edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199600472.013.003

45.

Smith AD. The Problem of Perception. Harvard University Press; 2002.

46.

Siegel S. Which Properties are Represented in Perception? In: Gendler TS, Hawthorne J, eds. Perceptual Experience. Oxford University Press; 2006:481-503.

doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199289769.003.0015

47.

Hawley K, Macpherson F. The Admissible Contents of Experience. Wiley-Blackwell; 2011.

<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781444343892>

48.

Haddock A, Macpherson F, Oxford University Press. Disjunctivism: Perception, Action, Knowledge. Oxford University Press; 2008.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199231546.001.0001>

49.

Dancy J. Perceptual Knowledge. Vol Oxford readings in philosophy. Oxford University Press; 1988.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=d71d563c-ed40-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

50.

Snowdon P. Perception, Vision and Causation. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*. 1981;81:175-192.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4544972>

51.

Martin MGF. The Limits of Self-Awareness. *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition*. 2004;120(1):37-89.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4321508>

52.

Fish W, Oxford University Press. Perception, Hallucination, and Illusion. Vol *Philosophy of mind*. Oxford University Press; 2009.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195381344.001.0001>

53.

Child W. Vision and Experience: The Causal theory and the Disjunctive Conception. *The Philosophical Quarterly*. 1992;42(168). doi:10.2307/2219682

54.

Millar A. The Idea of Experience. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*. 1996;96:75-90.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4545229>

55.

Gendler T, Hawthorne J. *Perceptual Experience*. Clarendon; 2010.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199289769.001.0001>

56.

Logue H. Why Naïve Realism? Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society (Hardback). 2012;112(2pt2):211-237. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9264.2012.00332.x

57.

Nudds M. Recent Work in Perception: Naive Realism and its Opponents. Analysis. 2009;69(2):334-346. doi:10.1093/analys/anp039

58.

Haddock A, Macpherson F, Oxford University Press. Disjunctivism: Perception, Action, Knowledge. Oxford University Press; 2008.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199231546.001.0001>

59.

Shapiro L. The Embodied Cognition Research Programme. Philosophy Compass. 2007;2(2):338-346. doi:10.1111/j.1747-9991.2007.00064.x

60.

Gangopadhyay N, Madary M, Spicer F, Oxford University Press. Perception, Action, and Consciousness: Sensorimotor Dynamics and Two Visual Systems. Oxford University Press; 2010.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199551118.001.0001>

61.

Prinz J. Putting the Brakes on Enactive Perception. Psyche. 2006;12(1).
<http://journalpsyche.org/files/0xaae8.pdf>

62.

Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science. Behavioral and Brain Sciences. 2013;36(03):181-204. doi:10.1017/S0140525X12000477

63.

Raffman D. Similarity Spaces. In: The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Perception.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199600472.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199600472-e-030>

64.

Rescorla M. Bayesian Perceptual Psychology. In: The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Perception.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199600472.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199600472-e-010>