

Teaching English for Academic Purposes (Online Course)

A fully online masters level course
LANGCTR5020

View Online



[1]

Academic Word List | School of Linguistics and Applied Language Studies | Victoria
University of Wellington: <http://www.victoria.ac.nz/lals/resources/academicwordlist/>.

[2]

Alexander, O. et al. 2008. EAP essentials: a teacher's guide to principles and practice.
Garnet Publishing Ltd.

[3]

Anderson, K. et al. 2004. Study speaking: a course in spoken English for academic
purposes. Cambridge University Press.

[4]

Astorga, M.C. 2007. Teaching Academic Writing in the EFL Context: Redesigning Pedagogy.
Pedagogies: An International Journal. 2, 4 (Nov. 2007), 251-267.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/15544800701670089>.

[5]

Atkinson, D. 1997. A Critical Approach to Critical Thinking in TESOL. *TESOL Quarterly*. 31, 1
(Spring 1997). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3587975>.

[6]

A.W., B. Teaching in a Digital Age.

[7]

Badger, R. and White, G. 2000. A process genre approach to teaching writing. *ELT Journal*. 54, 2 (Apr. 2000), 153–160. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.2.153>.

[8]

Banerjee, J. and Wall, D. 2006. Assessing and reporting performances on pre-sessional EAP courses: Developing a final assessment checklist and investigating its validity. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 5, 1 (Jan. 2006), 50–69. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.11.003>.

[9]

Basturkmen, H. 2010. *Developing courses in English for specific purposes*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[10]

Basturkmen, H. 2010. *Developing courses in English for specific purposes*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[11]

Becher, T. 1989. *Academic tribes and territories: intellectual enquiry and the cultures of disciplines*. Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press.

[12]

Becher, T. 1994. The significance of disciplinary differences. *Studies in Higher Education*. 19, 2 (Jan. 1994), 151–161. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079412331382007>.

[13]

Becher, T. 1981. Towards a definition of disciplinary cultures. *Studies in Higher Education*.

6, 2 (Jan. 1981), 109–122. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075078112331379362>.

[14]

Benesch, S. 1996. Needs Analysis and Curriculum Development in EAP: An Example of a Critical Approach. *TESOL Quarterly*. 30, 4 (Winter 1996). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3587931>.

[15]

Benson, P. 2007. Autonomy in language teaching and learning. *Language Teaching*. 40, 01 (Jan. 2007). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444806003958>.

[16]

Biber, D. et al. 2009. *Register, genre, and style*. Cambridge University Press.

[17]

Biber, D. et al. 2009. *Register, genre, and style*. Cambridge University Press.

[18]

Biber, D. et al. 2002. Speaking and Writing in the University: A Multidimensional Comparison. *TESOL Quarterly*. 36, 1 (Spring 2002). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3588359>.

[19]

Biber, D. et al. 2002. Speaking and Writing in the University: A Multidimensional Comparison. *TESOL Quarterly*. 36, 1 (Spring 2002). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3588359>.

[20]

Biggs, J.B. et al. 2011. *Teaching for quality learning at university: what the student does*. McGraw-Hill/Society for Research into Higher Education/Open University Press.

[21]

Biglan, A. 1973. The characteristics of subject matter in different academic areas. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 57, 3 (1973), 195–203.

[22]

Blaj-Ward, L. and SpringerLink (Online service) 2017. *Language Learning and Use in English-Medium Higher Education*. Springer International Publishing.

[23]

Bourdieu, P. et al. 1994. *Academic discourse: linguistic misunderstanding and professional power*. Polity.

[24]

Boyle, J. and Ramsay, S. 2017. *Writing for science students*. Palgrave.

[25]

Brown, J.D. and Ebooks Corporation Limited 2016. *Introducing needs analysis and English for specific purposes*. Routledge.

[26]

Brown, J.D. and Ebooks Corporation Limited 2016. *Introducing needs analysis and English for specific purposes*. Routledge.

[27]

Bruce, I. 2009. Results sections in sociology and organic chemistry articles: A genre analysis. *English for Specific Purposes*. 28, 2 (2009), 105–124.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2008.12.005>.

[28]

Bruce, I. 2005. Syllabus design for general EAP writing courses: A cognitive approach. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 4, 3 (Jul. 2005), 239–256.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.03.001>.

[29]

Charles, M. et al. eds. 2009. *Academic writing: at the interface of corpus and discourse*. Continuum.

[30]

Charles, M. et al. 2016. *Introducing English for academic purposes*. Routledge.

[31]

Charles, M. et al. 2016. *Introducing English for academic purposes*. Routledge.

[32]

Chazal, E. de and McCarter, S. 2012. *Oxford EAP: a course in English for academic purposes, Upper-intermediate/B2*. Oxford University Press.

[33]

Cheng, A. 2006. Understanding learners and learning in ESP genre-based writing instruction. *English for Specific Purposes*. 25, 1 (Jan. 2006), 76–89.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2005.07.002>.

[34]

Coffin, C. et al. 2003. *Teaching academic writing: a toolkit for higher education*. Routledge.

[35]

Coffin, C. and Donohue, J.P. 2012. Academic Literacies and systemic functional linguistics: How do they relate? *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 11, 1 (Mar. 2012), 64–75. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2011.11.004>.

[36]

Cooper, A. and Bikowski, D. 2007. Writing at the graduate level: What tasks do professors actually require? *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 6, 3 (Jul. 2007), 206–221. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2007.09.008>.

[37]

Cottrell, Stella 2013. *The study skills handbook*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[38]

Critical Thinking Movement: 3 Waves: 2011.
<http://www.criticalthinking.org/pages/critical-thinking-movement-3-waves/856>.

[39]

Davidson, B.W. 1998. Comments on Dwight Atkinson's 'A Critical Approach to Critical Thinking in TESOL': A Case for Critical Thinking in the English Language Classroom. *TESOL Quarterly*. 32, 1 (Spring 1998). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3587906>.

[40]

Davies, M. and Barnett, R. 2015. *The Palgrave handbook of critical thinking in higher education*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[41]

Ding, A. et al. 2017. *The English for academic purposes practitioner: operating on the edge of academia*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[42]

Ding, A. et al. 2017. *The English for academic purposes practitioner: operating on the edge of academia*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[43]

Duff, P.A. 2010. Language Socialization into Academic Discourse Communities. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*. 30, (Mar. 2010), 169–192.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190510000048>.

[44]

Durrant, P. 2014. Discipline and Level Specificity in University Students' Written Vocabulary. *Applied Linguistics*. 35, 3 (Jul. 2014), 328–356.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amt016>.

[45]

Ebooks Corporation Limited 2013. *Routledge encyclopedia of language teaching and learning*. Routledge.

[46]

Ellis, G. and Sinclair, B. 1989. *Learning to learn English: a course in learner training: learner's book*. Cambridge University Press.

[47]

Ferris, D. 1998. Students' Views of Academic Aural/Oral Skills: A Comparative Needs Analysis. *TESOL Quarterly*. 32, 2 (Summer 1998). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3587585>.

[48]

Flowerdew, J. and Costley, T. eds. 2017. *Discipline-specific writing: theory into practice*. Routledge.

[49]

Flowerdew, J. and Costley, T. 2016. *Discipline-specific writing: theory into practice*.

Routledge.

[50]

Flowerdew, J. and Peacock, M. eds. 2005. Research perspectives on English for academic purposes. Cambridge University Press.

[51]

Flowerdew, J. and Peacock, M. eds. 2005. Research perspectives on English for academic purposes. Cambridge University Press.

[52]

Flowerdew, L. 2000. Using a genre-based framework to teach organizational structure in academic writing. *ELT Journal*. 54, 4 (Oct. 2000), 369–378.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.4.369>.

[53]

Gardner, S. and Nesi, H. 2013. A Classification of Genre Families in University Student Writing. *Applied Linguistics*. 34, 1 (Feb. 2013), 25–52.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/ams024>.

[54]

Green, B.A. and Andrade, M.S. 2010. Guiding principles for language assessment reform: A model for collaboration. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 9, 4 (Dec. 2010), 322–334. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2010.06.003>.

[55]

Green, B.A. and Andrade, M.S. 2010. Guiding principles for language assessment reform: A model for collaboration. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 9, 4 (Dec. 2010), 322–334. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2010.06.003>.

[56]

Hall, G. 2016. The Routledge handbook of English language teaching. Routledge Handbooks Online.

[57]

Helen Basturkmen, Author Vitae 2002. Negotiating meaning in seminar-type discussion and EAP. *Negotiating meaning in seminar-type discussion and EAP*. 21, 3 (2002), 233–242.

[58]

Horowitz, D.M. 1986. What Professors Actually Require: Academic Tasks for the ESL Classroom. *TESOL Quarterly*. 20, 3 (Sep. 1986). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3586294>.

[59]

Humphreys, G. and Wyatt, M. 2014. Helping Vietnamese university learners to become more autonomous. *ELT Journal*. 68, 1 (Jan. 2014), 52–63.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/cct056>.

[60]

Hunston, S. 2002. *Corpora in applied linguistics*. Cambridge University Press.

[61]

Hunston, S. 2002. *Corpora in applied linguistics*. Cambridge University Press.

[62]

Hyland, K. 2006. *English for academic purposes*. Taylor & Francis.

[63]

Hyland, K. 2006. *English for academic purposes: An advanced resource book*. Taylor & Francis.

[64]

Hyland, K. 2003. Genre-based pedagogies: A social response to process. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 12, 1 (Feb. 2003), 17–29.
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743\(02\)00124-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743(02)00124-8).

[65]

Hyland, K. 2016. *Teaching and researching writing*. Routledge.

[66]

Hyland, K. and Bondi, M. eds. 2006. *Academic discourse across disciplines*. Peter Lang AG.

[67]

Hyland, K. and Hamp-Lyons, L. 2002. EAP: issues and directions. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 1, 1 (Jan. 2002), 1–12.
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585\(02\)00002-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(02)00002-4).

[68]

Hyland, K. and Sancho Guinda, C. eds. 2012. *Stance and voice in written academic genres*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[69]

Hyland, K. and Sancho Guinda, C. eds. 2012. *Stance and voice in written academic genres*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[70]

Hyland, K. and Shaw, P. eds. 2016. *The Routledge handbook of English for academic purposes*. Routledge Handbooks Online.

[71]

Hyland, K. and Shaw, P. eds. 2016. The Routledge handbook of English for academic purposes. Routledge Handbooks Online.

[72]

Hyland, Ken 2000. Disciplinary discourses: social interactions in academic writing. Longman.

[73]

Jordan, R.R. 1997. English for academic purposes: a guide and resource book for teachers. Cambridge University Press.

[74]

Jordan, R.R. 1997. English for academic purposes: a guide and resource book for teachers. Cambridge University Press.

[75]

Jordan, R.R. 1989. English for Academic Purposes (EAP). Language Teaching. 22, 03 (Jul. 1989). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S026144480001483X>.

[76]

Kettle, M. 2017. International student engagement in higher education: transforming practices, pedagogies and participation. Multilingual Matters.

[77]

Lave, J. and Wenger, E. 1991. Situated learning: legitimate peripheral participation. Cambridge University Press.

[78]

LEA, MARY R.STREET, BRIAN V. Student writing in higher education: An academic literacies approach. *Studies in Higher Education*. 23, 2.

[79]

Lea, M.R. and Street, B.V. 1998. Student writing in higher education: An academic literacies approach. *Studies in Higher Education*. 23, 2 (Jan. 1998), 157–172.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079812331380364>.

[80]

LearnEnglish | British Council | Genre Families: <https://learnenglish.britishcouncil.org/>.

[81]

Lynch, T. 2007. Learning from the transcripts of an oral communication task. *ELT Journal*. 61, 4 (Apr. 2007), 311–320. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccm050>.

[82]

Manning, A. 2016. *Assessing EAP: theory and practice in assessment literacy*. Garnet Education.

[83]

Manning, A. 2008. *English for language and linguistics in higher education studies: Course book*. Garnet Education.

[84]

McMahon, P. 2013. *Group work: work together for academic success*. Collins EAP.

[85]

Moore, T.J. 2011. Critical thinking and disciplinary thinking: a continuing debate. *Higher Education Research & Development*. 30, 3 (Jun. 2011), 261–274.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2010.501328>.

[86]

Morita, N. 2000. Discourse Socialization through Oral Classroom Activities in a TESL Graduate Program. *TESOL Quarterly*. 34, 2 (Summer 2000).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3587953>.

[87]

Nesi, H. and Gardner, S. 2012. *Genres across the disciplines: student writing in higher education*. Cambridge University Press.

[88]

Nesi, H. and Gardner, S. 2012. *Genres across the disciplines: student writing in higher education*. Cambridge University Press.

[89]

Neumann, R. 2001. Disciplinary Differences and University Teaching. *Studies in Higher Education*. 26, 2 (Jun. 2001), 135–146. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070120052071>.

[90]

Neumann, R. et al. 2002. Teaching and Learning in their Disciplinary Contexts: a conceptual analysis... *Studies in Higher Education*. 27, 4 (2002), 405–417.

[91]

Paltridge, B. and Starfield, S. eds. 2013. *The handbook of English for specific purposes*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

[92]

Pecorari, D. 2013. *Teaching to avoid plagiarism: how to promote good source use*. Open University Press.

[93]

Pennington, M.C. and Richards, J.C. 2016. Teacher Identity in Language Teaching: Integrating Personal, Contextual, and Professional Factors. *RELC Journal*. 47, 1 (Apr. 2016), 5–23. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688216631219>.

[94]

Peter Samuels 2013. Promoting Learning Development as an Academic Discipline. *Journal of Learning Development in Higher Education*. 5 (2013).

[95]

Swales, J.M. 1990. *Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings*. Cambridge University Press.

[96]

Swales, J.M. 2004. *Research genres: explorations and applications*. Cambridge University Press.

[97]

Swales, J.M. and Feak, C.B. 2012. *Academic writing for graduate students: essential tasks and skills*. University of Michigan Press.

[98]

Swales, J.M. and Feak, C.B. 2012. *Academic writing for graduate students: essential tasks and skills*. University of Michigan Press.

[99]

Thompson, C. 2011. Teaching Critical Thinking in EAP Courses in Australia. *TESOL Journal*. 11, 4 (2011), 15–20.

[100]

Tomlinson, B. 2013. Developing materials for language teaching. Bloomsbury Academic.

[101]

Tudor, I. 1993. Teacher roles in the learner-centred classroom. *ELT Journal*. 47, 1 (Jan. 1993), 22–31. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/47.1.22>.

[102]

UEfAP: EAP Background Reading: <http://www.uefap.com/bgnd/biblfram.htm>.

[103]

Uhrig, K. 2012. Business and legal case genre networks: Two case studies. *English for Specific Purposes*. 31, 2 (2012), 127–136. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2011.09.001>.

[104]

University of Glasgow :Graduate Attributes: <http://www.gla.ac.uk/students/attributes/>.

[105]

University of Glasgow :Graduate Attributes: <http://www.gla.ac.uk/students/attributes/>.

[106]

Ursula Wingate 2015. Academic Literacy and Student Diversity.

[107]

Walsh, S. 2011. Analyzing university spoken interaction: a CL/CA approach. *Applying Corpus Linguistics*. *Applying Corpus Linguistics*. 16, 3 (2011).

[108]

Watson Todd, R. 2003. EAP or TEAP? *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 2, 2 (Jan. 2003), 147–156. DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585\(03\)00014-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(03)00014-6).

[109]

Weir, C.J. 2005. *Language testing and validation: an evidence-based approach*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[110]

Weissberg, B. 1993. The graduate seminar: Another research-process genre. *English for Specific Purposes*. 12, 1 (Jan. 1993), 23–35.
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-4906\(93\)90025-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-4906(93)90025-J).

[111]

West, R. 1994. Needs analysis in language teaching. *Language Teaching*. 27, 01 (Jan. 1994). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444800007527>.

[112]

What's disciplinary epistemology got to do with EAP? | Teaching EAP:
<https://teachingeap.wordpress.com/2012/07/20/whats-disciplinary-epistemology-got-to-do-with-eap/>.

[113]

Wingate, U. 2016. Academic literacy across the curriculum: Towards a collaborative instructional approach. *Language Teaching*. (Oct. 2016), 1–16.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444816000264>.

[114]

Wingate, U. 2006. Doing away with 'study skills'. *Teaching in Higher Education*. 11, 4 (Oct. 2006), 457–469. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13562510600874268>.

[115]

Wingate, U. et al. 2011. Embedding academic writing instruction into subject teaching: A case study. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. 12, 1 (Mar. 2011), 69–81. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787410387814>.

[116]

Woodrow, L. 2018. *Introducing course design in English for specific purposes*. Routledge.

[117]

Woodrow, L. 2018. *Introducing course design in English for specific purposes*. Routledge.

[118]

Woodward-Kron, R. 2008. More than just jargon – the nature and role of specialist language in learning disciplinary knowledge. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 7, 4 (Oct. 2008), 234–249. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2008.10.004>.

[119]

Writing for a Purpose: <http://www.uefap.com/writingforapurpose/>.

[120]

BALEAP Can Do Framework for EAP syllabus design and assessment.

[121]

BALEAP Competency Framework for Teachers of English for Academic Purposes.

[122]

BALEAP Guidelines on English Language Tests for University Entry.

[123]

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 10, 2 (Listening in EAP).

[124]

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 2 (Spoken Academic English), 1.

[125]

Journal of English for Academic Purposes. 8, 2.