Teaching English for Academic Purposes

masters option

[1]

Academic Word List - School of Linguistics and Applied Language Studies - Victoria University of Wellington: http://www.victoria.ac.nz/lals/resources/academicwordlist/.

[2]

Alexander, O. et al. 2008. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Garnet Publishing Ltd.

[3]

Alexander, Olwyn et al. 2008. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Garnet.

[4]

Alexander, Olwyn et al. 2008. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Garnet.

[5]

Alexander, Olwyn et al. 2008. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Garnet.

[6]

Alexander, Olwyn et al. 2008. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Garnet.

[7]

Allwright, D. 2003. Exploratory Practice: rethinking practitioner research in language teaching. Language Teaching Research. 7, 2 (Jun. 2003), 113–141. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1191/1362168803lr118oa.

[8]

Allwright, Dick 2009. The developing language learner: an introduction to exploratory practice. Palgrave Macmillan.

[9]

Atkinson, D. 1997. A Critical Approach to Critical Thinking in TESOL. TESOL Quarterly. 31, 1 (Spring 1997). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3587975.

[10]

A.W., B. Teaching in a Digital Age.

[11]

Badger, R. and White, G. 2000. A process genre approach to teaching writing. ELT Journal. 54, 2 (Apr. 2000), 153–160. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.2.153.

[12]

Badger, R. and White, G. 2000. A process genre approach to teaching writing. ELT Journal. 54, 2 (Apr. 2000), 153–160. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.2.153.

[13]

Banerjee, J. and Wall, D. 2006. Assessing and reporting performances on pre-sessional EAP courses: Developing a final assessment checklist and investigating its validity. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 5, 1 (Jan. 2006), 50–69. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.11.003.

[14]

Basturkmen, H. 2010. Developing courses in English for specific purposes. Palgrave Macmillan.

[15]

Basturkmen, H. 2010. Developing courses in English for specific purposes. Palgrave Macmillan.

[16]

Basturkmen, Helen 2006. Ideas and options in English for specific purposes. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.

[17]

Becher, T. 1989. Academic tribes and territories: intellectual enquiry and the cultures of disciplines. Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press.

[18]

Becher, T. 1994. The significance of disciplinary differences. Studies in Higher Education. 19, 2 (Jan. 1994), 151–161. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079412331382007.

[19]

Becher, T. 1981. Towards a definition of disciplinary cultures. Studies in Higher Education. 6, 2 (Jan. 1981), 109–122. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/03075078112331379362.

[20]

Bell, Roger T. 1981. An introduction to applied linguistics: approaches and methods in language teaching. Batsford.

[21]

Benesch, S. 1996. Needs Analysis and Curriculum Development in EAP: An Example of a

Critical Approach. TESOL Quarterly. 30, 4 (Winter 1996).
DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3587931.

[22]

Benson, P. 2007. Autonomy in language teaching and learning. Language Teaching. 40, 01
(Jan. 2007). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444806003958.

[23]

Biber, D. et al. 2009. Register, genre, and style. Cambridge University Press.

[24]

Biber, D. et al. 2002. Speaking and Writing in the University: A Multidimensional
Comparison. TESOL Quarterly. 36, 1 (Spring 2002). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3588359.

[25]

Biber, Douglas et al. 2002. Longman student grammar of spoken and written English.
Longman.

[26]

Biber, Douglas 2006. University language: a corpus-based study of spoken and written
registers. J. Benjamins.

[27]

Biggs, J.B. et al. 2011. Teaching for quality learning at university: what the student does.
McGraw-Hill/Society for Research into Higher Education/Open University Press.

[28]

Biglan, A. 1973. The characteristics of subject matter in different academic areas. Journal
of Applied Psychology. 57, 3 (1973), 195–203.

[29]

Bitchener, J. and Knoch, U. 2010. The Contribution of Written Corrective Feedback to Language Development: A Ten Month Investigation. Applied Linguistics. 31, 2 (May 2010), 193–214. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amp016.

[30]

Bloom's taxonomy: http://www.learningandteaching.info/learning/bloomtax.htm.

[31]

Bloomsbury - Academic Writing: http://www.bloomsbury.com/uk/academic-writing-9781441112361/.

[32]

Borg, S. 2003. Teacher cognition in language teaching: A review of research on what language teachers think, know, believe, and do. Language Teaching. 36, 2 (Apr. 2003), 81–109. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444803001903.

[33]

Bourdieu, Pierre et al. 1994. Academic discourse: linguistic misunderstanding and professional power. Polity.

[34]

Breen, M.P. 1987. Contemporary Paradigms in Syllabus Design. Part I. Language Teaching. 20, 02 (Apr. 1987). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444800004365.

[35]

Breen, M.P. and Littlejohn, A. 2005. Classroom decision-making: negotiation and process syllabuses in practice. Cambridge University Press.

[36]

Brown, J.D. and Ebooks Corporation Limited 2016. Introducing needs analysis and English for specific purposes. Routledge.

[37]

Brown, J.D. and Ebooks Corporation Limited 2016. Introducing needs analysis and English for specific purposes. Routledge.

[38]

Bruce, I. 2008. Cognitive genre structures in Methods sections of research articles: A corpus study. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 7, 1 (Jan. 2008), 38–54. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2007.12.001.

[39]

Bruce, I. 2009. Results sections in sociology and organic chemistry articles: A genre analysis. English for Specific Purposes. 28, 2 (2009), 105–124. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2008.12.005.

[40]

Bruce, Ian, 1953- 2011. Theory and concepts of English for academic purposes. Palgrave Macmillan.

[41]

Byram, Michael and Morgan, Carol 1994. Teaching-and-learning language-and-culture. Multilingual Matters.

[42]

Charles, M. et al. eds. 2009. Academic writing: at the interface of corpus and discourse. Continuum.

[43]

Charles, M. et al. 2016. Introducing English for academic purposes. Routledge.

[44]

Chen, J. and Intaraprasert, C. 2014. Reading Strategies Employed by University Business English Majors with Different Levels of Reading Proficiency. English Language Teaching. 7, 4 (Mar. 2014). DOI:https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v7n4p25.

[45]

Coffin, C. 2003. Teaching academic writing: a toolkit for higher education. Routledge.

[46]

Coffin, C. and Donohue, J.P. 2012. Academic Literacies and systemic functional linguistics: How do they relate? Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 11, 1 (Mar. 2012), 64–75. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2011.11.004.

[47]

Coffin, C. and Donohue, J.P. 2012. English for Academic Purposes: Contributions from systemic functional linguistics and Academic Literacies. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 11, 1 (Mar. 2012), 1–3.

[48]

Cooper, A. and Bikowski, D. 2007. Writing at the graduate level: What tasks do professors actually require? Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 6, 3 (Jul. 2007), 206–221. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2007.09.008.

[49]

Cottrell, Stella 2011. Critical thinking skills: developing effective analysis and argument. Palgrave Macmillan.

[50]

Cottrell, Stella 2001. Teaching study skills and supporting learning. Palgrave.

[51]

Cottrell, Stella 2013. The study skills handbook. Palgrave Macmillan.

[52]

Critical Thinking Movement: 3 Waves: 2011.
http://www.criticalthinking.org/pages/critical-thinking-movement-3-waves/856.

[53]

Critical Thinking: Where to Begin:
http://www.criticalthinking.org/pages/critical-thinking-where-to-begin/796.

[54]

Davidson, B.W. 1998. Comments on Dwight Atkinson's 'A Critical Approach to Critical
Thinking in TESOL': A Case for Critical Thinking in the English Language Classroom. TESOL
Quarterly. 32, 1 (Spring 1998). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3587906.

[55]

Davies, M. and Barnett, R. 2015. The Palgrave handbook of critical thinking in higher
education. Palgrave Macmillan.

[56]

Dexter, Phil et al. 1999. Learner independence worksheets 2. IATEFL.

[57]

Dick Allwright Developing Principles for Practitioner Research: The Case of Exploratory
Practice. The Modern Language Journal. 89, 3, 353–366.

[58]

Ding, A. et al. 2017. The English for academic purposes practitioner: operating on the edge of academia. Palgrave Macmillan.

[59]

Dr Armin Krishnan What are Academic Disciplines?   Some observations on the Disciplinarity vs. Interdisciplinarity debate.

[60]

Duff, P.A. 2010. Language Socialization into Academic Discourse Communities. Annual Review of Applied Linguistics. 30, (Mar. 2010), 169–192. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190510000048.

[61]

Durrant, P. 2014. Discipline and Level Specificity in University Students' Written Vocabulary. Applied Linguistics. 35, 3 (Jul. 2014), 328–356. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amt016.

[62]

Ellis, Gail and Sinclair, Barbara 1989. Learning to learn English: a course in learner training : learner's book. Cambridge University Press.

[63]

Ferris, D. 1998. Students' Views of Academic Aural/Oral Skills: A Comparative Needs Analysis. TESOL Quarterly. 32, 2 (Summer 1998). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3587585.

[64]

Flowerdew, J. and Costley, T. eds. 2017. Discipline-specific writing: theory into practice. Routledge.

[65]

Flowerdew, J. and Peacock, M. eds. 2005. Research perspectives on English for academic purposes. Cambridge University Press.

[66]

Flowerdew, J. and Peacock, M. eds. 2005. Research perspectives on English for academic purposes. Cambridge University Press.

[67]

Flowerdew, John 1994. Academic listening: research perspectives. Cambridge University Press.

[68]

Flowerdew, L. 2005. Integrating traditional and critical approaches to syllabus design: the 'what', the 'how' and the 'why?' Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 4, 2 (Apr. 2005), 135–147. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2004.09.001.

[69]

Gardner, S. and Nesi, H. 2013. A Classification of Genre Families in University Student Writing. Applied Linguistics. 34, 1 (Feb. 2013), 25–52. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/ams024.

[70]

Gass, S.M. et al. 1985. Input in second language acquisition. Newbury House.

[71]

Gieve, Simon and Miller, Inés K. 2006. Understanding the language classroom. Palgrave Macmillan.

[72]

Gillett, A. et al. 2006. Assessing the effectiveness of EAP programmes. BALEAP.

[73]

Gillett, A. and Weetman, C. 2005. Investigation of the perceived usefulness of a StudyNet group discussion facility by students in higher education. Journal for the Enhancement of Learning and Teaching. 2, 1 (2005), 36–43.

[74]

Gillett, A.J. 1989. Designing an EAP Syllabus: English Language Support for Further and Higher Education. Journal of Further and Higher Education. 13, 2 (Jun. 1989), 92–104. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877890130210.

[75]

Green, B.A. and Andrade, M.S. 2010. Guiding principles for language assessment reform: A model for collaboration. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 9, 4 (Dec. 2010), 322–334. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2010.06.003.

[76]

Groom, N. 2005. Pattern and meaning across genres and disciplines: An exploratory study. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 4, 3 (Jul. 2005), 257–277. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.03.002.

[77]

Hamp-Lyons, L. and Condon, W. 2000. Assessing the portfolio: principles for practice, theory, and research. Hampton Press, Inc.

[78]

Helen Basturkmen, Author Vitae 2002. Negotiating meaning in seminar-type discussion and EAP. Negotiating meaning in seminar-type discussion and EAP. 21, 3 (2002), 233–242.

[79]

Horowitz, D.M. 1986. What Professors Actually Require: Academic Tasks for the ESL Classroom. TESOL Quarterly. 20, 3 (Sep. 1986). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3586294.

[80]

Humphreys, G. and Wyatt, M. 2014. Helping Vietnamese university learners to become more autonomous. ELT Journal. 68, 1 (Jan. 2014), 52–63. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/cct056.

[81]

Hunston, S. 2002. Corpora in applied linguistics. Cambridge University Press.

[82]

Hyland, K. 2012. Disciplinary identities: individuality and community in academic discourse. Cambridge University Press.

[83]

Hyland, K. 2006. English for academic purposes: An advanced resource book. Taylor & Francis.

[84]

Hyland, K. 2016. Teaching and researching writing. Routledge.

[85]

Hyland, K. and Bondi, M. eds. 2006. Academic discourse across disciplines. Peter Lang AG.

[86]

Hyland, K. and Hamp-Lyons, L. 2002. EAP: issues and directions. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 1, 1 (Jan. 2002), 1–12. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(02)00002-4.

[87]

Hyland, K. and Hyland, F. 2006. Feedback on second language students' writing. Language

Teaching. 39, 02 (Apr. 2006). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444806003399.

[88]

Hyland, K. and Sancho Guinda, C. eds. 2012. Stance and voice in written academic genres. Palgrave Macmillan.

[89]

Hyland, K. and Shaw, P. eds. 2016. The Routledge handbook of English for academic purposes. Routledge Handbooks Online.

[90]

Hyland, Ken 2000. Disciplinary discourses: social interactions in academic writing. Longman.

[91]

Hyland, Ken 2000. Disciplinary discourses: social interactions in academic writing. Longman.

[92]

Hyland, Ken 2006. English for academic purposes: an advanced resource book. Routledge.

[93]

Hyland, Ken and Hyland, Fiona 2006. Feedback in Second Language Writing: Contexts and Issues. Cambridge University Press.

[94]

Jordan, R. R. 1997. English for academic purposes: a guide and resource book for teachers. Cambridge University Press.

[95]

Jordan, R.R. 1997. English for academic purposes: a guide and resource book for teachers. Cambridge University Press.

[96]

Jordan, R.R. 1989. English for Academic Purposes (EAP). Language Teaching. 22, 03 (Jul. 1989). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S026144480001483X.

[97]

Kettle, M. 2017. International student engagement in higher education: transforming practices, pedagogies and participation. Multilingual Matters.

[98]

Lai, S.-F. et al. 2013. Strategically Smart Or Proficiency-Driven? An Investigation Of Reading Strategy Use Of EFL College Students In Relation To Language Proficiency. Contemporary Issues in Education Research (CIER). 6, 1 (Jan. 2013). DOI:https://doi.org/10.19030/cier.v6i1.7606.

[99]

Lave, J. and Wenger, E. 1991. Situated learning: legitimate peripheral participation. Cambridge University Press.

[100]

Lea, M.R. and Street, B.V. 1998. Student writing in higher education: An academic literacies approach. Studies in Higher Education. 23, 2 (Jan. 1998), 157–172. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079812331380364.

[101]

LearnEnglish | British Council | Genre Families: https://learnenglish.britishcouncil.org/.

[102]

Lynch, Brian K. 1996. Language program evaluation: theory and practice. Cambridge University Press.

[103]

Malcolm, D. 2009. Reading strategy awareness of Arabic-speaking medical students studying in English. System. 37, 4 (Dec. 2009), 640–651. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2009.09.008.

[104]

Manning, A. 2016. Assessing EAP: theory and practice in assessment literacy. Garnet Education.

[105]

Mathew Nalliveettil, G. 2014. Assessing Reading Strategies of Engineering Students: Think Aloud Approach. English Language Teaching. 7, 5 (Apr. 2014). DOI:https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v7n5p38.

[106]

Moore, T.J. 2011. Critical thinking and disciplinary thinking: a continuing debate. Higher Education Research & Development. 30, 3 (Jun. 2011), 261–274. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2010.501328.

[107]

Morita, N. 2000. Discourse Socialization through Oral Classroom Activities in a TESL Graduate Program. TESOL Quarterly. 34, 2 (Summer 2000). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3587953.

[108]

Morita, N. 2000. Discourse Socialization through Oral Classroom Activities in a TESL Graduate Program. TESOL Quarterly. 34, 2 (Summer 2000). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3587953.

[109]

Muncie, J. 2000. Using written teacher feedback in EFL composition classes. ELT Journal. 54, 1 (Jan. 2000), 47–53. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.1.47.

[110]

Musumeci, Diane 1997. Breaking tradition: an exploration of the historical relationship between theory and practice in second language teaching. McGraw-Hill.

[111]

Nation, P. 2007. The Four Strands. Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching. 1, 1 (Apr. 2007), 2–13. DOI:https://doi.org/10.2167/illt039.0.

[112]

Nesi, H. and Gardner, S. 2012. Genres across the disciplines: student writing in higher education. Cambridge University Press.

[113]

Nesi, Hilary 2012. Genres across the disciplines: student writing in higher education. Cambridge University Press.

[114]

Neumann, R. 2001. Disciplinary Differences and University Teaching. Studies in Higher Education. 26, 2 (Jun. 2001), 135–146. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070120052071.

[115]

Neumann, R. et al. 2002. Teaching and Learning in their Disciplinary Contexts: a conceptual analysis... Studies in Higher Education. 27, 4 (2002), 405–417.

[116]

Neumann, R. et al. 2002. Teaching and Learning in their Disciplinary Contexts: a conceptual analysis… Studies in Higher Education. 27, 4 (2002), 405–417. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/0307507022000011525.

[117]

Nunan, D. 1988. Syllabus design. Oxford University Press.

[118]

Nunan, D. and Carter, R. 2001. The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages. Cambridge University Press.

[119]

Nunan, David and Carter, Ronald 2001. The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages. Cambridge University Press.

[120]

Nuttall, C.E. 2005. Teaching reading skills in a foreign language. Macmillan Education.

[121]

O'Brien, T. 2004. Writing in a foreign language: teaching and learning. Language Teaching. 37, 1 (Jan. 2004), 1–28. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444804002113.

[122]

Peacock, M. and Flowerdew, J. 2001. Research perspectives on English for academic purposes. Cambridge University Press.

[123]

Peacock, Matthew and Flowerdew, John 2001. Research perspectives on English for academic purposes. Cambridge University Press.

[124]

Pecorari, D. 2013. Teaching to avoid plagiarism: how to promote good source use. Open University Press.

[125]

Pecorari, Diane 2013. Teaching to avoid plagiarism: how to promote good source use. Open University Press.

[126]

Pennington, M.C. and Richards, J.C. 2016. Teacher Identity in Language Teaching: Integrating Personal, Contextual, and Professional Factors. RELC Journal. 47, 1 (Apr. 2016), 5–23. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688216631219.

[127]

Robinson, Pauline C. 1991. ESP today: a practitioner's guide. Prentice Hall.

[128]

Robinson, Pauline C. and British Council 1988. Academic writing: process and product. Modern English Publications in association with the British Council.

[129]

Robinson, Pauline C. and British Council 1988. Academic writing: process and product. Modern English Publications in association with the British Council.

[130]

Rollinson, P. 2005. Using peer feedback in the ESL writing class. ELT Journal. 59, 1 (Jan. 2005), 23–30. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/cci003.

[131]

S P Corder 1979. PURE AND APPLIED RESEARCH IN LINGUISTICS: IS THE DIFFERENCE

MERELY ONE OF MOTIVATION? Studies in Second Language Acquisition. 1, 2 (Mar. 1979). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263100000838.

[132]

Samraj, B. 2004. Discourse features of the student-produced academic research paper: variations across disciplinary courses. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 3, 1 (Jan. 2004), 5–22. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(03)00053-5.

[133]

Samuels, P. 2013. Promoting Learning Development as an Academic Discipline. Journal of Learning Development in Higher Education. 5 (2013). DOI:https://doi.org/10.47408/jldhe.v0i5.146.

[134]

SCHMIDT, R.W. 1990. The Role of Consciousness in Second Language Learning1. Applied Linguistics. 11, 2 (Jun. 1990), 129–158. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/11.2.129.

[135]

Shanahan, C. et al. 2011. Analysis of Expert Readers in Three Disciplines. Journal of Literacy Research. 43, 4 (Dec. 2011), 393–429. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1086296X11424071.

[136]

Subject benchmark statements: Languages and related studies: http://www.qaa.ac.uk/Publications/InformationAndGuidance/Pages/Subject-benchmark-statement-Languages-and-related-studies.aspx.

[137]

Sun, Y.-C. 2013. Do journal authors plagiarize? Using plagiarism detection software to uncover matching text across disciplines. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 12, 4 (Dec. 2013), 264–272. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2013.07.002.

[138]

Swales, J.M. 1990. Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings. Cambridge University Press.

[139]

Swales, J.M. and Feak, C.B. 2012. Academic writing for graduate students: essential tasks and skills. University of Michigan Press.

[140]

Thompson, C. 2011. Teaching Critical Thinking in EAP Courses in Australia. TESOL Journal. 11, 4 (2011), 15–20.

[141]

Tomlinson, B. 2013. Developing materials for language teaching. Bloomsbury Academic.

[142]

Tribble, Chris 1996. Writing. Oxford University Press.

[143]

UEfAP: EAP Background Reading: http://www.uefap.com/bgnd/biblfram.htm.

[144]

UEfAP: EAP Background Reading: http://www.uefap.com/bgnd/biblfram.htm.

[145]

Uhrig, K. 2012. Business and legal case genre networks: Two case studies. English for Specific Purposes. 31, 2 (2012), 127–136. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2011.09.001.

[146]

University of Glasgow :Graduate Attributes: http://www.gla.ac.uk/students/attributes/.

[147]

University of Glasgow :Graduate Attributes: http://www.gla.ac.uk/students/attributes/.

[148]

UWF - Center for University Teaching, Learning, and Assessment - Assessment of Student Learning: Introduction to Bloom's Taxonomy: http://uwf.edu/cutla/assessstudent.cfm.

[149]

Wajnryb, Ruth 1992. Classroom observation tasks: a resource book for language teachers and trainers. Cambridge University Press.

[150]

Walsh, S. 2011. Analyzing university spoken interaction: a CL/CA approach. Applying Corpus Linguistics. Applying Corpus Linguistics. 16, 3 (2011).

[151]

Watson Todd, R. 2003. EAP or TEAP? Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 2, 2 (Jan. 2003), 147–156. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(03)00014-6.

[152]

Weigle, Sara Cushing 2002. Assessing writing. Cambridge University Press.

[153]

Weir, C.J. 2005. Language testing and validation: an evidence-based approach. Palgrave Macmillan.

[154]

Weissberg, B. 1993. The graduate seminar: Another research-process genre. English for Specific Purposes. 12, 1 (Jan. 1993), 23–35. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-4906(93)90025-J.

[155]

West, R. 1994. Needs analysis in language teaching. Language Teaching. 27, 01 (Jan. 1994). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444800007527.

[156]

What's disciplinary epistemology got to do with EAP? | Teaching EAP: https://teachingeap.wordpress.com/2012/07/20/whats-disciplinary-epistemology-got-to-do-with-eap/.

[157]

Wilson, J.J. 2008. How to teach listening. Pearson Longman.

[158]

Wingate, U. 2016. Academic literacy across the curriculum: Towards a collaborative instructional approach. Language Teaching. (Oct. 2016), 1–16. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444816000264.

[159]

Wingate, U. 2015. Academic literacy and student diversity: the case for inclusive practice. Multilingual Matters.

[160]

Wingate, U. 2006. Doing away with 'study skills'. Teaching in Higher Education. 11, 4 (Oct. 2006), 457–469. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/13562510600874268.

[161]

Woodrow, L. 2018. Introducing course design in English for specific purposes. Routledge.

[162]

Woodrow, L. 2018. Introducing course design in English for specific purposes. Routledge.

[163]

Woodward-Kron, R. 2002. Critical analysis versus description? Examining the relationship in successful student writing. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 1, 2 (Jan. 2002), 121–143. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(02)00013-9.

[164]

Woodward-Kron, R. 2008. More than just jargon – the nature and role of specialist language in learning disciplinary knowledge. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 7, 4 (Oct. 2008), 234–249. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2008.10.004.

[165]

Wright, T. 2010. Second language teacher education: Review of recent research on practice. Language Teaching. 43, 03 (Jul. 2010), 259–296. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444810000030.

[166]

Xing, J.Z. 2006. Teaching and learning Chinese as a foreign language: a pedagogical grammar. Hong Kong University Press.

[167]

Yakhontova, T. 2006. Cultural and disciplinary variation in academic discourse: The issue of influencing factors. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 5, 2 (Apr. 2006), 153–167. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2006.03.002.

[168]

Zhang, R. 2004. Using the principles of Exploratory Practice to guide group work in an extensive reading class in China. Language Teaching Research. 8, 3 (Sep. 2004), 331–345. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1191/1362168804lr142xx.

[169]

BALEAP Can Do Framework for EAP syllabus design and assessment.

[170]

BALEAP Competency Framework for Teachers of English for Academic Purposes.

[171]

BALEAP Guidelines on English Language Tests for University Entry.

[172]

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 10, 2 (Listening in EAP).

[173]

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 2 (Spoken Academic English), 1.

[174]

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 8, 2.

[175]

Principles and practices in second language aquisition.

[176]

Teachers' beliefs.

[177]

The University's student guide to assessment.

[178]

Towards reflective teaching.

[179]

Understanding class blogs as a tool for language development.