

Corporate Governance Seminars 1-9

[View Online](#)

1

Moore M. Corporate Governance: Law, Regulation and Theory. 1st ed. 2017. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2017.

2

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press 2012. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

3

Mallin CA. Corporate governance. Sixth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2019. <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780192538734>

4

Baker HK, Anderson R, editors. Corporate Governance: A Synthesis of Theory, Research, and Practice. Hoboken, N.J.: : John Wiley & Sons 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=589109>

5

Talbot L. Progressive Corporate Governance for the 21st Century. London: : Routledge 2013.

6

Bratton WW. The New Economic Theory of the Firm: Critical Perspectives from History. 1989. http://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1832&context=faculty_scholarship

7

Dignam AJ, Galanis M. The Globalization of Corporate Governance. Farnham, Surrey, England: : Ashgate 2009.

8

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press 2012. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

9

Davies PL, Gower LCB, Worthington S. Gower's principles of modern company law. Tenth edition. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2016.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780414056299>

10

Kraakman RH. The anatomy of corporate law: a comparative and functional approach. Third edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2017.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198739630.001.0001>

11

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

12

UKLA, Listing Rules. <https://www.handbook.fca.org.uk/handbook/LR.pdf>

13

Automatic Self-Cleansing Filter Syndicate Co Ltd v Cuninghame [1906] 2 ch 35.

14

Bushell v Faith [1970] A C 1099.

15

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press 2012. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

16

MacNeil I, Li X. Comply or Explain: Market Discipline and Non-Compliance With the Combined Code. Corporate Governance: An International Review 2006;**14**:486–97. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8683.2006.00524.x

17

Developments in Corporate Governance and Stewardship 2016. [https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/Developments-in-Corporate-Governance-and-Stewa-\(2\).pdf](https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/Developments-in-Corporate-Governance-and-Stewa-(2).pdf)

18

Moore M. Corporate Governance: Law, Regulation and Theory. 1st ed. 2017. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2017.

19

Esser I-M. Corporate Governance: Soft Law Regulation and Disclosure—The Cases of the United Kingdom and South Africa. In: du Plessis JJ, Low CK, eds. Corporate Governance Codes for the 21st Century. Cham: : Springer International Publishing 2017. 233–60. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-51868-8_11

20

Keay A. Comply or Explain in Corporate Governance Codes: in Need of Greater Regulatory

Oversight? Legal Studies 2014;**34**:279–304. doi:10.1111/lest.12014

21

Kingford Smith D. Governing the Corporation: The role of Soft Regulation. 2010;**35**
.http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/LuceneSearch?typea
=title&termsa=Governing+the+corporation+%3A+the+role+of+%27soft+regulation
%27&operator=AND&searchtype=field&collection=journals§ions
=any&submit=Search

22

Keay A. Assessing accountability of boards under the UK Corporate Governance Code.
Journal of Business Law;**7**
.http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/docume
nt?sp=ukuniglasg-1&docguid=I1A05C82055BB11E5A81AE7D9787A2D81

23

Wymeersch EO. The Corporate Governance 'Codes of Conduct' between State and Private
Law. SSRN Electronic Journal Published Online First: 2007. doi:10.2139/ssrn.1032596

24

UK Corporate Governance Code.
[https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Codes-Standards/Corporate-governance/UK-Corporate-Go
vernance-Code.aspx](https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Codes-Standards/Corporate-governance/UK-Corporate-Governance-Code.aspx)

25

What Constitutes an Explanation Under 'comply or explain?'
[https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/What-constitutes-an-e
xplanation-under-comply-or-ex.pdf](https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/What-constitutes-an-explanation-under-comply-or-ex.pdf)

26

LR 9.8 Annual Financial Report - FCA Handbook.
<https://www.handbook.fca.org.uk/handbook/LR/9/8.html>

27

NYSE Listed Company Manual, Corporate Governance Standards.
<http://wallstreet.cch.com/LCM/>

28

Company Law Review Steering Group. Modern Company Law for a Competitive Economy: Final Report. London: : Department of Trade and Industry 2001.

29

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press 2012. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

30

Davies PL, Gower LCB, Worthington S. Gower's principles of modern company law. Tenth edition. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2016.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780414056299>

31

Corporate Governance Green Paper (2016) and the Response of the Government. 2016.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/corporate-governance-reform>

32

Corporate Governance - Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy Committee - House of Commons.
<https://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201617/cmselect/cmbeis/702/70202.htm>

33

Compliance and Reporting Under the EU Non-Financial Reporting Directive.
http://www.purposeofcorporation.org/documents/project_outputs/briefing-eu-non-financial-reporting-directive.pdf

34

Deryn Fisher. The Enlightened Shareholder - Leaving Stakeholders in the Dark: Will Section 172(1) of the Companies Act 2006 Make Directors Consider the Impact of Their Decisions on third parties? International Company and Commercial Law Review
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?sp=ukuniglasg-1&docguid=I524FDE30BAF611DD8F93EE5287864437>

35

Keay, A. Tackling the Issue of the Corporate Objective: An Analysis of the United Kingdom's Enlightened Shareholder Value Approach. Sydney Law Review 2007;**29**
 :577-612.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/sydney29&id=577>

36

The Wrongful Trading Remedy in UK Law: Classification, Application and Practical Significance. ;**2004**
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/ecomflr1&i=71>

37

Keay A. The Duty of Directors to Take Account of Creditors' Interests: Has it any Role to Play? Published Online First:
 2002.<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FIC3930461E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

38

Sealy LS. Bona Fides and Proper Purposes in Corporate Decision. Law Journal Library Monash University Law Review - HeinOnline.org 1989;**15**
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/monash15&id=279&collection=journals&index=journals/monash>

39

Blair MM, Stout LA. A Team Production Theory of Corporate Law. Virginia Law Review 1999;
85

.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals/valr&collection=journals>

40

Keay A. Ascertaining The Corporate Objective: An Entity Maximisation and Sustainability Model. *Modern Law Review* 2008;**71**:663–98. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2008.00711.x

41

Stout L. Bad and Not-so-Bad Arguments for Shareholder Primacy. *Law Journal Library Search* 2002;**75**:1189–210.http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/scal75&div=37&start_page=1189&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

42

Lombard S, Joubert T. The Legislative Response to the Shareholders V Stakeholders Debate: A Comparative Overview. *Journal of Corporate Law Studies* 2014;**14**:211–40. doi:10.5235/14735970.14.1.211

43

Lynch E. Section 172: A Ground-Breaking Reform of Director's Duties, or the Emperor's New Clothes? *Company Lawyer* 2012;**33**.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI40B86F40B5E611E1B7C6E5F4CE7945D1%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

44

Keay A. Moving Towards Stakeholderism? Constituency Statutes, Enlightened Shareholder Value, and More: Much Ado about Little? *European Business Law Review*; **22**:1–49.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=EULR2011001>

45

Keay A. Shareholder Primacy in Corporate Law: Can it Survive? Should it Survive? European Company and Financial Law Review 2010;7. doi:10.1515/ecfr.2010.369

46

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

47

Regentcrest v Cohen [2001] 2 BCLC 80.

48

Peskin v Anderson [2001] 1 BCLC 372.

49

Hogg v Cramphorn [1967] Ch 254.

50

Howard Smith Ltd v Ampol Petroleum Ltd [1974] AC 821.

51

Criterion Properties plc v Stratford UK Properties EWHC per Hart J.

52

CA [2002] EWCA Civ 1883.

53

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press 2012. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

54

Parkinson JE. Corporate Power and Responsibility: Issues in the Theory of Company Law. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1993.

55

Riley CA. The Company Director's Duty of Care and Skill: The Case for an Onerous but Subjective Standard. Modern Law Review 1999;**62**:697–724. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00232

56

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

57

Insolvency Act 1986.

58

Re D'Jan of London Ltd [1994] 1 BCLC 561.

59

Smith v Van Gorkom 488 A 2d 858 (Del 1985).

60

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press 2012. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

61

John Lowry. The Duty of Loyalty of Company Directors: Bridging the Accountability Gap through Efficient Disclosure. The Cambridge Law Journal 2009;**68**

:607-22.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/40388837>

62

Sarah Worthington. Reforming Directors' Duties. *The Modern Law Review* 2001;**64**:439-58.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1097009>

63

Flannigan R. The Strict Character of Fiduciary Liability. *Law Journal Library New Zealand Law Review* Published Online First: 2006.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/newzIndlr2006&id=221&collection=journals&index=journals/newzIndlr>

64

Kershaw D. Lost in Translation: Corporate Opportunities in Comparative Perspective. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* 2005;**25**:603-27.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3600611>

65

Aberdeen Rail Co v Blaikie Brothers [1854] Macq HL 461.

66

Regal (Hastings) Ltd v Gulliver [1967] 2 AC 134. 1967.

67

Bhullar v Bhullar [2003] 2 BCLC 241.

68

Broz and RFB Cellular Inc v Cellular Information Systems 673 A 2d 148 (Del. 1996).

69

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

70

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press 2012. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

71

Directors' remuneration reforms FAQ.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/directors-remuneration-reforms-frequently-asked-questions>

72

Corporate Governance Green Paper (2016) and the Response of the Government. 2016. <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/corporate-governance-reform>

73

KPMG's Guide to Directors' Remuneration 2015. 2016. <https://home.kpmg.com/uk/en/home/insights/2015/09/kpmgs-guide-to-directors-remuneration-2015.html>

74

Ferrarini G. Executive Remuneration in Crisis: A Critical Assessment of Reforms in Europe. Journal of Corporate Law Studies 2010;**10**
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www-tandfonline-com/doi/abs/10.1080/14735970.2010.11419821>

75

Bebchuk LA, Fried JM, Walker DL. Managerial Power and Rent Extraction in the Design of Executive Compensation. The University of Chicago Law Review 2002;**69**.
doi:10.2307/1600632

76

McCahery JA. Managerial Remuneration: The Indirect Pay-for-Performance Relation. *Journal of Corporate Law Studies*; **1**:317-32. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/corplstd1&id=319>

77

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

78

George Newman & Co. [1895] 1 Ch. 674.

79

Re Halt Garage (1964) Ltd. 1982.

80

Guinness Plc. v Saunders [1990] 2 W.L.R. 324.

81

Kershaw D. *Company Law in Context: texts and materials*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press 2012. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

82

Wedderburn KW. Shareholders' Rights and the Rule in Foss v. Harbottle. *The Cambridge Law Journal* 1957; **15**. doi:10.1017/S0008197300082064

83

Cheffins BR. *Company Law: Theory, Structure, and Operation*. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1997.

84

Worthington S. Corporate Governance: Remedying and Ratifying Directors' Breaches'. Law Quarterly Review 2000;:638-75. <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FICCB8C891E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

85

Coffee JC, Schwartz DE. The Survival of the Derivative Suit: An Evaluation and a Proposal for Legislative Reform. Columbia Law Review 1981;**81**. doi:10.2307/1122149

86

Foss v Harbottle (1843) 2 Hare 462.

87

Edwards v Halliwell [1950] 2 All E R 1064. 1950.

88

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

89

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press 2012. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

90

Northwest Transportation Company Ltd v Beatty (1887). 1887.

91

Cook v Deeks [1916] 1 AC 554. 1916.

92

Regal (Hastings) v Gulliver [1942] 1 All ER 378. 1942.

93

Allen v Gold Reefs of West Africa Ltd [1900-03] All ER Rep 746.

94

Shuttleworth v Cox Bros Ltd [1926] All ER Rep 498.

95

Dafen Tinplate Company Ltd v Llanelly Steel Company [1920] 2 Ch 124. 1920.

96

Citco Banking Corporation NV v Pusser's Ltd [2007] UKPC 13.

97

Ebrahimi v Westbourne Galleries Ltd [1971] AC 360.

98

Citybranch Group Ltd [2004] 4 All E R 735, CA. 2004.

99

Saul D Harrison [1995] 1 BCLC 14, CA.

100

O'Neill v Phillips [1999] 1 WLR 1092, HL. Published Online First:
1999.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5F3S-9PV1-F00Y-N08M&csi=417192&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

101

McGuinness v Bremner [1988] BCLC 673.

102

Anderson v Hogg 2002 SLT 354, Inner House.

103

Re Macro Ipswich Ltd [1994] 2 BCLC 354.

104

Bhullar v Bhullar [2003] 2 BCLC 241.

105

Companies Act 2006. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

106

Section 122, Insolvency Act 1986.
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1986/45/section/122>