

Media And Democracy

View Online



-
1.
Curran J, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Media and democracy [Internet]. Oxford: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=672443>
 2.
Davis A. Political communication and social theory. London: Routledge; 2010.
 3.
Esser F, Pfetsch B. Comparing political communication: theories, cases, and challenges. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004.
 4.
Graber DA. Media power in politics. 6th ed. Washington, DC: CQ Press; 2011.
 5.
Kaid LL, Holtz-Bacha C. Encyclopedia of political communication. Los Angeles, Calif: Sage Publications; 2008.
 6.
Kuhn R. Politics and the media in Britain. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2002.

7.

Lilleker DG. Key concepts in political communication. London: Sage; 2006.

8.

McNair B. An introduction to political communication [Internet]. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203828694>

9.

Negrine RM. The transformation of political communication: continuities and changes in media and politics. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

10.

Negrine RM, Stanyer J. The political communication reader. New York, NY: Routledge; 2007.

11.

Semetko HA, Scammell M. The SAGE handbook of political communication. London: SAGE; 2012.

12.

Street J. Mass media, politics and democracy. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.

13.

Swanson DL, Mancini P. Politics, media, and modern democracy: an international study of innovations in electoral campaigning and their consequences. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 1996.

14.

Voltmer K. The media in transitional democracies [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity; 2013. Available from: <http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1315630>

15.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

16.

Overholser G, Jamieson KH. The press [Internet]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2005. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com?id=84383&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

17.

Voltmer K. The media in transitional democracies [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity; 2013. Available from: <http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1315630>

18.

Scammell M. Rethinking the Media's Duties to Democracy: watchdog, information and representation - draft paper. 2005.

19.

Coronel S. Media as Watchdog [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/pnorris/Acrobat/WorldBankReport/Chapter%205%20Coronel.pdf>

20.

Zaller J. A New Standard of News Quality: Burglar Alarms for the Monitorial Citizen. Political Communication. 2003 Apr;20(2):109-130.

21.

Coronel S. The Media as Watchdog [Internet]. 2008. Available from:
<https://ziaruldela5.files.wordpress.com/2009/09/coronel20watchdog.pdf>

22.

Curran J, Taylor & Francis Group. Media and power [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002.
Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203417744>

23.

Curran J, Iyengar S, Brink Lund A, Salovaara-Moring I. Media System, Public Knowledge and Democracy: A Comparative Study. *European Journal of Communication*. 2009 Mar 1;24(1):5-26.

24.

Norris P. *A virtuous circle: political communications in postindustrial societies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

25.

Scammell M. *Rethinking the Media's Duties to Democracy: watchdog, information and representation - draft paper*. 2005.

26.

Schudson M. *The power of news*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1995.

27.

Schudson M. *Why democracies need an unlovable press*. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2008.

28.

Strömbäck J. In Search of a Standard: four models of democracy and their normative implications for journalism. *Journalism Studies*. 2005 Aug;6(3):331–345.

29.

Aalberg T, Curran J. *How media inform democracy: a comparative approach*. New York, N.Y.: Routledge; 2012.

30.

Baker CE. *Media, markets, and democracy* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002. Available from:
<http://www.loc.gov/catdir/description/cam021/2001025498.html>

31.

Graber, Doris. *The Media and Democracy: Beyond Myths and Stereotypes*. *Annual Review of Political Science* [Internet]. 2003;6(1):139–160. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=10609378&site=ehost-live>

32.

Lichtenberg J. *Democracy and the mass media: a collection of essays*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1990.

33.

McQuail D. *Media performance: mass communication and the public interest*. London: Sage Publications; 1992.

34.

Esser F, Stromback J. *Mediatization of politics: understanding the transformation of western democracies* [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.palgraveconnect.com/doi/10.1057/9781137275844>

35.

Siebert FS, Peterson T, Schramm W. Four theories of the press. Chicago, Ill: University of Illinois Press; 1984.

36.

Street J. Mass media, politics and democracy. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.

37.

Tewksbury D, Rittenberg J. News on the Internet: information and citizenship in the 21st century [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780195391961.001.0001>

38.

Norris P. Public sentinel: news media & governance reform. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank; 2010.

39.

Voltmer K. Mass media and political communication in new democracies [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780203328668>

40.

Waisbord S. Democracy, journalism, and Latin American populism. Journalism. 2013 May 1;14(4):504-521.

41.

Barber BR. Strong democracy: participatory politics for a new age. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1984.

42.

Dahl RA. Democracy and its critics. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1989.

43.

Held D. Models of democracy. 3rd ed. Cambridge: Polity; 2006.

44.

Oates S. Glasnost 2.0. Demokratizatsiya [Internet]. 2014; Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?ty=as&v=2.1∓u=glasuni&it=search&s=RELEVANCE&p=EAIM&qt=SN~1074-6846~~TI~Glasnost%202.0~~VO~22~~SP~277~~IU~2&lm=DA~120140322&sw=w>

45.

Shirk SL. Changing media, changing China [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from: <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=602492>

46.

Voltmer K. The media in transitional democracies [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity; 2013. Available from: <http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1315630>

47.

Toepfl F. Why do pluralistic media systems emerge? Comparing media change in the Czech Republic and in Russia after the collapse of communism. *Global Media and Communication*. 2013 Dec 1;9(3):239–256.

48.

Egorov G, Guriev S, Sonin K. Why Resource-poor Dictators Allow Freer Media: A Theory and Evidence from Panel Data. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 2009;103(4):645–668. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/27798530>

49.

Freedom House. Freedom of the Press 2013 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-press/freedom-press-2013#.VbjggfnwCex>

50.

Gehlbach S, Sonin K. Government Control of the Media. SSRN Electronic Journal. 2011;

51.

Hallin DC, Mancini P. Comparing media systems beyond the western world. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

52.

Norris P. Governance and Accountability - Public Sentinel: News Media & Governance Reform [Internet]. The World Bank; 2009. Available from:
<http://go.worldbank.org/ZMCO1WV7Z0>

53.

Waisbord S. Democratic Journalism and "Statelessness". Political Communication. 2007 May 21;24(2):115-129.

54.

Bandurski D, Hala M. Investigative journalism in China: eight cases in Chinese watchdog journalism [Internet]. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press; 2010. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.5790/hongkong/9789622091733.001.0001>

55.

Jonathan Hassid. Controlling the Chinese Media: An Uncertain Business. Asian Survey [Internet]. University of California Press; 2008;48(3):414-430. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1525/as.2008.48.3.414>

56.

King, et al G. How Censorship in China Allows Government Criticism but Silences Collective Expression. *American Political Science Review*. 2013 May;107(02):326–343.

57.

Lei YW. The Political Consequences of the Rise of the Internet: Political Beliefs and Practices of Chinese Netizens. *Political Communication*. 2011 Jul;28(3):291–322.

58.

Lorentzen P. China's Strategic Censorship. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2014 Apr;58(2):402–414.

59.

Stockmann D, Gallagher ME. Remote Control: How the Media Sustain Authoritarian Rule in China. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2011 Apr 1;44(4):436–467.

60.

Stockmann D. *Media commercialization and authoritarian rule in china*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

61.

Tang L, Sampson H. The interaction between mass media and the internet in non-democratic states: The case of China. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2012 May 1;34(4):457–471.

62.

Yuezhi Z. Watchdogs on Party Leashes? Contexts and implications of investigative journalism in post-Deng China. *Journalism Studies*. 2000 Jan;1(4):577–597.

63.

Zhu J, Lu J, Shi T. When Grapevine News Meets Mass Media: Different Information Sources and Popular Perceptions of Government Corruption in Mainland China. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2013 Aug 1;46(8):920-946.

64.

Beumers B, Hutchings SC, Rulyova N. *Globalisation, freedom and the media after Communism: the past as future*. London: Routledge; 2009.

65.

Gross P, Jakubowicz K, editors. *Media transformations in the post-communist world: Eastern Europe's tortured path to change*. Lanham: Lexington; 2013.

66.

Oates S. Russian State Narrative in the Digital Age: Rewired Propaganda in Russian Television News Framing of Malaysia Airlines Flight 17 [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <http://www.media-politics.com/presentationspublications.htm>

67.

Oates S, Lokot T. Twilight of the Gods?: How the Internet Challenged Russian Television News Frames in the Winter Protests of 2011-12. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2013;

68.

Semetko HA, Scammell M. *The SAGE handbook of political communication*. London: SAGE; 2012.

69.

Elisabeth Schimpfoss, Ilya Yablokov. Coercion or conformism? Censorship and self-censorship among Russian media personalities and reporters in the 2010s. *Demokratizatsiya* [Internet]. 2014;22(2). Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?p=EAIM&u=glasuni&id=GALE|A368073878&v=2.1&it=r&sid=summon&userGroup=glasuni&authCount=1>

70.

Toepfl F. Making Sense of the News in a Hybrid Regime: How Young Russians Decode State TV and an Oppositional Blog. *Journal of Communication*. 2013 Apr;63(2):244–265.

71.

Toepfl F. Managing public outrage: Power, scandal, and new media in contemporary Russia. *New Media & Society*. 2011 Dec 1;13(8):1301–1319.

72.

Hallin DC, Mancini P. Comparing media systems beyond the western world. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

73.

Curran J. Media and power [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Glasgow&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203417744>

74.

What If There Were No BBC Television? The Net Impact on UK Viewers. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism [Internet]. Available from: <http://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/publication/what-if-there-were-no-bbc-television>

75.

Franklin B. Television policy: the MacTaggart lectures [Internet]. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 2005. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.3366/edinburgh/9780748617173.001.0001>

76.

The Absence of Trust James Murdoch's MacTaggart speech [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.broadcastnow.co.uk/opinion/james-murdochs-mactaggart-speech/5004990.article>

77.

Gardam T, Levy DA. The Price of Plurality - Choice, Diversity and Broadcasting Institutions in the Digital Age [Internet]. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism; 2008. Available from: <http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/binaries/broadcast/reviews-investigations/psb-review/psb-plurality.pdf>

78.

Public Service Broadcasting/ Media | LSE Media Policy Project [Internet]. Available from: <http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/mediapolicyproject/category/public-service-broadcasting-media/>

79.

Ofcom [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.ofcom.org.uk/>

80.

News consumption in the UK - 2013 report | Ofcom [Internet]. Available from: <http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/market-data-research/other/tv-research/news-2013/>

81.

Reuters Institute for the study of Journalism [Internet]. Available from: <http://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/>

82.

Picard RG, Siciliani P. Is there Still a Place for Public Service Television? [Internet]. Reuters Institute for the study of Journalism; 2013. Available from: <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/publication/there-still-place-public-service-television>

83.

Mutz DC, Young L. Communication and Public Opinion: Plus Ça Change? Public Opinion Quarterly. 2011 Dec 1;75(5):1018–1044.

84.

Prior M. Media and Political Polarization. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2013 May 11;16(1):101–127.

85.

Cox B, Demos (Organization : London, England). *Free for all?: public service television in the digital age*. London: Demos; 2004.

86.

Curran J, Seaton J. *Power without responsibility: the press, broadcasting and the internet in Britain* [Internet]. 7th ed. London: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203871409>

87.

Cushion S. *The democratic value of news: why public service media matter*. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.

88.

Debrett M. Riding the wave: public service television in the multi-platform era. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2009 Sep 1;31(5):807–827.

89.

Freedman D. *The politics of media policy*. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2008.

90.

Hallin DC, Mancini P, American Council of Learned Societies. *Comparing media systems: three models of media and politics* [Internet]. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2004. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://hdl.handle.net/2027/heb.31807>

91.

Kuhn R. Politics and the media in Britain. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2002.

92.

Starkey G. Balance and bias in journalism: representation, regulation, and democracy. Houndmills, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2007.

93.

Allern S, Blach-Ørsten M. The News Media as a Political Institution. Journalism Studies. 2011 Feb;12(1):92-105.

94.

Aalberg T, Curran J. How media inform democracy: a comparative approach. New York, N.Y.: Routledge; 2012.

95.

Ball T. The choice revolution. The Guardian [Internet]. 22AD; Available from: <http://www.theguardian.com/media/2003/aug/22/bskyb.broadcasting1>

96.

Blumler JG, Broadcasting Standards Council. Television and the public interest: vulnerable values in West European broadcasting. London: Sage Publications in association with the Broadcasting Standards Council; 1992.

97.

Cammaerts B, Carpentier N. Reclaiming the media: communication rights and democratic media roles. Bristol: Intellect Books; 2007.

98.

Cushion S, Lewis J. Towards a 'Foxification' of 24-hour news channels in Britain?: An analysis of market-driven and publicly funded news coverage. *Journalism*. 2009 Apr 1;10(2):131-153.

99.

Hallin DC, Mancini P. *Comparing media systems beyond the western world*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

100.

Holtz-Bacha C, Norris P. 'To Entertain, Inform, and Educate': Still the Role of Public Television. *Political Communication*. 2001 Apr;18(2):123-140.

101.

Lowe GF, Bardoel J. *From public service broadcasting to public service media*. Göteborg, Sweden: Nordicom; 2007.

102.

Media Ownership Reform [Internet]. Media Reform Coalition; 2014. Available from: <http://www.mediareform.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/FULL-PDF.pdf>

103.

McChesney RW. *Rich media, poor democracy: communication politics in dubious times*. New York: New Press; 2000.

104.

McQuail D. *McQuail's reader in mass communication theory*. London: SAGE; 2002.

105.

McNair B. *News and journalism in the UK* [Internet]. 5th ed. London: Routledge; 2009. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibb>

oleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203881415

106.

Westminster Media Forum, Nordicity. An international comparison of funding models and performance [Internet]. 22AD. Available from: <https://www3.ebu.ch/contents/publications/presentations/public-service-broadcasting-an-1.html>

107.

Paxman J. The James MacTaggart Memorial Lecture [Internet]. Available from: http://www.bbc.co.uk/blogs/newsnight/2007/08/the_james_mactaggart_memorial_lecture.html

108.

Prior M. Post-broadcast democracy: how media choice increases inequality in political involvement and polarizes elections. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2007.

109.

Soroka S, Andrew B, Aalberg T, Iyengar S, Curran J, Coen S, Hayashi K, Jones P, Mazzoleni G, Woong Rhee J, Rowe D, Tiffen R. Auntie Knows Best? Public Broadcasters and Current Affairs Knowledge. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2013 Oct;43(04):719–739.

110.

Curran J, Coen S, Soroka S, Aalberg T, Hayashi K, Hichy Z, Iyengar S, Jones P, Mazzoleni G, Papathanassopoulos S, Rhee JW, Rojas H, Rowe D, Tiffen R. Reconsidering 'virtuous circle' and 'media malaise' theories of the media: An 11-nation study. *Journalism*. 2014 Oct 1;15(7):815–833.

111.

Holbrook TM. Do campaigns matter? [Internet]. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1996. Available from: <http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=997003>

112.

Scammell M. Consumer democracy: the marketing of politics [Internet]. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139046107>

113.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

114.

Enikolopov R, Petrova M, Zhuravskaya E. Media and Political Persuasion: Evidence from Russia. *The American Economic Review* [Internet]. 2011;101(7):3253–3285. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/41408737>

115.

Lawson C, McCann JA. Television News, Mexico's 2000 Elections and Media Effects in Emerging Democracies. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2005 Jan;35(1):1–30.

116.

Porto MP. Framing Controversies: Television and the 2002 Presidential Election in Brazil. *Political Communication*. 2007 Jan 29;24(1):19–36.

117.

Bennett WL, Iyengar S. A New Era of Minimal Effects? The Changing Foundations of Political Communication. *Journal of Communication*. 2008 Dec;58(4):707–731.

118.

Curran J. Media and power [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Glasgow&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203417744>

119.

Denver DT. Elections and voters in Britain. 3rd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.

120.

Farrell DM, Schmitt-Beck R. Do political campaigns matter?: campaign effects in elections and referendums. London: Routledge; 2002.

121.

Gavin NT, Sanders D. The Press and Its Influence on British Political Attitudes under New Labour. *Political Studies*. 2003 Oct;51(3):573–591.

122.

Iyengar S, Kinder DR. News that matters: television and American opinion. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1987.

123.

Jamieson KH. Everything you think you know about politics-- and why you're wrong. New York: Basic Books; 2000.

124.

Mutz DC. Impersonal influence: how perceptions of mass collectives affect political attitudes. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.

125.

Mutz DC, Young L. Communication and Public Opinion: Plus Ca Change? *Public Opinion Quarterly*. 2011 Dec 1;75(5):1018–1044.

126.

Newton K. May the weak force be with you: The power of the mass media in modern politics. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2006 Mar;45(2):209–234.

127.

Norris P. *On message: communicating the campaign*. London: Sage; 1999.

128.

Sabato L. *The year of Obama: how Barack Obama won the White House*. New York: Longman; 2010.

129.

Brandenburg H, Van Egmond M. Pressed into Party Support? Media Influence on Partisan Attitudes during the 2005 UK General Election Campaign. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2012 Apr;42(02):441–463.

130.

Campbell JE. Forecasting the 2012 American National Elections. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 2012 Oct;45(04):610–613.

131.

Crewe I, Gosschalk B, Bartle J. *Political communications: why Labour won the general election of 1997*. London: Frank Cass; 1998.

132.

Just MR. *Crosstalk: citizens, candidates, and the media in a presidential campaign*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press; 1996.

133.

Lazarsfeld PF, Berelson B, Gaudet H. *The people's choice: how the voter makes up his mind in a presidential campaign*. 3d ed. New York: Columbia University Press; 1965.

134.

Manning P. News and news sources: a critical introduction [Internet]. London: SAGE; 2001. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781446265345>

135.

Graber DA. Media power in politics. 6th ed. Washington, DC: CQ Press; 2011.

136.

Miller WL. Media and voters: the audience, content and influence of press and television at the 1987 General Election. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1991.

137.

Popkin SL. The reasoning voter: communication and persuasion in presidential campaigns. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1991.

138.

Schudson M. The power of news. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1995.

139.

Street J. Mass media, politics and democracy. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.

140.

Vavreck L. The message matters: the economy and presidential campaigns [Internet]. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 2009. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781400830480>

141.

Kaid LL. Handbook of political communication research [Internet]. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2004. Available from:
<http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Glasgow&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781410610584>

142.

Leduc L. Opinion change and voting behaviour in referendums. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2002 Oct;41(6):711–732.

143.

Schuck ART, de Vreese CH. Reversed Mobilization in Referendum Campaigns: How Positive News Framing Can Mobilize the Skeptics. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2008 Nov 3;14(1):40–66.

144.

Vreese CH de, Semetko HA. *Political campaigning in referendums: framing the referendum issue*. London: Routledge; 2004.

145.

Vreese CH de. The dynamics of referendum campaigns: an international perspective [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2007. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780230591189>

146.

Lawson C, McCann JA. Television News, Mexico's 2000 Elections and Media Effects in Emerging Democracies. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2005 Jan;35(1):1–30.

147.

Voltmer K. Mass media and political communication in new democracies [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from: <http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Glasgow&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203328668>

148.

Porto MP. Framing Controversies: Television and the 2002 Presidential Election in Brazil. *Political Communication*. 2007 Jan 29;24(1):19-36.

149.

Robinson P. Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics: Models of Media Influence on Foreign Policy. *European Journal of Communication*. 2001 Dec 1;16(4):523-544.

150.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

151.

Bennett WL, Lawrence RG, Livingston S. None Dare Call It Torture: Indexing and the Limits of Press Independence in the Abu Ghraib Scandal. *Journal of Communication*. 2006 Sep;56(3):467-485.

152.

Entman RM. Cascading Activation: Contesting the White House's Frame After 9/11. *Political Communication*. 2003 Oct;20(4):415-432.

153.

Elmelund-Praestekaer C, Wien C. What's the Fuss About? The Interplay of Media Hypes and Politics. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2008 Jul 1;13(3):247-266.

154.

Milne K. Manufacturing dissent: single-issue protest, the public and the press. London: Demos; 2005.

155.

Walgrave S, Van Aelst P. The Contingency of the Mass Media's Political Agenda Setting Power: Toward a Preliminary Theory. *Journal of Communication*. 2006 Mar;56(1):88-109.

156.

Iyengar S, Reeves R. Do the media govern?: politicians, voters, and reporters in America. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications; 1997.

157.

Bennett WL, Lawrence RG, Livingston S. None Dare Call It Torture: Indexing and the Limits of Press Independence in the Abu Ghraib Scandal. *Journal of Communication*. 2006 Sep;56(3):467-485.

158.

Davis A. Investigating Journalist Influences on Political Issue Agendas at Westminster. *Political Communication*. 2007 May 21;24(2):181-199.

159.

Koch-Baumgarten S, Voltmer K. Public policy and mass media: the interplay of mass communication and political decision making [Internet]. London: Routledge/ECPR; 2010. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=257161&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

160.

Graber DA, McQuail D, Norris P. The politics of news: the news of politics. Washington, DC: CQ Press; 1998.

161.

Robinson P. Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics: Models of Media Influence on Foreign Policy. *European Journal of Communication*. 2001 Dec 1;16(4):523–544.

162.

Yanovitzky I. Effects of News Coverage on Policy Attention and Actions: A Closer Look Into the Media-Policy Connection. *Communication Research*. 2002 Aug 1;29(4):422–451.

163.

Aday S, Livingston S. Taking the state out of state--media relations theory: how transnational advocacy networks are changing the press--state dynamic. *Media, War & Conflict*. 2008 Apr 1;1(1):99–107.

164.

Bennett WL. Toward a Theory of Press-State Relations in the United States. *Journal of Communication*. 1990 Jun;40(2):103–127.

165.

Bennett WL, Lawrence RG, Livingston S. *When the press fails: political power and the news media from Iraq to Katrina*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2007.

166.

Elmelund-Praestekaer C, Wien C. What's the Fuss About? The Interplay of Media Hypes and Politics. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2008 Jul 1;13(3):247–266.

167.

Nacos BL, Shapiro RY, Isernia P. *Decisionmaking in a glass house: mass media, public opinion, and American and European foreign policy in the 21st century*. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2000.

168.

Iyengar S, Reeves R. Do the media govern?: politicians, voters, and reporters in America. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications; 1997.

169.

Kenamer JD. Public opinion, the press, and public policy. Paperback ed. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 1994.

170.

Kingdon JW. Agendas, alternatives, and public policies. 2nd ed. New York: Longman; 2003.

171.

Miller D. Official sources and 'primary definition': the case of Northern Ireland. Media, Culture & Society. 1993 Jul 1;15(3):385-406.

172.

Page BI, Shapiro RY. The rational public: fifty years of trends in Americans' policy preferences. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1992.

173.

Walgrave S, Soroka S, Nuytemans M. The Mass Media's Political Agenda-Setting Power: A Longitudinal Analysis of Media, Parliament, and Government in Belgium (1993 to 2000). Comparative Political Studies. 2007 Nov 27;41(6):814-836.

174.

Bennett WL, Paletz DL. Taken by storm: the media, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy in the Gulf War. Chicago, Ill: University of Chicago Press; 1994.

175.

Gilboa E. The CNN Effect: The Search for a Communication Theory of International Relations. *Political Communication*. 2005 Feb 16;22(1):27-44.

176.

Livingston S. Clarifying the CNN Effect: An Examination of Media Effects According to Type of Military Intervention [Internet]. Shorenstein Center on Media, Politics and Public Policy, Harvard Kennedy School; Available from: <http://shorensteincenter.org/clarifying-the-cnn-effect-media-effects-and-military-intervention/>

177.

Robinson P. The CNN effect: the myth of news foreign policy and intervention [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=10716&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

178.

Wolfsfeld G. *Media and political conflict: news from the Middle East*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997.

179.

Callaghan K, Schnell F. *Framing American politics*. Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press; 2005.

180.

Berkeley Media Studies Group. Meta messaging framing your case and reinforcing your allies [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <http://www.bmsg.org/resources/publications/meta-messaging-framing-your-case-and-reinforcing-your-allies>

181.

Wolfsfeld G. *Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication* [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

182.

Bell CV, Entman RM. The Media's Role in America's Exceptional Politics of Inequality: Framing the Bush Tax Cuts of 2001 and 2003. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2011 Oct 1;16(4):548–572.

183.

Entman RM. Projections of power: framing news, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy [Internet]. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press; 2004. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780226210735>

184.

Gamson WA, Modigliani A. Media Discourse and Public Opinion on Nuclear Power: A Constructionist Approach. *American Journal of Sociology* [Internet]. 1989;95(1):1–37. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2780405>

185.

Hänggli R, Kriesi H. Political Framing Strategies and Their Impact on Media Framing in a Swiss Direct-Democratic Campaign. *Political Communication*. 2010 May 14;27(2):141–157.

186.

Lawrence RG. Framing Obesity: The Evolution of News Discourse on a Public Health Issue. *The Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2004 Jul 1;9(3):56–75.

187.

Roggeband C, Vliegthart R. Divergent framing: The public debate on migration in the Dutch parliament and media, 1995–2004. *West European Politics*. 2007 May;30(3):524–548.

188.

Semetko H, Valkenburg P. Framing European politics: a content analysis of press and television news. *Journal of Communication*. 2000 Jun;50(2):93-109.

189.

Van Gorp B. Where is the Frame?: Victims and Intruders in the Belgian Press Coverage of the Asylum Issue. *European Journal of Communication*. 2005 Dec 1;20(4):484-507.

190.

Johnston H, Noakes JA. *Frames of protest: social movements and the framing perspective* [Internet]. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc; 2005. Available from: <http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1322109>

191.

Carragee KM, Roefs W. The Neglect of Power in Recent Framing Research. *Journal of Communication*. 2004 Jun;54(2):214-233.

192.

Chong D, Druckman JN. Framing Theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2007 Jun;10(1):103-126.

193.

Semetko HA, Scammell M. *The SAGE handbook of political communication*. London: SAGE; 2012.

194.

Entman RM. Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm. *Journal of Communication*. 1993 Dec;43(4):51-58.

195.

Gamson WA, Croteau D, Hoynes W, Sasson T. Media Images and the Social Construction of Reality. *Annual Review of Sociology* [Internet]. 1992;18:373-393. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2083459>

196.

Gamson WA, Ryan C. Thinking about Elephants: Toward a Dialogue with George Lakoff. *The Public Eye Magazine* [Internet]. 19(2). Available from: http://www.publiceye.org/magazine/v19n2/gamson_elephants.html

197.

Goffman E. *Frame analysis: an essay on the organization of experience*. Northeastern University Press ed. Boston: Northeastern University Press; 1986.

198.

Pan Z, Kosicki G. Framing analysis: An approach to news discourse. *Political Communication*. 1993;10(1):55-75.

199.

Reese SD, Gandy OH, Grant AE. *Framing public life: perspectives on media and our understanding of the social world*. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2003.

200.

Van Gorp B. The Constructionist Approach to Framing: Bringing Culture Back In. *Journal of Communication* [Internet]. 2007 Mar;57(1):60-78. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.0021-9916.2007.00329.x/abstract>

201.

Vliementhart R, van Zoonen L. Power to the frame: Bringing sociology back to frame analysis. *European Journal of Communication*. 2011 Jun 1;26(2):101-115.

202.

Chong D, Druckman JN. Framing Public Opinion in Competitive Democracies. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 2007;101(4):637-655. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/27644476>

203.

Crigler AN. *The psychology of political communication*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press; 1996.

204.

Iyengar S. *Is anyone responsible?: how television frames political issues*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1991.

205.

Callaghan K, Schnell F. *Framing American politics*. Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press; 2005.

206.

McLeod D, Detenber B. Framing effects of television news coverage of social protest. *Journal of Communication*. 1999 Sep;49(3):3-23.

207.

Price V, Nir L, Cappella JN. Framing Public Discussion of Gay Civil Unions. *The Public Opinion Quarterly* [Internet]. 2005;69(2):179-212. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3521577>

208.

Scheufele D. Framing as a theory of media effects. *Journal of Communication*. 1999 Mar;49(1):103-122.

209.

Scheufele DA, Tewksbury D. Framing, Agenda Setting, and Priming: The Evolution of Three Media Effects Models. *Journal of Communication*. 2007 Mar;57(1):9–20.

210.

Schuck ART. Between Risk and Opportunity: News Framing and its Effects on Public Support for EU Enlargement. *European Journal of Communication*. 2006 Mar 1;21(1):5–32.

211.

Bucy EP, Holbert RL. *Sourcebook for political communication research: methods, measures, and analytical techniques*. New York: Routledge; 2011.

212.

Matthes J, Kohring M. The Content Analysis of Media Frames: Toward Improving Reliability and Validity. *Journal of Communication*. 2008 Jun;58(2):258–279.

213.

Davis A. Public relations, news production and changing patterns of source access in the British national media. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2000 Jan 1;22(1):39–59.

214.

Thrall AT, Stecula D, Sweet D. May We Have Your Attention Please? Human-Rights NGOs and the Problem of Global Communication. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2014 Apr 1;19(2):135–159.

215.

Waisbord S. Can NGOs Change the News? *International Journal of Communication* [Internet]. 2011;5. Available from: <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/787/515>

216.

McNair B. *An introduction to political communication* [Internet]. Abingdon, Oxon:

Routledge; 2011. Available from:

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203828694>

217.

Benton J. NGOs and the News: Civil society's place in the new news ecosystem. Nieman Lab [Internet]. 10AD; Available from:

<http://www.niemanlab.org/2010/05/ngos-and-the-news-civil-societys-place-in-the-new-news-ecosystem/>

218.

Cottle S. News, public relations and power [Internet]. London: SAGE; 2003. Available from:

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781857022773>

219.

Cottle S, Nolan D. Global Humanitarianism and the changing aid-media field. *Journalism Studies*. 2007 Dec;8(6):862-878.

220.

Dale S. McLuhan's children: the Greenpeace message and the media. Toronto, Ont: Between The Lines; 1996.

221.

Jong W de, Shaw M, Stammers N. Global activism, global media [Internet]. London: Pluto Press; 2005. Available from:

<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=175031&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

222.

Graeff E, Stempeck M, Zuckerman E. The battle for 'Trayvon Martin': Mapping a media controversy online and off-line. *First Monday*. 2014 Feb 3;19(2).

223.

Jha S. Why they wouldn't cite from sites: A study of journalists' perceptions of social movement web sites and the impact on their coverage of social protest. *Journalism*. 2008 Dec 1;9(6):711-732.

224.

Olsen GR, Carstensen N, Høyen K. Humanitarian Crises: What Determines the Level of Emergency Assistance? Media Coverage, Donor Interests and the Aid Business. *Disasters*. 2003 Jun;27(2):109-126.

225.

Ramos H, Ron J, Thoms ONT. Shaping the Northern Media's Human Rights Coverage, 1986-2000. *Journal of Peace Research* [Internet]. 2007;44(4):385-406. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/27640537>

226.

Van Leuven S, Joye S. Civil Society Organizations at the Gates? A Gatekeeping Study of News Making Efforts by NGOs and Government Institutions. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2014 Apr 1;19(2):160-180.

227.

Aday S, Livingston S. Taking the state out of state--media relations theory: how transnational advocacy networks are changing the press--state dynamic. *Media, War & Conflict*. 2008 Apr 1;1(1):99-107.

228.

Bennett WL, Lawrence RG, Livingston S. *When the press fails: political power and the news media from Iraq to Katrina*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2007.

229.

Cottle S. *News, public relations and power* [Internet]. London: SAGE; 2003. Available from:

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781857022773>

230.

Davis A. Public relations democracy: public relations, politics and the mass media in Britain. Manchester: Manchester University Press; 2002.

231.

Davis A. Political communication and social theory. London: Routledge; 2010.

232.

Davies N. Flat Earth news: an award-winning reporter exposes falsehood, distortion and propaganda in the global media. London: Vintage Books; 2009.

233.

Fenton N. New media, old news: journalism & democracy in the digital age. Los Angeles, Calif: SAGE; 2010.

234.

Gamson WA, Wolfsfeld G. Movements and Media as Interacting Systems. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science [Internet]. 1993;528:114-125. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1047795>

235.

Gitlin T. The whole world is watching: mass media in the making & unmaking of the New Left. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1980.

236.

Beharrell P, Glasgow University Media Group. Bad news. London: Routledge & K. Paul; 1976.

237.

Beharrell P, Glasgow University Media Group. More Bad News: Bad News Volume 2. London: Routledge & K. Paul; 1976.

238.

Lewis J, Williams A, Franklin B. A Compromised Fourth Estate? Journalism Studies. 2008 Feb;9(1):1-20.

239.

Manning P. News and news sources: a critical introduction [Internet]. London: SAGE; 2001. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781446265345>

240.

O'Neill D. From hunky heroes to dangerous dinosaurs. Journalism Studies. 2007 Oct;8(5):813-830.

241.

Powers M. The Structural Organization of NGO Publicity Work: Explaining Divergent Publicity Strategies at Humanitarian and Human Rights Organizations. International Journal of Communication [Internet]. 2014;8. Available from:
<http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2517>

242.

Ferguson M. Public communication: the new imperatives : future directions for media research. London: Sage; 1990.

243.

Ridge-Newman A. Cameron's conservatives and the Internet: change, culture and cyber

Toryism. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.

244.

Trevor Thrall A. The Myth of the Outside Strategy: Mass Media News Coverage of Interest Groups. *Political Communication*. 2006 Dec;23(4):407-420.

245.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

246.

Langer AI. The personalisation of politics in the UK: mediated leadership from Attlee to Cameron. Manchester: Manchester University Press; 2011.

247.

Lichtenberg J. The Politics of Character and the Character of Journalism [Internet]. The Joan Shorenstein Barone Center; Available from: http://shorensteincenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/d02_lichtenberg.pdf

248.

Scammell M. Political Brands and Consumer Citizens: The Rebranding of Tony Blair. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* [Internet]. 2007;611:176-192. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/25097916>

249.

Street J. Celebrity Politicians: Popular Culture and Political Representation. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2004 Nov;6(4):435-452.

250.

Salmon C. Communication yearbook 34. London: Routledge; 2010.

251.

Balmas M, Rahat G, Sheaffer T, Shenhav SR. Two routes to personalized politics: Centralized and decentralized personalization. Party Politics. 2014 Jan 1;20(1):37-51.

252.

Corner J, Pels D. Media and the restyling of politics: consumerism, celebrity and cynicism. London: SAGE; 2003.

253.

King AS. Leaders' personalities and the outcomes of democratic elections [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0199253137.001.0001>

254.

Kriesi H. Personalization of national election campaigns. Party Politics. 2012 Nov 1;18(6):825-844.

255.

Lichtenberg J. The Politics of Character and the Character of Journalism [Internet]. The Joan Shorenstein Barone Center; Available from: http://shorensteincenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/d02_lichtenberg.pdf

256.

Poguntke T, Webb P. The presidentialization of politics: a comparative study of modern democracies [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2005. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0199252017.001.0001>

257.

Seaton J. Public, Private and the Media. The Political Quarterly. 2003 Apr;74(2):174-183.

258.

Street J. Celebrity Politicians: Popular Culture and Political Representation. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2004 Nov;6(4):435–452.

259.

Van Aelst P, Sheafer T, Stanyer J. The personalization of mediated political communication: A review of concepts, operationalizations and key findings. *Journalism*. 2012 Feb 1;13(2):203–220.

260.

Zoonen L van. *Entertaining the citizen: when politics and popular culture converge*. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2005.

261.

Coleman S. How the other half votes: Big Brother viewers and the 2005 general election. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2006 Dec 1;9(4):457–479.

262.

Foley M. *The British presidency: Tony Blair and the politics of public leadership*. Manchester: Manchester University Press; 2000.

263.

Jamieson KH. *Eloquence in an electronic age: the transformation of political speechmaking* [Internet]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1990. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://hdl.handle.net/2027/heb.31820>

264.

Just MR. *Crosstalk: citizens, candidates, and the media in a presidential campaign*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press; 1996.

265.

Karvonen L. The personalisation of politics: a study of parliamentary democracies. Colchester: ECPR Press; 2010.

266.

Langer AI. A Historical Exploration of the Personalisation of Politics in the Print Media: The British Prime Ministers (1945-1999). *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2007 May 22;60(3):371-387.

267.

Langer AI. The Politicization of Private Persona: Exceptional Leaders or the New Rule? The Case of the United Kingdom and the Blair Effect. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2010 Jan 1;15(1):60-76.

268.

Manin B. The principles of representative government. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997.

269.

Miller AH, Wattenberg MP, Malanchuk O. Schematic Assessments of Presidential Candidates. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 1986;80(2):521-540. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1958272>

270.

Mughan A. Media and the presidentialization of parliamentary elections. Basingstoke: Palgrave; 2000.

271.

Rahat G, Sheafer T. The Personalization(s) of Politics: Israel, 1949-2003. *Political Communication*. 2007 Jan 29;24(1):65-80.

272.

Stanyer J. Intimate politics: publicity, privacy and the personal lives of politicians in media-saturated democracies [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2013. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780745676074>

273.

Thompson JB. Political scandal: power and visibility in the media age. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2000.

274.

Zoonen L van. Entertaining the citizen: when politics and popular culture converge. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2005.

275.

Wattenberg MP. The rise of candidate-centered politics: presidential elections of the 1980s. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1991.

276.

American Behavioral Scientist: Special issue on 'Political Scandals & Media, vol 1. 47:1031-1039. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://abs.sagepub.com/content/47/8.toc>

277.

American Behavioral Scientist: Special issue on 'Political Scandals & Media', vol. 2. 47:1143-1152. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://abs.sagepub.com/content/47/9.toc>

278.

Parliamentary Affairs: Special issue on 'Public Images, Private Lives'. 2004;57(1). Available

from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://pa.oxfordjournals.org/content/57/1.toc>

279.

Childs S. Women and British party politics: descriptive, substantive and symbolic representation [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2008. Available from: <http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=332069>

280.

Murray R. Cracking the highest glass ceiling: a global comparison of women's campaigns for executive office [Internet]. Santa Barbara, Calif: Praeger Publishers; 2010. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780313382499>

281.

Miller MK, Peake JS. Press Effects, Public Opinion, and Gender: Coverage of Sarah Palin's Vice-Presidential Campaign. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2013 Oct 1;18(4):482-507.

282.

Perry S. Gender Difference in French Political Communication: From Handicap to Asset? *Modern & Contemporary France*. 2005 Aug;13(3):337-352.

283.

Wasburn PC, Wasburn MH. Media coverage of women in politics: The curious case of Sarah Palin. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2011 Oct 1;33(7):1027-1041.

284.

Counting Women In - Centre for Women and Democracy [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.cfwd.org.uk/current-projects/counting-women-in>

285.

Women and Journalism | Reuters Institute for the study of Journalism [Internet]. Available from: <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/publication/women-and-journalism>

286.

Aday S, Devitt J. Style over Substance: Newspaper Coverage of Elizabeth Dole's Presidential Bid. *The Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2001 Mar 1;6(2):52-73.

287.

Bystrom DG. Gender and candidate communication: videoStyle, webStyle, newsStyle. New York: Routledge; 2004.

288.

Carroll SJ. Reflections on Gender and Hillary Clinton's Presidential Campaign: The Good, the Bad, and the Misogynic. *Politics & Gender*. 2009 Mar;5(01).

289.

Heflick NA, Goldenberg JL. Objectifying Sarah Palin: Evidence that objectification causes women to be perceived as less competent and less fully human. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 2009 May;45(3):598-601.

290.

Gidengil E, Everitt J. Talking Tough: Gender and Reported Speech in Campaign News Coverage. *Political Communication*. 2003 Jul;20(3):209-232.

291.

Jamieson KH. Beyond the double bind: women and leadership. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1995.

292.

Kahn KF. The political consequences of being a woman: how stereotypes influence the conduct and consequences of political campaigns. New York: Columbia University Press; 1996.

293.

Lawrence RG, Rose M. Hillary Clinton's race for the White House: gender politics and the media on the campaign trail. Boulder, Colo: Lynne Rienner Publishers; 2010.

294.

Murray R, Perry S. A Right Royal Mess: Why Did the French Say 'Non' to the Opportunity to Have a Woman President? authored by Murray, Rainbow. and Perry, Sheila. [Internet]. 2008. Available from: http://citation.allacademic.com/meta/p_mla_apa_research_citation/2/8/0/4/3/pages280433/p280433-1.php

295.

Norris P. Women, media, and politics. New York: Oxford University Press; 1997.

296.

Perry S. Gender Difference in French Political Communication: From Handicap to Asset? Modern & Contemporary France. 2005 Aug;13(3):337-352.

297.

Sreberny A, Zoonen L van. Gender, politics and communication. Cresskill, N.J.: Hampton Press; 2000.

298.

Semetko HA, Boomgaarden HG. Reporting Germany's 2005 Bundestag Election Campaign: Was Gender an Issue? The Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics. 2007 Oct 1;12(4):154-171.

299.

Zoonen L van. Entertaining the citizen: when politics and popular culture converge. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2005.

300.

Valenzuela S, Correa T. Press Coverage and Public Opinion On Women Candidates: The Case of Chile's Michelle Bachelet. International Communication Gazette. 2009 Apr 1;71(3):203-223.

301.

Bruin M de, Ross K. Gender and newsroom cultures: identities at work. Creskill, NJ: Hampton Press; 2004.

302.

Kaid LL. Handbook of political communication research [Internet]. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2004. Available from:
<http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Glasgow&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781410610584>

303.

Calhoun CJ. Habermas and the public sphere. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 1992.

304.

Gardetto DC. Hillary Rodham Clinton, Symbolic Gender Politics, and the New York Times : January-November 1992. Political Communication. 1997 Apr;14(2):225-240.

305.

Heflick NA, Goldenberg JL, Cooper DP, Puvia E. From women to objects: Appearance focus, target gender, and perceptions of warmth, morality and competence. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology. 2011 May;47(3):572-581.

306.

Conroy M, Oliver S, Breckenridge-Jackson I, Heldman C. From Ferraro to Palin: sexism in coverage of vice presidential candidates in old and new media. *Politics, Groups, and Identities*. 2015 Oct 2;3(4):573–591.

307.

Larson SG. American Women and Politics in the Media: A Review Essay. *PS: Political Science and Politics* [Internet]. 2001;34(2):227–230. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1350209>

308.

Jamieson KH. Eloquence in an electronic age: the transformation of political speechmaking [Internet]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1990. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://hdl.handle.net/2027/heb.31820>

309.

Kittilson MC, Fridkin K. Gender, Candidate Portrayals and Election Campaigns: A Comparative Perspective. *Politics & Gender*. 2008 Sep;4(03).

310.

Meeks L. Is She "Man Enough"? Women Candidates, Executive Political Offices, and News Coverage. *Journal of Communication*. 2012 Feb;62(1):175–193.

311.

Ross K, Byerly CM. Women and media: international perspectives. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2004.

312.

Ross K. Women, politics, media: uneasy relations in comparative perspective. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press; 2002.

313.

Sreberny A, Zoonen L van. Gender, politics and communication. Cresskill, N.J.: Hampton Press; 2000.

314.

van Zoonen L. The personal, the political and the popular: A woman's guide to celebrity politics. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2006 Aug 1;9(3):287-301.