

Corporate Governance Seminars 1-9

[View Online](#)

1.

Moore, M.: Corporate Governance: Law, Regulation and Theory. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2017).

2.

Kershaw, D.: Company Law in Context: texts and materials. Oxford University Press (2012).

3.

Mallin, C.A.: Corporate governance. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2019).

4.

Baker, H.K., Anderson, R. eds: Corporate Governance: A Synthesis of Theory, Research, and Practice. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, N.J. (2010).

5.

Talbot, L.: Progressive Corporate Governance for the 21st Century. Routledge, London (2013).

6.

Bratton, W.W.: The New Economic Theory of the Firm: Critical Perspectives from History, http://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1832&context=faculty_scholarship, (1989).

7.

Dignam, A.J., Galanis, M.: The Globalization of Corporate Governance. Ashgate, Farnham, Surrey, England (2009).

8.

Kershaw, D.: Company Law in Context: texts and materials. Oxford University Press (2012).

9.

Davies, P.L., Gower, L.C.B., Worthington, S.: Gower's principles of modern company law. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2016).

10.

Kraakman, R.H.: The anatomy of corporate law: a comparative and functional approach. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

11.

Companies Act 2006.

12.

UKLA, Listing Rules, <https://www.handbook.fca.org.uk/handbook/LR.pdf>.

13.

Automatic Self-Cleansing Filter Syndicate Co Ltd v Cuninghame [1906] 2 ch 35.

14.

Bushell v Faith [1970] A C 1099.

15.

Kershaw, D.: *Company Law in Context: texts and materials*. Oxford University Press (2012).

16.

MacNeil, I., Li, X.: *Comply or Explain: Market Discipline and Non-Compliance With the Combined Code*. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 14, 486–497 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2006.00524.x>.

17.

Developments in Corporate Governance and Stewardship 2016, [https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/Developments-in-Corporate-Governance-and-Stewa-\(2\).pdf](https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/Developments-in-Corporate-Governance-and-Stewa-(2).pdf).

18.

Moore, M.: *Corporate Governance: Law, Regulation and Theory*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2017).

19.

Esser, I.-M.: *Corporate Governance: Soft Law Regulation and Disclosure—The Cases of the United Kingdom and South Africa*. In: du Plessis, J.J. and Low, C.K. (eds.) *Corporate Governance Codes for the 21st Century*. pp. 233–260. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2017). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-51868-8_11.

20.

Keay, A.: *Comply or Explain in Corporate Governance Codes: in Need of Greater Regulatory Oversight?* *Legal Studies*. 34, 279–304 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/lest.12014>.

21.

Kingford Smith, D.: *Governing the Corporation: The role of Soft Regulation*. 35, (2010).

22.

Keay, A.: Assessing accountability of boards under the UK Corporate Governance Code. *Journal of Business Law*. 7,.

23.

Wymeersch, E.O.: The Corporate Governance 'Codes of Conduct' between State and Private Law. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. (2007). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1032596>.

24.

UK Corporate Governance Code,
<https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Codes-Standards/Corporate-governance/UK-Corporate-Governance-Code.aspx>.

25.

What Constitutes an Explanation Under 'comply or explain?',
<https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/What-constitutes-an-explanation-under-comply-or-ex.pdf>.

26.

LR 9.8 Annual Financial Report - FCA Handbook,
<https://www.handbook.fca.org.uk/handbook/LR/9/8.html>.

27.

NYSE Listed Company Manual, Corporate Governance Standards,
<http://wallstreet.cch.com/LCM/>.

28.

Company Law Review Steering Group: Modern Company Law for a Competitive Economy: Final Report. Department of Trade and Industry, London (2001).

29.

Kershaw, D.: Company Law in Context: texts and materials. Oxford University Press (2012).

30.

Davies, P.L., Gower, L.C.B., Worthington, S.: Gower's principles of modern company law. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2016).

31.

Corporate Governance Green Paper (2016) and the Response of the Government, <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/corporate-governance-reform>, (2016).

32.

Corporate Governance - Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy Committee - House of Commons, <https://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201617/cmselect/cmbeis/702/70202.htm>.

33.

Compliance and Reporting Under the EU Non-Financial Reporting Directive, http://www.purposeofcorporation.org/documents/project_outputs/briefing-eu-non-financial-reporting-directive.pdf.

34.

Deryn Fisher: The Enlightened Shareholder - Leaving Stakeholders in the Dark: Will Section 172(1) of the Companies Act 2006 Make Directors Consider the Impact of Their Decisions on third parties? International Company and Commercial Law Review.

35.

Keay, A.: Tackling the Issue of the Corporate Objective: An Analysis of the United Kingdom's Enlightened Shareholder Value Approach. Sydney Law Review. 29, 577-612 (2007).

36.

The Wrongful Trading Remedy in UK Law: Classification, Application and Practical Significance. 2004,.

37.

Keay, A.: The Duty of Directors to Take Account of Creditors' Interests: Has it any Role to Play? (2002).

38.

Sealy, L.S.: Bona Fides and Proper Purposes in Corporate Decision. Law Journal Library Monash University Law Review - HeinOnline.org. 15, (1989).

39.

Blair, M.M., Stout, L.A.: A Team Production Theory of Corporate Law. Virginia Law Review. 85, (1999).

40.

Keay, A.: Ascertaining The Corporate Objective: An Entity Maximisation and Sustainability Model. Modern Law Review. 71, 663-698 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2008.00711.x>.

41.

Stout, L.: Bad and Not-so-Bad Arguments for Shareholder Primacy. Law Journal Library Search. 75, 1189-1210 (2002).

42.

Lombard, S., Joubert, T.: The Legislative Response to the Shareholders V Stakeholders Debate: A Comparative Overview. Journal of Corporate Law Studies. 14, 211-240 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.5235/14735970.14.1.211>.

43.

Lynch, E.: Section 172: A Ground-Breaking Reform of Director's Duties, or the Emperor's New Clothes? *Company Lawyer*. 33, (2012).

44.

Keay, A.: Moving Towards Stakeholderism? Constituency Statutes, Enlightened Shareholder Value, and More: Much Ado about Little? *European Business Law Review*. 22, 1-49.

45.

Keay, A.: Shareholder Primacy in Corporate Law: Can it Survive? Should it Survive? *European Company and Financial Law Review*. 7, (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1515/ecfr.2010.369>.

46.

Companies Act 2006.

47.

Regentcrest v Cohen [2001] 2 BCLC 80.

48.

Peskin v Anderson [2001] 1 BCLC 372.

49.

Hogg v Cramphorn [1967] Ch 254.

50.

Howard Smith Ltd v Ampol Petroleum Ltd [1974] AC 821.

51.

Criterion Properties plc v Stratford UK Properties EWHC per Hart J.

52.

CA [2002] EWCA Civ 1883.

53.

Kershaw, D.: Company Law in Context: texts and materials. Oxford University Press (2012).

54.

Parkinson, J.E.: Corporate Power and Responsibility: Issues in the Theory of Company Law. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1993).

55.

Riley, C.A.: The Company Director's Duty of Care and Skill: The Case for an Onerous but Subjective Standard. Modern Law Review. 62, 697-724 (1999).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.00232>.

56.

Companies Act 2006.

57.

Insolvency Act 1986.

58.

Re D'Jan of London Ltd [1994] 1 BCLC 561.

59.

Smith v Van Gorkom 488 A 2d 858 (Del 1985).

60.

Kershaw, D.: Company Law in Context: texts and materials. Oxford University Press (2012).

61.

John Lowry: The Duty of Loyalty of Company Directors: Bridging the Accountability Gap through Efficient Disclosure. The Cambridge Law Journal. 68, 607–622 (2009).

62.

Sarah Worthington: Reforming Directors' Duties. The Modern Law Review. 64, 439–458 (2001).

63.

Flannigan, R.: The Strict Character of Fiduciary Liability. Law Journal Library New Zealand Law Review. (2006).

64.

Kershaw, D.: Lost in Translation: Corporate Opportunities in Comparative Perspective. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 25, 603–627 (2005).

65.

Aberdeen Rail Co v Blaikie Brothers [1854] Macq HL 461.

66.

Regal (Hastings) Ltd v Gulliver [1967] 2 AC 134. (1967).

67.

Bhullar v Bhullar [2003] 2 BCLC 241.

68.

Broz and RFB Cellular Inc v Cellular Information Systems 673 A 2d 148 (Del. 1996).

69.

Companies Act 2006.

70.

Kershaw, D.: Company Law in Context: texts and materials. Oxford University Press (2012).

71.

Directors' remuneration reforms FAQ,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/directors-remuneration-reforms-frequently-asked-questions>.

72.

Corporate Governance Green Paper (2016) and the Response of the Government,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/corporate-governance-reform>, (2016).

73.

KPMG's Guide to Directors' Remuneration 2015,
<https://home.kpmg.com/uk/en/home/insights/2015/09/kpmgs-guide-to-directors-remuneration-2015.html>.

74.

Ferrarini, G.: Executive Remuneration in Crisis: A Critical Assessment of Reforms in Europe. Journal of Corporate Law Studies. 10, (2010).

75.

Bebchuk, L.A., Fried, J.M., Walker, D.I.: Managerial Power and Rent Extraction in the Design of Executive Compensation. *The University of Chicago Law Review*. 69, (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1600632>.

76.

McCahery, J.A.: Managerial Remuneration: The Indirect Pay-for-Performance Relation. *Journal of Corporate Law Studies*. 1, 317–332.

77.

Companies Act 2006.

78.

George Newman & Co. [1895] 1 Ch. 674.

79.

Re Halt Garage (1964) Ltd. (1982).

80.

Guinness Plc. v Saunders [1990] 2 W.L.R. 324.

81.

Kershaw, D.: *Company Law in Context: texts and materials*. Oxford University Press (2012).

82.

Wedderburn, K.W.: Shareholders' Rights and the Rule in *Foss v. Harbottle*. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 15, (1957). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197300082064>.

83.

Cheffins, B.R.: Company Law: Theory, Structure, and Operation. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1997).

84.

Worthington, S.: Corporate Governance: Remedying and Ratifying Directors' Breaches'. Law Quarterly Review. 638–675 (2000).

85.

Coffee, J.C., Schwartz, D.E.: The Survival of the Derivative Suit: An Evaluation and a Proposal for Legislative Reform. Columbia Law Review. 81, (1981).
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1122149>.

86.

Foss v Harbottle (1843) 2 Hare 462.

87.

Edwards v Halliwell [1950] 2 All E R 1064. (1950).

88.

Companies Act 2006.

89.

Kershaw, D.: Company Law in Context: texts and materials. Oxford University Press (2012).

90.

Northwest Transportation Company Ltd v Beatty (1887). (1887).

91.

Cook v Deeks [1916] 1 AC 554. (1916).

92.

Regal (Hastings) v Gulliver [1942] 1 All ER 378. (1942).

93.

Allen v Gold Reefs of West Africa Ltd [1900-03] All ER Rep 746.

94.

Shuttleworth v Cox Bros Ltd [1926] All ER Rep 498.

95.

Dafen Tinsplate Company Ltd v Llanelly Steel Company [1920] 2 Ch 124. (1920).

96.

Citco Banking Corporation NV v Pusser's Ltd [2007] UKPC 13.

97.

Ebrahimi v Westbourne Galleries Ltd [1971] AC 360.

98.

Citybranch Group Ltd [2004] 4 All E R 735, CA. (2004).

99.

Saul D Harrison [1995] 1 BCLC 14, CA.

100.

O'Neill v Phillips [1999] 1 WLR 1092, HL. (1999).

101.

McGuinness v Bremner [1988] BCLC 673.

102.

Anderson v Hogg 2002 SLT 354, Inner House.

103.

Re Macro Ipswich Ltd [1994] 2 BCLC 354.

104.

Bhullar v Bhullar [2003] 2 BCLC 241.

105.

Companies Act 2006.

106.

Section 122, Insolvency Act 1986,
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1986/45/section/122>.