

Corporate Governance Seminars 1-9

[View Online](#)

Aberdeen Rail Co v Blaikie Brothers [1854] Macq HL 461.

Allen v Gold Reefs of West Africa Ltd [1900-03] All ER Rep 746.

Anderson v Hogg 2002 SLT 354, Inner House.

Automatic Self-Cleansing Filter Syndicate Co Ltd v Cuninghame [1906] 2 Ch 35.

Baker, H. K., & Anderson, R. (Eds.). (2010). Corporate Governance: A Synthesis of Theory, Research, and Practice: Vol. The Robert W. Kolb series in finance. John Wiley & Sons.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=589109>

Bebchuk, L. A., Fried, J. M., & Walker, D. I. (2002). Managerial Power and Rent Extraction in the Design of Executive Compensation. The University of Chicago Law Review, 69(3).
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1600632>

Bhullar v Bhullar [2003] 2 BCLC 241.

Bhullar v Bhullar [2003] 2 BCLC 241.

Blair, M. M., & Stout, L. A. (1999). A Team Production Theory of Corporate Law. Virginia Law Review, 85.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals/valr&collection=journals>

Bratton, W. W. (1989). The New Economic Theory of the Firm: Critical Perspectives from History.
http://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1832&context=faculty_scholarship

Broz and RFB Cellular Inc v Cellular Information Systems 673 A 2d 148 (Del. 1996).

Bushell v Faith [1970] A C 1099.

CA [2002] EWCA Civ 1883.

Cheffins, B. R. (1997). Company Law: Theory, Structure, and Operation. Clarendon Press.
Citco Banking Corporation NV v Pusser's Ltd [2007] UKPC 13.

Citybranch Group Ltd [2004] 4 All E R 735, CA, (2004).

Coffee, J. C., & Schwartz, D. E. (1981). The Survival of the Derivative Suit: An Evaluation and a Proposal for Legislative Reform. *Columbia Law Review*, 81(2).
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1122149>

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

Company Law Review Steering Group. (2001). Modern Company Law for a Competitive Economy: Final Report: Vol. Company law reform. Department of Trade and Industry.

Compliance and Reporting Under the EU Non-Financial Reporting Directive. (n.d.).
http://www.purposeofcorporation.org/documents/project_outputs/briefing-eu-non-financial-reporting-directive.pdf

Cook v Deeks [1916] 1 AC 554, (1916).

Corporate Governance - Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy Committee - House of Commons. (n.d.).
<https://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201617/cmselect/cmbeis/702/70202.htm>

Corporate Governance Green Paper (2016) and the Response of the Government. (2016a).
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/corporate-governance-reform>

Corporate Governance Green Paper (2016) and the Response of the Government. (2016b).
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/corporate-governance-reform>

Criterion Properties plc v Stratford UK Properties EWHC per Hart J.

Dafen Tinplate Company Ltd v Llanelly Steel Company [1920] 2 Ch 124, (1920).

Davies, P. L., Gower, L. C. B., & Worthington, S. (2016a). Gower's principles of modern company law (Tenth edition). Sweet & Maxwell.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780414056299>

Davies, P. L., Gower, L. C. B., & Worthington, S. (2016b). Gower's principles of modern company law (Tenth edition). Sweet & Maxwell.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780414056299>

Deryn Fisher. (n.d.). The Enlightened Shareholder - Leaving Stakeholders in the Dark: Will

Section 172(1) of the Companies Act 2006 Make Directors Consider the Impact of Their Decisions on third parties? International Company and Commercial Law Review.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?sp=ukuniglasg-1&docguid=I524FDE30BAF611DD8F93EE5287864437>

Developments in Corporate Governance and Stewardship 2016. (n.d.).
[https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/Developments-in-Corporate-Governance-and-Stewa-\(2\).pdf](https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/Developments-in-Corporate-Governance-and-Stewa-(2).pdf)

Dignam, A. J., & Galanis, M. (2009). The Globalization of Corporate Governance. Ashgate. Directors' remuneration reforms FAQ. (n.d.).
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/directors-remuneration-reforms-frequently-asked-questions>

Ebrahimi v Westbourne Galleries Ltd [1971] AC 360.

Edwards v Halliwell [1950] 2 All E R 1064, 2 All England Law Reports ____ (1950).

Esser, I.-M. (2017). Corporate Governance: Soft Law Regulation and Disclosure—The Cases of the United Kingdom and South Africa. In J. J. du Plessis & C. K. Low (Eds.), Corporate Governance Codes for the 21st Century (pp. 233–260). Springer International Publishing.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-51868-8_11

Ferrarini, G. (2010). Executive Remuneration in Crisis: A Critical Assessment of Reforms in Europe. Journal of Corporate Law Studies, 10(1).
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www-tandfonline-com/doi/abs/10.1080/14735970.2010.11419821>

Flannigan, R. (2006). The Strict Character of Fiduciary Liability. Law Journal Library New Zealand Law Review, 2.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/newzIndlr2006&id=221&collection=journals&index=journals/newzIndlr>

Foss v Harbottle (1843) 2 Hare 462, *189 Foss v Harbottle ____.

George Newman & Co. [1895] 1 Ch. 674.

Guinness Plc. v Saunders [1990] 2 W.L.R. 324.

Hogg v Cramphorn [1967] Ch 254, ICLR: Chancery Division ____.

Howard Smith Ltd v Ampol Petroleum Ltd [1974] AC 821, ICLR: Appeal Cases ____.

Insolvency Act 1986.

John Lowry. (2009). The Duty of Loyalty of Company Directors: Bridging the Accountability Gap through Efficient Disclosure. The Cambridge Law Journal, 68(3), 607–622.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/40388837>

Keay, A. (n.d.-a). Assessing accountability of boards under the UK Corporate Governance Code. Journal of Business Law, 7.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document>

t?sp=ukuniglasg-1&docguid=I1A05C82055BB11E5A81AE7D9787A2D81

Keay, A. (n.d.-b). Moving Towards Stakeholderism? Constituency Statutes, Enlightened Shareholder Value, and More: Much Ado about Little? *European Business Law Review*, 22 (1), 1–49.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=journals&id=EULR2011001>

Keay, A. (2002). The Duty of Directors to Take Account of Creditors' Interests: Has it any Role to Play?

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FIC3930461E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

Keay, A. (2007). Tackling the Issue of the Corporate Objective: An Analysis of the United Kingdom's Enlightened Shareholder Value Approach. *Sydney Law Review*, 29(4), 577–612.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/sydney29&id=577>

Keay, A. (2008). Ascertaining The Corporate Objective: An Entity Maximisation and Sustainability Model. *Modern Law Review*, 71(5), 663–698.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2008.00711.x>

Keay, A. (2010). Shareholder Primacy in Corporate Law: Can it Survive? Should it Survive? *European Company and Financial Law Review*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.1515/ecfr.2010.369>

Keay, A. (2014). Comply or Explain in Corporate Governance Codes: in Need of Greater Regulatory Oversight? *Legal Studies*, 34(2), 279–304. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lest.12014>

Kershaw, D. (2005). Lost in Translation: Corporate Opportunities in Comparative Perspective. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 25(4), 603–627.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3600611>

Kershaw, D. (2012a). *Company Law in Context: texts and materials* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

Kershaw, D. (2012b). *Company Law in Context: texts and materials* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

Kershaw, D. (2012c). *Company Law in Context: texts and materials* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

Kershaw, D. (2012d). *Company Law in Context: texts and materials* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

Kershaw, D. (2012e). *Company Law in Context: texts and materials* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

Kershaw, D. (2012f). *Company Law in Context: texts and materials* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

Kershaw, D. (2012g). *Company Law in Context: texts and materials* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

Kershaw, D. (2012h). *Company Law in Context: texts and materials* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

Kershaw, D. (2012i). *Company Law in Context: texts and materials* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

Kingford Smith, D. (2010). Governing the Corporation: The role of Soft Regulation. 35(1). <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/LuceneSearch?type=title&termsa=Governing+the+corporation+%3A+the+role+of+%27soft+regulation%27&operator=AND&searchtype=field&collection=journals§ions=any&submit=Search>

KPMG's Guide to Directors' Remuneration 2015. (2016). <https://home.kpmg.com/uk/en/home/insights/2015/09/kpmgs-guide-to-directors-remuneration-2015.html>

Kraakman, R. H. (2017). *The anatomy of corporate law: a comparative and functional approach* (Third edition). Oxford University Press. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198739630.001.0001>

Lombard, S., & Joubert, T. (2014). The Legislative Response to the Shareholders V Stakeholders Debate: A Comparative Overview. *Journal of Corporate Law Studies*, 14(1), 211-240. <https://doi.org/10.5235/14735970.14.1.211>

LR 9.8 Annual Financial Report - FCA Handbook. (n.d.). <https://www.handbook.fca.org.uk/handbook/LR/9/8.html>

Lynch, E. (2012). Section 172: A Ground-Breaking Reform of Director's Duties, or the Emperor's New Clothes? *Company Lawyer*, 33(7). <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI40B86F40B5E611E1B7C6E5F4CE7945D1%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

MacNeil, I., & Li, X. (2006). Comply or Explain: Market Discipline and Non-Compliance With the Combined Code. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 14(5), 486-497. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2006.00524.x>

Mallin, C. A. (2019). *Corporate governance* (Sixth edition). Oxford University Press. <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780192538734>

McCahery, J. A. (n.d.). Managerial Remuneration: The Indirect Pay-for-Performance Relation. *Journal of Corporate Law Studies*, 1(part 2), 317-332. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/corplstd1&id=319>

McGuinness v Bremner [1988] BCLC 673.

Moore, M. (2017a). Corporate Governance: Law, Regulation and Theory: Vol. Palgrave Corporate and Financial Law (1st ed. 2017). Palgrave Macmillan.

Moore, M. (2017b). Corporate Governance: Law, Regulation and Theory: Vol. Palgrave Corporate and Financial Law (1st ed. 2017). Palgrave Macmillan.

Northwest Transportation Company Ltd v Beatty (1887), (1887).

NYSE Listed Company Manual, Corporate Governance Standards. (n.d.).
<http://wallstreet.cch.com/LCM/>

O'Neill v Phillips [1999] 1 WLR 1092, HL. (1999).
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5F3S-9PV1-F00Y-N08M&csi=417192&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Parkinson, J. E. (1993). Corporate Power and Responsibility: Issues in the Theory of Company Law. Clarendon Press.

Peskin v Anderson [2001] 1 BCLC 372, Bruce Peskin, Kevin Milner v John Anderson & Ors ____.

Re D'Jan of London Ltd [1994] 1 BCLC 561.

Re Halt Garage (1964) Ltd, (1982).

Re Macro Ipswich Ltd [1994] 2 BCLC 354.

Regal (Hastings) Ltd v Gulliver [1967] 2 AC 134, 2 ICLR: Appeal Cases ____ (1967).

Regal (Hastings) v Gulliver [1942] 1 All ER 378, (1942).

Regentcrest v Cohen [2001] 2 BCLC 80, Regentcrest Plc (In Liquidation) v Jeffrey Saul Cohen, Donald Barrie Richardson ____.

Riley, C. A. (1999). The Company Director's Duty of Care and Skill: The Case for an Onerous but Subjective Standard. Modern Law Review, 62(5), 697-724.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.00232>

Sarah Worthington. (2001). Reforming Directors' Duties. The Modern Law Review, 64(3), 439-458. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1097009>

Saul D Harrison [1995] 1 BCLC 14, CA.

Sealy, L. S. (1989). Bona Fides and Proper Purposes in Corporate Decision. Law Journal Library Monash University Law Review - HeinOnline.Org, 15.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/monash15&id=279&collection=journals&index=journals/monash>

Section 122, Insolvency Act 1986. (n.d.).
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1986/45/section/122>

Shuttleworth v Cox Bros Ltd [1926] All ER Rep 498.

Smith v Van Gorkom 488 A 2d 858 (Del 1985).

Stout, L. (2002). Bad and Not-so-Bad Arguments for Shareholder Primacy. Law Journal Library Search, 75(5), 1189-1210.

http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/scal75&div=37&start_page=1189&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

Talbot, L. (2013). Progressive Corporate Governance for the 21st Century: Vol. Routledge research in corporate law. Routledge.

The Wrongful Trading Remedy in UK Law: Classification, Application and Practical Significance. (n.d.). 2004.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/ecomflr1&i=71>

UK Corporate Governance Code. (n.d.).

<https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Codes-Standards/Corporate-governance/UK-Corporate-Governance-Code.aspx>

UKLA, Listing Rules. (n.d.). <https://www.handbook.fca.org.uk/handbook/LR.pdf>

Wedderburn, K. W. (1957). Shareholders' Rights and the Rule in Foss v. Harbottle. The Cambridge Law Journal, 15(02). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197300082064>

What Constitutes an Explanation Under 'comply or explain?' (n.d.).

<https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/What-constitutes-an-explanation-under-comply-or-ex.pdf>

Worthington, S. (2000). Corporate Governance: Remedying and Ratifying Directors' Breaches'. Law Quarterly Review, 638-675.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FICCB8C891E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

Wymeersch, E. O. (2007). The Corporate Governance 'Codes of Conduct' between State and Private Law. SSRN Electronic Journal. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1032596>