

Teaching English for Academic Purposes (Online Course)

A fully online masters level course
LANGCTR5020

View Online



[1]

Academic Word List | School of Linguistics and Applied Language Studies | Victoria
University of Wellington: <http://www.victoria.ac.nz/lals/resources/academicwordlist/>.

[2]

Aguilar, M. 2004. The peer seminar, a spoken research process genre. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 3, 1 (Jan. 2004), 55–72.
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585\(03\)00043-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(03)00043-2).

[3]

Alexander, O. et al. 2008. *EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice*.
Garnet Publishing Ltd.

[4]

Alexander, O. et al. 2008. *EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice*.
Garnet Publishing Ltd.

[5]

Alexander, O. et al. 2008. *EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice*.
Garnet Publishing Ltd.

[6]

Anderson, K. et al. 2004. Study speaking: a course in spoken English for academic purposes. Cambridge University Press.

[7]

Astorga, M.C. 2007. Teaching Academic Writing in the EFL Context: Redesigning Pedagogy. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*. 2, 4 (Nov. 2007), 251-267.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/15544800701670089>.

[8]

Atkinson, D. 1997. A Critical Approach to Critical Thinking in TESOL. *TESOL Quarterly*. 31, 1 (Spring 1997). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3587975>.

[9]

A.W., B. Teaching in a Digital Age.

[10]

Badger, R. and White, G. 2000. A process genre approach to teaching writing. *ELT Journal*. 54, 2 (Apr. 2000), 153-160. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.2.153>.

[11]

Banerjee, J. and Wall, D. 2006. Assessing and reporting performances on pre-sessional EAP courses: Developing a final assessment checklist and investigating its validity. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 5, 1 (Jan. 2006), 50-69.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.11.003>.

[12]

Basturkmen, H. 2010. Developing courses in English for specific purposes. Palgrave Macmillan.

[13]

Basturkmen, H. 2010. Developing courses in English for specific purposes. Palgrave Macmillan.

[14]

Becher, T. 1989. Academic tribes and territories: intellectual enquiry and the cultures of disciplines. Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press.

[15]

Becher, T. 1994. The significance of disciplinary differences. *Studies in Higher Education*. 19, 2 (Jan. 1994), 151–161. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079412331382007>.

[16]

Becher, T. 1981. Towards a definition of disciplinary cultures. *Studies in Higher Education*. 6, 2 (Jan. 1981), 109–122. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075078112331379362>.

[17]

Benesch, S. 1996. Needs Analysis and Curriculum Development in EAP: An Example of a Critical Approach. *TESOL Quarterly*. 30, 4 (Winter 1996). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3587931>.

[18]

Benson, P. 2007. Autonomy in language teaching and learning. *Language Teaching*. 40, 01 (Jan. 2007). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444806003958>.

[19]

Biber, D. et al. 2009. Register, genre, and style. Cambridge University Press.

[20]

Biber, D. et al. 2009. Register, genre, and style. Cambridge University Press.

[21]

Biber, D. et al. 2002. Speaking and Writing in the University: A Multidimensional Comparison. *TESOL Quarterly*. 36, 1 (Spring 2002). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3588359>.

[22]

Biber, D. et al. 2002. Speaking and Writing in the University: A Multidimensional Comparison. *TESOL Quarterly*. 36, 1 (Spring 2002). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3588359>.

[23]

Biggs, J.B. et al. 2011. Teaching for quality learning at university: what the student does. McGraw-Hill/Society for Research into Higher Education/Open University Press.

[24]

Biglan, A. 1973. The characteristics of subject matter in different academic areas. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 57, 3 (1973), 195–203.

[25]

Blaj-Ward, L. and SpringerLink (Online service) 2017. Language Learning and Use in English-Medium Higher Education. Springer International Publishing.

[26]

Bourdieu, P. et al. 1994. Academic discourse: linguistic misunderstanding and professional power. Polity.

[27]

Boyle, J. and Ramsay, S. 2017. Writing for science students. Palgrave.

[28]

Brown, J.D. and Ebooks Corporation Limited 2016. Introducing needs analysis and English for specific purposes. Routledge.

[29]

Brown, J.D. and Ebooks Corporation Limited 2016. Introducing needs analysis and English for specific purposes. Routledge.

[30]

Bruce, I. 2009. Results sections in sociology and organic chemistry articles: A genre analysis. *English for Specific Purposes*. 28, 2 (2009), 105–124.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2008.12.005>.

[31]

Bruce, I. 2005. Syllabus design for general EAP writing courses: A cognitive approach. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 4, 3 (Jul. 2005), 239–256.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.03.001>.

[32]

Charles, M. et al. eds. 2009. *Academic writing: at the interface of corpus and discourse*. Continuum.

[33]

Charles, M. et al. 2016. *Introducing English for academic purposes*. Routledge.

[34]

Charles, M. et al. 2016. *Introducing English for academic purposes*. Routledge.

[35]

Chazal, E. de and McCarter, S. 2012. Oxford EAP: a course in English for academic purposes, Upper-intermediate/B2. Oxford University Press.

[36]

Cheng, A. 2006. Understanding learners and learning in ESP genre-based writing instruction. *English for Specific Purposes*. 25, 1 (Jan. 2006), 76–89.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2005.07.002>.

[37]

Coffin, C. et al. 2003. *Teaching academic writing: a toolkit for higher education*. Routledge.

[38]

Coffin, C. and Donohue, J.P. 2012. Academic Literacies and systemic functional linguistics: How do they relate? *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 11, 1 (Mar. 2012), 64–75.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2011.11.004>.

[39]

Cooper, A. and Bikowski, D. 2007. Writing at the graduate level: What tasks do professors actually require? *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 6, 3 (Jul. 2007), 206–221.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2007.09.008>.

[40]

Cottrell, Stella 2013. *The study skills handbook*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[41]

Critical Thinking Movement: 3 Waves: 2011.
<http://www.criticalthinking.org/pages/critical-thinking-movement-3-waves/856>.

[42]

Davidson, B.W. 1998. Comments on Dwight Atkinson's 'A Critical Approach to Critical Thinking in TESOL': A Case for Critical Thinking in the English Language Classroom. *TESOL Quarterly*. 32, 1 (Spring 1998). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3587906>.

[43]

Davies, M. and Barnett, R. 2015. *The Palgrave handbook of critical thinking in higher education*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[44]

Ding, A. et al. 2017. *The English for academic purposes practitioner: operating on the edge of academia*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[45]

Ding, A. et al. 2017. *The English for academic purposes practitioner: operating on the edge of academia*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[46]

Duff, P.A. 2010. Language Socialization into Academic Discourse Communities. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*. 30, (Mar. 2010), 169–192. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190510000048>.

[47]

Durrant, P. 2014. Discipline and Level Specificity in University Students' Written Vocabulary. *Applied Linguistics*. 35, 3 (Jul. 2014), 328–356. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amt016>.

[48]

Ebooks Corporation Limited 2013. *Routledge encyclopedia of language teaching and learning*. Routledge.

[49]

Ellis, G. and Sinclair, B. 1989. Learning to learn English: a course in learner training : learner's book. Cambridge University Press.

[50]

Ferris, D. 1998. Students' Views of Academic Aural/Oral Skills: A Comparative Needs Analysis. TESOL Quarterly. 32, 2 (Summer 1998). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3587585>.

[51]

Flowerdew, J. and Costley, T. eds. 2017. Discipline-specific writing: theory into practice. Routledge.

[52]

Flowerdew, J. and Costley, T. 2016. Discipline-specific writing: theory into practice. Routledge.

[53]

Flowerdew, J. and Peacock, M. eds. 2005. Research perspectives on English for academic purposes. Cambridge University Press.

[54]

Flowerdew, L. 2000. Using a genre-based framework to teach organizational structure in academic writing. ELT Journal. 54, 4 (Oct. 2000), 369–378. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.4.369>.

[55]

Gardner, D. and Davies, M. 2014. A New Academic Vocabulary List. Applied Linguistics. 35, 3 (Jul. 2014), 305–327. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amt015>.

[56]

Gardner, S. and Nesi, H. 2013. A Classification of Genre Families in University Student Writing. Applied Linguistics. 34, 1 (Feb. 2013), 25–52.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/ams024>.

[57]

Green, B.A. and Andrade, M.S. 2010. Guiding principles for language assessment reform: A model for collaboration. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 9, 4 (Dec. 2010), 322–334. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2010.06.003>.

[58]

Green, B.A. and Andrade, M.S. 2010. Guiding principles for language assessment reform: A model for collaboration. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 9, 4 (Dec. 2010), 322–334. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2010.06.003>.

[59]

Hall, G. 2016. *The Routledge handbook of English language teaching*. Routledge Handbooks Online.

[60]

Helen Basturkmen, Author Vitae 2002. Negotiating meaning in seminar-type discussion and EAP. *Negotiating meaning in seminar-type discussion and EAP*. 21, 3 (2002), 233–242.

[61]

Horowitz, D.M. 1986. What Professors Actually Require: Academic Tasks for the ESL Classroom. *TESOL Quarterly*. 20, 3 (Sep. 1986). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3586294>.

[62]

Humphreys, G. and Wyatt, M. 2014. Helping Vietnamese university learners to become more autonomous. *ELT Journal*. 68, 1 (Jan. 2014), 52–63. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/cct056>.

[63]

Hunston, S. 2002. *Corpora in applied linguistics*. Cambridge University Press.

[64]

Hunston, S. 2002. *Corpora in applied linguistics*. Cambridge University Press.

[65]

Hyland, K. 2006. *English for academic purposes*. Taylor & Francis.

[66]

Hyland, K. 2006. *English for academic purposes*. Taylor & Francis.

[67]

Hyland, K. 2006. *English for academic purposes: An advanced resource book*. Taylor & Francis.

[68]

Hyland, K. 2003. Genre-based pedagogies: A social response to process. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 12, 1 (Feb. 2003), 17–29.
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743\(02\)00124-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743(02)00124-8).

[69]

Hyland, K. 2016. *Teaching and researching writing*. Routledge.

[70]

Hyland, K. and Bondi, M. eds. 2006. *Academic discourse across disciplines*. Peter Lang AG.

[71]

Hyland, K. and Hamp-Lyons, L. 2002. EAP: issues and directions. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 1, 1 (Jan. 2002), 1–12.
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585\(02\)00002-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(02)00002-4).

[72]

Hyland, K. and Sancho Guinda, C. eds. 2012. *Stance and voice in written academic genres*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[73]

Hyland, K. and Sancho Guinda, C. eds. 2012. *Stance and voice in written academic genres*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[74]

Hyland, K. and Shaw, P. eds. 2016. *The Routledge handbook of English for academic purposes*. Routledge Handbooks Online.

[75]

Hyland, K. and Shaw, P. eds. 2016. *The Routledge handbook of English for academic purposes*. Routledge Handbooks Online.

[76]

Hyland, Ken 2000. *Disciplinary discourses: social interactions in academic writing*. Longman.

[77]

Jordan, R.R. 1997. *English for academic purposes: a guide and resource book for teachers*. Cambridge University Press.

[78]

Jordan, R.R. 1997. *English for academic purposes: a guide and resource book for teachers*.

Cambridge University Press.

[79]

Jordan, R.R. 1989. English for Academic Purposes (EAP). *Language Teaching*. 22, 03 (Jul. 1989). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S026144480001483X>.

[80]

Kettle, M. 2017. International student engagement in higher education: transforming practices, pedagogies and participation. *Multilingual Matters*.

[81]

Lave, J. and Wenger, E. 1991. *Situated learning: legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge University Press.

[82]

LEA, MARY R.STREET, BRIAN V. Student writing in higher education: An academic literacies approach. *Studies in Higher Education*. 23, 2.

[83]

Lea, M.R. and Street, B.V. 1998. Student writing in higher education: An academic literacies approach. *Studies in Higher Education*. 23, 2 (Jan. 1998), 157–172. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079812331380364>.

[84]

LearnEnglish | British Council | Genre Families: <https://learnenglish.britishcouncil.org/>.

[85]

Lynch, T. 2007. Learning from the transcripts of an oral communication task. *ELT Journal*. 61, 4 (Apr. 2007), 311–320. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccm050>.

[86]

Manning, A. 2016. Assessing EAP: theory and practice in assessment literacy. Garnet Education.

[87]

Manning, A. 2008. English for language and linguistics in higher education studies: Course book. Garnet Education.

[88]

McMahon, P. 2013. Group work: work together for academic success. Collins EAP.

[89]

Moore, T.J. 2011. Critical thinking and disciplinary thinking: a continuing debate. Higher Education Research & Development. 30, 3 (Jun. 2011), 261–274.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2010.501328>.

[90]

Morita, N. 2000. Discourse Socialization through Oral Classroom Activities in a TESL Graduate Program. TESOL Quarterly. 34, 2 (Summer 2000).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3587953>.

[91]

Nesi, H. and Gardner, S. 2012. Genres across the disciplines: student writing in higher education. Cambridge University Press.

[92]

Nesi, H. and Gardner, S. 2012. Genres across the disciplines: student writing in higher education. Cambridge University Press.

[93]

Neumann, R. 2001. Disciplinary Differences and University Teaching. *Studies in Higher Education*. 26, 2 (Jun. 2001), 135–146. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070120052071>.

[94]

Neumann, R. et al. 2002. Teaching and Learning in their Disciplinary Contexts: a conceptual analysis... *Studies in Higher Education*. 27, 4 (2002), 405–417.

[95]

Paltridge, B. and Starfield, S. eds. 2013. *The handbook of English for specific purposes*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

[96]

Peacock, M. and Flowerdew, J. 2001. *Research perspectives on English for academic purposes*. Cambridge University Press.

[97]

Pecorari, D. 2013. *Teaching to avoid plagiarism: how to promote good source use*. Open University Press.

[98]

Pennington, M.C. and Richards, J.C. 2016. Teacher Identity in Language Teaching: Integrating Personal, Contextual, and Professional Factors. *RELC Journal*. 47, 1 (Apr. 2016), 5–23. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688216631219>.

[99]

Peter Samuels 2013. Promoting Learning Development as an Academic Discipline. *Journal of Learning Development in Higher Education*. 5 (2013).

[100]

Swales, J.M. 1990. Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings. Cambridge University Press.

[101]

Swales, J.M. 2004. Research genres: explorations and applications. Cambridge University Press.

[102]

Swales, J.M. and Feak, C.B. 2012. Academic writing for graduate students: essential tasks and skills. University of Michigan Press.

[103]

Swales, J.M. and Feak, C.B. 2012. Academic writing for graduate students: essential tasks and skills. University of Michigan Press.

[104]

Thompson, C. 2011. Teaching Critical Thinking in EAP Courses in Australia. TESOL Journal. 11, 4 (2011), 15–20.

[105]

Tomlinson, B. 2013. Developing materials for language teaching. Bloomsbury Academic.

[106]

Tudor, I. 1993. Teacher roles in the learner-centred classroom. ELT Journal. 47, 1 (Jan. 1993), 22–31. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/47.1.22>.

[107]

UEfAP: EAP Background Reading: <http://www.uefap.com/bgnd/biblfram.htm>.

[108]

Uhrig, K. 2012. Business and legal case genre networks: Two case studies. *English for Specific Purposes*. 31, 2 (2012), 127–136. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2011.09.001>.

[109]

University of Glasgow :Graduate Attributes: <http://www.gla.ac.uk/students/attributes/>.

[110]

University of Glasgow :Graduate Attributes: <http://www.gla.ac.uk/students/attributes/>.

[111]

Ursula Wingate 2015. *Academic Literacy and Student Diversity*.

[112]

Walsh, S. 2011. Analyzing university spoken interaction: a CL/CA approach. *Applying Corpus Linguistics*. *Applying Corpus Linguistics*. 16, 3 (2011).

[113]

Watson Todd, R. 2003. EAP or TEAP? *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 2, 2 (Jan. 2003), 147–156. DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585\(03\)00014-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(03)00014-6).

[114]

Weir, C.J. 2005. *Language testing and validation: an evidence-based approach*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[115]

Weissberg, B. 1993. The graduate seminar: Another research-process genre. *English for Specific Purposes*. 12, 1 (Jan. 1993), 23-35.
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-4906\(93\)90025-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-4906(93)90025-J).

[116]

West, R. 1994. Needs analysis in language teaching. *Language Teaching*. 27, 01 (Jan. 1994). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444800007527>.

[117]

What's disciplinary epistemology got to do with EAP? | Teaching EAP:
<https://teachingeap.wordpress.com/2012/07/20/whats-disciplinary-epistemology-got-to-do-with-eap/>.

[118]

Wingate, U. 2016. Academic literacy across the curriculum: Towards a collaborative instructional approach. *Language Teaching*. (Oct. 2016), 1-16.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444816000264>.

[119]

Wingate, U. 2006. Doing away with 'study skills'. *Teaching in Higher Education*. 11, 4 (Oct. 2006), 457-469. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13562510600874268>.

[120]

Wingate, U. et al. 2011. Embedding academic writing instruction into subject teaching: A case study. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. 12, 1 (Mar. 2011), 69-81.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787410387814>.

[121]

Woodrow, L. 2018. *Introducing course design in English for specific purposes*. Routledge.

[122]

Woodrow, L. 2018. Introducing course design in English for specific purposes. Routledge.

[123]

Woodward-Kron, R. 2008. More than just jargon – the nature and role of specialist language in learning disciplinary knowledge. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 7, 4 (Oct. 2008), 234–249. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2008.10.004>.

[124]

Writing for a Purpose: <http://www.uefap.com/writingforapurpose/>.

[125]

BALEAP Can Do Framework for EAP syllabus design and assessment.

[126]

BALEAP Competency Framework for Teachers of English for Academic Purposes.

[127]

BALEAP Guidelines on English Language Tests for University Entry.

[128]

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 10, 2 (Listening in EAP).

[129]

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 2 (Spoken Academic English), 1.

[130]

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 8, 2.