

SH25 The Philosophy of David Lewis

View Online



1

Salmon N, Lewis D. On the Plurality of Worlds. *The Philosophical Review* 1988;**97**.
doi:10.2307/2185263

2

Bricker P. ABSOLUTE ACTUALITY AND THE PLURALITY OF WORLDS. *Philosophical Perspectives* 2006;**20**:41–76. doi:10.1111/j.1520-8583.2006.00102.x

3

David Lewis. Counterparts of Persons and Their Bodies. *The Journal of Philosophy* 1971;**68**
:203–11. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2024902>

4

David K. Lewis. Counterpart Theory and Quantified Modal Logic. *The Journal of Philosophy*
1968;**65**
:113–26. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2024555>

5

Theodore Sider. The Ersatz Pluriverse. *The Journal of Philosophy* 2002;**99**
:279–315. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3655585>

6

Lewis DK. *On the plurality of worlds*. Oxford: : Basil Blackwell 1986.

7

Gideon Rosen. Modal Fictionalism. *Mind* 1990;**99**:327-54.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2255102>

8

Kripke SA. Naming and necessity. Rev. and enl. ed. Oxford: : Blackwell 1981.

9

Sider T. Beyond the Humphrey Objection. Published Online First: 2006.https://tedsider.org/papers/counterpart_theory.pdf

10

Lewis D. Should a materialist believe in Qualia? *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 1995;**73**:140-4. doi:10.1080/00048409512346451

11

Lewis D. What Experience Teaches. 1988.<https://www.repository.cam.ac.uk/bitstream/handle/1810/247198/Lewis-Experience.pdf?sequence=2>

12

Tye M. Chapter 1 - Knowing What It Is Like: The Ability Hypothesis and the Knowledge Argument. *Consciousness, Color, and Content*.
http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://cognet.mit.edu/system/cogfiles/books/9780262285315/pdfs/9780262285315_chap1.pdf

13

Robinson D. Epiphenomenalism, laws & properties. *Philosophical Studies* 1993;**69**:1-34. doi:10.1007/BF00989622

14

Cath Y. The Ability Hypothesis and the New Knowledge-how. *Noûs* 2009;**43**:137–56. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0068.2008.01699.x

15

Lewis DK, Oxford University Press. *Philosophical papers: Volume 2*. New York: : Oxford University Press 1987. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://doi.org/10.1093/0195036468.001.0001>

16

David Lewis. Humean Supervenience Debugged. *Mind* 1994;**103**:473–90. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2254396>

17

David Lewis. Counterfactual Dependence and Time's Arrow. *Noûs* 1979;**13**:455–76. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2215339>

18

Brian Weatherson. Humean Supervenience. In: Loewer B, Schaffer J, eds. *A companion to David Lewis*. Chichester, West Sussex: : Wiley Blackwell 2015. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/book/10.1002/9781118398593>

19

Lewis D. Survival and Identity. In: *Philosophical papers: Volume 1*. New York: : Oxford University Press 1983. 55–72. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://doi.org/10.1093/0195032047.003.0005>

20

Haslanger S. Humean supervenience and enduring things. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 1994;**72**:339–59. doi:10.1080/00048409412346141

21

Schaffer J. Counterfactuals, causal independence and conceptual circularity. *Analysis* 2004;**64**:299–309. doi:10.1093/analys/64.4.299

22

Adam Elga. Statistical Mechanics and the Asymmetry of Counterfactual Dependence. *Philosophy of Science* 2001;**68**
.https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3080955

23

Lewis DK. *Convention: a philosophical study*. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1969. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=876856

24

Lewis D. New work for a theory of universals. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 1983;**61**:343–77. doi:10.1080/00048408312341131

25

Lewis D. Putnam's paradox. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 1984;**62**:221–36. doi:10.1080/00048408412340013

26

Lewis D. Radical interpretation. *Synthese* 1974;**27**:331–44. doi:10.1007/BF00484599

27

Lewis D. Languages and Language. In: *Philosophical Papers Volume I*. Oxford University Press 1983. 163–88. doi:10.1093/0195032047.003.0011

28

Lewis D. Meaning without use: Reply to Hawthorne. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 1992;**70**:106–10. doi:10.1080/00048408112340093

29

Guttenplan SD, editor. *A companion to the philosophy of mind*. Oxford: : Blackwell Publishing 1995.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/book/10.1002/9781405164597>

30

Hawthorne J. A note on 'languages and language'. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 1990;**68**:116–8. doi:10.1080/00048409012340233

31

O'Leary-Hawthorne J. Meaning and evidence: A reply to Lewis. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 1993;**71**:206–11. doi:10.1080/00048409312345212

32

Guttenplan SD, editor. *A companion to the philosophy of mind*. Oxford: : Blackwell Publishing 1995.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/book/10.1002/9781405164597>

33

H. P. Grice. Meaning. *The Philosophical Review* 1957;**66**:377–88.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2182440>

34

David Lewis. Attitudes De Dicto and De Se. *The Philosophical Review* 1979;**88**:513–43.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2184843>

35

Lewis D. Should a materialist believe in Qualia? Australasian Journal of Philosophy 1995;**73**:140–4. doi:10.1080/00048409512346451

36

Tye M. Chapter 1 - Knowing What It Is Like: The Ability Hypothesis and the Knowledge Argument. Consciousness, Color, and Content.
http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://cognet.mit.edu/system/cogfiles/books/9780262285315/pdfs/9780262285315_chap1.pdf

37

Lewis DK. On the plurality of worlds. Oxford: : Basil Blackwell 1986.

38

David K. Lewis. Counterpart Theory and Quantified Modal Logic. The Journal of Philosophy 1968;**65**:113–26.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2024555>

39

David Lewis. Counterparts of Persons and Their Bodies. The Journal of Philosophy 1971;**68**:203–11.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2024902>

40

Gideon Rosen. Modal Fictionalism. Mind 1990;**99**:327–54.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2255102>

41

Theodore Sider. The Ersatz Pluriverse. The Journal of Philosophy 2002;**99**:279–315.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3655585>

42

Stephan Leuenberger. A New Problem of Descriptive Power. The Journal of Philosophy

2006;**103**

:145–62.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3655756>

43

Melia J. Modality. Chesham, Bucks: : Acumen 2003.

44

Willard V. Quine. On What There Is. The Review of Metaphysics 1948;**2**

:21–38.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20123117>

45

Bricker P. ABSOLUTE ACTUALITY AND THE PLURALITY OF WORLDS. Philosophical Perspectives 2006;**20**:41–76. doi:10.1111/j.1520-8583.2006.00102.x

46

Tony Roy. In Defense of Linguistic Ersatzism. Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition 1995;**80**

:217–42.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4320630>

47

Sider T, Hawthorne J, Zimmerman DW. Contemporary debates in metaphysics. Malden, Mass: : Blackwell 2008.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1204068>

48

Sider T. Beyond the Humphrey Objection. Published Online First:

2006.https://tedsider.org/papers/counterpart_theory.pdf

49

Lewis DK. Introduction to Philosophical papers: Volume 2. New York: : Oxford University Press 1986.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0195036468.001.0001/acprof-9780195036466-miscMatter-6>

50

David Lewis. Humean Supervenience Debugged. *Mind* 1994;**103**:473–90. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2254396>

51

Lewis D. Zimmerman and the spinning sphere. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 1999;**77**:209–12. doi:10.1080/00048409912348951

52

Zimmerman DW. One really big liquid sphere: Reply to Lewis. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 1999;**77**:213–5. doi:10.1080/00048409912348961

53

Robinson D. Matter, motion, and Humean supervenience. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 1989;**67**:394–409. doi:10.1080/00048408912343921

54

Katherine Hawley. Persistence and Non-Supervenient Relations. *Mind* 1999;**108**:53–67. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2659902>

55

Zimmerman DW. Temporal parts and supervenient causation: The incompatibility of two Humean doctrines. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 1998;**76**:265–88. doi:10.1080/00048409812348401

56

Craig Callender. Humean Supervenience and Rotating Homogeneous Matter. *Mind* 2001;

110:25–44.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2659829>

57

Lewis DK. *Philosophical papers*. New York: : Oxford University Press 1983.

58

Lewis DK. *Papers in philosophical logic*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1998.

59

Lewis DK. *Papers in metaphysics and epistemology*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1999.

60

Lewis DK. *Papers in ethics and social philosophy*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2000.

61

Lewis DK. *Convention: a philosophical study*. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1969. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=876856>

62

Lewis DK. *Counterfactuals*. Oxford: : Basil Blackwell 1973.

63

Lewis DK. *On the plurality of worlds*. Oxford: : Basil Blackwell 1986.

64

Lewis D. Parts of classes. Basil Blackwell 1990.

65

Preyer G, Siebelt F. Reality and Humean supervenience: essays on the philosophy of David Lewis. Lanham, Md: : Rowman & Littlefield Publishers 2001.

66

Jackson F, Priest G. Lewisian themes: the philosophy of David K. Lewis. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 2004.

67

Loewer B, Schaffer J, editors. A companion to David Lewis. Chichester, West Sussex: : Wiley Blackwell 2015.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/book/10.1002/9781118398593>

68

Braddon-Mitchell D, Nola R. Conceptual analysis and philosophical naturalism. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2009.

69

Schwarz W. David Lewis: Metaphysik und Analyse. Paderborn: : Mentis 2009.

70

Nolan DP. David Lewis. Chesham: : Acumen 2005.

71

Meixner U. David Lewis. Paderborn: : Mentis 2006.

72

Lewis D. New work for a theory of universals. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 1983;**61**:343–77. doi:10.1080/00048408312341131

73

John W. Carroll. Nailed to Hume's Cross? In: Sider T, Hawthorne J, Zimmerman DW, eds. *Contemporary Debates in Metaphysics*. Wiley 2013.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/reader.action?docID=1204068&ppg=107>

74

David Lewis. Humean Supervenience Debugged. *Mind* 1994;**103**:473–90.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2254396>

75

Loewer, Barry. Humean Supervenience. *Philosophical Topics*Southwestern Journal of Philosophy (1970-1980);*Philosophical Topics*;**24**.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.proquest.com/docview/1294810875/C2A7DAAE6A7E4EB6PQ/5?accountid=14540>

76

Maudlin T. Why Be Humean? In: *The Metaphysics Within Physics*. Oxford University Press 2007. 50–77. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199218219.003.0003

77

Bricker P. ABSOLUTE ACTUALITY AND THE PLURALITY OF WORLDS. *Philosophical Perspectives* 2006;**20**:41–76. doi:10.1111/j.1520-8583.2006.00102.x