

# Assessment in Health Professions (Semester Year 2025/26)

View Online



1.

dos S. Ribeiro, C., van de Burgwal, L.H.M., Regeer, B.J.: Overcoming challenges for designing and implementing the One Health approach: A systematic review of the literature. *One Health*. 7, (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2019.100085>.

2.

Hodges, B.D.: *A practical guide for medical teachers*. Elsevier, Edinburgh (2017).

3.

Association for the Study of Medical Education: *Understanding medical education: evidence, theory, and practice*. Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken, NJ (2019).

4.

Marshall, S. ed: *A handbook for teaching and learning in higher education: enhancing academic practice*. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon (2020).

5.

Holmboe, Eric.S., Durning, S.J.: *Practical Guide to the Assessment of Clinical Competence*. (2024).

6.

Norcini, J., Anderson, B., Bollela, V., Burch, V., Costa, M.J., Duvivier, R., Galbraith, R., Hays, R., Kent, A., Perrott, V., Roberts, T.: *Criteria for good assessment: Consensus statement*

and recommendations from the Ottawa 2010 Conference. *Medical Teacher*. 33, 206–214 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2011.551559>.

7.

Van Der Vleuten, C.P.M.: The assessment of professional competence: Developments, research and practical implications. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 1, 41–67 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00596229>.

8.

van der Vleuten, C.P.M., Schuwirth, L.W.T.: Assessing professional competence: from methods to programmes. *Medical Education*. 39, 309–317 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2005.02094.x>.

9.

Schuwirth, L.W., van der Vleuten, C.P.: How to Design a Useful Test: The Principles of Assessment. In: Swanwick, T. (ed.) *Understanding Medical Education*. pp. 277–289. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119373780.ch20>.

10.

van der Vleuten, C.P.M., Schuwirth, L.W.T., Driessen, E.W., Dijkstra, J., Tigelaar, D., Baartman, L.K.J., van Tartwijk, J.: A model for programmatic assessment fit for purpose. *Medical Teacher*. 34, 205–214 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.652239>.

11.

Biggs, J.: Enhancing Teaching through Constructive Alignment. *Higher Education*. 32, 347–364 (1996).

12.

Miller, G.: The assessment of clinical skills/competence/performance. *Academic Medicine*. 65, (1990).

13.

Schuwirth, L.W.T., van der Vleuten, C.P.M.: Programmatic assessment and Kane's validity perspective. *Medical Education*. 46, 38–48 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2011.04098.x>.

14.

Epstein, R.M.: Defining and Assessing Professional Competence. *JAMA*. 287, (2002).  
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.287.2.226>.

15.

ten Cate, O.: Nuts and Bolts of Entrustable Professional Activities. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*. 5, 157–158 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-12-00380.1>.

16.

Ten Cate, O.: Competency-Based Postgraduate Medical Education: Past, Present and Future. *GMS Journal for Medical Education*. 34, (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3205/zma001146>.

17.

Cruess, R.L., Cruess, S.R., Steinert, Y.: Amending Miller's Pyramid to Include Professional Identity Formation. *Academic Medicine*. 91, 180–185 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000913>.

18.

Cobb, K.A., Brown, G., Jaarsma, D.A.D.C., Hammond, R.A.: The educational impact of assessment: A comparison of DOPS and MCQs. *Medical Teacher*. 35, e1598–e1607 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2013.803061>.

19.

Jolly, B., Dalton, M.J.: Written Assessment. In: Swanwick, T., Forrest, K., and O'Brien, B.C. (eds) *Understanding Medical Education*. pp. 291–317. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119373780.ch21>.

20.

Jolly, B.: Written Assessment. In: Swanwick, T. (ed.) *Understanding Medical Education*. pp. 261–261. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK (2019).  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119373780.ch21>.

21.

Epstein, R.M.: Assessment in Medical Education. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 356, 387–396 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra054784>.

22.

Hift, R.J.: Should essays and other "open-ended"-type questions retain a place in written summative assessment in clinical medicine? *BMC Medical Education*. 14, (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-014-0249-2>.

23.

Paniagua, M., Swygert, K. eds: *The Gold Book - constructing written test questions for the basic and clinical sciences*,  
[https://www.nbme.org/sites/default/files/2020-01/IWW\\_Gold\\_Book.pdf](https://www.nbme.org/sites/default/files/2020-01/IWW_Gold_Book.pdf).

24.

Schuwirth, L.W.T., van der Vleuten, C.P.M.: ABC of learning and teaching in medicine: Written assessment. *BMJ*. 326, 643–645 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.326.7390.643>.

25.

Schuwirth, L.W.T., van der Vleuten, C.P.M.: Different written assessment methods: what can be said about their strengths and weaknesses? *Medical Education*. 38, 974–979 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2004.01916.x>.

26.

Schuwirth, L.W.T., van der Vleuten, C.P.M.: General overview of the theories used in assessment: AMEE Guide No. 57. *Medical Teacher*. 33, 783–797 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2011.611022>.

27.

Charlin, B., Roy, L., Brailovsky, C., Goulet, F., van der Vleuten, C.: The Script Concordance Test: A Tool to Assess the Reflective Clinician. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*. 12, 189–195 (2000). [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328015TLM1204\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328015TLM1204_5).

28.

Fournier, J., Demeester, A., Charlin, B.: Script Concordance Tests: Guidelines for Construction. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*. 8, (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-8-18>.

29.

Case, S.M., Swanson, D.B.: Extended-matching items: A practical alternative to free-response questions. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*. 5, 107–115 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10401339309539601>.

30.

Dory, V., Gagnon, R., Vanpee, D., Charlin, B.: How to construct and implement script concordance tests: insights from a systematic review. *Medical Education*. 46, 552–563 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2011.04211.x>.

31.

Farmer, E.A., Page, G.: A practical guide to assessing clinical decision-making skills using the key features approach. *Medical Education*. 39, 1188–1194 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2005.02339.x>.

32.

FENDERSON, B.: The virtues of extended matching and uncued tests as alternatives to multiple choice questions. *Human Pathology*. 28, 526–532 (1997). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0046-8177\(97\)90073-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0046-8177(97)90073-3).

33.

Haladyna, T.M., Downing, S.M., Rodriguez, M.C.: A Review of Multiple-Choice Item-Writing Guidelines for Classroom Assessment. *Applied Measurement in Education*. 15, 309–333 (2002). [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15324818AME1503\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15324818AME1503_5).

34.

McCoubrie, P.: Improving the fairness of multiple-choice questions: a literature review. *Medical Teacher*. 26, 709–712 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590400013495>.

35.

Miller, M.D., Linn, R.L., Gronlund, N.E.: *Measurement and assessment in teaching*. Pearson Education, Boston, Mass (2013).

36.

Palmer, E.J., Devitt, P.G.: Assessment of higher order cognitive skills in undergraduate education: modified essay or multiple choice questions?: research paper. *BMC Medical Education*. 7, (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6920-7-49>.

37.

Lubarsky, S., Dory, V., Meterissian, S., Lambert, C., Gagnon, R.: Examining the effects of gaming and guessing on script concordance test scores. *Perspectives on Medical Education*. 7, 174–181 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40037-018-0435-8>.

38.

Anderson, L.W., Bloom, B.S.: *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: a revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. Longman, New York, N.Y. (2001).

39.

Sam, A.H., Field, S.M., Collares, C.F., van der Vleuten, C.P.M., Wass, V.J., Melville, C., Harris, J., Meeran, K.: Very-short-answer questions: reliability, discrimination and acceptability. *Medical Education*. 52, 447–455 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13504>.

40.

Cotton, D.R.E., Cotton, P.A., Shipway, J.R.: Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*. 61, 228–239 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2023.2190148>.

41.

Boursicot, K.A.M., Roberts, T.E., Burdick, W.P.: Structured Assessments of Clinical Competence. In: Swanwick, T., Forrest, K., and O'Brien, B.C. (eds) *Understanding Medical Education*. pp. 335–345. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119373780.ch23>.

42.

Schoonheim-Klein, M., Muijtjens, A., Habets, L., Manogue, M., Van Der Vleuten, C., Van Der Velden, U.: Who will pass the dental OSCE? Comparison of the Angoff and the borderline regression standard setting methods. *European Journal of Dental Education*. 13, 162–171 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0579.2008.00568.x>.

43.

Regehr G1, MacRae H, Reznick RK, Szalay D.: Comparing the psychometric properties of checklists and global rating scales for assessing performance on an OSCE-format examination. *Acad Med*. (1998).

44.

Harden, R.M.: Misconceptions and the OSCE. *Medical Teacher*. 37, 608–610 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2015.1042443>.

45.

Carraccio, Carol;Wolfsthal, Susan D.;Englander, Robert;Ferentz, Kevin;Martin, Christine: Shifting Paradigms: From Flexner to Competencies. *Academic Medicine*. 77, .

46.

Rushforth, H.E.: Objective structured clinical examination (OSCE): Review of literature and implications for nursing education. *Nurse Education Today*. 27, 481–490 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2006.08.009>.

47.

Spielman, A., Fulmer, T., Eisenberg, E., Alfano, M.: Dentistry, Nursing, and Medicine: A Comparison of Core Competencies. *Journal of Dental Education*. 69, 1257–1271 (2005).

48.

Harden, R.M., Stevenson, M., Downie, W.W., Wilson, G.M.: Assessment of clinical competence using objective structured examination. *BMJ*. 1, 447–451 (1975).  
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.1.5955.447>.

49.

Watson, R., Stimpson, A., Topping, A., Porock, D.: Clinical competence assessment in nursing: a systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 39, 421–431 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2002.02307.x>.

50.

Williams, D.M., Davies, S., Horner, M., Handley, J.: Peer and near-peer OSCE examiners. *Medical Teacher*. 38, 212–213 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2015.1072266>.

51.

Brown, C., Ross, S., Cleland, J., Walsh, K.: Money makes the (medical assessment) world go round: The cost of components of a summative final year Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE). *Medical Teacher*. 37, 653–659 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2015.1033389>.

52.

Meskell, P., Burke, E., Kropmans, T.J.B., Byrne, E., Setyonugroho, W., Kennedy, K.M.: Back to the future: An online OSCE Management Information System for nursing OSCEs. *Nurse Education Today*. 35, 1091–1096 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2015.06.010>.

53.

Tavakol, M., Doody, G.A.: A novel psychometric programme for the rapid analysis of OSCE data. *Medical Teacher*. 38, 104–105 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2015.1062085>.

54.

Eva, K.W., Rosenfeld, J., Reiter, H.I., Norman, G.R.: An admissions OSCE: the multiple mini-interview. *Medical Education*. 38, 314–326 (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2004.01776.x>.

55.

Lane, P.: Recruitment into training for general practice—the winds of change or a breath of fresh air? *BMJ*. 331, s153–s153 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.331.7520.s153>.

56.

Hodges, B., Regehr, G., McNaughton, N., Tiberius, R., Hanson, M.: OSCE checklists do not capture increasing levels of expertise. 74, (1999).

57.

Hodges, B., McIlroy, J.H.: Analytic global OSCE ratings are sensitive to level of training. *Medical Education*. 37, 1012–1016 (2003).  
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2003.01674.x>.

58.

Ma, I.W.Y., Zalunardo, N., Pachev, G., Beran, T., Brown, M., Hatala, R., McLaughlin, K.: Comparing the use of global rating scale with checklists for the assessment of central venous catheterization skills using simulation. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 17, 457–470 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-011-9322-3>.

59.

Wood, T.J., Humphrey-Murto, S.M., Norman, G.R.: Standard Setting in a Small Scale OSCE: A Comparison of the Modified Borderline-Group Method and the Borderline Regression Method. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 11, 115–122 (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-005-7853-1>.

60.

Harden, R.M.: Revisiting 'Assessment of clinical competence using an objective structured clinical examination (OSCE)'. *Medical Education*. 50, 376–379 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12801>.

61.

Harden, R.M., Lilley, P., Patricio, M., Norman, G.R.: *The definitive guide to the OSCE: the Objective Structured Clinical Examination as a performance assessment*. Elsevier, Edinburgh (2016).

62.

Denison, A., Bate, E., Thompson, J.: Tablet versus paper marking in assessment: feedback matters. *Perspectives on Medical Education*. 5, 108–113 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40037-016-0262-8>.

63.

ten Cate, O.: Nuts and Bolts of Entrustable Professional Activities. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*. 5, 157–158 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-12-00380.1>.

64.

Harden, R.M.: Learning outcomes as a tool to assess progression. *Medical Teacher*. 29, 678–682 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590701729955>.

65.

Ross, M.: Entrustable professional activities. *The Clinical Teacher*. 12, 223–225 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/tct.12436>.

66.

ten Cate, O., Young, J.Q.: The patient handover as an entrustable professional activity: adding meaning in teaching and practice. *BMJ Quality & Safety*. 21, i9–i12 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2012-001213>.

67.

Aylward, M., Nixon, J., Gladding, S.: An Entrustable Professional Activity (EPA) for Handoffs as a Model for EPA Assessment Development. *Academic Medicine*. 89, 1335–1340 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000317>.

68.

Hauer, K.E., Soni, K., Cornett, P., Kohlwes, J., Hollander, H., Ranji, S.R., ten Cate, O., Widera, E., Calton, B., O'Sullivan, P.S.: Developing Entrustable Professional Activities as the Basis for Assessment of Competence in an Internal Medicine Residency: A Feasibility Study. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 28, 1110–1114 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-013-2372-x>.

69.

Orsini, C., Binnie, V.I.: Entrustment decisions in dental education: Is it time to start formalising? *Medical Teacher*. 38, 322–322 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2015.1114598>.

70.

Auewarakul, C., Downing, S.M., Praditsuwan, R., Jaturatamrong, U.: Item Analysis to Improve Reliability for an Internal Medicine Undergraduate OSCE. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 10, 105–113 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-005-2315-3>.

71.

NEWBLE, D.I., SWANSON, D.B.: Psychometric characteristics of the objective structured clinical examination. *Medical Education*. 22, 325–334 (1988). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.1988.tb00761.x>.

72.

Sturpe, D.A.: Objective Structured Clinical Examinations in Doctor of Pharmacy Programs in the United States. *American journal of pharmaceutical education*. 74, (2010). <https://doi.org/10.5688/aj7408148>.

73.

Snell, L.S., Frank, J.R.: Competencies, the tea bag model, and the end of time. *Medical Teacher*. 32, 629–630 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2010.500707>.

74.

Gravina, E.W.: Competency-Based Education and Its Effect on Nursing Education: A Literature Review. *Teaching and Learning in Nursing*. 12, 117–121 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teln.2016.11.004>.

75.

Read, E.K., Bell, C., Rhind, S., Hecker, K.G.: The Use of Global Rating Scales for OSCEs in Veterinary Medicine. *PLOS ONE*. 10, (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121000>.

76.

Wood, T.J., Pugh, D.: Are rating scales really better than checklists for measuring increasing levels of expertise? *Medical Teacher*. 42, 46–51 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2019.1652260>.

77.

Hagel, C.M., Hall, A.K., Dagnone, J.D.: Queen's University Emergency Medicine Simulation OSCE: an Advance in Competency-Based Assessment. *CJEM*. 18, 230–233 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1017/cem.2015.34>.

78.

Tekian, A., Ten Cate, O., Holmboe, E., Roberts, T., Norcini, J.: Entrustment decisions: Implications for curriculum development and assessment. *Medical Teacher*. 1–7 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2020.1733506>.

79.

Peters, H., Holzhausen, Y., Boscardin, C., ten Cate, O., Chen, H.C.: Twelve tips for the implementation of EPAs for assessment and entrustment decisions. *Medical Teacher*. 39, 802–807 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2017.1331031>.

80.

Kakadia, R., Chen, E., Ohyama, H.: Implementing an online OSCE during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Dental Education*. (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jdd.12323>.

81.

Ryan, A., Carson, A., Reid, K., Smallwood, D., Judd, T.: Fully online OSCEs: A large cohort case study. *MedEdPublish*. 9, (2020). <https://doi.org/10.15694/mep.2020.000214.1>.

82.

J. G. Boyle: Viva la VOSCE? *BMC Medical Education*. 20, (2020).

83.

Hopwood, J., Myers, G., Sturrock, A.: Twelve tips for conducting a virtual OSCE. *Medical Teacher*. 43, 633–636 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2020.1830961>.

84.

Norcini, J.J.: The Mini-CEX: A Method for Assessing Clinical Skills. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 138, (2003). <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-138-6-200303180-00012>.

85.

Kessel, D., Jenkins, J., Neville, E.: Workplace based assessments are no more. *BMJ*. (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e6193>.

86.

Norcini, J., Burch, V.: Workplace-based assessment as an educational tool: AMEE Guide No. 31. *Medical Teacher*. 29, 855–871 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590701775453>.

87.

Elstein, A.S., Sprafka, S.A., Shulman, L.S.: *Medical Problem Solving: An Analysis of Clinical Reasoning.* , Harvard University Press, 2013.

88.

Noel, G.L.: How Well Do Internal Medicine Faculty Members Evaluate the Clinical Skills of Residents? *Annals of Internal Medicine.* 117, (1992).  
<https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-117-9-757>.

89.

Kogan, J.R., Bellini, L.M., Shea, J.A.: Feasibility, Reliability, and Validity of the Mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise (mCEX) in a Medicine Core Clerkship. *Academic Medicine.* 78, (2003).

90.

Durning, S.J., Cation, L.J., Markert, R.J., Pangaro, L.N.: Assessing the Reliability and Validity of the Mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise for Internal Medicine Residency Training. *Academic Medicine.* 77, (2002).

91.

Holmboe, E.S., Huot, S., Chung, J., Norcini, J., Hawkins, R.E.: Construct Validity of the MiniClinical Evaluation Exercise (miniCEX). *Academic Medicine.* 78, (2003).

92.

Torsney, K.M., Cocker, D.M., Slesser, A.A.P.: The Modern Surgeon and Competency Assessment: Are the Workplace-Based Assessments Evidence-Based? *World Journal of Surgery.* 39, 623–633 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-014-2875-6>.

93.

Mitchell, C., Bhat, S., Herbert, A., Baker, P.: Workplace-based assessments of junior doctors: do scores predict training difficulties? *Medical Education.* 45, 1190–1198 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2011.04056.x>.

94.

Williams, R.G., Verhulst, S., Colliver, J.A., Dunnington, G.L.: Assuring the reliability of resident performance appraisals: More items or more observations? *Surgery*. 137, 141–147 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2004.06.011>.

95.

Murphy, D.J., Bruce, D.A., Mercer, S.W., Eva, K.W.: The reliability of workplace-based assessment in postgraduate medical education and training: a national evaluation in general practice in the United Kingdom. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 14, 219–232 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-008-9104-8>.

96.

Archer, J.C.: Use of SPRAT for peer review of paediatricians in training. *BMJ*. 330, 1251–1253 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38447.610451.8F>.

97.

Quantrill, S.J., Tun, J.K.: Workplace-based assessment as an educational tool. *Guide supplement 31.5 – Viewpoint. Medical Teacher*. 34, 417–418 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.668234>.

98.

Hurst, Y.K., Prescott-Clements, L.E., Rennie, J.S.: The patient assessment questionnaire: A new instrument for evaluating the interpersonal skills of vocational dental practitioners. *British Dental Journal*. 197, 497–500 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4811750>.

99.

Humphrey-Murto, S., Côté, M., Pugh, D., Wood, T.J.: Assessing the Validity of a Multidisciplinary Mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*. 30, 152–161 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10401334.2017.1387553>.

100.

Rekman, J., Hamstra, S.J., Dudek, N., Wood, T., Seabrook, C., Gofton, W.: A New Instrument for Assessing Resident Competence in Surgical Clinic: The Ottawa Clinic Assessment Tool.

Journal of Surgical Education. 73, 575–582 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2016.02.003>.

101.

Sutherland, R.M., Reid, K.J., Chiavaroli, N.G., Smallwood, D., McColl, G.J.: Assessing Diagnostic Reasoning Using a Standardized Case-Based Discussion. *Journal of Medical Education and Curricular Development*. 6, (2019).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2382120519849411>.

102.

Driessen, E.W., Muijtjens, A.M.M., van Tartwijk, J., van der Vleuten, C.P.M.: Web- or paper-based portfolios: is there a difference? *Medical Education*. 41, 1067–1073 (2007).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2007.02859.x>.

103.

Driessen, E., van Tartwijk, J.: Portfolios in personal and professional development. In: Swanwick, T. (ed.) *Understanding Medical Education*. pp. 255–262. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, UK (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119373780.ch18>.

104.

Siau, K., Dunckley, P., Valori, R., Feeney, M., Hawkes, N., Anderson, J., Beales, I., Wells, C., Thomas-Gibson, S., Johnson, G.: Changes in scoring of Direct Observation of Procedural Skills (DOPS) forms and the impact on competence assessment. *Endoscopy*. 50, 770–778 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-0576-6667>.

105.

Martinsen, S.S.S., Espeland, T., Berg, E.A.R., Samstad, E., Lillebo, B., Slørdahl, T.S.: Examining the educational impact of the mini-CEX: a randomised controlled study. *BMC Medical Education*. 21, (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-02670-3>.

106.

Cohen, L., Manion, L., Morrison, K.: *Research methods in education*. Routledge, London (2018).

107.

Joanna Briggs Institute QARI, <https://jbi.global/>.

108.

Buckley, S., Coleman, J., Davison, I., Khan, K.S., Zamora, J., Malick, S., Morley, D., Pollard, D., Ashcroft, T., Popovic, C., Sayers, J.: The educational effects of portfolios on undergraduate student learning: A Best Evidence Medical Education (BEME) systematic review. BEME Guide No. 11. Medical Teacher. 31, 282–298 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590902889897>.

109.

Brookfield, S.: Developing critical thinkers: challenging adults to explore alternative ways of thinking and acting. Open University Press, Milton Keynes (1987).

110.

Burls, A.: What is critical appraisal?, [https://www.academia.edu/92786872/What\\_Is\\_Critical\\_Appraisal](https://www.academia.edu/92786872/What_Is_Critical_Appraisal), (2009).

111.

The Campbell Collaboration, <http://www.campbellcollaboration.org/>.

112.

CASP Critical Appraisal Skills Programme Oxford UK, <http://www.casp-uk.net/>.

113.

Cochrane | Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health., <http://www.cochrane.org/>.

114.

Kee, F., Bickle, I.: Critical thinking and critical appraisal: the chicken and the egg? *QJM*. 97, 609–614 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1093/qjmed/hch099>.

115.

Da Silva, A., Dennick, R.: Corpus analysis of problem-based learning transcripts: an exploratory study. *Medical Education*. 44, 280–288 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2009.03575.x>.

116.

Garrison, D.R.: Critical thinking and adult education: a conceptual model for developing critical thinking in adult learners. *International Journal of Lifelong Education*. 10, 287–303 (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0260137910100403>.

117.

Hammick, M., Dornan, T., Steinert, Y.: Conducting a best evidence systematic review. Part 1: From idea to data coding. BEME Guide No. 13. *Medical Teacher*. 32, 3–15 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.3109/01421590903414245>.

118.

Horsley, T., Hyde, C., Santesso, N., Parkes, J., Milne, R., Stewart, R.: Teaching critical appraisal skills in healthcare settings. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001270.pub2>.

119.

Huang, G.C., Newman, L.R., Schwartzstein, R.M.: Critical Thinking in Health Professions Education: Summary and Consensus Statements of the Millennium Conference 2011. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*. 26, 95–102 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10401334.2013.857335>.

120.

Jenicek, M.: The hard art of soft science: Evidence-Based Medicine, Reasoned Medicine or both? *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*. 12, 410–419 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2006.00718.x>.

121.

Kirkpatrick, D.: Great Ideas Revisited: Revisiting Kirkpatrick's Four-Level Model. *Training and Development*. 50, 54-59 (1996).

122.

Missimer, C.A.: *Good arguments: an introduction to critical thinking*. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. (1995).

123.

Moore, T.J.: Critical thinking and disciplinary thinking: a continuing debate. *Higher Education Research & Development*. 30, 261-274 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2010.501328>.

124.

Paul, R.: *Critical thinking: how to prepare students for a rapidly changing world*. foundation for critical thinking (1995).

125.

Paul, R., Elder, L.: *The Miniature Guide to Critical Thinking: Concepts and Tools*, [https://www.criticalthinking.org/files/Concepts\\_Tools.pdf](https://www.criticalthinking.org/files/Concepts_Tools.pdf), (2006).

126.

Yardley, S., Dornan, T.: Kirkpatrick's levels and education 'evidence'. *Medical Education*. 46, 97-106 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2011.04076.x>.

127.

Devine, O.P., Harborne, A.C., McManus, I.C.: Assessment at UK medical schools varies substantially in volume, type and intensity and correlates with postgraduate attainment. *BMC Medical Education*. 15, (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-015-0428-9>.

128.

Ertmer, P.A., Richardson, J.C., Belland, B., Camin, D., Connolly, P., Coulthard, G., Lei, K., Mong, C.: Using Peer Feedback to Enhance the Quality of Student Online Postings: An Exploratory Study. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*. 12, 412–433 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2007.00331.x>.

129.

Nicol, D.J., Macfarlane-Dick, D.: Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: a model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education*. 31, 199–218 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572090>.

130.

Piedra, N., Chicaiza, J., Lopez, J., Romero, A., Tovar, E.: Measuring collaboration and creativity skills through rubrics: Experience from UTPL collaborative social networks course. In: *IEEE EDUCON 2010 Conference*. pp. 1511–1516. IEEE (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON.2010.5492349>.

131.

De Wever, B., Van Keer, H., Schellens, T., Valcke, M.: Assessing collaboration in a wiki: The reliability of university students' peer assessment. *The Internet and Higher Education*. 14, 201–206 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2011.07.003>.

132.

Verkerk, M.A., de Bree, M.J., Mourits, M.J.E.: Reflective professionalism: interpreting CanMEDS' 'professionalism'. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 33, 663–666 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2006.017954>.

133.

Cleland, J.A., Knight, L.V., Rees, C.E., Tracey, S., Bond, C.M.: Is it me or is it them? Factors that influence the passing of underperforming students. *Medical Education*. 42, 800–809 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2008.03113.x>.

134.

Cruess, R.: The Professionalism Mini-Evaluation Exercise: A Preliminary Investigation. *Academic Medicine*. 81,.

135.

Goldie, J.: Assessment of professionalism: A consolidation of current thinking. *Medical Teacher*. 35, e952–e956 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.714888>.

136.

van Mook, W.N.K.A., Gorter, S.L., O'Sullivan, H., Wass, V., Schuwirth, L.W., van der Vleuten, C.P.M.: Approaches to professional behaviour assessment: Tools in the professionalism toolbox. *European Journal of Internal Medicine*. 20, e153–e157 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2009.07.012>.

137.

Ginsburg, S., Regehr, G., Lingard, L.: Basing the evaluation of professionalism on observable behaviours: a cautionary tale. *Academic Medicine*. 79, S1–S4 (2004).

138.

Hodges, B.D., Ginsburg, S., Cruess, R., Cruess, S., Delpont, R., Hafferty, F., Ho, M.-J., Holmboe, E., Holtman, M., Ohbu, S., Rees, C., Ten Cate, O., Tsugawa, Y., Van Mook, W., Wass, V., Wilkinson, T., Wade, W.: Assessment of professionalism: Recommendations from the Ottawa 2010 Conference. *Medical Teacher*. 33, 354–363 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2011.577300>.

139.

Schubert, S., Ortwein, H., Dumitsch, A., Schwantes, U., Wilhelm, O., Kiessling, C., Schubert, S., Ortwein, H., Dumitsch, A., Schwantes, U., Wilhelm, O., Kiessling, C.: A situational judgement test of professional behaviour: development and validation. *Medical Teacher*. 30, 528–533 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590801952994>.

140.

Arnold, L., Shue, C.K., Kritt, B., Ginsburg, S., Stern, D.T.: Medical students' views on peer assessment of professionalism. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 20, 819–824 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1497.2005.0162.x>.

141.

Arnold, L., Shue, C.K., Kalishman, S., Prislín, M., Pohl, C., Pohl, H., Stern, D.T.: Can There Be a Single System for Peer Assessment of Professionalism among Medical Students? A Multi-Institutional Study. *Academic Medicine*. 82, 578–586 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e3180555d4e>.

142.

Finn, G., Sawdon, M., Clipsham, L., McLachlan, J.: Peer estimation of lack of professionalism correlates with low Conscientiousness Index scores. *Medical Education*. 43, 960–967 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2009.03453.x>.

143.

Gaufberg, E., Fitzpatrick, A.: The favour: a professional boundaries OSCE station. *Medical Education*. 42, 529–530 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2008.03067.x>.

144.

Ginsburg, S.: Context, Conflict, and Resolution: A New Conceptual Framework for Evaluating Professionalism. *Academic Medicine*. 75, .

145.

Ginsburg, S., Regehr, G., Mylopoulos, M.: From behaviours to attributions: further concerns regarding the evaluation of professionalism. *Medical Education*. 43, 414–425 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2009.03335.x>.

146.

Ginsburg, S., van der Vleuten, C., Eva, K.W., Lingard, L.: Hedging to save face: a linguistic analysis of written comments on in-training evaluation reports. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 21, 175–188 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-015-9622-0>.

147.

GMC: Development of generic professional capabilities,

<http://www.gmc-uk.org/education/23581.asp>.

148.

Kelly, M., O'Flynn, S., McLachlan, J., Sawdon, M.A.: The Clinical Conscientiousness Index. *Academic Medicine*. 87, 1218–1224 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e3182628499>.

149.

McCormack, W.T., Lazarus, C., Stern, D., Small, P.A.: Peer Nomination: A Tool for Identifying Medical Student Exemplars in Clinical Competence and Caring, Evaluated at Three Medical Schools. *Academic Medicine*. 82, 1033–1039 (2007).  
<https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ACM.0000285345.75528.ee>.

150.

McLachlan, J.C., Finn, G., Macnaughton, J.: The Conscientiousness Index: A Novel Tool to Explore Students' Professionalism. *Academic Medicine*. 84, 559–565 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e31819fb7ff>.

151.

Norcini, J.J.: Peer assessment of competence. *Medical Education*. 37, 539–543 (2003).  
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2003.01536.x>.

152.

Papadakis, M.A., Teherani, A., Banach, M.A., Knettler, T.R., Rattner, S.L., Stern, D.T., Veloski, J.J., Hodgson, C.S.: Disciplinary Action by Medical Boards and Prior Behavior in Medical School. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 353, 2673–2682 (2005).  
<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa052596>.

153.

Pohl, C.A., Hojat, M., Arnold, L.: Peer Nominations as Related to Academic Attainment, Empathy, Personality, and Specialty Interest. *Academic Medicine*. 86, 747–751 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e318217e464>.

154.

Ramsey, P.G.: Use of Peer Ratings to Evaluate Physician Performance. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*. 269, (1993).  
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1993.03500130069034>.

155.

Royal College of Physicians: *Doctors in Society: Medical professionalism in a changing world*,  
[https://cdn.shopify.com/s/files/1/0924/4392/files/doctors\\_in\\_society\\_reportweb.pdf?15745311214883953343](https://cdn.shopify.com/s/files/1/0924/4392/files/doctors_in_society_reportweb.pdf?15745311214883953343), (2005).

156.

Stern, D.T., Frohna, A.Z., Gruppen, L.D.: The prediction of professional behaviour. *Medical Education*. 39, 75–82 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2004.02035.x>.

157.

Stern, D.T.: *Measuring medical professionalism*. Oxford University Press, New York (2006).

158.

van Mook, W.N.K.A., van Luijk, S.J., O'Sullivan, H., Wass, V., Schuwirth, L.W., van der Vleuten, C.P.M.: General considerations regarding assessment of professional behaviour. *European Journal of Internal Medicine*. 20, e90–e95 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2008.11.011>.

159.

Wilkinson, T.J., Wade, W.B., Knock, L.D.: A Blueprint to Assess Professionalism: Results of a Systematic Review. *Academic Medicine*. 84, 551–558 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e31819fbaa2>.

160.

Zijlstra-Shaw, S., Robinson, P.G., Roberts, T.: Assessing professionalism within dental education; the need for a definition. *European Journal of Dental Education*. 16, e128–e136

(2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0579.2011.00687.x>.

161.

Patterson, F., Zibarras, L., Ashworth, V.: Situational judgement tests in medical education and training: Research, theory and practice: AMEE Guide No. 100. *Medical Teacher*. 38, 3–17 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2015.1072619>.

162.

Gorania, R.: Situational judgement stress. *British Dental Journal*. 231, 426–426 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-021-3577-8>.

163.

Royal College of Physicians: Advancing medical professionalism, <https://www.healthcarevalues.ox.ac.uk/files/ampsummary.pdf>.

164.

Rimmer, A.: Situational judgment test is scrapped under new system for allocating foundation training places. *BMJ*. (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.p1269>.

165.

McKinley, D.W., Norcini, J.J.: How to set standards on performance-based examinations: AMEE Guide No. 85. *Medical Teacher*. 36, 97–110 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2013.853119>.

166.

Ben-David, M.F.: AMEE Guide No. 18: Standard setting in student assessment. *Medical Teacher*. 22, 120–130 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590078526>.

167.

De Champlain, A.F.: Standard Setting Methods in Medical Education. In: Swanwick, T. (ed.) *Understanding Medical Education*. pp. 347–359. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK (2019).

<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119373780.ch24>.

168.

Cohen-Schotanus, J., van der Vleuten, C.P.M.: A standard setting method with the best performing students as point of reference: Practical and affordable. *Medical Teacher*. 32, 154–160 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.3109/01421590903196979>.

169.

Downing, S.M., Tekian, A., Yudkowsky, R.: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY: Procedures for Establishing Defensible Absolute Passing Scores on Performance Examinations in Health Professions Education. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*. 18, 50–57 (2006). [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328015t1m1801\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328015t1m1801_11).

170.

Hofstee, W.K.B.: The Case for Compromise in Educational Selection and Grading. *On Educational Testing*. (1984).

171.

Fowell, S.L., Fewtrell, R., McLaughlin, P.J.: Estimating the Minimum Number of Judges Required for Test-centred Standard Setting on Written Assessments. Do Discussion and Iteration have an Influence? *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 13, 11–24 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-006-9027-1>.

172.

Taylor, C.A.: Development of a modified Cohen method of standard setting. *Medical Teacher*. 33, e678–e682 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2011.611192>.

173.

Karantonis, A., Sireci, S.G.: The Bookmark Standard-Setting Method: A Literature Review. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*. 25, 4–12 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3992.2006.00047.x>.

174.

Puryer, J., O'Sullivan, D.: An introduction to standard setting methods in dentistry. *BDJ*. 219, 355–358 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2015.755>.

175.

Linn, A.M.J., Tonkin, A., Duggan, P.: Standard setting of script concordance tests using an adapted Nedelsky approach. *Medical Teacher*. 35, 314–319 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.746446>.

176.

Woodhouse, L: Comparison of Cohen and Angoff methods of standard setting: is Angoff worth it? European Board of Medical Assessors Annual Academic Conference: Crossing Boundaries: Assessment in Medical Education.

177.

Barbara S. Plake, James C. Impara and Patrick M. Irwin: Consistency of Angoff-Based Predictions of Item Performance: Evidence of Technical Quality of Results from the Angoff Standard Setting Method. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. 37, (2000).

178.

IC McManus: Implementing statistical equating for MRCP(UK) parts 1 and 2. *BMC Medical Education*. 14, (2014).

179.

Wood, D.F.: Formative Assessment. In: Swanwick, T. (ed.) *Understanding Medical Education*. pp. 317–328. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Oxford, UK (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119373780.ch25>.

180.

Bing-You, R.G.: Why Medical Educators May Be Failing at Feedback. *JAMA*. 302, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.1393>.

181.

Ramani, S., Krackov, S.K.: Twelve tips for giving feedback effectively in the clinical environment. *Medical Teacher*. 34, 787–791 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.684916>.

182.

Van De Ridder, J.M.M., Stokking, K.M., McGaghie, W.C., Ten Cate, O.T.J.: What is feedback in clinical education? *Medical Education*. 42, 189–197 (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2007.02973.x>.

183.

Rushton, A.: Formative assessment: a key to deep learning? *Medical Teacher*. 27, 509–513 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590500129159>.

184.

Sender Liberman, A., Liberman, M., Steinert, Y., McLeod, P., Meterissian, S.: Surgery residents and attending surgeons have different perceptions of feedback. *Medical Teacher*. 27, 470–472 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142590500129183>.

185.

Duers, L.E., Brown, N.: An exploration of student nurses' experiences of formative assessment. *Nurse Education Today*. 29, 654–659 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2009.02.007>.

186.

Olson, B.L., McDonald, J.L.: Influence of Online Formative Assessment Upon Student Learning in Biomedical Science Courses. *Journal of Dental Education*. 68, 656–659 (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/j.0022-0337.2004.68.6.tb03783.x>.

187.

Garrison, C., Ehringhaus, M.: *Formative and Summative Assessments in the Classroom*. (2007).

188.

Sadler, D.R.: Formative Assessment: revisiting the territory. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*. 5, 77–84 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050104>.

189.

Ditchfield, C.: How do learners make sense of the formative assessment opportunities available to inform their learning in a PBL course. (2007).

190.

Weaver, M.R.: Do students value feedback? Student perceptions of tutors' written responses. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 31, 379–394 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930500353061>.

191.

Ferrell, G.: Supporting assessment and feedback practice with technology: from tinkering to transformation, <https://repository.jisc.ac.uk/5450/>, (2013).

192.

Black, P., William, D.: Assessment and Classroom Learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*. 5, 7–74 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102>.

193.

Sadler, D.R.: Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems. *Instructional Science*. 18, 119–144 (1989). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00117714>.

194.

Elnicki, D.M., Layne, R.D., Ogden, P.E., Morris, D.K.: Oral versus written feedback in medical clinic. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 13, 155–158 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.1998.00049.x>.

195.

Norcross, W.A.: The Consultation: An Approach to Learning and Teaching. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*. 253, (1985).  
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1985.03350270123038>.

196.

Jackson, J.L., Kay, C., Jackson, W.C., Frank, M.: The Quality of Written Feedback by Attending Internal Medicine Residents. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 30, 973–978 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-015-3237-2>.

197.

Rudolph, J., Raemer, D., Shapiro, J.: We know what they did wrong, but not why : the case for 'frame-based' feedback. *The Clinical Teacher*. 10, 186–189 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-498X.2012.00636.x>.

198.

Scally, G., Donaldson, L.J.: Looking forward: Clinical governance and the drive for quality improvement in the new NHS in England. *BMJ*. 317, 61–65 (1998).  
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.317.7150.61>.

199.

Shaw, S.: Research governance: where did it come from, what does it mean? *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*. 98, 496–502 (2005).

200.

Dimensions of Quality, <https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/knowledge-hub/dimensions-quality>, (2010).

201.

Anderson, D., Ackerman-Anderson, L.S.: Beyond change management: how to achieve breakthrough results through conscious change leadership. Pfeiffer, San Francisco (2010).

202.

Hay, D., Kinchin, I., Lygo-Baker, S.: Making learning visible: the role of concept mapping in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*. 33, 295–311 (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070802049251>.

203.

Hay, D.B., Tan, P.L., Whaites, E.: Non-traditional learners in higher education: comparison of a traditional MCQ examination with concept mapping to assess learning in a dental radiological science course. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 35, 577–595 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602931003782525>.

204.

Richstone, L., Schwartz, M.J., Seideman, C., Cadeddu, J., Marshall, S., Kavoussi, L.R.: Eye Metrics as an Objective Assessment of Surgical Skill. *Annals of Surgery*. 252, 177–182 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181e464fb>.

205.

Suetsugu, N., Ohki, M., Kaku, T.: Quantitative Analysis of Nursing Observation Employing a Portable Eye-Tracker. *Open Journal of Nursing*. 06, 53–61 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.4236/ojn.2016.61006>.

206.

Gould, J., Day, P.: Hearing you loud and clear: student perspectives of audio feedback in higher education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 38, 554–566 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2012.660131>.

207.

Frost, J., de Pont, G., Brailsford, I.: Expanding assessment methods and moments in history. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 37, 293–304 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2010.531247>.

208.

Harrison, C.J., Molyneux, A.J., Blackwell, S., Wass, V.J.: How we give personalised audio feedback after summative OSCEs. *Medical Teacher*. 37, 323–326 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2014.932901>.

209.

Voelkel, S., Mello, L.V.: Audio Feedback – Better Feedback? *Bioscience Education*. 22, 16–30 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.11120/beej.2014.00022>.

210.

Ashraf, H., Sodergren, M.H., Merali, N., Mylonas, G., Singh, H., Darzi, A.: Eye-tracking technology in medical education: A systematic review. *Medical Teacher*. 40, 62–69 (2018).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2017.1391373>.

211.

Mayer, R.E.: Cognitive Learning. In: *Encyclopedia of the sciences of learning*. Springer, [S.I.] (2012).

212.

Ho, V.W., Harris, P.G., Kumar, R.K., Velan, G.M.: Knowledge maps: a tool for online assessment with automated feedback. *Medical Education Online*. 23, (2018).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2018.1457394>.

213.

Guraya, S.Y.: The Desired Concept Maps and Goal Setting for Assessing Professionalism in Medicine. *JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC RESEARCH*. (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2016/19917.7832>.

214.

Kassab, S.E., Fida, M., Radwan, A., Hassan, A.B., Abu-Hijleh, M., O'Connor, B.P.: Generalisability theory analyses of concept mapping assessment scores in a problem-based medical curriculum. *Medical Education*. 50, 730–737 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13054>.

215.

Courteille, O., Bergin, R., Courteille, O., Bergin, R., Stockeld, D., Ponzer, S., Fors, U.: The use of a virtual patient case in an OSCE-based exam – A pilot study. *Medical Teacher*. 30, e66–e76 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590801910216>.

216.

Downing, S.M.: Guessing on selected-response examinations. *Medical Education*. 37, 670–671 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2003.01585.x>.

217.

Ingrid Tonni, Cynthia C. Gadbury-Amyot, Marjan Govaerts, Olle ten Cate, Joan Davis, Lily T. Garcia, Richard W. Valachovic: ADEA-ADEE Shaping the Future of Dental Education III. *Journal of Dental Education*. 84, 97–104 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jdd.12024>.