

Managing River Catchments

[View Online](#)

1

Gilvear DJ, Heal KV, Stephen A. Hydrology and the ecological quality of Scottish river ecosystems. *Science of The Total Environment* 2002;**294**:131–59. doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00060-8

2

Lewin J. Enlightenment and the GM floodplain. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 2013;**38**:17–29. doi:10.1002/esp.3230

3

Perfect C, Addy S, Gilvear D. *The Scottish Rivers Handbook*. 2013. <https://www.crew.ac.uk/publication/scottish-rivers-handbook>

4

Werritty A, Leys KF. The sensitivity of Scottish rivers and upland valley floors to recent environmental change. *CATENA* 2001;**42**:251–73. doi:10.1016/S0341-8162(00)00140-5

5

Werritty A, Hoey TB, Scottish Natural Heritage (Agency). *Geomorphological changes and trends in Scotland: river channels and processes*. Edinburgh: : Scottish Natural Heritage 2004. https://www.snh.org.uk/pdfs/publications/commissioned_reports/F00AC107B.pdf

6

Syvitski JPM. *Impact of Humans on the Flux of Terrestrial Sediment to the Global Coastal*

Ocean. Science 2005;**308**:376–80. doi:10.1126/science.1109454

7

Belletti B, Rinaldi M, Bussettini M, et al. Characterising physical habitats and fluvial hydromorphology: A new system for the survey and classification of river geomorphic units. *Geomorphology* 2017;**283**:143–57. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.01.032

8

Brierley G, Hooke J. Emerging geomorphic approaches to guide river management practices. *Geomorphology* 2015;**251**:1–5. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.08.019

9

Brierley GJ, Fryirs KA. *Geomorphology and river management: applications of the river styles framework*. Malden, MA: : Blackwell Pub 2005.

10

Brierley G, Fryirs K, Cullum C, et al. Reading the landscape: Integrating the theory and practice of geomorphology to develop place-based understandings of river systems. *Progress in Physical Geography* 2013;**37**:601–21. doi:10.1177/0309133313490007

11

Brierley G, Hooke J. Emerging geomorphic approaches to guide river management practices. *Geomorphology* 2015;**251**:1–5. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.08.019

12

Fryirs KA, Brierley GJ. *Geomorphic analysis of river systems: an approach to reading the landscape*. Chichester, West Sussex: : Wiley 2013.

13

Gurnell AM, Rinaldi M, Belletti B, et al. A multi-scale hierarchical framework for developing understanding of river behaviour to support river management. *Aquatic Sciences* 2016;**78**

:1–16. doi:10.1007/s00027-015-0424-5

14

Roni P, Beechie TJ. Stream and watershed restoration: a guide to restoring riverine processes and habitats. Chichester: : Wiley-Blackwell 2013.

15

Wohl EE. Rivers in the landscape: science and management. Chichester, West Sussex: : John Wiley & Sons Inc 2014.

16

Ebooks Corporation Limited. Tools in fluvial geomorphology. Second edition. Chichester: : John Wiley & Sons 2016.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=4517652>

17

Reid HE, Brierley GJ. Assessing geomorphic sensitivity in relation to river capacity for adjustment. *Geomorphology* 2015;**251**:108–21. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.09.009

18

Smith MJ, Paron P, Griffiths JS. Geomorphological mapping: methods and applications. 1st ed. Oxford: : Elsevier 2011.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/book/9780444534460>

19

Davies TRH, Lee AL. Physical hydraulic modelling of width reduction and bed level change in braided rivers. *Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand)*;**27**
:113–27.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/43944615>

20

Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand). <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2647865>

21

Burt TP, Allison RJ. Sediment cascades: an integrated approach. Chichester: : Wiley-Blackwell 2010.

22

Davies TRH, McSaveney MJ, Clarkson PJ. Anthropogenic aggradation of the Waiho River, Westland, New Zealand: microscale modelling. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 2003;**28**:209–18. doi:10.1002/esp.449

23

Korup O. Geomorphic imprint of landslides on alpine river systems, southwest New Zealand. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 2005;**30**:783–800. doi:10.1002/esp.1171

24

Korup O, Densmore AL, Schlunegger F. The role of landslides in mountain range evolution. Geomorphology 2010;**120**:77–90. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2009.09.017

25

Cox et al SC. GNS Science report 2014/07 : Activity of the landslide Te Horo and Te Koroka fan, Dart River, New Zealand during January 2014. https://shop.gns.cri.nz/sr_2014-007-pdf/

26

Thomas et al JS. GNS Science report 2009/43: 42 years evolution of Slip Stream landslide and fan, Dart River, New Zealand. https://shop.gns.cri.nz/sr_2009-43-pdf/

27

CIWEM. Floods and dredging: a reality check.

2014.<http://www.ciwem.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Floods-and-Dredging-a-reality-check.pdf>

28

Kummu M. Water management in Angkor: Human impacts on hydrology and sediment transportation. *Journal of Environmental Management* 2009;**90**:1413–21.
doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.08.007

29

Diamond JM. *Collapse: how societies choose to fail or survive*. London: : Penguin Books 2006.

30

Belletti B, Rinaldi M, Buijse AD, et al. A review of assessment methods for river hydromorphology. *Environmental Earth Sciences* 2015;**73**:2079–100.
doi:10.1007/s12665-014-3558-1

31

J. M. Buffington. Geomorphic classification of rivers.
;:730–67.<https://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/43354>

32

Ebooks Corporation Limited. *Tools in fluvial geomorphology*. Second edition. Chichester: : John Wiley & Sons 2016.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=4517652>

33

Rinaldi M, Gurnell AM, del Tánago MG, et al. Classification of river morphology and hydrology to support management and restoration. *Aquatic Sciences* 2016;**78**:17–33.
doi:10.1007/s00027-015-0438-z

34

Tadaki M, Brierley G, Cullum C. River classification: theory, practice, politics. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water 2014;:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1002/wat2.1026

35

Beechie T, Imaki H. Predicting natural channel patterns based on landscape and geomorphic controls in the Columbia River basin, USA. Water Resources Research 2014;**50**:39–57. doi:10.1002/2013WR013629

36

Brierley GJ. River Styles, a Geomorphic Approach to Catchment Characterization: Implications for River Rehabilitation in Bega Catchment, New South Wales, Australia. Environmental Management 2000;**25**:661–79. doi:10.1007/s002670010052

37

River Styles. <http://www.riverstyles.com/>

38

Lave R, Doyle M, Robertson M. Privatizing stream restoration in the US. Social Studies of Science 2010;**40**:677–703. doi:10.1177/0306312710379671

39

Montgomery DR. Channel-reach morphology in mountain drainage basins. GSA Bulletin 1997;**109**:596–611. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/gsa/gsabulletin/article/109/5/596/183255/channel-reach-morphology-in-mountain-drainage>

40

Rosgen DL. A classification of natural rivers. CATENA 1994;**22**:169–99. doi:10.1016/0341-8162(94)90001-9

41

Modular River Survey. <http://modularriversurvey.org/>

42

Rinaldi M, Gurnell AM, del Tánago MG, et al. Classification of river morphology and hydrology to support management and restoration. *Aquatic Sciences* 2016;**78**:17–33. doi:10.1007/s00027-015-0438-z

43

Smith SM, Prestegard KL. Hydraulic performance of a morphology-based stream channel design. *Water Resources Research* 2005;**41**:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1029/2004WR003926

44

Kasprak A, Hough-Snee N, Beechie T, et al. The Blurred Line between Form and Process: A Comparison of Stream Channel Classification Frameworks. *PLOS ONE* 2016;**11**. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150293

45

Scottish Environmental Protection Agency. Supporting guidance (WAT-SG-21): Environmental Standards for River Morphology. https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/152194/wat_sg_21.pdf

46

Pender G, Faulkner H. Flood risk science and management. Chichester: : Wiley-Blackwell 2011.

47

Cabinet Office. National Flood Resilience Review. 2016.<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-flood-resilience-review>

48

Burt S, McCarthy M, Kendon M, et al. Cumbrian floods, 5/6 December 2015. *Weather* 2016;

71:36–7. doi:10.1002/wea.2704

49

Ferranti E, Chapman L, Whyatt D. A Perfect Storm? The collapse of Lancaster's critical infrastructure networks following intense rainfall on 4/5 December 2015. *Weather* 2017;**72**:3–7. doi:10.1002/wea.2907

50

Marsh et al T. The winter floods of 2015/2016 in the UK. <https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/515303/>

51

Cabinet office. The Pitt Review: Lessons learned from the 2007 floods. http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20100807034701/http://archive.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/pittreview/thepittreview/final_report.html

52

Thorne C. Geographies of UK flooding in 2013/4. *The Geographical Journal* 2014;**180**:297–309. doi:10.1111/geoj.12122

53

National River Flow Archive: Occasional Reports. <http://nrfa.ceh.ac.uk/occasional-reports>

54

Gregory KJ, Goudie A. *The SAGE handbook of geomorphology*. London: : SAGE 2011.

55

Slater LJ, Singer MB, Kirchner JW. Hydrologic versus geomorphic drivers of trends in flood hazard. *Geophysical Research Letters* 2015;**42**:370–6. doi:10.1002/2014GL062482

56

Slater LJ. To what extent have changes in channel capacity contributed to flood hazard trends in England and Wales? *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 2016;**41**:1115–28. doi:10.1002/esp.3927

57

Olsen JR. Climate Change and Floodplain Management in the United States. *Climatic Change* 2006;**76**:407–26. doi:10.1007/s10584-005-9020-3

58

Schottler SP, Ulrich J, Belmont P, et al. Twentieth century agricultural drainage creates more erosive rivers. *Hydrological Processes* 2014;**28**:1951–61. doi:10.1002/hyp.9738

59

Alfieri L, Bisselink B, Dottori F, et al. Global projections of river flood risk in a warmer world. *Earth's Future* Published Online First: January 2017. doi:10.1002/2016EF000485

60

Jongman B, Ward PJ, Aerts JCJH. Global exposure to river and coastal flooding: Long term trends and changes. *Global Environmental Change* 2012;**22**:823–35. doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.07.004

61

Jongman B, Hochrainer-Stigler S, Feyen L, et al. Increasing stress on disaster-risk finance due to large floods. *Nature Climate Change* 2014;**4**:264–8. doi:10.1038/nclimate2124

62

Hirabayashi Y, Mahendran R, Koirala S, et al. Global flood risk under climate change. *Nature Climate Change* 2013;**3**:816–21. doi:10.1038/nclimate1911

63

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. Global assessment report on disaster risk reduction 2015. <https://www.unisdr.org/we/inform/publications/42809>

64

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, Centre for Research on Epidemiology of Disasters. The human cost of weather-related disasters 1995-2015. <https://www.unisdr.org/we/inform/publications/46796>

65

Scotland & Northern Ireland Forum for Environmental Research. A handbook of climate trends across Scotland (SNIFFER project CC03). https://www.south-ayrshire.gov.uk/documents/sniffer%20partnership_climate%20change%20trend%20handbook%20june%2006.pdf

66

Jenkins GJ. The climate of the United Kingdom and recent trends. 2007. http://www.ukcip.org.uk/wp-content/PDFs/UKCP09_Trends.pdf

67

Future flooding. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/future-flooding>

68

Pall P, Aina T, Stone DA, et al. Anthropogenic greenhouse gas contribution to flood risk in England and Wales in autumn 2000. *Nature* 2011;**470**:382–5. doi:10.1038/nature09762

69

Schaller N, Kay AL, Lamb R, et al. Human influence on climate in the 2014 southern England winter floods and their impacts. *Nature Climate Change* 2016;**6**:627–34. doi:10.1038/nclimate2927

70

Beven KJ. Rainfall-runoff modelling: the primer. 2nd ed. Chichester, West Sussex: : Wiley-Blackwell 2012.

71

O'Connell PE, Ewen J, O'Donnell G, et al. Is there a link between agricultural land-use management and flooding? Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 2007;**11**:96–107. doi:10.5194/hess-11-96-2007

72

Beven KJ, Chappell N, Lamb R, et al. Hydrology in practice. 4th ed. London: : Spon Press 2011.

73

Arnell NW, Halliday SJ, Battarbee RW, et al. The implications of climate change for the water environment in England. Progress in Physical Geography 2015;**39**:93–120. doi:10.1177/0309133314560369

74

Dixon SJ, Sear DA, Odoni NA, et al. The effects of river restoration on catchment scale flood risk and flood hydrology. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 2016;**41**:997–1008. doi:10.1002/esp.3919

75

Environment Agency. How to model and map catchment processes when flood risk management planning. 2016.https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/523456/How_to_model_and_map_catchment_processes_-_report.pdf

76

Environment Agency. Working with natural processes to reduce flood risk: science report. 2014.https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/338437/SC130004_R2.pdf

77

Gao J, Holden J, Kirkby M. The impact of land-cover change on flood peaks in peatland basins. *Water Resources Research* 2016;**52**:3477–92. doi:10.1002/2015WR017667

78

JBA. Nature-based approaches for catchment flood management: an online catalogue. 2015. http://www.jbatrust.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/W15-0603-Nature-Based-approaches-to-Catchment-Improvements-Sept-2015-FINAL_v0.1.pdf

79

SEPA. Natural flood management handbook. 2015. <http://www.sepa.org.uk/media/163560/sepa-natural-flood-management-handbook1.pdf>

80

Natural Water Retention Measures. <http://nwrn.eu/>

81

Working with natural processes to reduce flood risk : JBA Trust - Interactive map. <http://www.jbatrust.org/news/working-with-natural-processes-to-reduce-flood-risk/>

82

Grill G, Lehner B, Lumsdon AE, et al. An index-based framework for assessing patterns and trends in river fragmentation and flow regulation by global dams at multiple scales. *Environmental Research Letters* 2015;**10**. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/1/015001

83

Lehner B, Reidy Liermann C, Revenga C, et al. High-resolution mapping of the world's reservoirs and dams for sustainable river-flow management. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 2011;**9**:494–502. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/23034466>

84

Zarfl C, Lumsdon AE, Berlekamp J, et al. A global boom in hydropower dam construction. *Aquatic Sciences* 2015;**77**:161–70. doi:10.1007/s00027-014-0377-0

85

World Commission on Dams. Dams and development: a new framework for decision-making. 2000. https://www.internationalrivers.org/sites/default/files/attached-files/world_commission_on_dams_final_report.pdf

86

Magilligan FJ, Nislow KH. Changes in hydrologic regime by dams. *Geomorphology* 2005;**71**:61–78. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2004.08.017

87

Sambrook Smith GH. Braided rivers: process, deposits, ecology, and management. Malden, MA: : Blackwell Pub 2006.

88

Kondolf GM. Hungry Water: Effects of Dams and Gravel Mining on River Channels. *Environmental Management* 1997;**21**:533–51. doi:10.1007/s002679900048

89

Kondolf GM, Rubin ZK, Minear JT. Dams on the Mekong: Cumulative sediment starvation. *Water Resources Research* 2014;**50**:5158–69. doi:10.1002/2013WR014651

90

Luo XX, Yang SL, Wang RS, et al. New evidence of Yangtze delta recession after closing of the Three Gorges Dam. *Scientific Reports* 2017;**7**. doi:10.1038/srep41735

91

Magilligan FJ, Nislow KH. Changes in hydrologic regime by dams. *Geomorphology* 2005;**71**:61–78. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2004.08.017

92

Yang SL, Milliman JD, Li P, et al. 50,000 dams later: Erosion of the Yangtze River and its delta. *Global and Planetary Change* 2011;**75**:14–20. doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2010.09.006

93

Lessard J, Murray Hicks D, Snelder TH, et al. Dam Design can Impede Adaptive Management of Environmental Flows: A Case Study from the Opuha Dam, New Zealand. *Environmental Management* 2013;**51**:459–73. doi:10.1007/s00267-012-9971-x

94

East AE, Pess GR, Bountry JA, et al. Large-scale dam removal on the Elwha River, Washington, USA: River channel and floodplain geomorphic change. *Geomorphology* 2015;**228**:765–86. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.08.028

95

Gartner JD, Magilligan FJ, Renshaw CE. Predicting the type, location and magnitude of geomorphic responses to dam removal: Role of hydrologic and geomorphic constraints. *Geomorphology* 2015;**251**:20–30. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.02.023

96

Church MA, Biron P, Roy AG, et al. Gravel-bed rivers: processes, tools, environments. Chichester, West Sussex: : Wiley-Blackwell 2012.

97

Magilligan FJ, Nislow KH, Kynard BE, et al. Immediate changes in stream channel geomorphology, aquatic habitat, and fish assemblages following dam removal in a small upland catchment. *Geomorphology* 2016;**252**:158–70. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.07.027

98

Major JJ, O'Connor JE, Podolak CJ, et al. Geomorphic Response of the Sandy River, Oregon, to Removal of Marmot Dam : U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1792. 2012. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/1792/>

99

O'Connor JE, Duda JJ, Grant GE. 1000 dams down and counting. Science 2015;**348**:496–7. doi:10.1126/science.aaa9204

100

Pizzuto J. Effects of dam removal on river form and process. BioScience;**52**:683–91. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?p=AONE&u=glasuni&id=GALE|A90317048&v=2.1&it=r&sid=summon&p;authCount=1>

101

Engineering geology for society and territory: Volume 3: River basins, reservoir sedimentation and water resources. Cham, Switzerland: : Springer International Publishing 2015. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-09054-2>

102

Ryan Bellmore J, Duda JJ, Craig LS, et al. Status and trends of dam removal research in the United States. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water 2017;**4**. doi:10.1002/wat2.1164

103

Young SM, Ishiga H. Environmental change of the fluvial-estuary system in relation to Arase Dam removal of the Yatsushiro tidal flat, SW Kyushu, Japan. Environmental Earth Sciences 2014;**72**:2301–14. doi:10.1007/s12665-014-3139-3

104

Marmot Dam Removal. 30AD. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i1NI2ia3nDw>

105

Elwha River following dam removal. 2AD.<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VipVo8zPH0U>

106

Elwha River Restoration Project - videos.
<https://walrus.wr.usgs.gov/elwha/products.html#videos>

107

Snake River. 7AD.<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DK5nUXkrz8o>

108

CIRIA. River weirs - Design, maintenance, modification and removal.
2016.[http://www.cpwf.co.uk/C763%20River%20weirs.%20Design,%20maintenance,%20modification%20and%20removal%20\(web\)%20\(1\).pdf](http://www.cpwf.co.uk/C763%20River%20weirs.%20Design,%20maintenance,%20modification%20and%20removal%20(web)%20(1).pdf)

109

Hawley S. Recovering a lost river: removing dams, rewilding salmon, revitalizing communities. Boston, Mass: : Beacon Press 2012.

110

Lichatowich J. Salmon without rivers: a history of the Pacific salmon crisis. Washington, D.C.: : Island Press 1999.

111

Mapes L, Ringman S. Elwha: a river reborn. First edition. Seattle, WA: : The Mountaineers Books 2013.

112

CIWEM. Integrated Water Management.

2011.<http://www.ciwem.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Integrated-Water-Managment-Report.pdf>

113

defra. Making space for water: Taking forward a new Government strategy for flood and coastal erosion risk management in England.

2005.<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130402151656/http://archive.defra.gov.uk/environment/flooding/documents/policy/strategy/strategy-response1.pdf>

114

Institute for European Environmental Policy. The potential policy and environmental consequences for the UK of a departure from the European Union.

2016.<http://www.ieep.eu/news/2016/08/the-uk-referendum-what-it-means-for-the-environment-and-for-ieep>

115

Kallis G. The EU water framework directive: measures and implications. Water Policy 2001; **3**:125–42. doi:10.1016/S1366-7017(01)00007-1

116

Royal Geographical Society. Water policy in the UK: the challenges.

2012.https://www.rgs.org/NR/rdonlyres/4D9A57E4-A053-47DC-9A76-BDBEF0EA0F5C/0/RGSIBGPolicyDocumentWater_732pp.pdf

117

RSPB. Flooding in focus.

2014.https://www.rspb.org.uk/Images/flooding-in-focus_tcm9-386202.pdf

118

SEPA. Supporting Guidance (WAT-SG-21) : Environmental Standards for River Morphology.

2012.https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/152194/wat_sg_21.pdf

119

SEPA. Scotland River Basin District : Characterisation and impacts analyses required by article 5 of the Water Framework Directive.
2005.https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/37505/rbmp_scotland_characterisation-impacts-analysis_article5.pdf

120

SEPA. Significant water management issues in the Scotland river basin district.
2007.https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/37765/significant-water-management-issues_scotland.pdf

121

SEPA. Flood Risk Management Strategies and Local Flood Risk Management Plans.
2011.http://www.sepa.org.uk/media/42632/frm_strategies_and_lfrm_plans.pdf

122

Beven KJ, Chappell N, Lamb R, et al. Hydrology in practice. 4th ed. London: : Spon Press 2011.

123

Maddock I, Harby A, Kemp P, et al., editors. Ecohydraulics: an integrated approach.
Chichester, West Sussex: : Wiley Blackwell 2013.

124

Coaker TH. Advances in applied biology: Vol. 6: edited by T.H. Coaker. London: : Academic Press 1981.

125

Moir HJ, Pasternack GB. Relationships between mesoscale morphological units, stream hydraulics and Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) spawning habitat on the Lower Yuba River, California. *Geomorphology* 2008;**100**:527–48.
[doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.02.001)

126

Moir HJ, Gibbins CN, Soulsby C, et al. PHABSIM modelling of Atlantic salmon spawning habitat in an upland stream: testing the influence of habitat suitability indices on model output. *River Research and Applications* 2005;**21**:1021–34. doi:10.1002/rra.869

127

Moir HJ, Gibbins CN, Soulsby C, et al. Linking channel geomorphic characteristics to spatial patterns of spawning activity and discharge use by Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *Geomorphology* 2004;**60**:21–35. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2003.07.014

128

Beechie TJ, Sear DA, Olden JD, et al. Process-based Principles for Restoring River Ecosystems. *BioScience* 2010;**60**:209–22. doi:10.1525/bio.2010.60.3.7

129

Brierley G, Hooke J. Emerging geomorphic approaches to guide river management practices. *Geomorphology* 2015;**251**:1–5. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.08.019

130

James LA. Designing forward with an eye to the past: Morphogenesis of the lower Yuba River. *Geomorphology* 2015;**251**:31–49. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.07.009

131

Newson MalcolmD, Large ARG. 'Natural' rivers, 'hydromorphological quality' and river restoration: a challenging new agenda for applied fluvial geomorphology. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 2006;**31**:1606–24. doi:10.1002/esp.1430

132

Raven EK, Lane SN, Bracken LJ. Understanding sediment transfer and morphological change for managing upland gravel-bed rivers. *Progress in Physical Geography* 2010;**34**:23–45. doi:10.1177/0309133309355631

133

Wohl E, Merritts DJ. What Is a Natural River? *Geography Compass* 2007;**1**:871–900. doi:10.1111/j.1749-8198.2007.00049.x

134

Bernhardt ES. Ecology: Synthesizing U.S. River Restoration Efforts. *Science* 2005;**308**:636–7. doi:10.1126/science.1109769

135

Beechie TJ, Sear DA, Olden JD, et al. Process-based Principles for Restoring River Ecosystems. *BioScience* 2010;**60**:209–22. doi:10.1525/bio.2010.60.3.7

136

Downs P, Kondolf GM. Post-Project Appraisals in Adaptive Management of River Channel Restoration. *Environmental Management* 2002;**29**:477–96. doi:10.1007/s00267-001-0035-X

137

Gilvear DJ, Casas-Mulet R, Spray CJ. Trends and issues in delivery of integrated catchment scale river restoration: Lessons learned from a national river restoration survey within Scotland. *River Research and Applications* 2012;**28**:234–46. doi:10.1002/rra.1437

138

Koebel JW, Bousquin SG. The Kissimmee River Restoration Project and Evaluation Program, Florida, U.S.A. *Restoration Ecology* 2014;**22**:345–52. doi:10.1111/rec.12063

139

Morandi B, Piégay H, Lamouroux N, et al. How is success or failure in river restoration projects evaluated? Feedback from French restoration projects. *Journal of Environmental Management* 2014;**137**:178–88. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.02.010

140

Palmer MA, Menninger HL, Bernhardt E. River restoration, habitat heterogeneity and biodiversity: a failure of theory or practice? *Freshwater Biology* 2010;**55**:205–22. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02372.x

141

Podolak CJP. A visual framework for displaying, communicating and coordinating a river restoration monitoring project. *River Research and Applications* 2014;**30**:527–35. doi:10.1002/rra.2651

142

Simon A, Bennett SJ, Castro JM, et al. Stream restoration in dynamic fluvial systems: scientific approaches, analyses, and tools. Washington, DC: : American Geophysical Union 2011.

143

Smith B, Clifford NJ, Mant J. Analysis of UK river restoration using broad-scale data sets. *Water and Environment Journal* 2014;**28**:490–501. doi:10.1111/wej.12063

144

Wohl E, Lane SN, Wilcox AC. The science and practice of river restoration. *Water Resources Research* 2015;**51**:5974–97. doi:10.1002/2014WR016874

145

The River Restoration Centre. <http://www.therrc.co.uk/>

146

ECRR website. <http://www.ecrr.org/>

147

Ashmore P. Towards a sociogeomorphology of rivers. *Geomorphology* 2015;**251**:149–56. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.02.020

148

Dufour S, Piégay H. From the myth of a lost paradise to targeted river restoration: forget natural references and focus on human benefits. *River Research and Applications* 2009;**25**:568–81. doi:10.1002/rra.1239