

Teaching English for Academic Purposes

masters option

View Online



1

Hyland, Ken. English for academic purposes: an advanced resource book. New Ed. Abingdon, UK ; New York, NY: : Routledge 2006.

2

Alexander, Olwyn, Argent, Sue, Spencer, Jenifer. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Reading: : Garnet 2008.

3

Bruce, Ian, 1953-. Theory and concepts of English for academic purposes. Basingstoke, UK: : Palgrave Macmillan 2011.

4

Jordan, R. R. English for academic purposes: a guide and resource book for teachers. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1997.

5

Hyland, Ken. Disciplinary discourses: social interactions in academic writing. Harlow: : Longman 2000.

6

UEfAP: EAP Background Reading. <http://www.uefap.com/bgnd/biblfram.htm>

7

Jordan RR. English for Academic Purposes (EAP). Language Teaching 1989;**22**. doi:10.1017/S026144480001483X

8

Hyland K, Hamp-Lyons L. EAP: issues and directions. Journal of English for Academic Purposes 2002;**1**:1–12. doi:10.1016/S1475-1585(02)00002-4

9

Hyland K, Hamp-Lyons L. EAP: issues and directions. Journal of English for Academic Purposes 2002;**1**:1–12. doi:10.1016/S1475-1585(02)00002-4

10

Coffin C, Donohue JP. Academic Literacies and systemic functional linguistics: How do they relate? Journal of English for Academic Purposes 2012;**11**:64–75. doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2011.11.004

11

Coffin C, Donohue JP. English for Academic Purposes: Contributions from systemic functional linguistics and Academic Literacies. Journal of English for Academic Purposes 2012;**11**:1–3. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S147515851100083X>

12

Lea MR, Street BV. Student writing in higher education: An academic literacies approach. Studies in Higher Education 1998;**23**:157–72. doi:10.1080/03075079812331380364

13

BALEAP Competency Framework for Teachers of English for Academic Purposes. <https://www.baleap.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/teap-competency-framework.pdf>

14

Tudor I. Teacher roles in the learner-centred classroom. *ELT Journal* 1993;**47**:22–31.
doi:10.1093/elt/47.1.22

15

Benson P. Autonomy in language teaching and learning. *Language Teaching* 2007;**40**.
doi:10.1017/S0261444806003958

16

Alexander, Olwyn, Argent, Sue, Spencer, Jenifer. *EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice*. Reading: : Garnet 2008.

17

Peacock, Matthew, Flowerdew, John. *Research perspectives on English for academic purposes*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2001.

18

University of Glasgow :Graduate Attributes. <http://www.gla.ac.uk/students/attributes/>

19

Dexter, Phil, Sheerin, Susan, International Association of Teachers of English as a Foreign Language. *Learner independence worksheets 2*. Whitstable: : IATEFL 1999.

20

Ellis, Gail, Sinclair, Barbara. *Learning to learn English: a course in learner training : learner's book*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1989.

21

Flowerdew J, editor. Academic listening: research perspectives. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1994.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139524612>

22

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. ;**10**

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/14751585/10/2>

23

Biber, Douglas. University language: a corpus-based study of spoken and written registers. Amsterdam: : J. Benjamins 2006.

24

Academic Word List - School of Linguistics and Applied Language Studies - Victoria University of Wellington. <http://www.victoria.ac.nz/lals/resources/academicwordlist/>

25

Hunston S. Corpora in applied linguistics. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2002.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139524773>

26

Nesi, Hilary. Genres across the disciplines: student writing in higher education. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.

27

Badger R, White G. A process genre approach to teaching writing. ELT Journal 2000;**54**:153-60. doi:10.1093/elt/54.2.153

28

Ferris D. Students' Views of Academic Aural/Oral Skills: A Comparative Needs Analysis.

TESOL Quarterly 1998;**32**. doi:10.2307/3587585

29

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. ;**2 (Spoken Academic English)**

.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/14751585/2/1>

30

Morita N. Discourse Socialization through Oral Classroom Activities in a TESL Graduate Program. TESOL Quarterly 2000;**34**. doi:10.2307/3587953

31

Horowitz DM. What Professors Actually Require: Academic Tasks for the ESL Classroom. TESOL Quarterly 1986;**20**. doi:10.2307/3586294

32

Banerjee J, Wall D. Assessing and reporting performances on pre-sessional EAP courses: Developing a final assessment checklist and investigating its validity. Journal of English for Academic Purposes 2006;**5**:50–69. doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2005.11.003

33

Cooper A, Bikowski D. Writing at the graduate level: What tasks do professors actually require? Journal of English for Academic Purposes 2007;**6**:206–21. doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2007.09.008

34

Green BA, Andrade MS. Guiding principles for language assessment reform: A model for collaboration. Journal of English for Academic Purposes 2010;**9**:322–34. doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2010.06.003

35

BALEAP Can Do Framework for EAP syllabus design and assessment.

https://www.baleap.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/Can_Do_Framework__with_sample_activities_April_2013.pdf

36

Atkinson D. A Critical Approach to Critical Thinking in TESOL. TESOL Quarterly 1997;**31**. doi:10.2307/3587975

37

Davidson BW. Comments on Dwight Atkinson's 'A Critical Approach to Critical Thinking in TESOL': A Case for Critical Thinking in the English Language Classroom. TESOL Quarterly 1998;**32**. doi:10.2307/3587906

38

Thompson C. Teaching Critical Thinking in EAP Courses in Australia. TESOL Journal 2011;**11**:15–20. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/j.1949-3533.2002.tb00104.x/pdf>

39

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. ;**8**. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/14751585/8/2>

40

Sun Y-C. Do journal authors plagiarize? Using plagiarism detection software to uncover matching text across disciplines. Journal of English for Academic Purposes 2013;**12**:264–72. doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2013.07.002

41

Hyland K, Bondi M, editors. Academic discourse across disciplines. Bern, Switzerland: : Peter Lang AG 2006. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1053948>

42

Hyland K. *Disciplinary identities: individuality and community in academic discourse*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.

43

Groom N. Pattern and meaning across genres and disciplines: An exploratory study. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes* 2005;**4**:257–77. doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2005.03.002

44

Nesi H, Gardner S. *Genres across the disciplines: student writing in higher education*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009030199>

45

Dr Armin Krishnan. What are Academic Disciplines? Some observations on the
Disciplinarity vs. Interdisciplinarity debate.
http://www.forschungsnetzwerk.at/downloadpub/what_are_academic_disciplines2009.pdf

46

Woodward-Kron R. More than just jargon – the nature and role of specialist language in learning disciplinary knowledge. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes* 2008;**7**:234–49. doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2008.10.004

47

Tony Becher and Paul R. Trowler. *Academic Tribes and Territories*.
<https://www.mheducation.co.uk/openup/chapters/0335206271.pdf>

48

Becher T. *Academic tribes and territories: intellectual enquiry and the cultures of disciplines*. Buckingham: : Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press 1989.

<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780335230648>

49

Neumann R. Disciplinary Differences and University Teaching. *Studies in Higher Education* 2001;**26**:135–46. doi:10.1080/03075070120052071

50

Becher T. The significance of disciplinary differences. *Studies in Higher Education* 1994;**19**:151–61. doi:10.1080/03075079412331382007

51

Becher T. Towards a definition of disciplinary cultures. *Studies in Higher Education* 1981;**6**:109–22. doi:10.1080/03075078112331379362

52

Woodward-Kron R. More than just jargon – the nature and role of specialist language in learning disciplinary knowledge. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes* 2008;**7**:234–49. doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2008.10.004

53

Yakhontova T. Cultural and disciplinary variation in academic discourse: The issue of influencing factors. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes* 2006;**5**:153–67. doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2006.03.002

54

Samraj B. Discourse features of the student-produced academic research paper: variations across disciplinary courses. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes* 2004;**3**:5–22. doi:10.1016/S1475-1585(03)00053-5

55

Biglan A. The characteristics of subject matter in different academic areas. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 1973;**57**:195–203. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=1974-01819-001&site=ehost-live>

56

Morita N. Discourse Socialization through Oral Classroom Activities in a TESL Graduate Program. *TESOL Quarterly* 2000;**34**. doi:10.2307/3587953

57

Uhrig K. Business and legal case genre networks: Two case studies. *English for Specific Purposes* 2012;**31**:127–36. doi:10.1016/j.esp.2011.09.001

58

Bruce I. Cognitive genre structures in Methods sections of research articles: A corpus study. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes* 2008;**7**:38–54. doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2007.12.001

59

Bruce I. Results sections in sociology and organic chemistry articles: A genre analysis. *English for Specific Purposes* 2009;**28**:105–24. doi:10.1016/j.esp.2008.12.005

60

Basturkmen, Helen. *Ideas and options in English for specific purposes*. Mahwah, NJ: : Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers 2006.

61

Gillett AJ. Designing an EAP Syllabus: English Language Support for Further and Higher Education. *Journal of Further and Higher Education* 1989;**13**:92–104. doi:10.1080/0309877890130210

62

Basturkmen H. Developing courses in English for specific purposes. Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2010.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780230290518>

63

Benesch S. Needs Analysis and Curriculum Development in EAP: An Example of a Critical Approach. TESOL Quarterly 1996;**30**. doi:10.2307/3587931

64

Flowerdew L. Integrating traditional and critical approaches to syllabus design: the 'what', the 'how' and the 'why?' Journal of English for Academic Purposes 2005;**4**:135-47.
doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2004.09.001

65

Breen MP. Contemporary Paradigms in Syllabus Design. Part I. Language Teaching 1987;**20**. doi:10.1017/S0261444800004365

66

Flowerdew L. Integrating traditional and critical approaches to syllabus design: the 'what', the 'how' and the 'why?' Journal of English for Academic Purposes 2005;**4**:135-47.
doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2004.09.001

67

Bell, Roger T. An introduction to applied linguistics: approaches and methods in language teaching. London: : Batsford 1981.

68

Biber, Douglas, Leech, Geoffrey N., Conrad, Susan. Longman student grammar of spoken and written English. Harlow: : Longman 2002.

69

Robinson, Pauline C., British Council. Academic writing: process and product. Basingstoke: : Modern English Publications in association with the British Council 1988.

70

Bourdieu, Pierre, Passeron, Jean-Claude, Saint Martin, Monique de, et al. Academic discourse: linguistic misunderstanding and professional power. Cambridge: : Polity 1994.

71

Byram, Michael, Morgan, Carol. Teaching-and-learning language-and-culture. Clevedon: : Multilingual Matters 1994.

72

Gillett A, Weetman C. Investigation of the perceived usefulness of a StudyNet group discussion facility by students in higher education. Journal for the Enhancement of Learning and Teaching 2005;**2**:36-43.<https://uhra.herts.ac.uk/bitstream/handle/2299/2597/902908.pdf?sequence=1>

73

Gillett A, Wray L, British Association of Lecturers in English for Academic Purposes. Assessing the effectiveness of EAP programmes. London: : BALEAP 2006.

74

Nunan, David, Carter, Ronald. The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2001.

75

Hyland, Ken. Disciplinary discourses: social interactions in academic writing. Harlow: : Longman 2000.

76

Principles and practices in second language acquisition.

http://www.sdkrashen.com/content/books/principles_and_practice.pdf

77

Lynch, Brian K. Language program evaluation: theory and practice. Cambridge [England]: : Cambridge University Press 1996.

78

Musumeci, Diane. Breaking tradition: an exploration of the historical relationship between theory and practice in second language teaching. New York: : McGraw-Hill 1997.

79

Nation P. The Four Strands. Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching 2007;**1**:2-13. doi:10.2167/illt039.0

80

Subject benchmark statements: Languages and related studies.

<https://www.qaa.ac.uk/the-quality-code/subject-benchmark-statements/subject-benchmark-statement-languages-cultures-and-societies>

81

Robinson, Pauline C. ESP today: a practitioner's guide. London: : Prentice Hall 1991.

82

Robinson, Pauline C., British Council. Academic writing: process and product. Basingstoke: : Modern English Publications in association with the British Council 1988.

83

SCHMIDT RW. The Role of Consciousness in Second Language Learning¹. Applied Linguistics 1990;**11**:129-58. doi:10.1093/applin/11.2.129

84

Gass SM, Madden CG, Conference on Applied Linguistics. Input in second language acquisition. Cambridge, MA: : Newbury House 1985.

85

Swales, John M. Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1990.

86

Watson Todd R. EAP or TEAP? Journal of English for Academic Purposes 2003;**2**:147–56. doi:10.1016/S1475-1585(03)00014-6

87

Weissberg B. The graduate seminar: Another research-process genre. English for Specific Purposes 1993;**12**:23–35. doi:10.1016/0889-4906(93)90025-J

88

Bloomsbury - Academic Writing.
<http://www.bloomsbury.com/uk/academic-writing-9781441112361/>

89

Pecorari D. Teaching to avoid plagiarism: how to promote good source use. Maidenhead, Berkshire: : Open University Press, McGraw-Hill Education 2013.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1336595>

90

Allwright D. Exploratory Practice: rethinking practitioner research in language teaching. Language Teaching Research 2003;**7**:113–41. doi:10.1191/1362168803lr118oa

91

Dick Allwright. Developing Principles for Practitioner Research: The Case of Exploratory Practice. *The Modern Language Journal*; **89**:353–66. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3588663>

92

Allwright, Dick. *The developing language learner: an introduction to exploratory practice*. Houndmills, Basingst.: : Palgrave Macmillan 2009.

93

Gieve, Simon, Miller, Inés K. *Understanding the language classroom*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2006.

94

Zhang R. Using the principles of Exploratory Practice to guide group work in an extensive reading class in China. *Language Teaching Research* 2004; **8**:331–45. doi:10.1191/1362168804lr142xx

95

de Almeida Soares D. Understanding class blogs as a tool for language development. *Language Teaching Research* 2008; **12**:517–33. doi:10.1177/1362168808097165

96

Wright T. Second language teacher education: Review of recent research on practice. *Language Teaching* 2010; **43**:259–96. doi:10.1017/S0261444810000030

97

Teachers' beliefs.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://academic.oup.com/eltj/article/55/2/186/3114052>

98

Borg S. Teacher cognition in language teaching: A review of research on what language teachers think, know, believe, and do. *Language Teaching* 2003;**36**:81–109.
doi:10.1017/S0261444803001903

99

Towards reflective teaching.
http://www.tttjournal.co.uk/uploads/File/back_articles/Towards_Reflective_Teaching.pdf

100

Wajnryb, Ruth. Classroom observation tasks: a resource book for language teachers and trainers. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1992.

101

Alexander, Olwyn, Argent, Sue, Spencer, Jenifer. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Reading: : Garnet 2008.

102

Cottrell, Stella. Teaching study skills and supporting learning. Basingstoke: : Palgrave 2001.

103

Cottrell S. Critical thinking skills: developing effective analysis and argument. Third edition. London: : Palgrave 2017.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=6234915>

104

Cottrell S. The study skills handbook. Fifth edition. London: : Red Globe Press 2019.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=6234945>

105

Woodward-Kron R. Critical analysis versus description? Examining the relationship in successful student writing. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes* 2002;**1**:121-43. doi:10.1016/S1475-1585(02)00013-9

106

Critical Thinking: Where to Begin.
<http://www.criticalthinking.org/pages/critical-thinking-where-to-begin/796>

107

The University's student guide to assessment.
http://www.gla.ac.uk/media/media_106264_en.pdf

108

UWF - Center for University Teaching, Learning, and Assessment - Assessment of Student Learning: Introduction to Bloom's Taxonomy. <http://uwf.edu/cutla/assesstudent.cfm>

109

Bloom's taxonomy. <http://www.learningandteaching.info/learning/bloomtax.htm>

110

Hyland, Ken, Hyland, Fiona. *Feedback in Second Language Writing: Contexts and Issues*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139524742>

111

Tribble, Chris. *Writing*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1996.

112

Weigle, Sara Cushing. *Assessing writing*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2002.

113

Alexander, Olwyn, Argent, Sue, Spencer, Jenifer. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Reading: : Garnet 2008.

114

Badger R, White G. A process genre approach to teaching writing. ELT Journal 2000;**54**:153-60. doi:10.1093/elt/54.2.153

115

Bitchener J, Knoch U. The Contribution of Written Corrective Feedback to Language Development: A Ten Month Investigation. Applied Linguistics 2010;**31**:193-214. doi:10.1093/applin/amp016

116

Hyland K, Hyland F. Feedback on second language students' writing. Language Teaching 2006;**39**. doi:10.1017/S0261444806003399

117

Muncie J. Using written teacher feedback in EFL composition classes. ELT Journal 2000;**54**:47-53. doi:10.1093/elt/54.1.47

118

O'Brien T. Writing in a foreign language: teaching and learning. Language Teaching 2004;**37**:1-28. doi:10.1017/S0261444804002113

119

Rollinson P. Using peer feedback in the ESL writing class. ELT Journal 2005;**59**:23-30. doi:10.1093/elt/cci003

120

Wilson JJ. How to teach listening. Harlow: : Pearson Longman 2008.

121

Nuttall CE. Teaching reading skills in a foreign language. [2nd ed.]. Oxford: : Macmillan Education 2005.

122

Alexander O, Argent S, Spencer J. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice. Reading: : Garnet Publishing Ltd 2008.

123

Jordan RR. English for academic purposes: a guide and resource book for teachers. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1997.

124

Peacock M, Flowerdew J. Research perspectives on English for academic purposes. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2001.