

Philosophy of Perception

View Online



1

Crane T, French C. The Problem of Perception. Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/perception-problem/>

2

O'Callaghan C. Perception. In: Frankish K, Ramsey W, eds. The Cambridge Handbook of Cognitive Science. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012. 73-91.
doi:10.1017/CBO9781139033916.007

3

Low E. An introduction to the philosophy of mind. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2000. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=201950>

4

Low E. An introduction to the philosophy of mind. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2000. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=201950>

5

Huemer M. Sense-Data. Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/sense-data/>

6

Craig E, Routledge (Firm). Routledge encyclopedia of philosophy online.

2003.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://rep.routledge.com>

7

Russell B. The Problems of Philosophy. 2009.
<http://www.gutenberg.org/files/5827/5827-h/5827-h.htm>

8

Moore GE. Some main problems of philosophy. London: : Allen & Unwin 1953.

9

Ayer AJ. The foundations of empirical knowledge. London: : Macmillan and Co 1940.

10

Jackson F. Perception: a representative theory. Aldershot: : Gregg Revivals 1993.

11

Robinson H. Perception. London: : Routledge 2001.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780203164358>

12

Bermudez JL. Naturalized Sense Data. Philosophy and Phenomenological Research 2000;**61**
. doi:10.2307/2653655

13

Ryle G. The concept of mind. London: : Hutchinson 1949.

14

Austin JL, Warnock GJ. Sense and sensibilia. Oxford: : Clarendon 1962.

15

Pitcher G. A theory of perception. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1971.

16

A. M. Quinton. The Problem of Perception. Mind 1955;**64**
:28-51. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2251042>

17

Swartz RJ. Perceiving, sensing and knowing: a book of readings from twentieth-century sources in the philosophy of perception. New York: : Doubleday 1965.

18

Schilpp PA. The philosophy of G.E. Moore. Evanston: : Northwestern University 1942.

19

Dancy J. An introduction to contemporary epistemology. Oxford: : Blackwell Publishing 1985.

20

Jackson F. Perception: a representative theory. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1977.

21

Lowe EJ. An introduction to the philosophy of mind. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2000. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=201950>

22

Warnock GJ. The Philosophy of perception. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1967.

23

Lewis D. Veridical hallucination and prosthetic vision. Australasian Journal of Philosophy 1980;**58**:239–49. doi:10.1080/00048408012341251

24

Low E. Subjects of experience. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1996.

25

Tye M. A Causal Analysis of Seeing. Philosophy and Phenomenological Research 1982;**42**. doi:10.2307/2107488

26

Noë A. Causation and Perception: The Puzzle Unravelled. Analysis 2003;**63**:93–100. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3329214>

27

Lycan W. Representational Theories of Consciousness. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy Archive <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2015/entries/consciousness-representational/>

28

Seager W, MyiLibrary. Theories of consciousness: an introduction and assessment. London: : Routledge 1999. <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=19487&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

29

Dretske F. Experience as Representation. Philosophical Issues 2003;**13**:67–82. doi:10.1111/1533-6077.00005

30

Harman G. The Intrinsic Quality of Experience. *Philosophical Perspectives* 1990;**4**.
doi:10.2307/2214186

31

Tye M. Inverted Earth, Swampman, and Representationism. *Philosophical Perspectives* 1998;**12**
:459-77.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2676158>

32

Dretske FI. *Naturalizing the mind*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 1997.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cognet.mit.edu/book/naturalizing-mind>

33

Lycan WG. *Consciousness and experience*. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 1996.
[https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true
&db=nlebk&AN=48459&site=ehost-live](https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=48459&site=ehost-live)

34

Tye M. *Ten problems of consciousness: a representational theory of the phenomenal mind*.
Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 1995.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cognet.mit.edu/book/ten-problems-of-consciousness>

35

Tye M. *Consciousness, color, and content*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2000.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cognet.mit.edu/book/consciousness-color-and-content>

36

Byrne A. Intentionalism Defended. *The Philosophical Review* 2001;**110**.
doi:10.2307/2693675

37

Block NJ, Flanagan OJ, Güzeldere G. *The nature of consciousness: philosophical debates*. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 1997.

38

Block N. Mental Paint and Mental Latex. *Philosophical Issues* 1996;**7**. doi:10.2307/1522889

39

Block N, Tye M. Is Experiencing Just Representing? *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 1998;**58**. doi:10.2307/2653766

40

Kind A. What's so Transparent about Transparency? *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition* 2003;**115**
:225–44. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4321404>

41

Macpherson F. Colour Inversion Problems for Representationalism. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 2005;**70**
:127–52. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/40040782>

42

Macpherson F. Novel Colours and the Content of Experience. *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly* 2003;**84**:43–66. doi:10.1111/1468-0114.00162

43

Wright EL. *The case for qualia*. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2008.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/9780262232661.001.0001>

44

Wright W. Nonconceptual Content. In: Matthen M, ed. The Oxford handbook of philosophy of perception. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.
doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199600472.013.003

45

Smith AD. The problem of perception. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 2002.

46

Siegel S. Which Properties are Represented in Perception? In: Gendler TS, Hawthorne J, eds. Perceptual Experience. Oxford University Press 2006. 481–503.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199289769.003.0015

47

Hawley K, Macpherson F. The admissible contents of experience. Chichester: : Wiley-Blackwell 2011.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781444343892>

48

Haddock A, Macpherson F, Oxford University Press. Disjunctivism: perception, action, knowledge. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199231546.001.0001>

49

Dancy J. Perceptual knowledge. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1988.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=d71d563c-ed40-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

50

Snowdon P. Perception, Vision and Causation. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 1981; **81**:175–92. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4544972>

51

Martin MGF. The Limits of Self-Awareness. *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition* 2004; **120**:37–89. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4321508>

52

Fish W, Oxford University Press. Perception, hallucination, and illusion. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195381344.001.0001>

53

Child W. Vision and Experience: The Causal theory and the Disjunctive Conception. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 1992; **42**. doi:10.2307/2219682

54

Millar A. The Idea of Experience. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 1996; **96**:75–90. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4545229>

55

Gendler T, Hawthorne J. Perceptual experience. Oxford: : Clarendon 2010.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199289769.001.0001>

56

Logue H. Why Naïve Realism? *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society (Hardback)* 2012; **112**:211–37. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9264.2012.00332.x

57

Nudds M. Recent Work in Perception: Naive Realism and its Opponents. *Analysis* 2009;**69**:334–46. doi:10.1093/analys/anp039

58

Haddock A, Macpherson F, Oxford University Press. *Disjunctivism: perception, action, knowledge*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199231546.001.0001>

59

Shapiro L. The Embodied Cognition Research Programme. *Philosophy Compass* 2007;**2**:338–46. doi:10.1111/j.1747-9991.2007.00064.x

60

Gangopadhyay N, Madary M, Spicer F, et al. *Perception, action, and consciousness: sensorimotor dynamics and two visual systems*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199551118.001.0001>

61

Prinz J. Putting the Brakes on Enactive Perception. *Psyche* 2006;**12**.
<http://journalpsyche.org/files/0xaae8.pdf>

62

Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 2013;**36**:181–204. doi:10.1017/S0140525X12000477

63

Raffman D. Similarity Spaces. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Perception*.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/ox>

fordhb/9780199600472.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199600472-e-030

64

Rescorla M. Bayesian Perceptual Psychology. In: The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Perception
.https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199600472.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199600472-e-010