Teaching English for Academic Purposes

masters option

[1]

Academic Word List - School of Linguistics and Applied Language Studies - Victoria University of Wellington: http://www.victoria.ac.nz/lals/resources/academicwordlist/.

[2]

Alexander, O. et al. 2008. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Garnet Publishing Ltd.

[3]

Alexander, Olwyn et al. 2008. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Garnet.

[4]

Alexander, Olwyn et al. 2008. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Garnet.

[5]

Alexander, Olwyn et al. 2008. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Garnet.

[6]

Alexander, Olwyn et al. 2008. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Garnet.

[7]

Allwright, D. 2003. Exploratory Practice: rethinking practitioner research in language teaching. Language Teaching Research. 7, 2 (Jun. 2003), 113–141. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1191/1362168803lr118oa.

[8]

Allwright, Dick 2009. The developing language learner: an introduction to exploratory practice. Palgrave Macmillan.

[9]

de Almeida Soares, D. 2008. Understanding class blogs as a tool for language development. Language Teaching Research. 12, 4 (Oct. 2008), 517–533. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168808097165.

[10]

Atkinson, D. 1997. A Critical Approach to Critical Thinking in TESOL. TESOL Quarterly. 31, 1 (Spring 1997). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3587975.

[11]

Badger, R. and White, G. 2000. A process genre approach to teaching writing. ELT Journal. 54, 2 (Apr. 2000), 153–160. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.2.153.

[12]

Badger, R. and White, G. 2000. A process genre approach to teaching writing. ELT Journal. 54, 2 (Apr. 2000), 153–160. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.2.153.

[13]

Banerjee, J. and Wall, D. 2006. Assessing and reporting performances on pre-sessional EAP courses: Developing a final assessment checklist and investigating its validity. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 5, 1 (Jan. 2006), 50–69. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.11.003.

[14]

Basturkmen, H. 2010. Developing courses in English for specific purposes. Palgrave Macmillan.

[15]

Basturkmen, Helen 2006. Ideas and options in English for specific purposes. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.

[16]

Becher, T. 1989. Academic tribes and territories: intellectual enquiry and the cultures of disciplines. Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press.

[17]

Becher, T. 1994. The significance of disciplinary differences. Studies in Higher Education. 19, 2 (Jan. 1994), 151–161. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079412331382007.

[18]

Becher, T. 1981. Towards a definition of disciplinary cultures. Studies in Higher Education. 6, 2 (Jan. 1981), 109–122. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/03075078112331379362.

[19]

Bell, Roger T. 1981. An introduction to applied linguistics: approaches and methods in language teaching. Batsford.

[20]

Benesch, S. 1996. Needs Analysis and Curriculum Development in EAP: An Example of a Critical Approach. TESOL Quarterly. 30, 4 (Winter 1996). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3587931.

[21]

Benson, P. 2007. Autonomy in language teaching and learning. Language Teaching. 40, 01 (Jan. 2007). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444806003958.

[22]

Biber, Douglas et al. 2002. Longman student grammar of spoken and written English. Longman.

[23]

Biber, Douglas 2006. University language: a corpus-based study of spoken and written registers. J. Benjamins.

[24]

Biglan, A. 1973. The characteristics of subject matter in different academic areas. Journal of Applied Psychology. 57, 3 (1973), 195–203.

[25]

Bitchener, J. and Knoch, U. 2010. The Contribution of Written Corrective Feedback to Language Development: A Ten Month Investigation. Applied Linguistics. 31, 2 (May 2010), 193–214. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amp016.

[26]

Bloom's taxonomy: http://www.learningandteaching.info/learning/bloomtax.htm.

[27]

Bloomsbury - Academic Writing: http://www.bloomsbury.com/uk/academic-writing-9781441112361/.

[28]

Borg, S. 2003. Teacher cognition in language teaching: A review of research on what

language teachers think, know, believe, and do. Language Teaching. 36, 2 (Apr. 2003), 81–109. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444803001903.

[29]

Bourdieu, Pierre et al. 1994. Academic discourse: linguistic misunderstanding and professional power. Polity.

[30]

Breen, M.P. 1987. Contemporary Paradigms in Syllabus Design. Part I. Language Teaching. 20, 02 (Apr. 1987). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444800004365.

[31]

Bruce, I. 2008. Cognitive genre structures in Methods sections of research articles: A corpus study. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 7, 1 (Jan. 2008), 38–54. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2007.12.001.

[32]

Bruce, I. 2009. Results sections in sociology and organic chemistry articles: A genre analysis. English for Specific Purposes. 28, 2 (2009), 105–124. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2008.12.005.

[33]

Bruce, Ian, 1953- 2011. Theory and concepts of English for academic purposes. Palgrave Macmillan.

[34]

Byram, Michael and Morgan, Carol 1994. Teaching-and-learning language-and-culture. Multilingual Matters.

[35]

Coffin, C. and Donohue, J.P. 2012. Academic Literacies and systemic functional linguistics:

How do they relate? Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 11, 1 (Mar. 2012), 64–75. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2011.11.004.

[36]

Coffin, C. and Donohue, J.P. 2012. English for Academic Purposes: Contributions from systemic functional linguistics and Academic Literacies. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 11, 1 (Mar. 2012), 1–3.

[37]

Cooper, A. and Bikowski, D. 2007. Writing at the graduate level: What tasks do professors actually require? Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 6, 3 (Jul. 2007), 206–221. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2007.09.008.

[38]

Cottrell, S. 2017. Critical thinking skills: developing effective analysis and argument. Palgrave.

[39]

Cottrell, S. 2019. The study skills handbook. Red Globe Press.

[40]

Cottrell, Stella 2001. Teaching study skills and supporting learning. Palgrave.

[41]

Critical Thinking: Where to Begin: http://www.criticalthinking.org/pages/critical-thinking-where-to-begin/796.

[42]

Davidson, B.W. 1998. Comments on Dwight Atkinson's 'A Critical Approach to Critical Thinking in TESOL': A Case for Critical Thinking in the English Language Classroom. TESOL Quarterly. 32, 1 (Spring 1998). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3587906.

[43]

Dexter, Phil et al. 1999. Learner independence worksheets 2. IATEFL.

[44]

Dick Allwright Developing Principles for Practitioner Research: The Case of Exploratory Practice. The Modern Language Journal. 89, 3, 353–366.

[45]

Dr Armin Krishnan What are Academic Disciplines?   Some observations on the Disciplinarity vs. Interdisciplinarity debate.

[46]

Ellis, Gail and Sinclair, Barbara 1989. Learning to learn English: a course in learner training : learner's book. Cambridge University Press.

[47]

Ferris, D. 1998. Students' Views of Academic Aural/Oral Skills: A Comparative Needs Analysis. TESOL Quarterly. 32, 2 (Summer 1998). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3587585.

[48]

Flowerdew, J. ed. 1994. Academic listening: research perspectives. Cambridge University Press.

[49]

Flowerdew, L. 2005. Integrating traditional and critical approaches to syllabus design: the 'what', the 'how' and the 'why?' Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 4, 2 (Apr. 2005), 135–147. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2004.09.001.

[50]

Flowerdew, L. 2005. Integrating traditional and critical approaches to syllabus design: the 'what', the 'how' and the 'why?' Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 4, 2 (Apr. 2005), 135–147. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2004.09.001.

[51]

Gass, S.M. et al. 1985. Input in second language acquisition. Newbury House.

[52]

Gieve, Simon and Miller, Inés K. 2006. Understanding the language classroom. Palgrave Macmillan.

[53]

Gillett, A. et al. 2006. Assessing the effectiveness of EAP programmes. BALEAP.

[54]

Gillett, A. and Weetman, C. 2005. Investigation of the perceived usefulness of a StudyNet group discussion facility by students in higher education. Journal for the Enhancement of Learning and Teaching. 2, 1 (2005), 36–43.

[55]

Gillett, A.J. 1989. Designing an EAP Syllabus: English Language Support for Further and Higher Education. Journal of Further and Higher Education. 13, 2 (Jun. 1989), 92–104. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877890130210.

[56]

Green, B.A. and Andrade, M.S. 2010. Guiding principles for language assessment reform: A model for collaboration. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 9, 4 (Dec. 2010), 322–334. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2010.06.003.

[57]

Groom, N. 2005. Pattern and meaning across genres and disciplines: An exploratory study.

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 4, 3 (Jul. 2005), 257–277.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.03.002.

[58]

Horowitz, D.M. 1986. What Professors Actually Require: Academic Tasks for the ESL
Classroom. TESOL Quarterly. 20, 3 (Sep. 1986). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3586294.

[59]

Hunston, S. 2002. Corpora in applied linguistics. Cambridge University Press.

[60]

Hyland, K. 2012. Disciplinary identities: individuality and community in academic discourse
. Cambridge University Press.

[61]

Hyland, K. and Bondi, M. eds. 2006. Academic discourse across disciplines. Peter Lang AG.

[62]

Hyland, K. and Hamp-Lyons, L. 2002. EAP: issues and directions. Journal of English for
Academic Purposes. 1, 1 (Jan. 2002), 1–12.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(02)00002-4.

[63]

Hyland, K. and Hamp-Lyons, L. 2002. EAP: issues and directions. Journal of English for
Academic Purposes. 1, 1 (Jan. 2002), 1–12.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(02)00002-4.

[64]

Hyland, K. and Hyland, F. 2006. Feedback on second language students' writing. Language
Teaching. 39, 02 (Apr. 2006). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444806003399.

[65]

Hyland, Ken 2000. Disciplinary discourses: social interactions in academic writing. Longman.

[66]

Hyland, Ken 2000. Disciplinary discourses: social interactions in academic writing. Longman.

[67]

Hyland, Ken 2006. English for academic purposes: an advanced resource book. Routledge.

[68]

Hyland, Ken and Hyland, Fiona 2006. Feedback in Second Language Writing: Contexts and Issues. Cambridge University Press.

[69]

Jordan, R. R. 1997. English for academic purposes: a guide and resource book for teachers. Cambridge University Press.

[70]

Jordan, R.R. 1997. English for academic purposes: a guide and resource book for teachers. Cambridge University Press.

[71]

Jordan, R.R. 1989. English for Academic Purposes (EAP). Language Teaching. 22, 03 (Jul. 1989). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S026144480001483X.

[72]

Lea, M.R. and Street, B.V. 1998. Student writing in higher education: An academic literacies approach. Studies in Higher Education. 23, 2 (Jan. 1998), 157–172. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079812331380364.

[73]

Lynch, Brian K. 1996. Language program evaluation: theory and practice. Cambridge University Press.

[74]

Morita, N. 2000. Discourse Socialization through Oral Classroom Activities in a TESL Graduate Program. TESOL Quarterly. 34, 2 (Summer 2000). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3587953.

[75]

Morita, N. 2000. Discourse Socialization through Oral Classroom Activities in a TESL Graduate Program. TESOL Quarterly. 34, 2 (Summer 2000). DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/3587953.

[76]

Muncie, J. 2000. Using written teacher feedback in EFL composition classes. ELT Journal. 54, 1 (Jan. 2000), 47–53. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.1.47.

[77]

Musumeci, Diane 1997. Breaking tradition: an exploration of the historical relationship between theory and practice in second language teaching. McGraw-Hill.

[78]

Nation, P. 2007. The Four Strands. Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching. 1, 1 (Apr. 2007), 2–13. DOI:https://doi.org/10.2167/illt039.0.

[79]

Nesi, H. and Gardner, S. 2012. Genres across the disciplines: student writing in higher education. Cambridge University Press.

[80]

Nesi, Hilary 2012. Genres across the disciplines: student writing in higher education. Cambridge University Press.

[81]

Neumann, R. 2001. Disciplinary Differences and University Teaching. Studies in Higher Education. 26, 2 (Jun. 2001), 135–146. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070120052071.

[82]

Nunan, David and Carter, Ronald 2001. The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages. Cambridge University Press.

[83]

Nuttall, C.E. 2005. Teaching reading skills in a foreign language. Macmillan Education.

[84]

O'Brien, T. 2004. Writing in a foreign language: teaching and learning. Language Teaching. 37, 1 (Jan. 2004), 1–28. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444804002113.

[85]

Peacock, M. and Flowerdew, J. 2001. Research perspectives on English for academic purposes. Cambridge University Press.

[86]

Peacock, Matthew and Flowerdew, John 2001. Research perspectives on English for academic purposes. Cambridge University Press.

[87]

Pecorari, D. 2013. Teaching to avoid plagiarism: how to promote good source use. Open University Press, McGraw-Hill Education.

[88]

Robinson, Pauline C. 1991. ESP today: a practitioner's guide. Prentice Hall.

[89]

Robinson, Pauline C. and British Council 1988. Academic writing: process and product. Modern English Publications in association with the British Council.

[90]

Robinson, Pauline C. and British Council 1988. Academic writing: process and product. Modern English Publications in association with the British Council.

[91]

Rollinson, P. 2005. Using peer feedback in the ESL writing class. ELT Journal. 59, 1 (Jan. 2005), 23–30. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/cci003.

[92]

Samraj, B. 2004. Discourse features of the student-produced academic research paper: variations across disciplinary courses. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 3, 1 (Jan. 2004), 5–22. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(03)00053-5.

[93]

SCHMIDT, R.W. 1990. The Role of Consciousness in Second Language Learning1. Applied Linguistics. 11, 2 (Jun. 1990), 129–158. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/11.2.129.

[94]

Subject benchmark statements: Languages and related studies: https://www.qaa.ac.uk/the-quality-code/subject-benchmark-statements/subject-benchmark-statement-languages-cultures-and-societies.

[95]

Sun, Y.-C. 2013. Do journal authors plagiarize? Using plagiarism detection software to uncover matching text across disciplines. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 12, 4 (Dec. 2013), 264–272. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2013.07.002.

[96]

Swales, John M. 1990. Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings. Cambridge University Press.

[97]

Thompson, C. 2011. Teaching Critical Thinking in EAP Courses in Australia. TESOL Journal. 11, 4 (2011), 15–20.

[98]

Tony Becher and Paul R. Trowler Academic Tribes and Territories.

[99]

Tribble, Chris 1996. Writing. Oxford University Press.

[100]

Tudor, I. 1993. Teacher roles in the learner-centred classroom. ELT Journal. 47, 1 (Jan. 1993), 22–31. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/47.1.22.

[101]

UEfAP: EAP Background Reading: http://www.uefap.com/bgnd/biblfram.htm.

[102]

Uhrig, K. 2012. Business and legal case genre networks: Two case studies. English for Specific Purposes. 31, 2 (2012), 127–136. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2011.09.001.

[103]

University of Glasgow :Graduate Attributes: http://www.gla.ac.uk/students/attributes/.

[104]

UWF - Center for University Teaching, Learning, and Assessment - Assessment of Student Learning: Introduction to Bloom's Taxonomy: http://uwf.edu/cutla/assessstudent.cfm.

[105]

Wajnryb, Ruth 1992. Classroom observation tasks: a resource book for language teachers and trainers. Cambridge University Press.

[106]

Watson Todd, R. 2003. EAP or TEAP? Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 2, 2 (Jan. 2003), 147–156. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(03)00014-6.

[107]

Weigle, Sara Cushing 2002. Assessing writing. Cambridge University Press.

[108]

Weissberg, B. 1993. The graduate seminar: Another research-process genre. English for Specific Purposes. 12, 1 (Jan. 1993), 23–35. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-4906(93)90025-J.

[109]

Wilson, J.J. 2008. How to teach listening. Pearson Longman.

[110]

Woodward-Kron, R. 2002. Critical analysis versus description? Examining the relationship in successful student writing. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 1, 2 (Jan. 2002), 121–143. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(02)00013-9.

[111]

Woodward-Kron, R. 2008. More than just jargon – the nature and role of specialist language in learning disciplinary knowledge. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 7, 4 (Oct. 2008), 234–249. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2008.10.004.

[112]

Woodward-Kron, R. 2008. More than just jargon – the nature and role of specialist language in learning disciplinary knowledge. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 7, 4 (Oct. 2008), 234–249. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2008.10.004.

[113]

Wright, T. 2010. Second language teacher education: Review of recent research on practice. Language Teaching. 43, 03 (Jul. 2010), 259–296. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444810000030.

[114]

Yakhontova, T. 2006. Cultural and disciplinary variation in academic discourse: The issue of influencing factors. Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 5, 2 (Apr. 2006), 153–167. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2006.03.002.

[115]

Zhang, R. 2004. Using the principles of Exploratory Practice to guide group work in an extensive reading class in China. Language Teaching Research. 8, 3 (Sep. 2004), 331–345. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1191/1362168804lr142xx.

[116]

BALEAP Can Do Framework for EAP syllabus design and assessment.

[117]

BALEAP Competency Framework for Teachers of English for Academic Purposes.

[118]

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 10, 2 (Listening in EAP).

[119]

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 2 (Spoken Academic English), 1.

[120]

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 8, 2.

[121]

Principles and practices in second language aquisition.

[122]

Teachers' beliefs.

[123]

The University's student guide to assessment.

[124]

Towards reflective teaching.