Research Design and Method Selection

View Online

1.

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

2.

King G, Keohane RO, Verba S, Dawson Books. Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research [Internet]. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 1994. Available from: https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781400821211

3.

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

4.

Geddes B. Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics. Ann Arbor, [Mich.]: University of Michigan Press; 2003.

5.

Writing Studio D. What Makes a Good Research Question [Internet]. Available from: http://twp.duke.edu/uploads/media_items/research-questions.original.pdf

6.

Shapiro I, Smith RM, Masoud TE. Problems and methods in the study of politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004.

7.

Research Guides: Organizing Your Social Sciences Research Paper: 1. Choosing a Research Problem [Internet]. Available from: http://libguides.usc.edu/writingguide/researchproblem

8.

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

9.

Research Guides: Organizing Your Social Sciences Research Paper: 5. The Literature Review [Internet]. Available from: http://libguides.usc.edu/writingguide/literaturereview

10.

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

11.

Herzog D. Data literacy: a user's guide. Los Angeles: SAGE; 2016.

12.

Brockett CD. Measuring Political Violence and Land Inequality in Central America. The American Political Science Review [Internet]. American Political Science AssociationAmerican Political Science Association; 1992;86(1):169–176. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/1964022

13.

Burns N, Schlozman K, Verba S. The Public Consequences of Private Inequality: Family Life and Citizen Participation. The American Political Science Review [Internet]. American Political Science AssociationAmerican Political Science Association; 1997;91(2):373–389. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2952362

14.

Geddes B. Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics. Ann Arbor, [Mich.]: University of Michigan Press; 2003.

15.

Greener I. Designing social research: a guide for the bewildered. London: SAGE; 2011.

16.

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

17.

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

18.

Gibson JL. Social Networks, Civil Society, and the Prospects for Consolidating Russia's Democratic Transition. American Journal of Political Science. 2001 Jan;45(1).

19.

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

20.

Seawright J, Gerring J. Case Selection Techniques in Case Study Research: A Menu of

Qualitative and Quantitative Options. Political Research Quarterly [Internet]. Sage Publications, Inc.University of UtahUniversity of Utah; 2008;61(2):294–308. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20299733

21.

Geddes B. Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics. Ann Arbor, [Mich.]: University of Michigan Press; 2003.

22.

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

23.

Lieberman ES. Nested Analysis as a Mixed-Method Strategy for Comparative Research. The American Political Science Review [Internet]. American Political Science AssociationAmerican Political Science Association; 2005;99(3):435–452. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/30038950

24.

Gilliam, Jr F, Iyengar S. Prime Suspects: The Influence of Local Television News on the Viewing Public. American Journal of Political Science [Internet]. Midwest Political Science AssociationMidwest Political Science Association; 2000;44(3):560–573. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2669264

25.

Oliver JE, Mendelberg T. Reconsidering the Environmental Determinants of White Racial Attitudes. American Journal of Political Science [Internet]. Midwest Political Science AssociationMidwest Political Science Association; 2000;44(3):574–589. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2669265

26.

Shamir J, Shamir M, Lavi L. Voter Election Frames: What were the Elections about? Political Studies. 2014 July;n/a-n/a.

27.

Involving communities in deliberation: A study of three citizens' juries on onshore wind farms in Scotland [Internet]. Available from: https://www.involve.org.uk/sites/default/files/field/attachemnt/citizens_juries_report_exec_s ummary.pdf

28.

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

29.

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

30.

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

31.

Rayburn RL, Guittar NA. "This Is Where You Are Supposed to Be": How Homeless Individuals Cope with Stigma. Sociological Spectrum. 2013 Mar;33(2):159–174.

32.

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

33.

Greener I. Designing social research: a guide for the bewildered. London: SAGE; 2011.

34.

Greener I. Designing social research: a guide for the bewildered. London: SAGE; 2011.

35.

10 Famous Psychological Experiments That Could Never Happen Today | Mental Floss UK [Internet]. Available from: http://mentalfloss.com/article/52787/10-famous-psychological-experiments-could-never-happen-today