Managing River Catchments



1.

Gilvear DJ, Heal KV, Stephen A. Hydrology and the ecological quality of Scottish river ecosystems. Science of The Total Environment. 2002 Jul;294(1–3):131–59.

2.

Lewin J. Enlightenment and the GM floodplain. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 2013 Jan;38(1):17–29.

З.

Perfect C, Addy S, Gilvear D. The Scottish Rivers Handbook [Internet]. 2013. Available from: https://www.crew.ac.uk/publication/scottish-rivers-handbook

4.

Werritty A, Leys KF. The sensitivity of Scottish rivers and upland valley floors to recent environmental change. CATENA. 2001 Jan;42(2–4):251–73.

5.

Werritty A, Hoey TB, Scottish Natural Heritage (Agency). Geomorphological changes and trends in Scotland: river channels and processes [Internet]. Vol. no. 053. Edinburgh: Scottish Natural Heritage; 2004. Available from: https://www.snh.org.uk/pdfs/publications/commissioned reports/F00AC107B.pdf

6.

Syvitski JPM. Impact of Humans on the Flux of Terrestrial Sediment to the Global Coastal Ocean. Science. 2005 Apr 15;308(5720):376–80.

7.

Belletti B, Rinaldi M, Bussettini M, Comiti F, Gurnell AM, Mao L, et al. Characterising physical habitats and fluvial hydromorphology: A new system for the survey and classification of river geomorphic units. Geomorphology. 2017 Apr;283:143–57.

8.

Brierley G, Hooke J. Emerging geomorphic approaches to guide river management practices. Geomorphology. 2015 Dec;251:1–5.

9.

Brierley GJ, Fryirs KA. Geomorphology and river management: applications of the river styles framework. Malden, MA: Blackwell Pub; 2005.

10.

Brierley G, Fryirs K, Cullum C, Tadaki M, Huang HQ, Blue B. Reading the landscape: Integrating the theory and practice of geomorphology to develop place-based understandings of river systems. Progress in Physical Geography. 2013 Oct 1;37(5):601–21.

11.

Brierley G, Hooke J. Emerging geomorphic approaches to guide river management practices. Geomorphology. 2015 Dec;251:1–5.

12.

Fryirs KA, Brierley GJ. Geomorphic analysis of river systems: an approach to reading the landscape. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley; 2013.

Gurnell AM, Rinaldi M, Belletti B, Bizzi S, Blamauer B, Braca G, et al. A multi-scale hierarchical framework for developing understanding of river behaviour to support river management. Aquatic Sciences. 2016 Jan;78(1):1–16.

14.

Roni P, Beechie TJ. Stream and watershed restoration: a guide to restoring riverine processes and habitats. Vol. Advancing river restoration and management. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2013.

15.

Wohl EE. Rivers in the landscape: science and management. Chichester, West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons Inc; 2014.

16.

Ebooks Corporation Limited. Tools in fluvial geomorphology [Internet]. Second edition. Kondolf GM, Piégay H, editors. Vol. Applied legal philosophy. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 2016. Available from: https://obookcontral.proguest.com/lib/gla/dotail.action2docID=4517652

https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=4517652

17.

Reid HE, Brierley GJ. Assessing geomorphic sensitivity in relation to river capacity for adjustment. Geomorphology. 2015 Dec;251:108–21.

18.

Smith MJ, Paron P, Griffiths JS. Geomorphological mapping: methods and applications [Internet]. 1st ed. Vol. Developments in earth surface processes. Oxford: Elsevier; 2011. Available from:

https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/book/978044 4534460

19.

Davies TRH, Lee AL. Physical hydraulic modelling of width reduction and bed level change in braided rivers. Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand) [Internet]. 27(2):113–27. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/43944615

Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand). Available from: https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2647865

21.

Burt TP, Allison RJ. Sediment cascades: an integrated approach. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.

22.

Davies TRH, McSaveney MJ, Clarkson PJ. Anthropic aggradation of the Waiho River, Westland, New Zealand: microscale modelling. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 2003 Feb;28(2):209–18.

23.

Korup O. Geomorphic imprint of landslides on alpine river systems, southwest New Zealand. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 2005 Jul;30(7):783–800.

24.

Korup O, Densmore AL, Schlunegger F. The role of landslides in mountain range evolution. Geomorphology. 2010 Aug;120(1–2):77–90.

25.

Cox et al SC. GNS Science report 2014/07 : Activity of the landslide Te Horo and Te Koroka fan, Dart River, New Zealand during January 2014 [Internet]. Available from: https://shop.gns.cri.nz/sr_2014-007-pdf/

26.

Thomas et al JS. GNS Science report 2009/43: 42 years evolution of Slip Stream landslide and fan, Dart River, New Zealand [Internet]. Available from: https://shop.gns.cri.nz/sr_2009-43-pdf/

CIWEM. Floods and dredging: a reality check [Internet]. 2014. Available from: http://www.ciwem.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Floods-and-Dredging-a-reality-check.pd f

28.

Kummu M. Water management in Angkor: Human impacts on hydrology and sediment transportation. Journal of Environmental Management. 2009 Mar;90(3):1413–21.

29.

Diamond JM. Collapse: how societies choose to fail or survive. Vol. Penguin history. London: Penguin Books; 2006.

30.

Belletti B, Rinaldi M, Buijse AD, Gurnell AM, Mosselman E. A review of assessment methods for river hydromorphology. Environmental Earth Sciences. 2015 Mar;73(5):2079–100.

31.

J. M. Buffington. Geomorphic classification of rivers [Internet]. p. 730–67. Available from: https://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/43354

32.

Ebooks Corporation Limited. Tools in fluvial geomorphology [Internet]. Second edition. Kondolf GM, Piégay H, editors. Vol. Applied legal philosophy. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 2016. Available from: https://ebookcentral.proguest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=4517652

33.

Rinaldi M, Gurnell AM, del Tánago MG, Bussettini M, Hendriks D. Classification of river morphology and hydrology to support management and restoration. Aquatic Sciences. 2016 Jan;78(1):17–33.

Tadaki M, Brierley G, Cullum C. River classification: theory, practice, politics. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water. 2014 Apr;n/a-n/a.

35.

Beechie T, Imaki H. Predicting natural channel patterns based on landscape and geomorphic controls in the Columbia River basin, USA. Water Resources Research. 2014 Jan;50(1):39–57.

36.

Brierley GJ. River Styles, a Geomorphic Approach to Catchment Characterization: Implications for River Rehabilitation in Bega Catchment, New South Wales, Australia. Environmental Management. 2000 May 31;25(6):661–79.

37.

River Styles [Internet]. Available from: http://www.riverstyles.com/

38.

Lave R, Doyle M, Robertson M. Privatizing stream restoration in the US. Social Studies of Science. 2010 Oct;40(5):677–703.

39.

Montgomery DR. Channel-reach morphology in mountain drainage basins. GSA Bulletin [Internet]. 1997 May 1;109(5):596–611. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/gsa/gsabulletin/arti cle/109/5/596/183255/channel-reach-morphology-in-mountain-drainage

40.

Rosgen DL. A classification of natural rivers. CATENA. 1994 Jun;22(3):169-99.

Modular River Survey [Internet]. Available from: http://modularriversurvey.org/

42.

Rinaldi M, Gurnell AM, del Tánago MG, Bussettini M, Hendriks D. Classification of river morphology and hydrology to support management and restoration. Aquatic Sciences. 2016 Jan;78(1):17–33.

43.

Smith SM, Prestegaard KL. Hydraulic performance of a morphology-based stream channel design. Water Resources Research. 2005 Nov;41(11):n/a-n/a.

44.

Kasprak A, Hough-Snee N, Beechie T, Bouwes N, Brierley G, Camp R, et al. The Blurred Line between Form and Process: A Comparison of Stream Channel Classification Frameworks. PLOS ONE. 2016 Mar 16;11(3).

45.

Scottish Environmental Protection Agency. Supporting guidance (WAT-SG-21): Environmental Standards for River Morphology [Internet]. Available from: https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/152194/wat_sg_21.pdf

46.

Pender G, Faulkner H. Flood risk science and management. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2011.

47.

Cabinet Office. National Flood Resilience Review [Internet]. 2016. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-flood-resilience-review

48.

Burt S, McCarthy M, Kendon M, Hannaford J. Cumbrian floods, 5/6 December 2015. Weather. 2016 Feb;71(2):36–7.

49.

Ferranti E, Chapman L, Whyatt D. A Perfect Storm? The collapse of Lancaster's critical infrastructure networks following intense rainfall on 4/5 December 2015. Weather. 2017 Jan;72(1):3–7.

50.

Marsh et al T. The winter floods of 2015/2016 in the UK. Available from: https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/515303/

51.

Cabinet office. The Pitt Review: Lessons learned from the 2007 floods [Internet]. Available from:

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20100807034701/http:/archive.cabinetoffice.go v.uk/pittreview/thepittreview/final_report.html

52.

Thorne C. Geographies of UK flooding in 2013/4. The Geographical Journal. 2014 Dec;180(4):297–309.

53.

National River Flow Archive: Occasional Reports [Internet]. Available from: http://nrfa.ceh.ac.uk/occasional-reports

54.

Gregory KJ, Goudie A. The SAGE handbook of geomorphology. London: SAGE; 2011.

55.

Slater LJ, Singer MB, Kirchner JW. Hydrologic versus geomorphic drivers of trends in flood

hazard. Geophysical Research Letters. 2015 Jan 28;42(2):370-6.

56.

Slater LJ. To what extent have changes in channel capacity contributed to flood hazard trends in England and Wales? Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 2016 Jun 30;41(8):1115–28.

57.

Olsen JR. Climate Change and Floodplain Management in the United States. Climatic Change. 2006 Jun;76(3-4):407-26.

58.

Schottler SP, Ulrich J, Belmont P, Moore R, Lauer JW, Engstrom DR, et al. Twentieth century agricultural drainage creates more erosive rivers. Hydrological Processes. 2014 Feb 15;28(4):1951–61.

59.

Alfieri L, Bisselink B, Dottori F, Naumann G, de Roo A, Salamon P, et al. Global projections of river flood risk in a warmer world. Earth's Future. 2017 Jan;

60.

Jongman B, Ward PJ, Aerts JCJH. Global exposure to river and coastal flooding: Long term trends and changes. Global Environmental Change. 2012 Oct;22(4):823–35.

61.

Jongman B, Hochrainer-Stigler S, Feyen L, Aerts JCJH, Mechler R, Botzen WJW, et al. Increasing stress on disaster-risk finance due to large floods. Nature Climate Change. 2014 Mar 2;4(4):264–8.

62.

Hirabayashi Y, Mahendran R, Koirala S, Konoshima L, Yamazaki D, Watanabe S, et al.

Global flood risk under climate change. Nature Climate Change. 2013 Jun 9;3(9):816-21.

63.

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. Global assessment report on disaster risk reduction 2015 [Internet]. Available from: https://www.unisdr.org/we/inform/publications/42809

64.

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, Centre for Research on Epidemiology of Disasters. The human cost of weather-related disasters 1995-2015 [Internet]. Available from: https://www.unisdr.org/we/inform/publications/46796

65.

Scotland & Northern Ireland Forum for Environmental Research. A handbook of climate trends across Scotland (SNIFFER project CC03) [Internet]. Available from: https://www.south-ayrshire.gov.uk/documents/sniffer%20partnership_climate%20change% 20trend%20handbook%20june%2006.pdf

66.

Jenkins GJ. The climate of the United Kingdom and recent trends [Internet]. 2007. Available from: http://www.ukcip.org.uk/wp-content/PDFs/UKCP09_Trends.pdf

67.

Future flooding [Internet]. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/future-flooding

68.

Pall P, Aina T, Stone DA, Stott PA, Nozawa T, Hilberts AGJ, et al. Anthropogenic greenhouse gas contribution to flood risk in England and Wales in autumn 2000. Nature. 2011 Feb 17;470(7334):382–5.

Schaller N, Kay AL, Lamb R, Massey NR, van Oldenborgh GJ, Otto FEL, et al. Human influence on climate in the 2014 southern England winter floods and their impacts. Nature Climate Change. 2016 Feb 1;6(6):627–34.

70.

Beven KJ. Rainfall-runoff modelling: the primer. 2nd ed. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2012.

71.

O'Connell PE, Ewen J, O'Donnell G, Quinn P. Is there a link between agricultural land-use management and flooding? Hydrology and Earth System Sciences. 2007 Jan 17;11(1):96–107.

72.

Beven KJ, Chappell N, Lamb R, Shaw EM. Hydrology in practice. 4th ed. London: Spon Press; 2011.

73.

Arnell NW, Halliday SJ, Battarbee RW, Skeffington RA, Wade AJ. The implications of climate change for the water environment in England. Progress in Physical Geography. 2015 Feb 1;39(1):93–120.

74.

Dixon SJ, Sear DA, Odoni NA, Sykes T, Lane SN. The effects of river restoration on catchment scale flood risk and flood hydrology. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 2016 Jun 15;41(7):997–1008.

75.

Environment Agency. How to model and map catchment processes when flood risk management planning [Internet]. 2016. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/523456/Ho w_to_model_and_map_catchment_processes_-_report.pdf

Environment Agency. Working with natural processes to reduce flood risk: science report [Internet]. 2014. Available from:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/338437/SC1 30004_R2.pdf

77.

Gao J, Holden J, Kirkby M. The impact of land-cover change on flood peaks in peatland basins. Water Resources Research. 2016 May;52(5):3477–92.

78.

JBA. Nature-based approaches for catchment flood management: an online catalogue [Internet]. 2015. Available from: http://www.jbatrust.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/W15-0603-Nature-Based-approachesto-Catchment-Improvements-Sept-2015-FINAL v0.1.pdf

79.

SEPA. Natural flood management handbook [Internet]. 2015. Available from: http://www.sepa.org.uk/media/163560/sepa-natural-flood-management-handbook1.pdf

80.

Natural Water Retention Measures [Internet]. Available from: http://nwrm.eu/

81.

Working with natural processes to reduce flood risk : JBA Trust - Interactive map [Internet]. Available from:

http://www.jbatrust.org/news/working-with-natural-processes-to-reduce-flood-risk/

82.

Grill G, Lehner B, Lumsdon AE, MacDonald GK, Zarfl C, Reidy Liermann C. An index-based framework for assessing patterns and trends in river fragmentation and flow regulation by global dams at multiple scales. Environmental Research Letters. 2015 Jan 1;10(1).

Lehner B, Reidy Liermann C, Revenga C, Vörösmarty C, Fekete B, Crouzet P, et al. High-resolution mapping of the world's reservoirs and dams for sustainable river-flow management. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment [Internet]. 2011;9(9):494–502. Available from:

https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/23034466

84.

Zarfl C, Lumsdon AE, Berlekamp J, Tydecks L, Tockner K. A global boom in hydropower dam construction. Aquatic Sciences. 2015 Jan;77(1):161–70.

85.

World Commission on Dams. Dams and development: a new framework for decision-making [Internet]. 2000. Available from: https://www.internationalrivers.org/sites/default/files/attached-files/world_commission_on_ dams final report.pdf

86.

Magilligan FJ, Nislow KH. Changes in hydrologic regime by dams. Geomorphology. 2005 Oct;71(1-2):61-78.

87.

Sambrook Smith GH. Braided rivers: process, deposits, ecology, and management. Malden, MA: Blackwell Pub; 2006.

88.

Kondolf GM. Hungry Water: Effects of Dams and Gravel Mining on River Channels. Environmental Management. 1997 Jul 1;21(4):533–51.

89.

Kondolf GM, Rubin ZK, Minear JT. Dams on the Mekong: Cumulative sediment starvation.

Water Resources Research. 2014 Jun;50(6):5158-69.

90.

Luo XX, Yang SL, Wang RS, Zhang CY, Li P. New evidence of Yangtze delta recession after closing of the Three Gorges Dam. Scientific Reports. 2017 Feb 1;7.

91.

Magilligan FJ, Nislow KH. Changes in hydrologic regime by dams. Geomorphology. 2005 Oct;71(1-2):61-78.

92.

Yang SL, Milliman JD, Li P, Xu K. 50,000 dams later: Erosion of the Yangtze River and its delta. Global and Planetary Change. 2011 Jan;75(1–2):14–20.

93.

Lessard J, Murray Hicks D, Snelder TH, Arscott DB, Larned ST, Booker D, et al. Dam Design can Impede Adaptive Management of Environmental Flows: A Case Study from the Opuha Dam, New Zealand. Environmental Management. 2013 Feb;51(2):459–73.

94.

East AE, Pess GR, Bountry JA, Magirl CS, Ritchie AC, Logan JB, et al. Large-scale dam removal on the Elwha River, Washington, USA: River channel and floodplain geomorphic change. Geomorphology. 2015 Jan;228:765–86.

95.

Gartner JD, Magilligan FJ, Renshaw CE. Predicting the type, location and magnitude of geomorphic responses to dam removal: Role of hydrologic and geomorphic constraints. Geomorphology. 2015 Dec;251:20–30.

96.

Church MA, Biron P, Roy AG, Ashmore P. Gravel-bed rivers: processes, tools, environments.

Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2012.

97.

Magilligan FJ, Nislow KH, Kynard BE, Hackman AM. Immediate changes in stream channel geomorphology, aquatic habitat, and fish assemblages following dam removal in a small upland catchment. Geomorphology. 2016 Jan;252:158–70.

98.

Major JJ, O'Connor JE, Podolak CJ, Keith MK, Grant GE, Spicer KR, et al. Geomorphic Response of the Sandy River, Oregon, to Removal of Marmot Dam : U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1792 [Internet]. 2012. Available from: https://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/1792/

99.

O'Connor JE, Duda JJ, Grant GE. 1000 dams down and counting. Science. 2015 May 1;348(6234):496–7.

100.

Pizzuto J. Effects of dam removal on river form and process. BioScience [Internet]. 52(8):683-91. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?p=AONE&u=g lasuni&id=GALE|A90317048&v=2.1&it=r&sid=summon&authCo unt=1

101.

Engineering geology for society and territory: Volume 3: River basins, reservoir sedimentation and water resources [Internet]. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2015. Available from:

https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-09054-2

102.

Ryan Bellmore J, Duda JJ, Craig LS, Greene SL, Torgersen CE, Collins MJ, et al. Status and trends of dam removal research in the United States. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews:

Water. 2017 Mar;4(2).

103.

Young SM, Ishiga H. Environmental change of the fluvial-estuary system in relation to Arase Dam removal of the Yatsushiro tidal flat, SW Kyushu, Japan. Environmental Earth Sciences. 2014 Oct;72(7):2301–14.

104.

Marmot Dam Removal [Internet]. 30AD. Available from: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i1Nl2ia3nDw

105.

Elwha River following dam removal [Internet]. 2AD. Available from: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VipVo8zPH0U

106.

Elwha River Restoration Project - videos [Internet]. Available from: https://walrus.wr.usgs.gov/elwha/products.html#videos

107.

Snake River [Internet]. 7AD. Available from: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DK5nUXkrz8o

108.

CIRIA. River weirs - Design, maintenance, modification and removal [Internet]. 2016. Available from: http://www.cpwf.co.uk/C763%20River%20weirs.%20Design,%20maintenance,%20modifica tion%20and%20removal%20(web)%20(1).pdf

109.

Hawley S. Recovering a lost river: removing dams, rewilding salmon, revitalizing

communities. Boston, Mass: Beacon Press; 2012.

110.

Lichatowich J. Salmon without rivers: a history of the Pacific salmon crisis. Washington, D.C.: Island Press; 1999.

111.

Mapes L, Ringman S. Elwha: a river reborn. First edition. Seattle, WA: The Mountaineers Books; 2013.

112.

CIWEM. Integrated Water Management [Internet]. 2011. Available from: http://www.ciwem.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Integrated-Water-Managment-Report.p df

113.

defra. Making space for water: Taking forward a new Government strategy for flood and coastal erosion risk management in England [Internet]. 2005. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130402151656/http://archive.defra.gov.uk/en vironment/flooding/documents/policy/strategy/strategy-response1.pdf

114.

Institute for European Environmental Policy. The potential policy and environmental consequences for the UK of a departure from the European Union [Internet]. 2016. Available from:

http://www.ieep.eu/news/2016/08/the-uk-referendum-what-it-means-for-the-environment-a nd-for-ieep

115.

Kallis G. The EU water framework directive: measures and implications. Water Policy. 2001 Jun;3(2):125-42.

Royal Geographical Society. Water policy in the UK: the challenges [Internet]. 2012. Available from: https://www.rgs.org/NR/rdonlyres/4D9A57E4-A053-47DC-9A76-BDBEF0EA0F5C/0/RGSIBGPo licyDocumentWater 732pp.pdf

117.

RSPB. Flooding in focus [Internet]. 2014. Available from: https://www.rspb.org.uk/Images/flooding-in-focus_tcm9-386202.pdf

118.

SEPA. Supporting Guidance (WAT-SG-21): Environmental Standards for River Morphology [Internet]. 2012. Available from: https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/152194/wat_sg_21.pdf

119.

SEPA. Scotland River Basin District : Characterisation and impacts analyses required by article 5 of the Water Framework Directive [Internet]. 2005. Available from: https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/37505/rbmp_scotland_characterisation-imacts-analysis_article5.pdf

120.

SEPA. Significant water management issues in the Scotland river basin district [Internet]. 2007. Available from:

https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/37765/significant-water-management-issues_scotland.pdf

121.

SEPA. Flood Risk Management Strategies and Local Flood Risk Management Plans [Internet]. 2011. Available from: http://www.sepa.org.uk/media/42632/frm strategies and lfrm plans.pdf

122.

Beven KJ, Chappell N, Lamb R, Shaw EM. Hydrology in practice. 4th ed. London: Spon Press; 2011.

Maddock I, Harby A, Kemp P, Wood PJ, editors. Ecohydraulics: an integrated approach. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley Blackwell; 2013.

124.

Coaker TH. Advances in applied biology: Vol. 6: edited by T.H. Coaker. London: Academic Press; 1981.

125.

Moir HJ, Pasternack GB. Relationships between mesoscale morphological units, stream hydraulics and Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) spawning habitat on the Lower Yuba River, California. Geomorphology. 2008 Aug;100(3–4):527–48.

126.

Moir HJ, Gibbins CN, Soulsby C, Youngson AF. PHABSIM modelling of Atlantic salmon spawning habitat in an upland stream: testing the influence of habitat suitability indices on model output. River Research and Applications. 2005 Nov;21(9):1021–34.

127.

Moir HJ, Gibbins CN, Soulsby C, Webb J. Linking channel geomorphic characteristics to spatial patterns of spawning activity and discharge use by Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Geomorphology. 2004 May;60(1–2):21–35.

128.

Beechie TJ, Sear DA, Olden JD, Pess GR, Buffington JM, Moir H, et al. Process-based Principles for Restoring River Ecosystems. BioScience. 2010 Mar;60(3):209–22.

129.

Brierley G, Hooke J. Emerging geomorphic approaches to guide river management practices. Geomorphology. 2015 Dec;251:1–5.

James LA. Designing forward with an eye to the past: Morphogenesis of the lower Yuba River. Geomorphology. 2015 Dec;251:31–49.

131.

Newson MalcolmD, Large ARG. 'Natural' rivers, 'hydromorphological quality' and river restoration: a challenging new agenda for applied fluvial geomorphology. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 2006 Nov;31(13):1606–24.

132.

Raven EK, Lane SN, Bracken LJ. Understanding sediment transfer and morphological change for managing upland gravel-bed rivers. Progress in Physical Geography. 2010 Feb 1;34(1):23–45.

133.

Wohl E, Merritts DJ. What Is a Natural River? Geography Compass. 2007 Jul;1(4):871–900.

134.

Bernhardt ES. Ecology: Synthesizing U.S. River Restoration Efforts. Science. 2005 Apr 29;308(5722):636–7.

135.

Beechie TJ, Sear DA, Olden JD, Pess GR, Buffington JM, Moir H, et al. Process-based Principles for Restoring River Ecosystems. BioScience. 2010 Mar;60(3):209–22.

136.

Downs P, Kondolf GM. Post-Project Appraisals in Adaptive Management of River Channel Restoration. Environmental Management. 2002 Apr 1;29(4):477–96.

Gilvear DJ, Casas-Mulet R, Spray CJ. Trends and issues in delivery of integrated catchment scale river restoration: Lessons learned from a national river restoration survey within Scotland. River Research and Applications. 2012 Feb;28(2):234–46.

138.

Koebel JW, Bousquin SG. The Kissimmee River Restoration Project and Evaluation Program, Florida, U.S.A. Restoration Ecology. 2014 May;22(3):345–52.

139.

Morandi B, Piégay H, Lamouroux N, Vaudor L. How is success or failure in river restoration projects evaluated? Feedback from French restoration projects. Journal of Environmental Management. 2014 May;137:178–88.

140.

Palmer MA, Menninger HL, Bernhardt E. River restoration, habitat heterogeneity and biodiversity: a failure of theory or practice? Freshwater Biology. 2010 Jan;55:205–22.

141.

Podolak CJP. A visual framework for displaying, communicating and coordinating a river restoration monitoring project. River Research and Applications. 2014 May;30(4):527–35.

142.

Simon A, Bennett SJ, Castro JM, American Geophysical Union. Stream restoration in dynamic fluvial systems: scientific approaches, analyses, and tools. Vol. Geophysical monograph. Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union; 2011.

143.

Smith B, Clifford NJ, Mant J. Analysis of UK river restoration using broad-scale data sets. Water and Environment Journal. 2014 Dec;28(4):490–501.

Wohl E, Lane SN, Wilcox AC. The science and practice of river restoration. Water Resources Research. 2015 Aug;51(8):5974–97.

145.

The River Restoration Centre [Internet]. Available from: http://www.therrc.co.uk/

146.

ECRR website [Internet]. Available from: http://www.ecrr.org/

147.

Ashmore P. Towards a sociogeomorphology of rivers. Geomorphology. 2015 Dec;251:149–56.

148.

Dufour S, Piégay H. From the myth of a lost paradise to targeted river restoration: forget natural references and focus on human benefits. River Research and Applications. 2009 Jun;25(5):568–81.