

Labour Law

View Online



Allonby v Accrington and Rossendale College [2004] IRLR 224. n.d.

Arabadjieva, Kalina. 2017. 'Another Disappointment in Strasbourg: Unite the Union v United Kingdom'. *Industrial Law Journal* 46 (2): 289–302.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dwx004>.

Aslam and others v Uber BV and others [2017] IRLR 4. n.d.

ASLEF v UK [2007] ECHR 184. n.d.

Associated British Ports v TGWU [1989] ICR 557. n.d.

Autoclenz Ltd v Belcher [2011] UKSC 41. n.d.

Bates van Winkelhof v Clyde & Co LLP [2014] ICR 730. n.d.

Bogg, A., and K. D. Ewing. 2014. 'The Implications of the RMT Case'. *Industrial Law Journal* 43 (3): 221–52. <https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dwu015>.

Bogg, A. L. 2005. 'Employment Relations Act 2004: Another False Dawn for Collectivism?' *Industrial Law Journal* 34 (1): 72–82. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ilj/34.1.72>.

———. 2012. 'Sham Self-Employment in the Supreme Court'. *Industrial Law Journal* 41 (3): 328–45. <https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dws021>.

British Airways plc v Unite The Union [2010] EWCA Civ 669. n.d.

Brodie, D. 2005. 'Employees, Workers and the Self-Employed'. *Industrial Law Journal* 34 (3): 253–60. <https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dwi017>.

Byrne Brothers Ltd v Baird [2002] ICR 667. n.d.

Cable & Wireless plc v Muscat [2006] IRLR 354. n.d.

Carmichael v National Power Plc [2000] IRLR 43. n.d.

Carrington v Therm-A-Stor [1983] IRLR 78. n.d.

Collins, Hugh, K. D. Ewing, and Aileen McColgan. 2012a. *Labour Law. Vol. Law in context*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>.

- . 2012b. Labour Law. Vol. Law in context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>.
- . 2012c. Labour Law. Vol. Law in context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>.
- . 2012d. Labour Law. Vol. Law in context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>.
- . 2012e. Labour Law. Vol. Law in context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>.
- . 2012f. Labour Law. Vol. Law in context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>.
- . 2012g. Labour Law. Vol. Law in context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>.
- . 2012h. Labour Law. Vol. Law in context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>.
- . 2019a. Labour Law. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>.
- . 2019b. Labour Law. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>.
- . 2019c. Labour Law. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>.
- . 2019d. Labour Law. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>.
- . 2019e. Labour Law. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>.
- . 2019f. Labour Law. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>.
- . 2019g. Labour Law. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>.
- . 2019h. Labour Law. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>.

Cornwall CC v Prater [2006] IRLR 362. n.d.

Cotswold Developments Construction Ltd v Williams [2006] IRLR 181. n.d.

Dacas v Brook St Bureau (UK) Ltd [2004] IRLR 358. n.d.

Davidov, G. 2005. 'Who Is a Worker?' *Industrial Law Journal* 34 (1): 57–71.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ilj/34.1.57>.

Davies, A.C.L. 2006. 'Casual Workers and Continuity of Employment'. *Industrial Law Journal* 35 (2): 196–201. <https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dwl015>.

Davies, P. L., and M. R. Freedland. 1993. *Labour Legislation and Public Policy: A Contemporary History*. Vol. Clarendon law series. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Demir and Baykara v Turkey [2008] ECHR 1345. n.d.

Dewhurst v Citysprint [2017] ET. n.d.

Dimbleby v NUJ [1984] 1 WLR 427. n.d.

Dukes, R. 2010. 'The Right to Strike under UK Law: Not Much More than a Slogan?: Metrobus v Unite The Union'. *Industrial Law Journal* 39 (1): 82–91.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dwp029>.

———. 2011. 'The Right to Strike under UK Law: Something More than a Slogan?: NURMT v SERCO, ASLEF v London & Birmingham Railway Ltd'. *Industrial Law Journal* 40 (3): 302–11.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dwr012>.

Dukes, Ruth. 2009. 'Otto Kahn-Freund and Collective Laissez-Faire: An Edifice without a Keystone?' *The Modern Law Review* 72 (2): 220–46.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20533240>.

Dukes, Ruth, and Nicola Kountouris. 2016a. 'Pre-Strike Ballots, Picketing and Protest: Banning Industrial Action by the Back Door?' *Industrial Law Journal* 45 (3): 337–62.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dww026>.

———. 2016b. 'Pre-Strike Ballots, Picketing and Protest: Banning Industrial Action by the Back Door?' *Industrial Law Journal* 45 (3): 337–62. <https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dww026>.

Employment Relations Act 1999. n.d.

———. n.d.

Employment Rights Act 1996. n.d.

———. n.d.

———. n.d.

———. n.d.

- Ewing, K.D. 2003. 'The Implications of Wilson and Palmer'. *Industrial Law Journal* 32 (1): 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ilj/32.1.1>.
- . 2005. 'The Function of Trade Unions'. *Industrial Law Journal* 34 (1): 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ilj/34.1.1>.
- Ewing, K.D., and J. Hendy. 2010. 'The Dramatic Implications of Demir and Baykara'. *Industrial Law Journal* 39 (1): 2-51. <https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dwp031>.
- Express & Echo Publications Ltd v Tanton* [1999] IRLR 367. n.d.
- Express Newspapers Ltd v Keys* [1980] IRLR 247. n.d.
- Ferguson v John Dawson Ltd.* [1976] IRLR 346. n.d.
- Fitzpatrick v British Railways Board* [1992] ICR 221. n.d.
- Fixed-Term Employees (Prevention of Less Favourable Treatment) Regulations 2002. n.d.
- Ford Motor Co. Ltd v AUEFW* [1969] 2 QB 303. n.d.
- Freedland, M. R., ed. 2016. *The Contract of Employment*. First edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198783169.001.0001>.
- Freedland, Mark, and Nicola Kountouris. 2017. 'Some Reflections on the "Personal Scope" of Collective Labour Law'. *Industrial Law Journal* 46 (1): 52-71. <https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dww041>.
- Gallagher v Department of Transport* [1994] ICR 967 CA. n.d.
- Harrison v Kent* [1995] ICR 434. n.d.
- Hellyer Brothers v McLeod* [1987] 1 WLR 728. n.d.
- Hepple, B. 2013. 'Back to the Future: Employment Law under the Coalition Government'. *Industrial Law Journal* 42 (3): 203-23. <https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dwt009>.
- James v Greenwich LBC* [2008] IRLR 302. n.d.
- Jivraj v Hashwani* [2011] UKSC 40. n.d.
- Kahn-Freund, Otto. 1978. *Selected Writings [of] Otto Kahn-Freund*. London: Stevens & Sons.
- Kahn-Freund, Otto, P. L. Davies, M. R. Freedland, and Otto Kahn-Freund. 1983. *Kahn-Freund's Labour and the Law*. 3rd ed. Vol. The Hamlyn lectures. London: Stevens & Sons.
<https://web.archive.org/web/20120119230748/http://socialsciences.exeter.ac.uk/media/universityofexeter/schoolofhumanitiesandsocialsciences/law/pdfs/Labour%20and%20the%20Law.pdf>.

Leighton, P., and M. Wynn. 2011. 'Classifying Employment Relationships - More Sliding Doors or a Better Regulatory Framework?' *Industrial Law Journal* 40 (1): 5–44.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dwq029>.

Lewis, Roy. 1976. 'The Historical Development of Labour Law'. *British Journal of Industrial Relations* 14 (1): 1–17.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=5402395&site=ehost-live>.

MacFarlane v Glasgow City Council [2001] IRLR 7. n.d.

Market Investigations v Minister of Social Security [1969] 3 All ER 732. n.d.

Massey v Crown Life Insurance Co. [1978] IRLR 31. n.d.

McMeechan v Secretary of State for Employment [1995] IRLR 461; [1997] IRLR 353. n.d.

Mercury Communications v Scott-Garner [1984] ICR 74. n.d.

Metrobus v Unite the Union [2009] EWCA Civ 829. n.d.

Milford Haven Port Authority v Unite the Union [2010] EWCA Civ 400. n.d.

Mingeley v Pennock [2004] IRLR 373. n.d.

Motorola v Davidson [2001] IRLR 4. n.d.

National Minimum Wage Act 1998. n.d.

NUGSAT v Albury Brothers [1979] ICR 84. n.d.

O'Kelly v Trusthouse Forte plc [1983] IRLR 369. n.d.

Part-Time Workers (Prevention of Less Favourable Treatment) Regulations 2000 (SI 2000/1551). n.d.

Pimlico Plumbers Ltd and another v Smith [2017] Court of Appeal. n.d.

Pollert, A. 2005. 'The Unorganised Worker: The Decline in Collectivism and New Hurdles to Individual Employment Rights'. *Industrial Law Journal* 34 (3): 217–38.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/indlaw/dwi016>.

Quinn v Leatham [1901] AC 495. n.d.

R (on the application of UNISON) v Lord Chancellor [2017] UKSC 51. n.d.

Ready Mixed Concrete v Minister of Pensions and National Insurance [1968] 2 QB 497. n.d.

RMT v Serco, ASLEF v London & Birmingham Railway Limited [2011] EWCA Civ 226. n.d.

RMT v UK [2014] ECHR 366. n.d.

———. n.d.

Rookes v Barnard [1964] AC 1129. n.d.

Simpson, B. 1995. 'Commentary: Freedom of Association and the Right to Organize: The Failure of an Individual Rights Strategy'. *Industrial Law Journal* 24 (3): 235–51.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ilj/24.3.235>.

Smith, I. T., Aaron Baker, and Owen Warnock. 2017a. *Smith & Wood's Employment Law*. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2017b. *Smith & Wood's Employment Law*. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2017c. *Smith & Wood's Employment Law*. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2017d. *Smith & Wood's Employment Law*. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2017e. *Smith & Wood's Employment Law*. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2017f. *Smith & Wood's Employment Law*. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2017g. *Smith & Wood's Employment Law*. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2017h. *Smith & Wood's Employment Law*. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2017i. *Smith & Wood's Employment Law*. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Stratford v Lindley [1965] AC 269. n.d.

Taff Vale Railway v ASRS [1901] AC 426. n.d.

The Employment Relations Act 1999 (Blacklists) Regulations 2010. n.d.

The Human Rights Act 1998. n.d.

The Working Time Regulations 1998 (SI 1998/1833). n.d.

Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992. n.d.

———. n.d.

———. n.d.

———. n.d.

———. n.d.

Unite the Union v UK Application No 65397/13. 3AD.

Wages Act 1986. n.d.

Wedderburn of Charlton, Lord. 1980. 'Industrial Relations and the Courts'. *Industrial Law Journal* 9 (1): 65–94. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ilj/9.1.65>.

Wilson and Palmer v UK [2002] ECHR 552. n.d.

Wilson v Associated Newspapers [1995] 2 AC 454. n.d.

Wiltshire County Council v National Association of Teachers in Further and Higher Education and Guy [1980] IRLR 198. n.d.