

Media And Democracy

[View Online](#)

1.

Curran J, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Media and democracy [Internet]. Vol. Communication and Society. Oxford: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=672443>

2.

Davis A. Political communication and social theory. Vol. Communication and society. London: Routledge; 2010.

3.

Esser F, Pfetsch B. Comparing political communication: theories, cases, and challenges. Vol. Communication, society, and politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004.

4.

Graber DA. Media power in politics. 6th ed. Washington, DC: CQ Press; 2011.

5.

Kaid LL, Holtz-Bacha C. Encyclopedia of political communication. Vol. A SAGE reference publication. Los Angeles, Calif: Sage Publications; 2008.

6.

Kuhn R. Politics and the media in Britain. Vol. Contemporary political studies (Palgrave

(Firm)). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2002.

7.

Lilleker DG. Key concepts in political communication. Vol. SAGE key concepts. London: Sage; 2006.

8.

McNair B. An introduction to political communication [Internet]. Vol. Communication and society. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203828694>

9.

Negrine RM. The transformation of political communication: continuities and changes in media and politics. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

10.

Negrine RM, Stanyer J. The political communication reader. New York, NY: Routledge; 2007.

11.

Semetko HA, Scammell M. The SAGE handbook of political communication. London: SAGE; 2012.

12.

Street J. Mass media, politics and democracy. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.

13.

Swanson DL, Mancini P. Politics, media, and modern democracy: an international study of

innovations in electoral campaigning and their consequences. Vol. Praeger series in political communication. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 1996.

14.

Voltmer K. The media in transitional democracies [Internet]. Vol. Contemporary political communication. Cambridge: Polity; 2013. Available from:
<http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1315630>

15.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

16.

Overholser G, Jamieson KH. The press [Internet]. Vol. Institutions of American democracy series. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2005. Available from:
<http://lib.myilibrary.com?id=84383&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

17.

Voltmer K. The media in transitional democracies [Internet]. Vol. Contemporary political communication. Cambridge: Polity; 2013. Available from:
<http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1315630>

18.

Scammell M. Rethinking the Media's Duties to Democracy: watchdog, information and representation - draft paper. 2005.

19.

Coronel S. Media as Watchdog [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/pnorris/Acrobat/WorldBankReport/Chapter%205%20Coronel.pdf>

20.

Zaller J. A New Standard of News Quality: Burglar Alarms for the Monitorial Citizen. Political Communication. 2003 Apr;20(2):109-30.

21.

Coronel S. The Media as Watchdog [Internet]. 2008. Available from:
<https://ziaruldela5.files.wordpress.com/2009/09/coronel20watchdog.pdf>

22.

Curran J, Taylor & Francis Group. Media and power [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203417744>

23.

Curran J, Iyengar S, Brink Lund A, Salovaara-Moring I. Media System, Public Knowledge and Democracy: A Comparative Study. European Journal of Communication. 2009 Mar 1;24(1):5-26.

24.

Norris P. A virtuous circle: political communications in postindustrial societies. Vol. Communication, society and politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

25.

Scammell M. Rethinking the Media's Duties to Democracy: watchdog, information and representation - draft paper. 2005.

26.

Schudson M. The power of news. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1995.

27.

Schudson M. Why democracies need an unlovable press. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2008.

28.

Strömbäck J. In Search of a Standard: four models of democracy and their normative implications for journalism. Journalism Studies. 2005 Aug;6(3):331–45.

29.

Aalberg T, Curran J. How media inform democracy: a comparative approach. Vol. Routledge new developments in communication and society research. New York, N.Y.: Routledge; 2012.

30.

Baker CE. Media, markets, and democracy [Internet]. Vol. Communication, society, and politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002. Available from: <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/description/cam021/2001025498.html>

31.

Graber, Doris. The Media and Democracy: Beyond Myths and Stereotypes. Annual Review of Political Science [Internet]. 2003;6(1):139–60. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=10609378&site=ehost-live>

32.

Lichtenberg J. Democracy and the mass media: a collection of essays. Vol. Cambridge studies in philosophy and public policy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1990.

33.

McQuail D. Media performance: mass communication and the public interest. London: Sage Publications; 1992.

34.

Esser F, Stromback J. Mediatization of politics: understanding the transformation of western democracies [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.palgraveconnect.com/doifinder/10.1057/9781137275844>

35.

Siebert FS, Peterson T, Schramm W. Four theories of the press. Chicago, Ill: University of Illinois Press; 1984.

36.

Street J. Mass media, politics and democracy. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.

37.

Tewksbury D, Rittenberg J. News on the Internet: information and citizenship in the 21st century [Internet]. Vol. Oxford studies in digital politics. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780195391961.001.0001>

38.

Norris P. Public sentinel: news media & governance reform. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank; 2010.

39.

Voltmer K. Mass media and political communication in new democracies [Internet]. Vol. Routledge/ECPR studies in European political science. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780203328668>

40.

Waisbord S. Democracy, journalism, and Latin American populism. Journalism. 2013 May 1;14(4):504–21.

41.

Barber BR. Strong democracy: participatory politics for a new age. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1984.

42.

Dahl RA. Democracy and its critics. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1989.

43.

Held D. Models of democracy. 3rd ed. Cambridge: Polity; 2006.

44.

Oates S. Glasnost 2.0. Demokratizatsiya [Internet]. 2014; Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?ty=as&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=search&s=RELEVANCE&p=EAIM&qt=SN~1074-6846~~TI~Glasnost%202.0~~VO~22~~SP~277~~IU~2&lm=DA~120140322&sw=w>

45.

Shirk SL. Changing media, changing China [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from: <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=602492>

46.

Voltmer K. The media in transitional democracies [Internet]. Vol. Contemporary political communication. Cambridge: Polity; 2013. Available from: <http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1315630>

47.

Toepfl F. Why do pluralistic media systems emerge? Comparing media change in the Czech Republic and in Russia after the collapse of communism. Global Media and Communication. 2013 Dec 1;9(3):239-56.

48.

Egorov G, Guriev S, Sonin K. Why Resource-poor Dictators Allow Freer Media: A Theory and Evidence from Panel Data. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 2009;103(4):645–68. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/27798530>

49.

Freedom House. Freedom of the Press 2013 [Internet]. Available from: <https://freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-press/freedom-press-2013#.VbjggfnwCex>

50.

Gehlbach S, Sonin K. Government Control of the Media. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2011;

51.

Hallin DC, Mancini P. Comparing media systems beyond the western world. Vol. Communication, society and politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

52.

Norris P. Governance and Accountability - Public Sentinel: News Media & Governance Reform [Internet]. The World Bank; 2009. Available from: <http://go.worldbank.org/ZMCO1WV7Z0>

53.

Waisbord S. Democratic Journalism and "Statelessness". *Political Communication*. 2007 May 21;24(2):115–29.

54.

Bandurski D, Hala M. Investigative journalism in China: eight cases in Chinese watchdog journalism [Internet]. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press; 2010. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.5790/hongkong/9789622091733.001.0001>

55.

Jonathan Hassid. Controlling the Chinese Media: An Uncertain Business. *Asian Survey* [Internet]. 2008;48(3):414–30. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1525/as.2008.48.3.414>

56.

King, et al G. How Censorship in China Allows Government Criticism but Silences Collective Expression. *American Political Science Review*. 2013 May;107(02):326–43.

57.

Lei YW. The Political Consequences of the Rise of the Internet: Political Beliefs and Practices of Chinese Netizens. *Political Communication*. 2011 Jul;28(3):291–322.

58.

Lorentzen P. China's Strategic Censorship. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2014 Apr;58(2):402–14.

59.

Stockmann D, Gallagher ME. Remote Control: How the Media Sustain Authoritarian Rule in China. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2011 Apr 1;44(4):436–67.

60.

Stockmann D. *Media commercialization and authoritarian rule in china*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

61.

Tang L, Sampson H. The interaction between mass media and the internet in non-democratic states: The case of China. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2012 May 1;34(4):457–71.

62.

Yuezhi Z. Watchdogs on Party Leashes? Contexts and implications of investigative journalism in post-Deng China. *Journalism Studies*. 2000 Jan;1(4):577-97.

63.

Zhu J, Lu J, Shi T. When Grapevine News Meets Mass Media: Different Information Sources and Popular Perceptions of Government Corruption in Mainland China. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2013 Aug 1;46(8):920-46.

64.

Beumers B, Hutchings SC, Rulyova N. Globalisation, freedom and the media after Communism: the past as future. London: Routledge; 2009.

65.

Gross P, Jakubowicz K, editors. Media transformations in the post-communist world: Eastern Europe's tortured path to change. Lanham: Lexington; 2013.

66.

Oates S. Russian State Narrative in the Digital Age: Rewired Propaganda in Russian Television News Framing of Malaysia Airlines Flight 17 [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <http://www.media-politics.com/presentationpublications.htm>

67.

Oates S, Lokot T. Twilight of the Gods?: How the Internet Challenged Russian Television News Frames in the Winter Protests of 2011-12. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2013;

68.

Semetko HA, Scammell M. The SAGE handbook of political communication. London: SAGE; 2012.

69.

Elisabeth SchimpfossI, Ilya Yablokov. Coercion or conformism? Censorship and self-censorship among Russian media personalities and reporters in the 2010s. Demokratizatsiya [Internet]. 2014;22(2). Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?p=EAIM&u=glasuni&id=GALE|A368073878&v=2.1&it=r&sid=summon&userGroup=glasuni&authCount=1>

70.

Toepfl F. Making Sense of the News in a Hybrid Regime: How Young Russians Decode State TV and an Oppositional Blog. Journal of Communication. 2013 Apr;63(2):244-65.

71.

Toepfl F. Managing public outrage: Power, scandal, and new media in contemporary Russia. New Media & Society. 2011 Dec 1;13(8):1301-19.

72.

Hallin DC, Mancini P. Comparing media systems beyond the western world. Vol. Communication, society and politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

73.

Curran J. Media and power [Internet]. Vol. Communication and society. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Glasgow&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203417744>

74.

What If There Were No BBC Television? The Net Impact on UK Viewers. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism [Internet]. Available from: <http://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/publication/what-if-there-were-no-bbc-television>

75.

Franklin B. Television policy: the MacTaggart lectures [Internet]. Edinburgh: Edinburgh

University Press; 2005. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.3366/edinburgh/9780748617173.001.0001>

76.

The Absence of Trust James Murdoch's MacTaggart speech [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.broadcastnow.co.uk/opinion/james-murdochs-mactaggart-speech/5004990.article>

77.

Gardam T, Levy DA. The Price of Plurality - Choice, Diversity and Broadcasting Institutions in the Digital Age [Internet]. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism; 2008. Available from:
<http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/binaries/broadcast/reviews-investigations/psb-review/psb-plurality.pdf>

78.

Public Service Broadcasting/ Media | LSE Media Policy Project [Internet]. Available from:
<http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/mediapolicyproject/category/public-service-broadcasting-media/>

79.

Ofcom [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.ofcom.org.uk/>

80.

News consumption in the UK - 2013 report | Ofcom [Internet]. Available from:
<http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/market-data-research/other/tv-research/news-2013/>

81.

Reuters Institute for the study of Journalism [Internet]. Available from:
<http://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/>

82.

Picard RG, Siciliani P. Is there Still a Place for Public Service Television? [Internet]. Reuters Institute for the study of Journalism; 2013. Available from: <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/publication/there-still-place-public-service-television>

83.

Mutz DC, Young L. Communication and Public Opinion: Plus Ça Change? Public Opinion Quarterly. 2011 Dec 1;75(5):1018–44.

84.

Prior M. Media and Political Polarization. Annual Review of Political Science. 2013 May 11;16(1):101–27.

85.

Cox B, Demos (Organization : London, England). Free for all?: public service television in the digital age. London: Demos; 2004.

86.

Curran J, Seaton J. Power without responsibility: the press, broadcasting and the internet in Britain [Internet]. 7th ed. London: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203871409>

87.

Cushion S. The democratic value of news: why public service media matter. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.

88.

Debrett M. Riding the wave: public service television in the multi-platform era. Media, Culture & Society. 2009 Sep 1;31(5):807–27.

89.

Freedman D. The politics of media policy. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2008.

90.

Hallin DC, Mancini P, American Council of Learned Societies. Comparing media systems: three models of media and politics [Internet]. Vol. Communication, society, and politics. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2004. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://hdl.handle.net/2027/heb.31807>

91.

Kuhn R. Politics and the media in Britain. Vol. Contemporary political studies (Palgrave (Firm)). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2002.

92.

Starkey G. Balance and bias in journalism: representation, regulation, and democracy. Houndmills, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2007.

93.

Allern S, Blach-Ørsten M. The News Media as a Political Institution. Journalism Studies. 2011 Feb;12(1):92-105.

94.

Aalberg T, Curran J. How media inform democracy: a comparative approach. Vol. Routledge new developments in communication and society research. New York, N.Y.: Routledge; 2012.

95.

Ball T. The choice revolution. The Guardian [Internet]. 22AD; Available from: <http://www.theguardian.com/media/2003/aug/22/bskyb.broadcasting1>

96.

Blumler JG, Broadcasting Standards Council. Television and the public interest: vulnerable values in West European broadcasting. London: Sage Publications in association with the Broadcasting Standards Council; 1992.

97.

Cammaerts B, Carpentier N. Reclaiming the media: communication rights and democratic media roles. Vol. European Communication Research and Education Association series. Bristol: Intellect Books; 2007.

98.

Cushion S, Lewis J. Towards a 'Foxification' of 24-hour news channels in Britain?: An analysis of market-driven and publicly funded news coverage. Journalism. 2009 Apr 1;10(2):131-53.

99.

Hallin DC, Mancini P. Comparing media systems beyond the western world. Vol. Communication, society and politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

100.

Holtz-Bacha C, Norris P. 'To Entertain, Inform, and Educate': Still the Role of Public Television. Political Communication. 2001 Apr;18(2):123-40.

101.

Lowe GF, Bardoel J. From public service broadcasting to public service media. Göteborg, Sweden: Nordicom; 2007.

102.

Media Ownership Reform [Internet]. Media Reform Coalition; 2014. Available from: <http://www.mediareform.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/FULL-PDF.pdf>

103.

McChesney RW. Rich media, poor democracy: communication politics in dubious times. New Press pbk. ed. New York: New Press; 2000.

104.

McQuail D. McQuail's reader in mass communication theory. London: SAGE; 2002.

105.

McNair B. News and journalism in the UK [Internet]. 5th ed. Vol. Communication and society. London: Routledge; 2009. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203881415>

106.

Westminster Media Forum, Nordicity. An international comparison of funding models and performance [Internet]. 22AD. Available from: <https://www3.ebu.ch/contents/publications/presentations/public-service-broadcasting-an-1.html>

107.

Paxman J. The James MacTaggart Memorial Lecture [Internet]. Available from: http://www.bbc.co.uk/blogs/newsnight/2007/08/the_james_mactaggart_memorial_lecture.html

108.

Prior M. Post-broadcast democracy: how media choice increases inequality in political involvement and polarizes elections. Vol. Cambridge studies in public opinion and political psychology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2007.

109.

Soroka S, Andrew B, Aalberg T, Iyengar S, Curran J, Coen S, et al. Auntie Knows Best? Public Broadcasters and Current Affairs Knowledge. British Journal of Political Science. 2013 Oct;43(04):719-39.

110.

Curran J, Coen S, Soroka S, Aalberg T, Hayashi K, Hichy Z, et al. Reconsidering 'virtuous circle' and 'media malaise' theories of the media: An 11-nation study. *Journalism*. 2014 Oct 1;15(7):815–33.

111.

Holbrook TM. Do campaigns matter? [Internet]. Vol. Contemporary American politics. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1996. Available from: <http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=997003>

112.

Scammell M. Consumer democracy: the marketing of politics [Internet]. Vol. Communication, society and politics. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139046107>

113.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

114.

Enikolopov R, Petrova M, Zhuravskaya E. Media and Political Persuasion: Evidence from Russia. *The American Economic Review* [Internet]. 2011;101(7):3253–85. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/41408737>

115.

Lawson C, McCann JA. Television News, Mexico's 2000 Elections and Media Effects in Emerging Democracies. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2005 Jan;35(1):1–30.

116.

Porto MP. Framing Controversies: Television and the 2002 Presidential Election in Brazil. *Political Communication*. 2007 Jan 29;24(1):19–36.

117.

Bennett WL, Iyengar S. A New Era of Minimal Effects? The Changing Foundations of Political Communication. *Journal of Communication*. 2008 Dec;58(4):707–31.

118.

Curran J. Media and power [Internet]. Vol. *Communication and society*. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Glasgow&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203417744>

119.

Denver DT. Elections and voters in Britain. 3rd ed. Vol. *Contemporary Political Studies*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.

120.

Farrell DM, Schmitt-Beck R. Do political campaigns matter?: campaign effects in elections and referendums. London: Routledge; 2002.

121.

Gavin NT, Sanders D. The Press and Its Influence on British Political Attitudes under New Labour. *Political Studies*. 2003 Oct;51(3):573–91.

122.

Iyengar S, Kinder DR. News that matters: television and American opinion. Vol. *American politics and political economy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1987.

123.

Jamieson KH. Everything you think you know about politics-- and why you're wrong. New York: Basic Books; 2000.

124.

Mutz DC. Impersonal influence: how perceptions of mass collectives affect political attitudes. Vol. Cambridge studies in political psychology and public opinion. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.

125.

Mutz DC, Young L. Communication and Public Opinion: Plus Ça Change? Public Opinion Quarterly. 2011 Dec 1;75(5):1018-44.

126.

Newton K. May the weak force be with you: The power of the mass media in modern politics. European Journal of Political Research. 2006 Mar;45(2):209-34.

127.

Norris P. On message: communicating the campaign. London: Sage; 1999.

128.

Sabato L. The year of Obama: how Barack Obama won the White House. New York: Longman; 2010.

129.

Brandenburg H, Van Egmond M. Pressed into Party Support? Media Influence on Partisan Attitudes during the 2005 UK General Election Campaign. British Journal of Political Science. 2012 Apr;42(02):441-63.

130.

Campbell JE. Forecasting the 2012 American National Elections. PS: Political Science & Politics. 2012 Oct;45(04):610-3.

131.

Crewe I, Gosschalk B, Bartle J. Political communications: why Labour won the general election of 1997. London: Frank Cass; 1998.

132.

Just MR. Crosstalk: citizens, candidates, and the media in a presidential campaign. Vol. American politics and political economy. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press; 1996.

133.

Lazarsfeld PF, Berelson B, Gaudet H. The people's choice: how the voter makes up his mind in a presidential campaign. 3d ed. New York: Columbia University Press; 1965.

134.

Manning P. News and news sources: a critical introduction [Internet]. London: SAGE; 2001. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781446265345>

135.

Graber DA. Media power in politics. 6th ed. Washington, DC: CQ Press; 2011.

136.

Miller WL. Media and voters: the audience, content and influence of press and television at the 1987 General Election. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1991.

137.

Popkin SL. The reasoning voter: communication and persuasion in presidential campaigns. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1991.

138.

Schudson M. The power of news. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1995.

139.

Street J. Mass media, politics and democracy. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.

140.

Vavreck L. The message matters: the economy and presidential campaigns [Internet]. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 2009. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781400830480>

141.

Kaid LL. Handbook of political communication research [Internet]. Vol. LEA's communication series. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2004. Available from: <http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Glasgow&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781410610584>

142.

Leduc L. Opinion change and voting behaviour in referendums. European Journal of Political Research. 2002 Oct;41(6):711–32.

143.

Schuck ART, de Vreese CH. Reversed Mobilization in Referendum Campaigns: How Positive News Framing Can Mobilize the Skeptics. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2008 Nov 3;14(1):40–66.

144.

Vreese CH de, Semetko HA. Political campaigning in referendums: framing the referendum issue. Vol. Routledge research in political communication. London: Routledge; 2004.

145.

Vreese CH de. The dynamics of referendum campaigns: an international perspective [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2007. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780230591189>

146.

Lawson C, McCann JA. Television News, Mexico's 2000 Elections and Media Effects in Emerging Democracies. British Journal of Political Science. 2005 Jan;35(1):1-30.

147.

Voltmer K. Mass media and political communication in new democracies [Internet]. Vol. Routledge/ECPR studies in European political science. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from: <http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Glasgow&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203328668>

148.

Porto MP. Framing Controversies: Television and the 2002 Presidential Election in Brazil. Political Communication. 2007 Jan 29;24(1):19-36.

149.

Robinson P. Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics: Models of Media Influence on Foreign Policy. European Journal of Communication. 2001 Dec 1;16(4):523-44.

150.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

151.

Bennett WL, Lawrence RG, Livingston S. None Dare Call It Torture: Indexing and the Limits of Press Independence in the Abu Ghraib Scandal. *Journal of Communication*. 2006 Sep;56(3):467-85.

152.

Entman RM. Cascading Activation: Contesting the White House's Frame After 9/11. *Political Communication*. 2003 Oct;20(4):415-32.

153.

Elmelund-Praestekaer C, Wien C. What's the Fuss About? The Interplay of Media Hypes and Politics. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2008 Jul 1;13(3):247-66.

154.

Milne K. *Manufacturing dissent: single-issue protest, the public and the press*. London: Demos; 2005.

155.

Walgrave S, Van Aelst P. The Contingency of the Mass Media's Political Agenda Setting Power: Toward a Preliminary Theory. *Journal of Communication*. 2006 Mar;56(1):88-109.

156.

Iyengar S, Reeves R. *Do the media govern?: politicians, voters, and reporters in America*. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications; 1997.

157.

Bennett WL, Lawrence RG, Livingston S. None Dare Call It Torture: Indexing and the Limits of Press Independence in the Abu Ghraib Scandal. *Journal of Communication*. 2006

Sep;56(3):467-85.

158.

Davis A. Investigating Journalist Influences on Political Issue Agendas at Westminster. *Political Communication*. 2007 May 21;24(2):181-99.

159.

Koch-Baumgarten S, Voltmer K. Public policy and mass media: the interplay of mass communication and political decision making [Internet]. Vol. Routledge/ECPR studies in European political science. London: Routledge/ECPR; 2010. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=257161&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

160.

Graber DA, McQuail D, Norris P. The politics of news: the news of politics. Washington, DC: CQ Press; 1998.

161.

Robinson P. Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics: Models of Media Influence on Foreign Policy. *European Journal of Communication*. 2001 Dec 1;16(4):523-44.

162.

Yanovitzky I. Effects of News Coverage on Policy Attention and Actions: A Closer Look Into the Media-Policy Connection. *Communication Research*. 2002 Aug 1;29(4):422-51.

163.

Aday S, Livingston S. Taking the state out of state--media relations theory: how transnational advocacy networks are changing the press--state dynamic. *Media, War & Conflict*. 2008 Apr 1;1(1):99-107.

164.

Bennett WL. Toward a Theory of Press-State Relations in the United States. *Journal of Communication*. 1990 Jun;40(2):103-27.

165.

Bennett WL, Lawrence RG, Livingston S. When the press fails: political power and the news media from Iraq to Katrina. Vol. *Studies in communication, media, and public opinion*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2007.

166.

Elmelund-Praestekaer C, Wien C. What's the Fuss About? The Interplay of Media Hypes and Politics. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2008 Jul 1;13(3):247-66.

167.

Nacos BL, Shapiro RY, Isernia P. Decisionmaking in a glass house: mass media, public opinion, and American and European foreign policy in the 21st century. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2000.

168.

Iyengar S, Reeves R. Do the media govern?: politicians, voters, and reporters in America. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications; 1997.

169.

Kenamer JD. Public opinion, the press, and public policy. Paperback ed. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 1994.

170.

Kingdon JW. Agendas, alternatives, and public policies. 2nd ed. Vol. *Longman classics in political science*. New York: Longman; 2003.

171.

Miller D. Official sources and 'primary definition': the case of Northern Ireland. *Media,*

Culture & Society. 1993 Jul 1;15(3):385–406.

172.

Page BI, Shapiro RY. The rational public: fifty years of trends in Americans' policy preferences. Vol. American politics and political economy. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1992.

173.

Walgrave S, Soroka S, Nuytemans M. The Mass Media's Political Agenda-Setting Power: A Longitudinal Analysis of Media, Parliament, and Government in Belgium (1993 to 2000). Comparative Political Studies. 2007 Nov 27;41(6):814–36.

174.

Bennett WL, Paletz DL. Taken by storm: the media, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy in the Gulf War. Vol. American politics and political economy. Chicago, Ill: University of Chicago Press; 1994.

175.

Gilboa E. The CNN Effect: The Search for a Communication Theory of International Relations. Political Communication. 2005 Feb 16;22(1):27–44.

176.

Livingston S. Clarifying the CNN Effect: An Examination of Media Effects According to Type of Military Intervention [Internet]. Shorenstein Center on Media, Politics and Public Policy, Harvard Kennedy School; Available from: <http://shorensteincenter.org/clarifying-the-cnn-effect-media-effects-and-military-intervention/>

177.

Robinson P. The CNN effect: the myth of news foreign policy and intervention [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=10716&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

178.

Wolfsfeld G. Media and political conflict: news from the Middle East. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997.

179.

Callaghan K, Schnell F. Framing American politics. Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press; 2005.

180.

Berkeley Media Studies Group. Meta messaging framing your case and reinforcing your allies [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <http://www.bmsg.org/resources/publications/meta-messaging-framing-your-case-and-reinforcing-your-allies>

181.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

182.

Bell CV, Entman RM. The Media's Role in America's Exceptional Politics of Inequality: Framing the Bush Tax Cuts of 2001 and 2003. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2011 Oct 1;16(4):548-72.

183.

Entman RM. Projections of power: framing news, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy [Internet]. Vol. Studies in communication, media, and public opinion. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press; 2004. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780226210735>

184.

Gamson WA, Modigliani A. Media Discourse and Public Opinion on Nuclear Power: A Constructionist Approach. *American Journal of Sociology* [Internet]. 1989;95(1):1-37. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2780405>

185.

Hänggli R, Kriesi H. Political Framing Strategies and Their Impact on Media Framing in a Swiss Direct-Democratic Campaign. *Political Communication*. 2010 May 14;27(2):141-57.

186.

Lawrence RG. Framing Obesity: The Evolution of News Discourse on a Public Health Issue. *The Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2004 Jul 1;9(3):56-75.

187.

Roggeband C, Vliegenthart R. Divergent framing: The public debate on migration in the Dutch parliament and media, 1995-2004. *West European Politics*. 2007 May;30(3):524-48.

188.

Semetko H, Valkenburg P. Framing European politics: a content analysis of press and television news. *Journal of Communication*. 2000 Jun;50(2):93-109.

189.

Van Gorp B. Where is the Frame?: Victims and Intruders in the Belgian Press Coverage of the Asylum Issue. *European Journal of Communication*. 2005 Dec 1;20(4):484-507.

190.

Johnston H, Noakes JA. Frames of protest: social movements and the framing perspective [Internet]. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc; 2005. Available from: <http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1322109>

191.

Carragee KM, Roefs W. The Neglect of Power in Recent Framing Research. *Journal of Communication*. 2004 Jun;54(2):214–33.

192.

Chong D, Druckman JN. Framing Theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2007 Jun;10(1):103–26.

193.

Semetko HA, Scammell M. *The SAGE handbook of political communication*. London: SAGE; 2012.

194.

Entman RM. Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm. *Journal of Communication*. 1993 Dec;43(4):51–8.

195.

Gamson WA, Croteau D, Hoynes W, Sasson T. Media Images and the Social Construction of Reality. *Annual Review of Sociology* [Internet]. 1992;18:373–93. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2083459>

196.

Gamson WA, Ryan C. Thinking about Elephants: Toward a Dialogue with George Lakoff. *The Public Eye Magazine* [Internet]. 19(2). Available from: http://www.publiceye.org/magazine/v19n2/gamson_elephants.html

197.

Goffman E. *Frame analysis: an essay on the organization of experience*. Northeastern University Press ed. Boston: Northeastern University Press; 1986.

198.

Pan Z, Kosicki G. Framing analysis: An approach to news discourse. *Political Communication*. 1993;10(1):55–75.

199.

Reese SD, Gandy OH, Grant AE. Framing public life: perspectives on media and our understanding of the social world. Vol. LEA's communication series. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2003.

200.

Van Gorp B. The Constructionist Approach to Framing: Bringing Culture Back In. *Journal of Communication* [Internet]. 2007 Mar;57(1):60–78. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.0021-9916.2007.00329.x/abstract>

201.

Vliegenthart R, van Zoonen L. Power to the frame: Bringing sociology back to frame analysis. *European Journal of Communication*. 2011 Jun 1;26(2):101–15.

202.

Chong D, Druckman JN. Framing Public Opinion in Competitive Democracies. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 2007;101(4):637–55. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/27644476>

203.

Crigler AN. The psychology of political communication. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press; 1996.

204.

Iyengar S. Is anyone responsible?: how television frames political issues. Vol. American politics and political economy. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1991.

205.

Callaghan K, Schnell F. Framing American politics. Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press; 2005.

206.

McLeod D, Detenber B. Framing effects of television news coverage of social protest. *Journal of Communication*. 1999 Sep;49(3):3-23.

207.

Price V, Nir L, Cappella JN. Framing Public Discussion of Gay Civil Unions. *The Public Opinion Quarterly* [Internet]. 2005;69(2):179-212. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3521577>

208.

Scheufele D. Framing as a theory of media effects. *Journal of Communication*. 1999 Mar;49(1):103-22.

209.

Scheufele DA, Tewksbury D. Framing, Agenda Setting, and Priming: The Evolution of Three Media Effects Models. *Journal of Communication*. 2007 Mar;57(1):9-20.

210.

Schuck ART. Between Risk and Opportunity: News Framing and its Effects on Public Support for EU Enlargement. *European Journal of Communication*. 2006 Mar 1;21(1):5-32.

211.

Bucy EP, Holbert RL. Sourcebook for political communication research: methods, measures, and analytical techniques. Vol. Routledge communication series. New York: Routledge; 2011.

212.

Matthes J, Kohring M. The Content Analysis of Media Frames: Toward Improving Reliability and Validity. *Journal of Communication*. 2008 Jun;58(2):258–79.

213.

Davis A. Public relations, news production and changing patterns of source access in the British national media. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2000 Jan 1;22(1):39–59.

214.

Thrall AT, Stecula D, Sweet D. May We Have Your Attention Please? Human-Rights NGOs and the Problem of Global Communication. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2014 Apr 1;19(2):135–59.

215.

Waisbord S. Can NGOs Change the News? *International Journal of Communication* [Internet]. 2011;5. Available from: <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/787/515>

216.

McNair B. An introduction to political communication [Internet]. Vol. Communication and society. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203828694>

217.

Benton J. NGOs and the News: Civil society's place in the new news ecosystem. Nieman Lab [Internet]. 10AD; Available from: <http://www.niemanlab.org/2010/05/ngos-and-the-news-civil-societys-place-in-the-new-news-ecosystem/>

218.

Cottle S. News, public relations and power [Internet]. Vol. Media in focus. London: SAGE; 2003. Available from:

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781857022773>

219.

Cottle S, Nolan D. Global Humanitarianism and the changing aid-media field. *Journalism Studies*. 2007 Dec;8(6):862–78.

220.

Dale S. McLuhan's children: the Greenpeace message and the media. Toronto, Ont: Between The Lines; 1996.

221.

Jong W de, Shaw M, Stammers N. Global activism, global media [Internet]. London: Pluto Press; 2005. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=175031&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

222.

Graeff E, Stempeck M, Zuckerman E. The battle for 'Trayvon Martin': Mapping a media controversy online and off-line. *First Monday*. 2014 Feb 3;19(2).

223.

Jha S. Why they wouldn't cite from sites: A study of journalists' perceptions of social movement web sites and the impact on their coverage of social protest. *Journalism*. 2008 Dec 1;9(6):711–32.

224.

Olsen GR, Carstensen N, Høyen K. Humanitarian Crises: What Determines the Level of Emergency Assistance? Media Coverage, Donor Interests and the Aid Business. *Disasters*. 2003 Jun;27(2):109–26.

225.

Ramos H, Ron J, Thoms ONT. Shaping the Northern Media's Human Rights Coverage, 1986-2000. *Journal of Peace Research* [Internet]. 2007;44(4):385-406. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/27640537>

226.

Van Leuven S, Joye S. Civil Society Organizations at the Gates? A Gatekeeping Study of News Making Efforts by NGOs and Government Institutions. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2014 Apr 1;19(2):160-80.

227.

Aday S, Livingston S. Taking the state out of state--media relations theory: how transnational advocacy networks are changing the press--state dynamic. *Media, War & Conflict*. 2008 Apr 1;1(1):99-107.

228.

Bennett WL, Lawrence RG, Livingston S. When the press fails: political power and the news media from Iraq to Katrina. Vol. *Studies in communication, media, and public opinion*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2007.

229.

Cottle S. News, public relations and power [Internet]. Vol. *Media in focus*. London: SAGE; 2003. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781857022773>

230.

Davis A. Public relations democracy: public relations, politics and the mass media in Britain. Manchester: Manchester University Press; 2002.

231.

Davis A. Political communication and social theory. Vol. *Communication and society*.

London: Routledge; 2010.

232.

Davies N. Flat Earth news: an award-winning reporter exposes falsehood, distortion and propaganda in the global media. London: Vintage Books; 2009.

233.

Fenton N. New media, old news: journalism & democracy in the digital age. Los Angeles, Calif: SAGE; 2010.

234.

Gamson WA, Wolfsfeld G. Movements and Media as Interacting Systems. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science [Internet]. 1993;528:114-25. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1047795>

235.

Gitlin T. The whole world is watching: mass media in the making & unmaking of the New Left. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1980.

236.

Beharrell P, Glasgow University Media Group. Bad news. London: Routledge & K. Paul; 1976.

237.

Beharrell P, Glasgow University Media Group. More Bad News: Bad News Volume 2. London: Routledge & K. Paul; 1976.

238.

Lewis J, Williams A, Franklin B. A Compromised Fourth Estate? Journalism Studies. 2008 Feb;9(1):1-20.

239.

Manning P. News and news sources: a critical introduction [Internet]. London: SAGE; 2001. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781446265345>

240.

O'Neill D. From hunky heroes to dangerous dinosaurs. *Journalism Studies*. 2007 Oct;8(5):813-30.

241.

Powers M. The Structural Organization of NGO Publicity Work: Explaining Divergent Publicity Strategies at Humanitarian and Human Rights Organizations. *International Journal of Communication* [Internet]. 2014;8. Available from:
<http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2517>

242.

Ferguson M. Public communication: the new imperatives : future directions for media research. Vol. SAGE communications in society. London: Sage; 1990.

243.

Ridge-Newman A. Cameron's conservatives and the Internet: change, culture and cyber Toryism. Vol. Palgrave pivot. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.

244.

Trevor Thrall A. The Myth of the Outside Strategy: Mass Media News Coverage of Interest Groups. *Political Communication*. 2006 Dec;23(4):407-20.

245.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

246.

Langer AI. The personalisation of politics in the UK: mediated leadership from Attlee to Cameron. Manchester: Manchester University Press; 2011.

247.

Lichtenberg J. The Politics of Character and the Character of Journalism [Internet]. The Joan Shorenstein Barone Center; Available from: http://shorensteincenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/d02_lichtenberg.pdf

248.

Scammell M. Political Brands and Consumer Citizens: The Rebranding of Tony Blair. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science [Internet]. 2007;611:176–92. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/25097916>

249.

Street J. Celebrity Politicians: Popular Culture and Political Representation. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations. 2004 Nov;6(4):435–52.

250.

Salmon C. Communication yearbook 34. London: Routledge; 2010.

251.

Balmas M, Rahat G, Sheafer T, Shenhav SR. Two routes to personalized politics: Centralized and decentralized personalization. Party Politics. 2014 Jan 1;20(1):37–51.

252.

Corner J, Pels D. Media and the restyling of politics: consumerism, celebrity and cynicism. London: SAGE; 2003.

253.

King AS. Leaders' personalities and the outcomes of democratic elections [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0199253137.001.0001>

254.

Kriesi H. Personalization of national election campaigns. Party Politics. 2012 Nov 1;18(6):825–44.

255.

Lichtenberg J. The Politics of Character and the Character of Journalism [Internet]. The Joan Shorenstein Barone Center; Available from: http://shorensteincenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/d02_lichtenberg.pdf

256.

Poguntke T, Webb P. The presidentialization of politics: a comparative study of modern democracies [Internet]. Vol. Comparative politics (Oxford University Press). Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2005. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0199252017.001.0001>

257.

Seaton J. Public, Private and the Media. The Political Quarterly. 2003 Apr;74(2):174–83.

258.

Street J. Celebrity Politicians: Popular Culture and Political Representation. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations. 2004 Nov;6(4):435–52.

259.

Van Aelst P, Sheafer T, Stanyer J. The personalization of mediated political communication: A review of concepts, operationalizations and key findings. *Journalism*. 2012 Feb 1;13(2):203–20.

260.

Zoonen L van. *Entertaining the citizen: when politics and popular culture converge*. Vol. Critical media studies. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2005.

261.

Coleman S. How the other half votes: Big Brother viewers and the 2005 general election. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2006 Dec 1;9(4):457–79.

262.

Foley M. *The British presidency: Tony Blair and the politics of public leadership*. Manchester: Manchester University Press; 2000.

263.

Jamieson KH. *Eloquence in an electronic age: the transformation of political speechmaking* [Internet]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1990. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://hdl.handle.net/2027/heb.31820>

264.

Just MR. *Crosstalk: citizens, candidates, and the media in a presidential campaign*. Vol. American politics and political economy. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press; 1996.

265.

Karvonen L. *The personalisation of politics: a study of parliamentary democracies*. Vol. ECPR monographs. Colchester: ECPR Press; 2010.

266.

Langer AI. A Historical Exploration of the Personalisation of Politics in the Print Media: The British Prime Ministers (1945-1999). *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2007 May 22;60(3):371-87.

267.

Langer AI. The Politicization of Private Persona: Exceptional Leaders or the New Rule? The Case of the United Kingdom and the Blair Effect. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2010 Jan 1;15(1):60-76.

268.

Manin B. The principles of representative government. Vol. Themes in the social sciences. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997.

269.

Miller AH, Wattenberg MP, Malanchuk O. Schematic Assessments of Presidential Candidates. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 1986;80(2):521-40. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1958272>

270.

Mughan A. Media and the presidentialization of parliamentary elections. Basingstoke: Palgrave; 2000.

271.

Rahat G, Sheafer T. The Personalization(s) of Politics: Israel, 1949-2003. *Political Communication*. 2007 Jan 29;24(1):65-80.

272.

Stanyer J. Intimate politics: publicity, privacy and the personal lives of politicians in media-saturated democracies [Internet]. Vol. Contemporary political communication. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2013. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780745676074>

273.

Thompson JB. Political scandal: power and visibility in the media age. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2000.

274.

Zoonen L van. Entertaining the citizen: when politics and popular culture converge. Vol. Critical media studies. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2005.

275.

Wattenberg MP. The rise of candidate-centered politics: presidential elections of the 1980s. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1991.

276.

American Behavioral Scientist: Special issue on 'Political Scandals & Media', vol 1. 47:1031–9. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://abs.sagepub.com/content/47/8.toc>

277.

American Behavioral Scientist: Special issue on 'Political Scandals & Media', vol. 2. 47:1143–52. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://abs.sagepub.com/content/47/9.toc>

278.

Parliamentary Affairs: Special issue on 'Public Images, Private Lives'. 2004;57(1). Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://pa.oxfordjournals.org/content/57/1.toc>

279.

Childs S. Women and British party politics: descriptive, substantive and symbolic representation [Internet]. Vol. Routledge advances in European politics. London: Routledge; 2008. Available from:
<http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=332069>

280.

Murray R. Cracking the highest glass ceiling: a global comparison of women's campaigns for executive office [Internet]. Vol. Women and minorities in politics. Santa Barbara, Calif: Praeger Publishers; 2010. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780313382499>

281.

Miller MK, Peake JS. Press Effects, Public Opinion, and Gender: Coverage of Sarah Palin's Vice-Presidential Campaign. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2013 Oct 1;18(4):482-507.

282.

Perry S. Gender Difference in French Political Communication: From Handicap to Asset? Modern & Contemporary France. 2005 Aug;13(3):337-52.

283.

Wasburn PC, Wasburn MH. Media coverage of women in politics: The curious case of Sarah Palin. Media, Culture & Society. 2011 Oct 1;33(7):1027-41.

284.

Counting Women In - Centre for Women and Democracy [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.cfwd.org.uk/current-projects/counting-women-in>

285.

Women and Journalism | Reuters Institute for the study of Journalism [Internet]. Available from: <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/publication/women-and-journalism>

286.

Aday S, Devitt J. Style over Substance: Newspaper Coverage of Elizabeth Dole's

Presidential Bid. *The Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2001 Mar 1;6(2):52-73.

287.

Bystrom DG. Gender and candidate communication: videoStyle, webStyle, newsStyle. Vol. Gender politics, global issues. New York: Routledge; 2004.

288.

Carroll SJ. Reflections on Gender and Hillary Clinton's Presidential Campaign: The Good, the Bad, and the Misogynic. *Politics & Gender*. 2009 Mar;5(01).

289.

Heflick NA, Goldenberg JL. Objectifying Sarah Palin: Evidence that objectification causes women to be perceived as less competent and less fully human. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 2009 May;45(3):598-601.

290.

Gidengil E, Everitt J. Talking Tough: Gender and Reported Speech in Campaign News Coverage. *Political Communication*. 2003 Jul;20(3):209-32.

291.

Jamieson KH. Beyond the double bind: women and leadership. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1995.

292.

Kahn KF. The political consequences of being a woman: how stereotypes influence the conduct and consequences of political campaigns. Vol. Power, conflict, and democracy. New York: Columbia University Press; 1996.

293.

Lawrence RG, Rose M. Hillary Clinton's race for the White House: gender politics and the

media on the campaign trail. Boulder, Colo: Lynne Rienner Publishers; 2010.

294.

Murray R, Perry S. A Right Royal Mess: Why Did the French Say 'Non' to the Opportunity to Have a Woman President? authored by Murray, Rainbow. and Perry, Sheila. [Internet]. 2008. Available from: http://citation.allacademic.com/meta/p_mla_apa_research_citation/2/8/0/4/3/pages280433/p280433-1.php

295.

Norris P. Women, media, and politics. New York: Oxford University Press; 1997.

296.

Perry S. Gender Difference in French Political Communication: From Handicap to Asset? Modern & Contemporary France. 2005 Aug;13(3):337-52.

297.

Sreberny A, Zoonen L van. Gender, politics and communication. Vol. The Hampton Press communication series. Cresskill, N.J.: Hampton Press; 2000.

298.

Semetko HA, Boomgaarden HG. Reporting Germany's 2005 Bundestag Election Campaign: Was Gender an Issue? The Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics. 2007 Oct 1;12(4):154-71.

299.

Zoonen L van. Entertaining the citizen: when politics and popular culture converge. Vol. Critical media studies. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2005.

300.

Valenzuela S, Correa T. Press Coverage and Public Opinion On Women Candidates: The

Case of Chile's Michelle Bachelet. *International Communication Gazette*. 2009 Apr 1;71(3):203-23.

301.

Bruin M de, Ross K. *Gender and newsroom cultures: identities at work*. Vol. The Hampton Press communication series. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press; 2004.

302.

Kaid LL. *Handbook of political communication research* [Internet]. Vol. LEA's communication series. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2004. Available from: <http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Glasgow&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781410610584>

303.

Calhoun CJ. *Habermas and the public sphere*. Vol. *Studies in contemporary German social thought*. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 1992.

304.

Gardetto DC. Hillary Rodham Clinton, Symbolic Gender Politics, and the New York Times : January-November 1992. *Political Communication*. 1997 Apr;14(2):225-40.

305.

Heflick NA, Goldenberg JL, Cooper DP, Puvia E. From women to objects: Appearance focus, target gender, and perceptions of warmth, morality and competence. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 2011 May;47(3):572-81.

306.

Conroy M, Oliver S, Breckenridge-Jackson I, Heldman C. From Ferraro to Palin: sexism in coverage of vice presidential candidates in old and new media. *Politics, Groups, and Identities*. 2015 Oct 2;3(4):573-91.

307.

Larson SG. American Women and Politics in the Media: A Review Essay. PS: Political Science and Politics [Internet]. 2001;34(2):227-30. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1350209>

308.

Jamieson KH. Eloquence in an electronic age: the transformation of political speechmaking [Internet]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1990. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://hdl.handle.net/2027/heb.31820>

309.

Kittilson MC, Fridkin K. Gender, Candidate Portrayals and Election Campaigns: A Comparative Perspective. Politics & Gender. 2008 Sep;4(03).

310.

Meeks L. Is She "Man Enough"? Women Candidates, Executive Political Offices, and News Coverage. Journal of Communication. 2012 Feb;62(1):175-93.

311.

Ross K, Byerly CM. Women and media: international perspectives. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2004.

312.

Ross K. Women, politics, media: uneasy relations in comparative perspective. Vol. Hampton Press communication series. Political communication. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press; 2002.

313.

Sreberny A, Zoonen L van. Gender, politics and communication. Vol. The Hampton Press communication series. Cresskill, N.J.: Hampton Press; 2000.

314.

van Zoonen L. The personal, the political and the popular: A woman's guide to celebrity politics. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2006 Aug 1;9(3):287–301.