

Corporate Governance Seminars 1-9

[View Online](#)

1.

Moore M. Corporate Governance: Law, Regulation and Theory. 1st ed. 2017. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2017.

2.

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

3.

Mallin CA. Corporate governance [Internet]. Sixth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780192538734>

4.

Baker HK, Anderson R, editors. Corporate Governance: A Synthesis of Theory, Research, and Practice [Internet]. Hoboken, N.J.: John Wiley & Sons; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=589109>

5.

Talbot L. Progressive Corporate Governance for the 21st Century. London: Routledge; 2013.

6.

Bratton WW. The New Economic Theory of the Firm: Critical Perspectives from History [Internet]. 1989. Available from: http://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1832&context=faculty_scholarship

7.

Dignam AJ, Galanis M. The Globalization of Corporate Governance. Farnham, Surrey, England: Ashgate; 2009.

8.

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

9.

Davies PL, Gower LCB, Worthington S. Gower's principles of modern company law [Internet]. Tenth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2016. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780414056299>

10.

Kraakman RH. The anatomy of corporate law: a comparative and functional approach [Internet]. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198739630.001.0001>

11.

Companies Act 2006 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

12.

UKLA, Listing Rules [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.handbook.fca.org.uk/handbook/LR.pdf>

13.

Automatic Self-Cleansing Filter Syndicate Co Ltd v Cuninghame [1906] 2 ch 35.

14.

Bushell v Faith [1970] A C 1099.

15.

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

16.

MacNeil I, Li X. Comply or Explain: Market Discipline and Non-Compliance With the Combined Code. Corporate Governance: An International Review. 2006 Sep;14(5):486–497.

17.

Developments in Corporate Governance and Stewardship 2016 [Internet]. Available from: [https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/Developments-in-Corporate-Governance-and-Stewa-\(2\).pdf](https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/Developments-in-Corporate-Governance-and-Stewa-(2).pdf)

18.

Moore M. Corporate Governance: Law, Regulation and Theory. 1st ed. 2017. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2017.

19.

Esser IM. Corporate Governance: Soft Law Regulation and Disclosure—The Cases of the United Kingdom and South Africa. In: du Plessis JJ, Low CK, editors. Corporate Governance Codes for the 21st Century [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2017. p. 233–260. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-51868-8_11

20.

Keay A. Comply or Explain in Corporate Governance Codes: in Need of Greater Regulatory Oversight? *Legal Studies*. 2014 Jun;34(2):279–304.

21.

Kingford Smith D. Governing the Corporation: The role of Soft Regulation. 2010;35(1).

Available from:

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/LuceneSearch?type=title&terms=Governing+the+corporation+%3A+the+role+of+%27soft+regulation%27&operator=AND&searchtype=field&collection=journals§ions=any&submit=Search>

22.

Keay A. Assessing accountability of boards under the UK Corporate Governance Code.

Journal of Business Law [Internet]. 7. Available from:

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?sp=ukuniglasg-1&docguid=I1A05C82055BB11E5A81AE7D9787A2D81>

23.

Wymeersch EO. The Corporate Governance 'Codes of Conduct' between State and Private Law. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2007;

24.

UK Corporate Governance Code [Internet]. Available from:

<https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Codes-Standards/Corporate-governance/UK-Corporate-Governance-Code.aspx>

25.

What Constitutes an Explanation Under 'comply or explain?' [Internet]. Available from:

<https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/What-constitutes-an-explanation-under-comply-or-ex.pdf>

26.

LR 9.8 Annual Financial Report - FCA Handbook [Internet]. Available from:

<https://www.handbook.fca.org.uk/handbook/LR/9/8.html>

27.

NYSE Listed Company Manual, Corporate Governance Standards [Internet]. Available from: <http://wallstreet.cch.com/LCM/>

28.

Company Law Review Steering Group. Modern Company Law for a Competitive Economy: Final Report. London: Department of Trade and Industry; 2001.

29.

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

30.

Davies PL, Gower LCB, Worthington S. Gower's principles of modern company law [Internet]. Tenth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2016. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780414056299>

31.

Corporate Governance Green Paper (2016) and the Response of the Government [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/corporate-governance-reform>

32.

Corporate Governance - Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy Committee - House of Commons [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201617/cmselect/cmbeis/702/70202.htm>

33.

Compliance and Reporting Under the EU Non-Financial Reporting Directive [Internet]. Available from: http://www.purposeofcorporation.org/documents/project_outputs/briefing-eu-non-financial-

reporting-directive.pdf

34.

Deryn Fisher. The Enlightened Shareholder - Leaving Stakeholders in the Dark: Will Section 172(1) of the Companies Act 2006 Make Directors Consider the Impact of Their Decisions on third parties? International Company and Commercial Law Review [Internet]. Available from:

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?sp=ukuniglasg-1&docguid=I524FDE30BAF611DD8F93EE5287864437>

35.

Keay, A. Tackling the Issue of the Corporate Objective: An Analysis of the United Kingdom's Enlightened Shareholder Value Approach. Sydney Law Review [Internet].

2007;29(4):577-612. Available from:

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/sydney29&id=577>

36.

The Wrongful Trading Remedy in UK Law: Classification, Application and Practical Significance. 2004. Available from:

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/ecomflr1&i=71>

37.

Keay A. The Duty of Directors to Take Account of Creditors' Interests: Has it any Role to Play? 2002; Available from:

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FIC3930461E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

38.

Sealy LS. Bona Fides and Proper Purposes in Corporate Decision. Law Journal Library Monash University Law Review - HeinOnline.org [Internet]. 1989;15. Available from:

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/monash15&id=279&collection=journals&index=journals/monash>

39.

Blair MM, Stout LA. A Team Production Theory of Corporate Law. *Virginia Law Review* [Internet]. 1999;85. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals/valr&collection=journals>

40.

Keay A. Ascertaining The Corporate Objective: An Entity Maximisation and Sustainability Model. *Modern Law Review*. 2008 Sep;71(5):663–698.

41.

Stout L. Bad and Not-so-Bad Arguments for Shareholder Primacy. *Law Journal Library Search* [Internet]. 2002;75(5):1189–1210. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/scal75&div=37&start_page=1189&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

42.

Lombard S, Joubert T. The Legislative Response to the Shareholders V Stakeholders Debate: A Comparative Overview. *Journal of Corporate Law Studies*. 2014 Apr 10;14(1):211–240.

43.

Lynch E. Section 172: A Ground-Breaking Reform of Director's Duties, or the Emperor's New Clothes? *Company Lawyer* [Internet]. 2012;33(7). Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI40B86F40B5E611E1B7C6E5F4CE7945D1%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

44.

Keay A. Moving Towards Stakeholderism? Constituency Statutes, Enlightened Shareholder Value, and More: Much Ado about Little? *European Business Law Review* [Internet]. Kluwer Law International; 22(1):1–49. Available from:

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=EULR2011001>

45.

Keay A. Shareholder Primacy in Corporate Law: Can it Survive? Should it Survive? *European Company and Financial Law Review*. 2010 Jan;7(3).

46.

Companies Act 2006 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

47.

Regentcrest v Cohen [2001] 2 BCLC 80. *Regentcrest Plc (In Liquidation) v Jeffrey Saul Cohen, Donald Barrie Richardson*.

48.

Peskin v Anderson [2001] 1 BCLC 372. *Bruce Peskin, Kevin Milner v John Anderson & Ors*.

49.

Hogg v Cramphorn [1967] Ch 254. ICLR: Chancery Division.

50.

Howard Smith Ltd v Ampol Petroleum Ltd [1974] AC 821. ICLR: Appeal Cases.

51.

Criterion Properties plc v Stratford UK Properties EWHC per Hart J.

52.

CA [2002] EWCA Civ 1883.

53.

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

54.

Parkinson JE. Corporate Power and Responsibility: Issues in the Theory of Company Law. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1993.

55.

Riley CA. The Company Director's Duty of Care and Skill: The Case for an Onerous but Subjective Standard. Modern Law Review. 1999;62(5):697–724.

56.

Companies Act 2006 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

57.

Insolvency Act 1986.

58.

Re D'Jan of London Ltd [1994] 1 BCLC 561.

59.

Smith v Van Gorkom 488 A 2d 858 (Del 1985).

60.

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

61.

John Lowry. The Duty of Loyalty of Company Directors: Bridging the Accountability Gap through Efficient Disclosure. The Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2009;68(3):607–622. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/40388837>

62.

Sarah Worthington. Reforming Directors' Duties. The Modern Law Review [Internet]. Wiley; 2001;64(3):439–458. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1097009>

63.

Flannigan R. The Strict Character of Fiduciary Liability. Law Journal Library New Zealand Law Review [Internet]. 2006;(2). Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/newzIndlr2006&id=221&collection=journals&index=journals/newzIndlr>

64.

Kershaw D. Lost in Translation: Corporate Opportunities in Comparative Perspective. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2005;25(4):603–627. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3600611>

65.

Aberdeen Rail Co v Blaikie Brothers [1854] Macq HL 461.

66.

Regal (Hastings) Ltd v Gulliver [1967] 2 AC 134. ICLR: Appeal Cases. 1967.

67.

Bhullar v Bhullar [2003] 2 BCLC 241.

68.

Broz and RFB Cellular Inc v Cellular Information Systems 673 A 2d 148 (Del. 1996).

69.

Companies Act 2006 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

70.

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

71.

Directors' remuneration reforms FAQ [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/directors-remuneration-reforms-frequently-asked-questions>

72.

Corporate Governance Green Paper (2016) and the Response of the Government [Internet]. 2016. Available from:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/corporate-governance-reform>

73.

KPMG's Guide to Directors' Remuneration 2015 [Internet]. 2016. Available from:
<https://home.kpmg.com/uk/en/home/insights/2015/09/kpmgs-guide-to-directors-remuneration-2015.html>

74.

Ferrarini G. Executive Remuneration in Crisis: A Critical Assessment of Reforms in Europe. *Journal of Corporate Law Studies* [Internet]. Routledge; 2010;10(1). Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www-tandfonline-com/doi/abs/10.1080/14735970.2010.11419821>

75.

Bebchuk LA, Fried JM, Walker DL. Managerial Power and Rent Extraction in the Design of Executive Compensation. *The University of Chicago Law Review*. 2002;69(3).

76.

McCahery JA. Managerial Remuneration: The Indirect Pay-for-Performance Relation. *Journal of Corporate Law Studies* [Internet]. 1(part 2):317–332. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/corplstd1&id=319>

77.

Companies Act 2006 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

78.

George Newman & Co. [1895] 1 Ch. 674.

79.

Re Halt Garage (1964) Ltd. 1982.

80.

Guinness Plc. v Saunders [1990] 2 W.L.R. 324.

81.

Kershaw D. *Company Law in Context: texts and materials* [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

82.

Wedderburn KW. Shareholders' Rights and the Rule in Foss v. Harbottle. The Cambridge Law Journal. 1957;15(02).

83.

Cheffins BR. Company Law: Theory, Structure, and Operation. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1997.

84.

Worthington S. Corporate Governance: Remedying and Ratifying Directors' Breaches'. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 2000;638–675. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FICCB8C891E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>

85.

Coffee JC, Schwartz DE. The Survival of the Derivative Suit: An Evaluation and a Proposal for Legislative Reform. Columbia Law Review. 1981;81(2).

86.

Foss v Harbottle (1843) 2 Hare 462. *189 Foss v Harbottle.

87.

Edwards v Halliwell [1950] 2 All E R 1064. All England Law Reports. 1950.

88.

Companies Act 2006 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

89.

Kershaw D. Company Law in Context: texts and materials [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/125938>

90.

Northwest Transportation Company Ltd v Beatty (1887). 1887.

91.

Cook v Deeks [1916] 1 AC 554. 1916.

92.

Regal (Hastings) v Gulliver [1942] 1 All ER 378. 1942.

93.

Allen v Gold Reefs of West Africa Ltd [1900-03] All ER Rep 746.

94.

Shuttleworth v Cox Bros Ltd [1926] All ER Rep 498.

95.

Dafen Tinplate Company Ltd v Llanelly Steel Company [1920] 2 Ch 124. 1920.

96.

Citco Banking Corporation NV v Pusser's Ltd [2007] UKPC 13.

97.

Ebrahimi v Westbourne Galleries Ltd [1971] AC 360.

98.

Citybranch Group Ltd [2004] 4 All E R 735, CA. 2004.

99.

Saul D Harrison [1995] 1 BCLC 14, CA.

100.

O'Neill v Phillips [1999] 1 WLR 1092, HL. 1999; Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5F3S-9PV1-F00Y-N08M&csi=417192&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

101.

McGuinness v Bremner [1988] BCLC 673.

102.

Anderson v Hogg 2002 SLT 354, Inner House.

103.

Re Macro Ipswich Ltd [1994] 2 BCLC 354.

104.

Bhullar v Bhullar [2003] 2 BCLC 241.

105.

Companies Act 2006 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/contents>

106.

Section 122, Insolvency Act 1986 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1986/45/section/122>