Managing River Catchments

View Online

1.

Gilvear DJ, Heal KV, Stephen A. Hydrology and the ecological quality of Scottish river ecosystems. Science of The Total Environment. 2002;294(1-3):131-159. doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00060-8

2.

Lewin J. Enlightenment and the GM floodplain. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 2013;38(1):17-29. doi:10.1002/esp.3230

3.

Perfect C, Addy S, Gilvear D. The Scottish Rivers Handbook.; 2013. https://www.crew.ac.uk/publication/scottish-rivers-handbook

4.

Werritty A, Leys KF. The sensitivity of Scottish rivers and upland valley floors to recent environmental change. CATENA. 2001;42(2-4):251-273. doi:10.1016/S0341-8162(00)00140-5

5.

Werritty A, Hoey TB, Scottish Natural Heritage (Agency). Geomorphological Changes and Trends in Scotland: River Channels and Processes. Vol no. 053. Scottish Natural Heritage; 2004. https://www.snh.org.uk/pdfs/publications/commissioned_reports/F00AC107B.pdf

6.

Syvitski JPM. Impact of Humans on the Flux of Terrestrial Sediment to the Global Coastal Ocean. Science. 2005;308(5720):376-380. doi:10.1126/science.1109454

7.

Belletti B, Rinaldi M, Bussettini M, et al. Characterising physical habitats and fluvial hydromorphology: A new system for the survey and classification of river geomorphic units. Geomorphology. 2017;283:143-157. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.01.032

8.

Brierley G, Hooke J. Emerging geomorphic approaches to guide river management practices. Geomorphology. 2015;251:1-5. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.08.019

9.

Brierley GJ, Fryirs KA. Geomorphology and River Management: Applications of the River Styles Framework. Blackwell Pub; 2005.

10.

Brierley G, Fryirs K, Cullum C, Tadaki M, Huang HQ, Blue B. Reading the landscape: Integrating the theory and practice of geomorphology to develop place-based understandings of river systems. Progress in Physical Geography. 2013;37(5):601-621. doi:10.1177/0309133313490007

11.

Brierley G, Hooke J. Emerging geomorphic approaches to guide river management practices. Geomorphology. 2015;251:1-5. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.08.019

12.

Fryirs KA, Brierley GJ. Geomorphic Analysis of River Systems: An Approach to Reading the Landscape. Wiley; 2013.

13.

Gurnell AM, Rinaldi M, Belletti B, et al. A multi-scale hierarchical framework for developing understanding of river behaviour to support river management. Aquatic Sciences. 2016;78(1):1-16. doi:10.1007/s00027-015-0424-5

14.

Roni P, Beechie TJ. Stream and Watershed Restoration: A Guide to Restoring Riverine Processes and Habitats. Vol Advancing river restoration and management. Wiley-Blackwell; 2013.

15.

Wohl EE. Rivers in the Landscape: Science and Management. John Wiley & Sons Inc; 2014.

16.

Ebooks Corporation Limited. Tools in Fluvial Geomorphology. Vol Applied legal philosophy. Second edition. (Kondolf GM, Piégay H, eds.). John Wiley & Sons; 2016. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=4517652

17.

Reid HE, Brierley GJ. Assessing geomorphic sensitivity in relation to river capacity for adjustment. Geomorphology. 2015;251:108-121. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.09.009

18.

Smith MJ, Paron P, Griffiths JS. Geomorphological Mapping: Methods and Applications. Vol Developments in earth surface processes. 1st ed. Elsevier; 2011. https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/book/9780444534460

19.

Davies TRH, Lee AL. Physical hydraulic modelling of width reduction and bed level change in braided rivers. Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand). 27(2):113-127. https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/43944615

20.

Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand). https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2647865

21.

Burt TP, Allison RJ. Sediment Cascades: An Integrated Approach. Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.

22.

Davies TRH, McSaveney MJ, Clarkson PJ. Anthropic aggradation of the Waiho River, Westland, New Zealand: microscale modelling. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 2003;28(2):209-218. doi:10.1002/esp.449

23.

Korup O. Geomorphic imprint of landslides on alpine river systems, southwest New Zealand. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 2005;30(7):783-800. doi:10.1002/esp.1171

24.

Korup O, Densmore AL, Schlunegger F. The role of landslides in mountain range evolution. Geomorphology. 2010;120(1-2):77-90. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2009.09.017

25.

Cox et al SC. GNS Science Report 2014/07 : Activity of the Landslide Te Horo and Te Koroka Fan, Dart River, New Zealand during January 2014. https://shop.gns.cri.nz/sr_2014-007-pdf/

26.

Thomas et al JS. GNS Science Report 2009/43: 42 Years Evolution of Slip Stream Landslide and Fan, Dart River, New Zealand. https://shop.gns.cri.nz/sr_2009-43-pdf/

27.

CIWEM. Floods and dredging: a reality check. Published online 2014.

http://www.ciwem.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Floods-and-Dredging-a-reality-check.pdf

28.

Kummu M. Water management in Angkor: Human impacts on hydrology and sediment transportation. Journal of Environmental Management. 2009;90(3):1413-1421. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.08.007

29.

Diamond JM. Collapse: How Societies Choose to Fail or Survive. Vol Penguin history. Penguin Books; 2006.

30.

Belletti B, Rinaldi M, Buijse AD, Gurnell AM, Mosselman E. A review of assessment methods for river hydromorphology. Environmental Earth Sciences. 2015;73(5):2079-2100. doi:10.1007/s12665-014-3558-1

31.

J. M. Buffington. Geomorphic classification of rivers. :730-767. https://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/43354

32.

Ebooks Corporation Limited. Tools in Fluvial Geomorphology. Vol Applied legal philosophy. Second edition. (Kondolf GM, Piégay H, eds.). John Wiley & Sons; 2016. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=4517652

33.

Rinaldi M, Gurnell AM, del Tánago MG, Bussettini M, Hendriks D. Classification of river morphology and hydrology to support management and restoration. Aquatic Sciences. 2016;78(1):17-33. doi:10.1007/s00027-015-0438-z

34.

Tadaki M, Brierley G, Cullum C. River classification: theory, practice, politics. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water. Published online April 2014:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1002/wat2.1026

35.

Beechie T, Imaki H. Predicting natural channel patterns based on landscape and geomorphic controls in the Columbia River basin, USA. Water Resources Research. 2014;50(1):39-57. doi:10.1002/2013WR013629

36.

Brierley GJ. River Styles, a Geomorphic Approach to Catchment Characterization: Implications for River Rehabilitation in Bega Catchment, New South Wales, Australia. Environmental Management. 2000;25(6):661-679. doi:10.1007/s002670010052

37.

River Styles. http://www.riverstyles.com/

38.

Lave R, Doyle M, Robertson M. Privatizing stream restoration in the US. Social Studies of Science. 2010;40(5):677-703. doi:10.1177/0306312710379671

39.

Montgomery DR. Channel-reach morphology in mountain drainage basins. GSA Bulletin. 1997;109(5):596-611. https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/gsa/gsabulletin/article/109/5/596/183255/channel-reach-morphology-in-mountain-drainage

40.

Rosgen DL. A classification of natural rivers. CATENA. 1994;22(3):169-199. doi:10.1016/0341-8162(94)90001-9

41.

Modular River Survey. http://modularriversurvey.org/

42.

Rinaldi M, Gurnell AM, del Tánago MG, Bussettini M, Hendriks D. Classification of river morphology and hydrology to support management and restoration. Aquatic Sciences. 2016;78(1):17-33. doi:10.1007/s00027-015-0438-z

43.

Smith SM, Prestegaard KL. Hydraulic performance of a morphology-based stream channel design. Water Resources Research. 2005;41(11):n/a-n/a. doi:10.1029/2004WR003926

44.

Kasprak A, Hough-Snee N, Beechie T, et al. The Blurred Line between Form and Process: A Comparison of Stream Channel Classification Frameworks. PLOS ONE. 2016;11(3). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150293

45.

Scottish Environmental Protection Agency. Supporting guidance (WAT-SG-21): Environmental Standards for River Morphology. https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/152194/wat_sg_21.pdf

46.

Pender G, Faulkner H. Flood Risk Science and Management. Wiley-Blackwell; 2011.

47.

Cabinet Office. National Flood Resilience Review. Published online 2016. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-flood-resilience-review

48.

Burt S, McCarthy M, Kendon M, Hannaford J. Cumbrian floods, 5/6 December 2015. Weather. 2016;71(2):36-37. doi:10.1002/wea.2704

49.

Ferranti E, Chapman L, Whyatt D. A Perfect Storm? The collapse of Lancaster's critical infrastructure networks following intense rainfall on 4/5 December 2015. Weather. 2017;72(1):3-7. doi:10.1002/wea.2907

50.

Marsh et al T. The winter floods of 2015/2016 in the UK. https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/515303/

51.

Cabinet office. The Pitt Review: Lessons learned from the 2007 floods. http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20100807034701/http:/archive.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/pittreview/thepittreview/final_report.html

52.

Thorne C. Geographies of UK flooding in 2013/4. The Geographical Journal. 2014;180(4):297-309. doi:10.1111/geoj.12122

53.

National River Flow Archive: Occasional Reports. http://nrfa.ceh.ac.uk/occasional-reports

54.

Gregory KJ, Goudie A. The SAGE Handbook of Geomorphology. SAGE; 2011.

55.

Slater LJ, Singer MB, Kirchner JW. Hydrologic versus geomorphic drivers of trends in flood hazard. Geophysical Research Letters. 2015;42(2):370-376. doi:10.1002/2014GL062482

56.

Slater LJ. To what extent have changes in channel capacity contributed to flood hazard trends in England and Wales? Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 2016;41(8):1115-1128. doi:10.1002/esp.3927

57.

Olsen JR. Climate Change and Floodplain Management in the United States. Climatic Change. 2006;76(3-4):407-426. doi:10.1007/s10584-005-9020-3

58.

Schottler SP, Ulrich J, Belmont P, et al. Twentieth century agricultural drainage creates more erosive rivers. Hydrological Processes. 2014;28(4):1951-1961. doi:10.1002/hyp.9738

59.

Alfieri L, Bisselink B, Dottori F, et al. Global projections of river flood risk in a warmer world. Earth's Future. Published online January 2017. doi:10.1002/2016EF000485

60.

Jongman B, Ward PJ, Aerts JCJH. Global exposure to river and coastal flooding: Long term trends and changes. Global Environmental Change. 2012;22(4):823-835. doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.07.004

61.

Jongman B, Hochrainer-Stigler S, Feyen L, et al. Increasing stress on disaster-risk finance due to large floods. Nature Climate Change. 2014;4(4):264-268. doi:10.1038/nclimate2124

62.

Hirabayashi Y, Mahendran R, Koirala S, et al. Global flood risk under climate change. Nature Climate Change. 2013;3(9):816-821. doi:10.1038/nclimate1911

63.

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. Global assessment report on disaster risk reduction 2015. https://www.unisdr.org/we/inform/publications/42809

64.

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, Centre for Research on Epidemiology of Disasters. The human cost of weather-related disasters 1995-2015. https://www.unisdr.org/we/inform/publications/46796

65.

Scotland & Northern Ireland Forum for Environmental Research. A handbook of climate trends across Scotland (SNIFFER project CC03). https://www.south-ayrshire.gov.uk/documents/sniffer%20partnership_climate%20change%20trend%20handbook%20june%2006.pdf

66.

Jenkins GJ. The climate of the United Kingdom and recent trends. Published online 2007. http://www.ukcip.org.uk/wp-content/PDFs/UKCP09_Trends.pdf

67.

Future flooding. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/future-flooding

68.

Pall P, Aina T, Stone DA, et al. Anthropogenic greenhouse gas contribution to flood risk in England and Wales in autumn 2000. Nature. 2011;470(7334):382-385. doi:10.1038/nature09762

69.

Schaller N, Kay AL, Lamb R, et al. Human influence on climate in the 2014 southern England winter floods and their impacts. Nature Climate Change. 2016;6(6):627-634. doi:10.1038/nclimate2927

70.

Beven KJ. Rainfall-Runoff Modelling: The Primer. 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell; 2012.

71.

O'Connell PE, Ewen J, O'Donnell G, Quinn P. Is there a link between agricultural land-use management and flooding? Hydrology and Earth System Sciences. 2007;11(1):96-107. doi:10.5194/hess-11-96-2007

72.

Beven KJ, Chappell N, Lamb R, Shaw EM. Hydrology in Practice. 4th ed. Spon Press; 2011.

73.

Arnell NW, Halliday SJ, Battarbee RW, Skeffington RA, Wade AJ. The implications of climate change for the water environment in England. Progress in Physical Geography. 2015;39(1):93-120. doi:10.1177/0309133314560369

74.

Dixon SJ, Sear DA, Odoni NA, Sykes T, Lane SN. The effects of river restoration on catchment scale flood risk and flood hydrology. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 2016;41(7):997-1008. doi:10.1002/esp.3919

75.

Environment Agency. How to model and map catchment processes when flood risk management planning. Published online 2016. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/523456/How_to_model_and_map_catchment_processes_-_report.pdf

76.

Environment Agency. Working with natural processes to reduce flood risk: science report. Published online 2014. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/338437/SC130004_R2.pdf

77.

Gao J, Holden J, Kirkby M. The impact of land-cover change on flood peaks in peatland basins. Water Resources Research. 2016;52(5):3477-3492. doi:10.1002/2015WR017667

78.

JBA. Nature-based approaches for catchment flood management: an online catalogue. Published online 2015. http://www.jbatrust.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/W15-0603-Nature-Based-approaches-to-Catchment-Improvements-Sept-2015-FINAL_v0.1.pdf

79.

SEPA. Natural flood management handbook. Published online 2015. http://www.sepa.org.uk/media/163560/sepa-natural-flood-management-handbook1.pdf

80.

Natural Water Retention Measures. http://nwrm.eu/

81.

Working with natural processes to reduce flood risk : JBA Trust - Interactive map. http://www.jbatrust.org/news/working-with-natural-processes-to-reduce-flood-risk/

82.

Grill G, Lehner B, Lumsdon AE, MacDonald GK, Zarfl C, Reidy Liermann C. An index-based framework for assessing patterns and trends in river fragmentation and flow regulation by global dams at multiple scales. Environmental Research Letters. 2015;10(1). doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/1/015001

83.

Lehner B, Reidy Liermann C, Revenga C, et al. High-resolution mapping of the world's reservoirs and dams for sustainable river-flow management. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. 2011;9(9):494-502.

https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/23034466

84.

Zarfl C, Lumsdon AE, Berlekamp J, Tydecks L, Tockner K. A global boom in hydropower dam construction. Aquatic Sciences. 2015;77(1):161-170. doi:10.1007/s00027-014-0377-0

85.

World Commission on Dams. Dams and development: a new framework for decision-making. Published online 2000. https://www.internationalrivers.org/sites/default/files/attached-files/world_commission_on_dams_final_report.pdf

86.

Magilligan FJ, Nislow KH. Changes in hydrologic regime by dams. Geomorphology. 2005;71(1-2):61-78. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2004.08.017

87.

Sambrook Smith GH. Braided Rivers: Process, Deposits, Ecology, and Management. Blackwell Pub; 2006.

88.

Kondolf GM. Hungry Water: Effects of Dams and Gravel Mining on River Channels. Environmental Management. 1997;21(4):533-551. doi:10.1007/s002679900048

89.

Kondolf GM, Rubin ZK, Minear JT. Dams on the Mekong: Cumulative sediment starvation. Water Resources Research. 2014;50(6):5158-5169. doi:10.1002/2013WR014651

90.

Luo XX, Yang SL, Wang RS, Zhang CY, Li P. New evidence of Yangtze delta recession after

closing of the Three Gorges Dam. Scientific Reports. 2017;7. doi:10.1038/srep41735

91.

Magilligan FJ, Nislow KH. Changes in hydrologic regime by dams. Geomorphology. 2005;71(1-2):61-78. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2004.08.017

92.

Yang SL, Milliman JD, Li P, Xu K. 50,000 dams later: Erosion of the Yangtze River and its delta. Global and Planetary Change. 2011;75(1-2):14-20. doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2010.09.006

93.

Lessard J, Murray Hicks D, Snelder TH, et al. Dam Design can Impede Adaptive Management of Environmental Flows: A Case Study from the Opuha Dam, New Zealand. Environmental Management. 2013;51(2):459-473. doi:10.1007/s00267-012-9971-x

94.

East AE, Pess GR, Bountry JA, et al. Large-scale dam removal on the Elwha River, Washington, USA: River channel and floodplain geomorphic change. Geomorphology. 2015;228:765-786. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.08.028

95.

Gartner JD, Magilligan FJ, Renshaw CE. Predicting the type, location and magnitude of geomorphic responses to dam removal: Role of hydrologic and geomorphic constraints. Geomorphology. 2015;251:20-30. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.02.023

96.

Church MA, Biron P, Roy AG, Ashmore P. Gravel-Bed Rivers: Processes, Tools, Environments. Wiley-Blackwell; 2012.

97.

Magilligan FJ, Nislow KH, Kynard BE, Hackman AM. Immediate changes in stream channel geomorphology, aquatic habitat, and fish assemblages following dam removal in a small upland catchment. Geomorphology. 2016;252:158-170. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.07.027

98.

Major JJ, O'Connor JE, Podolak CJ, et al. Geomorphic Response of the Sandy River, Oregon, to Removal of Marmot Dam : U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1792. Published online 2012. https://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/1792/

99.

O'Connor JE, Duda JJ, Grant GE. 1000 dams down and counting. Science. 2015;348(6234):496-497. doi:10.1126/science.aaa9204

100.

Pizzuto J. Effects of dam removal on river form and process. BioScience. 52(8):683-691. https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?p=AONE&u=glasuni&id=GALE|A90317048&v=2.1&it=r&sid=summon&authCount=1

101.

Engineering Geology for Society and Territory: Volume 3: River Basins, Reservoir Sedimentation and Water Resources. Springer International Publishing; 2015. https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-09054-2

102.

Ryan Bellmore J, Duda JJ, Craig LS, et al. Status and trends of dam removal research in the United States. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water. 2017;4(2). doi:10.1002/wat2.1164

103.

Young SM, Ishiga H. Environmental change of the fluvial-estuary system in relation to Arase Dam removal of the Yatsushiro tidal flat, SW Kyushu, Japan. Environmental Earth

Sciences. 2014;72(7):2301-2314. doi:10.1007/s12665-014-3139-3

104.

Marmot Dam Removal. Published online 30AD. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i1NI2ia3nDw

105.

Elwha River following dam removal. Published online 2AD. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VipVo8zPH0U

106.

Elwha River Restoration Project - videos. https://walrus.wr.usgs.gov/elwha/products.html#videos

107.

Snake River. Published online 7AD. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DK5nUXkrz8o

108.

CIRIA. River weirs - Design, maintenance, modification and removal. Published online 2016. http://www.cpwf.co.uk/C763%20River%20weirs.%20Design,%20maintenance,%20modification%20and%20removal%20(web)%20(1).pdf

109.

Hawley S. Recovering a Lost River: Removing Dams, Rewilding Salmon, Revitalizing Communities. Beacon Press; 2012.

110.

Lichatowich J. Salmon without Rivers: A History of the Pacific Salmon Crisis. Island Press; 1999.

111.

Mapes L, Ringman S. Elwha: A River Reborn. First edition. The Mountaineers Books; 2013.

112.

CIWEM. Integrated Water Management. Published online 2011. http://www.ciwem.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Integrated-Water-Managment-Report.pdf

113.

defra. Making space for water: Taking forward a new Government strategy for flood and coastal erosion risk management in England. Published online 2005. http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130402151656/http://archive.defra.gov.uk/environment/flooding/documents/policy/strategy/strategy-response1.pdf

114.

Institute for European Environmental Policy. The potential policy and environmental consequences for the UK of a departure from the European Union. Published 2016. http://www.ieep.eu/news/2016/08/the-uk-referendum-what-it-means-for-the-environment-and-for-ieep

115.

Kallis G. The EU water framework directive: measures and implications. Water Policy. 2001;3(2):125-142. doi:10.1016/S1366-7017(01)00007-1

116.

Royal Geographical Society. Water policy in the UK: the challenges. Published online 2012. https://www.rgs.org/NR/rdonlyres/4D9A57E4-A053-47DC-9A76-BDBEF0EA0F5C/0/RGSIBGPolicyDocumentWater_732pp.pdf

117.

RSPB. Flooding in focus. Published online 2014. https://www.rspb.org.uk/Images/flooding-in-focus_tcm9-386202.pdf

118.

SEPA. Supporting Guidance (WAT-SG-21) : Environmental Standards for River Morphology. Published online 2012. https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/152194/wat_sg_21.pdf

119.

SEPA. Scotland River Basin District : Characterisation and impacts analyses required by article 5 of the Water Framework Directive. Published online 2005. https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/37505/rbmp_scotland_characterisation-imacts-analysis_article5.pdf

120.

SEPA. Significant water management issues in the Scotland river basin district. Published online 2007. https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/37765/significant-water-management-issues_scotland.pdf

121.

SEPA. Flood Risk Management Strategies and Local Flood Risk Management Plans. Published online 2011. http://www.sepa.org.uk/media/42632/frm_strategies_and_lfrm_plans.pdf

122.

Beven KJ, Chappell N, Lamb R, Shaw EM. Hydrology in Practice. 4th ed. Spon Press; 2011.

123.

Maddock I, Harby A, Kemp P, Wood PJ, eds. Ecohydraulics: An Integrated Approach. Wiley Blackwell; 2013.

124.

Coaker TH. Advances in Applied Biology: Vol. 6: Edited by T.H. Coaker. Academic Press; 1981.

125.

Moir HJ, Pasternack GB. Relationships between mesoscale morphological units, stream hydraulics and Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) spawning habitat on the Lower Yuba River, California. Geomorphology. 2008;100(3-4):527-548. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.02.001

126.

Moir HJ, Gibbins CN, Soulsby C, Youngson AF. PHABSIM modelling of Atlantic salmon spawning habitat in an upland stream: testing the influence of habitat suitability indices on model output. River Research and Applications. 2005;21(9):1021-1034. doi:10.1002/rra.869

127.

Moir HJ, Gibbins CN, Soulsby C, Webb J. Linking channel geomorphic characteristics to spatial patterns of spawning activity and discharge use by Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Geomorphology. 2004;60(1-2):21-35. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2003.07.014

128.

Beechie TJ, Sear DA, Olden JD, et al. Process-based Principles for Restoring River Ecosystems. BioScience. 2010;60(3):209-222. doi:10.1525/bio.2010.60.3.7

129.

Brierley G, Hooke J. Emerging geomorphic approaches to guide river management practices. Geomorphology. 2015;251:1-5. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.08.019

130.

James LA. Designing forward with an eye to the past: Morphogenesis of the lower Yuba River. Geomorphology. 2015;251:31-49. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.07.009

131.

Newson MalcolmD, Large ARG. ‘Natural’ rivers, ‘hydromorphological quality’ and river restoration: a challenging new agenda for applied fluvial geomorphology. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 2006;31(13):1606-1624. doi:10.1002/esp.1430

132.

Raven EK, Lane SN, Bracken LJ. Understanding sediment transfer and morphological change for managing upland gravel-bed rivers. Progress in Physical Geography. 2010;34(1):23-45. doi:10.1177/0309133309355631

133.

Wohl E, Merritts DJ. What Is a Natural River? Geography Compass. 2007;1(4):871-900. doi:10.1111/j.1749-8198.2007.00049.x

134.

Bernhardt ES. Ecology: Synthesizing U.S. River Restoration Efforts. Science. 2005;308(5722):636-637. doi:10.1126/science.1109769

135.

Beechie TJ, Sear DA, Olden JD, et al. Process-based Principles for Restoring River Ecosystems. BioScience. 2010;60(3):209-222. doi:10.1525/bio.2010.60.3.7

136.

Downs P, Kondolf GM. Post-Project Appraisals in Adaptive Management of River Channel Restoration. Environmental Management. 2002;29(4):477-496. doi:10.1007/s00267-001-0035-X

137.

Gilvear DJ, Casas-Mulet R, Spray CJ. Trends and issues in delivery of integrated catchment scale river restoration: Lessons learned from a national river restoration survey within Scotland. River Research and Applications. 2012;28(2):234-246. doi:10.1002/rra.1437

138.

Koebel JW, Bousquin SG. The Kissimmee River Restoration Project and Evaluation Program, Florida, U.S.A. Restoration Ecology. 2014;22(3):345-352. doi:10.1111/rec.12063

139.

Morandi B, Piégay H, Lamouroux N, Vaudor L. How is success or failure in river restoration projects evaluated? Feedback from French restoration projects. Journal of Environmental Management. 2014;137:178-188. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.02.010

140.

Palmer MA, Menninger HL, Bernhardt E. River restoration, habitat heterogeneity and biodiversity: a failure of theory or practice? Freshwater Biology. 2010;55:205-222. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02372.x

141.

Podolak CJP. A visual framework for displaying, communicating and coordinating a river restoration monitoring project. River Research and Applications. 2014;30(4):527-535. doi:10.1002/rra.2651

142.

Simon A, Bennett SJ, Castro JM, American Geophysical Union. Stream Restoration in Dynamic Fluvial Systems: Scientific Approaches, Analyses, and Tools. Vol Geophysical monograph. American Geophysical Union; 2011.

143.

Smith B, Clifford NJ, Mant J. Analysis of UK river restoration using broad-scale data sets. Water and Environment Journal. 2014;28(4):490-501. doi:10.1111/wej.12063

144.

Wohl E, Lane SN, Wilcox AC. The science and practice of river restoration. Water Resources Research. 2015;51(8):5974-5997. doi:10.1002/2014WR016874

145.

The River Restoration Centre. http://www.therrc.co.uk/

146.

ECRR website. http://www.ecrr.org/

147.

Ashmore P. Towards a sociogeomorphology of rivers. Geomorphology. 2015;251:149-156. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.02.020

148.

Dufour S, Piégay H. From the myth of a lost paradise to targeted river restoration: forget natural references and focus on human benefits. River Research and Applications. 2009;25(5):568-581. doi:10.1002/rra.1239