

Labour Law

View Online



1.

Smith IT, Baker A, Warnock O. Smith & Wood's employment law. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017.

2.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>

3.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2019. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>

4.

Smith IT, Baker A, Warnock O. Smith & Wood's employment law. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017.

5.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>

6.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2019. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>

7.

Kahn-Freund O. Selected writings [of] Otto Kahn-Freund. London: Stevens & Sons; 1978.

8.

Lewis R. The historical development of labour law. *British Journal of Industrial Relations* [Internet]. 1976;14(1):1–17. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=5402395&site=ehost-live>

9.

Dukes R. Otto Kahn-Freund and collective laissez-faire: an edifice without a keystone? *The Modern Law Review* [Internet]. *WileyModern Law ReviewModern Law Review*; 2009;72(2):220–246. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20533240>

10.

Davies PL, Freedland MR. Labour legislation and public policy: a contemporary history. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1993.

11.

Hepple B. Back to the future: employment law under the Coalition Government. *Industrial Law Journal*. 2013 Sep 1;42(3):203–223.

12.

R (on the application of UNISON) v Lord Chancellor [2017] UKSC 51.

13.

Smith IT, Baker A, Warnock O. Smith & Wood's employment law. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017.

14.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>

15.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2019. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>

16.

Pollert A. The unorganised worker: the decline in collectivism and new hurdles to individual employment rights. *Industrial Law Journal*. 2005 Aug 1;34(3):217-238.

17.

Freedland MR, editor. The contract of employment [Internet]. First edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198783169.001.0001>

18.

Smith IT, Baker A, Warnock O. Smith & Wood's employment law. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017.

19.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University

Press; 2012. Available from:

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>

20.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Second edition. Cambridge:

Cambridge University Press; 2019. Available from:

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>

21.

Brodie D. Employees, workers and the self-employed. *Industrial Law Journal*. 2005 Aug 1;34(3):253-260.

22.

Davies ACL. Casual workers and continuity of employment. *Industrial Law Journal*. 2006 Jun 1;35(2):196-201.

23.

Davidov G. Who is a worker? *Industrial Law Journal*. 2005 Mar 1;34(1):57-71.

24.

Leighton P, Wynn M. Classifying employment relationships - more sliding doors or a better regulatory framework? *Industrial Law Journal*. 2011 Mar 1;40(1):5-44.

25.

Bogg AL. Sham self-employment in the Supreme Court. *Industrial Law Journal*. 2012 Sep 1;41(3):328-345.

26.

Freedland M, Kountouris N. Some reflections on the 'personal scope' of collective labour law. *Industrial Law Journal*. 2017 Mar 1;46(1):52-71.

27.

Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.

28.

Employment Rights Act 1996.

29.

Employment Rights Act 1996.

30.

Employment Rights Act 1996.

31.

Wages Act 1986.

32.

Employment Rights Act 1996.

33.

Byrne Brothers Ltd v Baird [2002] ICR 667.

34.

Allonby v Accrington and Rossendale College [2004] IRLR 224.

35.

Mingeley v Pennock [2004] IRLR 373.

36.

Cotswold Developments Construction Ltd v Williams [2006] IRLR 181.

37.

Jivraj v Hashwani [2011] UKSC 40.

38.

Bates van Winkelhof v Clyde & Co LLP [2014] ICR 730.

39.

National Minimum Wage Act 1998.

40.

The Working Time Regulations 1998 (SI 1998/1833).

41.

Part-time Workers (Prevention of Less Favourable Treatment) Regulations 2000 (SI 2000/1551).

42.

Employment Relations Act 1999.

43.

Market Investigations v Minister of Social Security [1969] 3 All ER 732.

44.

Ready Mixed Concrete v Minister of Pensions and National Insurance [1968] 2 QB 497.

45.

Massey v Crown Life Insurance Co. [1978] IRLR 31.

46.

Ferguson v John Dawson Ltd. [1976] IRLR 346.

47.

Express & Echo Publications Ltd v Tanton [1999] IRLR 367.

48.

MacFarlane v Glasgow City Council [2001] IRLR 7.

49.

Autoclenz Ltd v Belcher [2011] UKSC 41.

50.

Aslam and others v Uber BV and others [2017] IRLR 4.

51.

Dewhurst v Citysprint [2017] ET.

52.

Pimlico Plumbers Ltd and another v Smith [2017] Court of Appeal.

53.

McMeechan v Secretary of State for Employment [1995] IRLR 461; [1997] IRLR 353.

54.

Motorola v Davidson [2001] IRLR 4.

55.

Dacas v Brook St Bureau (UK) Ltd [2004] IRLR 358.

56.

Cable & Wireless plc v Muscat [2006] IRLR 354.

57.

James v Greenwich LBC [2008] IRLR 302.

58.

O'Kelly v Trusthouse Forte plc [1983] IRLR 369.

59.

Hellyer Brothers v McLeod [1987] 1 WLR 728.

60.

Carmichael v National Power Plc [2000] IRLR 43.

61.

Cornwall CC v Prater [2006] IRLR 362.

62.

Wiltshire County Council v National Association of Teachers in Further and Higher Education and Guy [1980] IRLR 198.

63.

Fixed-term Employees (Prevention of Less Favourable Treatment) Regulations 2002.

64.

Smith IT, Baker A, Warnock O. Smith & Wood's employment law. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017.

65.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>

66.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2019. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>

67.

Unite the Union v UK Application No 65397/13. 3AD.

68.

RMT v UK [2014] ECHR 366.

69.

Demir and Baykara v Turkey [2008] ECHR 1345.

70.

ASLEF v UK [2007] ECHR 184.

71.

Wilson and Palmer v UK [2002] ECHR 552.

72.

Arabadjieva K. Another disappointment in Strasbourg: Unite the Union v United Kingdom. *Industrial Law Journal*. 2017 Jul;46(2):289–302.

73.

Bogg A, Ewing KD. The implications of the RMT case. *Industrial Law Journal*. 2014 Sep 1;43(3):221–252.

74.

Ewing KD, Hendy J. The dramatic implications of Demir and Baykara. *Industrial Law Journal*. 2010 Mar 1;39(1):2–51.

75.

Smith IT, Baker A, Warnock O. *Smith & Wood's employment law*. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017.

76.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. *Labour law* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from:

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>

77.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2019. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>

78.

Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.

79.

The Employment Relations Act 1999 (Blacklists) Regulations 2010.

80.

Harrison v Kent [1995] ICR 434.

81.

Wilson v Associated Newspapers [1995] 2 AC 454.

82.

Fitzpatrick v British Railways Board [1992] ICR 221.

83.

Carrington v Therm-A-Stor [1983] IRLR 78.

84.

Gallagher v Department of Transport [1994] ICR 967 CA.

85.

Simpson B. Commentary: Freedom of association and the right to organize: the failure of an individual rights strategy. *Industrial Law Journal*. 1995 Sep 1;24(3):235-251.

86.

Ewing KD. The implications of Wilson and Palmer. *Industrial Law Journal*. 2003 Mar 1;32(1):1-22.

87.

Bogg AL. Employment Relations Act 2004: another false dawn for collectivism? *Industrial Law Journal*. 2005 Mar 1;34(1):72-82.

88.

Smith IT, Baker A, Warnock O. *Smith & Wood's employment law*. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017.

89.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. *Labour law* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>

90.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. *Labour law* [Internet]. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2019. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>

91.

Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.

92.

Employment Relations Act 1999.

93.

Ford Motor Co. Ltd v AUEFW [1969] 2 QB 303.

94.

NUGSAT v Albury Brothers [1979] ICR 84.

95.

Ewing KD. The function of trade unions. Industrial Law Journal. 2005 Mar 1;34(1):1-22.

96.

Kahn-Freund O, Davies PL, Freedland MR, Kahn-Freund O. Kahn-Freund's Labour and the law [Internet]. 3rd ed. London: Stevens & Sons; 1983. Available from: <https://web.archive.org/web/20120119230748/http://socialsciences.exeter.ac.uk/media/universityofexeter/schoolofhumanitiesandsocialsciences/law/pdfs/Labour%20and%20the%20Law.pdf>

97.

Smith IT, Baker A, Warnock O. Smith & Wood's employment law. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017.

98.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1025069>

99.

Collins H, Ewing KD, McColgan A. Labour law [Internet]. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2019. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/labour-law/E082D42D3BA5F8C8D2DF41384F044EBF>

100.

Dukes R, Kountouris N. Pre-strike ballots, picketing and protest: banning industrial action by the back door? *Industrial Law Journal*. 2016 Sep;45(3):337-362.

101.

Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.

102.

Taff Vale Railway v ASRS [1901] AC 426.

103.

Quinn v Leatham [1901] AC 495.

104.

Rookes v Barnard [1964] AC 1129.

105.

Stratford v Lindley [1965] AC 269.

106.

Associated British Ports v TGWU [1989] ICR 557.

107.

Express Newspapers Ltd v Keys [1980] IRLR 247.

108.

Mercury Communications v Scott-Garner [1984] ICR 74.

109.

Dimbleby v NUJ [1984] 1 WLR 427.

110.

Wedderburn of Charlton, Lord. Industrial relations and the courts. *Industrial Law Journal*. 1980;9(1):65-94.

111.

Smith IT, Baker A, Warnock O. *Smith & Wood's employment law*. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017.

112.

Dukes R, Kountouris N. Pre-strike ballots, picketing and protest: banning industrial action by the back door? *Industrial Law Journal*. 2016 Sep;45(3):337-362.

113.

Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.

114.

RMT v UK [2014] ECHR 366.

115.

Metrobus v Unite the Union [2009] EWCA Civ 829.

116.

British Airways plc v Unite The Union [2010] EWCA Civ 669.

117.

Milford Haven Port Authority v Unite the Union [2010] EWCA Civ 400.

118.

RMT v Serco, ASLEF v London & Birmingham Railway Limited [2011] EWCA Civ 226.

119.

Dukes R. The right to strike under UK law: something more than a slogan?: NURMT v SERCO, ASLEF v London & Birmingham Railway Ltd. Industrial Law Journal. 2011 Sep 1;40(3):302-311.

120.

Dukes R. The right to strike under UK law: not much more than a slogan?: Metrobus v Unite The Union. Industrial Law Journal. 2010 Mar 1;39(1):82-91.

121.

The Human Rights Act 1998.