

Philosophy of Perception

View Online



1.

Crane T, French C. The Problem of Perception. Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy [Internet]. Available from: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/perception-problem/>

2.

O'Callaghan C. Perception. In: Frankish K, Ramsey W, editors. The Cambridge Handbook of Cognitive Science [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. p. 73–91. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139033916>

3.

Lowe EJ. An introduction to the philosophy of mind [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=201950>

4.

Lowe EJ. An introduction to the philosophy of mind [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=201950>

5.

Huemer M. Sense-Data. Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy [Internet]. Available from: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/sense-data/>

6.

Craig E, Routledge (Firm). Routledge encyclopedia of philosophy online [Internet]. New York, N.Y.: Routledge; 2003. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://rep.routledge.com>

7.

Russell B. The Problems of Philosophy [Internet]. 2009. Available from:
<http://www.gutenberg.org/files/5827/5827-h/5827-h.htm>

8.

Moore GE. Some main problems of philosophy. Vol. Muirhead library of philosophy. London: Allen & Unwin; 1953.

9.

Ayer AJ. The foundations of empirical knowledge. London: Macmillan and Co; 1940.

10.

Jackson F. Perception: a representative theory. Vol. Modern revivals in philosophy. Aldershot: Gregg Revivals; 1993.

11.

Robinson H. Perception [Internet]. Vol. The problems of philosophy. London: Routledge; 2001. Available from:
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780203164358>

12.

Bermudez JL. Naturalized Sense Data. Philosophy and Phenomenological Research. 2000 Sep;61(2).

13.

Ryle G. The concept of mind. London: Hutchinson; 1949.

14.

Austin JL, Warnock GJ. Sense and sensibilia. Oxford: Clarendon; 1962.

15.

Pitcher G. A theory of perception. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1971.

16.

A. M. Quinton. The Problem of Perception. Mind [Internet]. 1955;64(253):28–51. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2251042>

17.

Swartz RJ. Perceiving, sensing and knowing: a book of readings from twentieth-century sources in the philosophy of perception. New York: Doubleday; 1965.

18.

Schilpp PA. The philosophy of G.E. Moore. Vol. The library of living philosophers. Evanston: Northwestern University; 1942.

19.

Dancy J. An introduction to contemporary epistemology. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing; 1985.

20.

Jackson F. Perception: a representative theory. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1977.

21.

Lowe EJ. An introduction to the philosophy of mind [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=201950>

22.

Warnock GJ. The Philosophy of perception. Vol. Oxford readings in philosophy. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1967.

23.

Lewis D. Veridical hallucination and prosthetic vision. Australasian Journal of Philosophy. 1980 Sep;58(3):239-49.

24.

Lowe EJ. Subjects of experience. Vol. Cambridge studies in philosophy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1996.

25.

Tye M. A Causal Analysis of Seeing. Philosophy and Phenomenological Research. 1982 Mar;42(3).

26.

Noë A. Causation and Perception: The Puzzle Unravelled. Analysis [Internet]. 2003;63(2):93-100. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3329214>

27.

Lycan W. Representational Theories of Consciousness. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy Archive [Internet]. Available from:
<https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2015/entries/consciousness-representational/>

28.

Seager W, MyiLibrary. Theories of consciousness: an introduction and assessment [Internet]. Vol. Philosophical issues in science. London: Routledge; 1999. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=19487&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

29.

Dretske F. Experience as Representation. *Philosophical Issues*. 2003 Oct;13(1):67–82.

30.

Harman G. The Intrinsic Quality of Experience. *Philosophical Perspectives* [Internet]. 1990;4. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2214186>

31.

Tye M. Inverted Earth, Swampman, and Representationism. *Philosophical Perspectives* [Internet]. 1998;12:459–77. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2676158>

32.

Dretske FI. Naturalizing the mind [Internet]. Vol. Jean Nicod lectures. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 1997. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cognet.mit.edu/book/naturalizing-mind>

33.

Lycan WG. Consciousness and experience [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 1996. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=48459&site=ehost-live>

34.

Tye M. Ten problems of consciousness: a representational theory of the phenomenal mind [Internet]. Vol. Representation and mind. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 1995. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cognet.mit.edu/book/ten-problems-of-consciousness>

usness

35.

Tye M. Consciousness, color, and content [Internet]. Vol. Representation and mind. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2000. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cognet.mit.edu/book/consciousness-color-and-content>

36.

Byrne A. Intentionalism Defended. The Philosophical Review [Internet]. 2001 Apr;110(2). Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2693675>

37.

Block NJ, Flanagan OJ, Güzeldere G. The nature of consciousness: philosophical debates. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 1997.

38.

Block N. Mental Paint and Mental Latex. Philosophical Issues. 1996;7.

39.

Block N, Tye M. Is Experiencing Just Representing? Philosophy and Phenomenological Research. 1998 Sep;58(3).

40.

Kind A. What's so Transparent about Transparency? Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition [Internet]. 2003;115(3):225–44. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4321404>

41.

Macpherson F. Colour Inversion Problems for Representationalism. Philosophy and

Phenomenological Research [Internet]. 2005;70(1):127–52. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/40040782>

42.

Macpherson F. Novel Colours and the Content of Experience. *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly* [Internet]. 2003 Mar;84(1):43–66. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1468-0114.00162/abstract>

43.

Wright EL. *The case for qualia* [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 2008. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/9780262232661.001.0001>

44.

Wright W. Nonconceptual Content. In: Matthen M, editor. *The Oxford handbook of philosophy of perception* [Internet]. First edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2015. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199600472.001.0001>

45.

Smith AD. *The problem of perception*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 2002.

46.

Siegel S. Which Properties are Represented in Perception? In: Gendler TS, Hawthorne J, editors. *Perceptual Experience* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2006. p. 481–503. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199289769.001.0001/acprof-9780199289769-chapter-15>

47.

Hawley K, Macpherson F. The admissible contents of experience [Internet]. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2011. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781444343892>

48.

Haddock A, Macpherson F, Oxford University Press. Disjunctivism: perception, action, knowledge [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199231546.001.0001>

49.

Dancy J. Perceptual knowledge [Internet]. Vol. Oxford readings in philosophy. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1988. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=d71d563c-ed40-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

50.

Snowdon P. Perception, Vision and Causation. Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society [Internet]. 1981;81:175–92. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4544972>

51.

Martin MGF. The Limits of Self-Awareness. Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition [Internet]. 2004;120(1):37–89. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4321508>

52.

Fish W, Oxford University Press. Perception, hallucination, and illusion [Internet]. Vol. Philosophy of mind. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195381344.001.0001>

53.

Child W. Vision and Experience: The Causal theory and the Disjunctive Conception. *The Philosophical Quarterly*. 1992 Jul;42(168).

54.

Millar A. The Idea of Experience. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* [Internet]. 1996;96:75–90. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4545229>

55.

Gendler T, Hawthorne J. *Perceptual experience* [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon; 2010. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199289769.001.0001>

56.

Logue H. Why Naïve Realism? *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* (Hardback). 2012 Jul;112(2pt2):211–37.

57.

Nudds M. Recent Work in Perception: Naive Realism and its Opponents. *Analysis*. 2009 Apr 1;69(2):334–46.

58.

Haddock A, Macpherson F, Oxford University Press. *Disjunctivism: perception, action, knowledge* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199231546.001.0001>

59.

Shapiro L. The Embodied Cognition Research Programme. *Philosophy Compass*. 2007 Mar;2(2):338–46.

60.

Gangopadhyay N, Madary M, Spicer F, Oxford University Press. Perception, action, and consciousness: sensorimotor dynamics and two visual systems [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199551118.001.0001>

61.

Prinz J. Putting the Brakes on Enactive Perception. *Psyche* [Internet]. 2006;12(1). Available from: <http://journalpsyche.org/files/0xaae8.pdf>

62.

Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 2013 Jun;36(03):181-204.

63.

Raffman D. Similarity Spaces. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Perception* [Internet]. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199600472.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199600472-e-030>

64.

Rescorla M. Bayesian Perceptual Psychology. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Perception* [Internet]. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199600472.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199600472-e-010>