

Social Accounting Reporting and Finance

[View Online](#)

1.

Gray, Rob, Bebbington, Jan: Accounting for the environment. SAGE Publications, London (2001).

2.

Gray, Rob, Owen, David, Adams, Carol A.: Accounting & accountability: changes and challenges in corporate social and environmental reporting. Financial Times/Prentice Hall, London (1996).

3.

Gray, Rob, Maunders, K. T., Owen, David: Corporate social reporting: accounting and accountability. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs (1987).

4.

Baron, D.P.: Private Politics, Corporate Social Responsibility, and Integrated Strategy. Journal of Economics & Management Strategy. 10, 7-45 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1430-9134.2001.00007.x>.

5.

Donaldson, T., Preston, L.E.: The stakeholder theory of the corporation: concepts, evidence, and implications. Academy of Management Review. 20, 65-91 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1995.9503271992>.

6.

Freeman, R.E., Parmar, B., Wicks, A.C.: Stakeholder theory and 'The Corporate Objective Revisited'. *Organization Science*. 15, 364–369 (2004).

7.

Friedman, M.: The Social Responsibility of Business Is to Increase Its Profits. In: Zimmerli, W.C., Holzinger, M., and Richter, K. (eds.) *Corporate Ethics and Corporate Governance*. pp. 173–178. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg (2007).
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-70818-6_14.

8.

Jones, T.M.: Instrumental stakeholder theory: a synthesis of ethics and economics. *Academy of Management Review*. 20, 404–437 (1995).
<https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1995.9507312924>.

9.

Levitt, T.: The Dangers of Social Responsibility. *Harvard Business Review*. 36, (9)AD.

10.

McWilliams, A., Siegel, D.S., Wright, P.M.: Corporate Social Responsibility: Strategic Implications. *Journal of Management Studies*. 43, 1–18 (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2006.00580.x>.

11.

McWilliams, A., Siegel, D.: Corporate Social Responsibility: Strategic Implications. *Academy of Management Review*. 26, 117–127 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2001.4011987>.

12.

Spence, C., Gray, R.: Social and environmental reporting and the business case.,
http://www.worldcat.org/title/social-and-environmental-reporting-and-the-business-case/oclc/226279860&referer=brief_results.

13.

Tinker, T., Neimark, M., Lehman, C.: Falling down the Hole in the Middle of the Road: Political Quietism in Corporate Social Reporting. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 4, (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513579110000504>.

14.

Özkırımlı, Umut, Dawson Books: Nationalism and its futures. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke, Hampshire (2003).

15.

Bovens, M.: Analysing and Assessing Accountability: A Conceptual Framework. *European Law Journal*. 13, 447–468 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2007.00378.x>.

16.

Messner, M.: The limits of accountability. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 34, 918–938 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2009.07.003>.

17.

Roberts, J.: The possibilities of accountability. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 16, 355–368 (1991). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(91\)90027-C](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(91)90027-C).

18.

Roberts, J.: The Manufacture of Corporate Social Responsibility: Constructing Corporate Sensibility. *Organization*. 10, 249–265 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508403010002004>.

19.

Benston, G.J.: Accounting and corporate accountability. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 7, 87–105 (1982). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(82\)90014-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(82)90014-9).

20.

Mashaw, J.L.: Accountability and Institutional Design: Some Thoughts on the Grammar of Governance. In: Public accountability: designs, dilemmas and experiences. pp. 115–156. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006).

21.

Mashaw, J.L.: Accountability and Institutional Design: Some Thoughts on the Grammar of Governance, http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=924879, (2006).

22.

Cooper, S.M., Owen, D.L.: Corporate social reporting and stakeholder accountability: The missing link. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 32, 649–667 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2007.02.001>.

23.

Garvey, N., Newell, P.: Corporate accountability to the poor? Assessing the effectiveness of community-based strategies. *Development in Practice*. 15, 389–404 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09614520500075763>.

24.

Lindkvist, L., Llewellyn, S.: Accountability, responsibility and organization. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*. 19, 251–273 (2003).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-5221\(02\)00027-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-5221(02)00027-1).

25.

Bebbington, J., Kirk, E.A., Larrinaga, C.: The production of normativity: A comparison of reporting regimes in Spain and the UK. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 37, 78–94 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2012.01.001>.

26.

Unerman, J., O'Dwyer, B.: The business case for regulation of corporate social responsibility and accountability. *Accounting Forum*. 31, 332–353 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2007.08.002>.

27.

Braithwaite, J.: Responsive regulation and developing economies. *World Development*. 34, 884–898 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2005.04.021>.

28.

Benston, G.J.: An analysis of the role of accounting standards for enhancing corporate governance and social responsibility. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*. 1, 5–17 (1982). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4254\(82\)90003-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4254(82)90003-5).

29.

Puxty, A.G.: Social Accounting as Immanent Legitimation: A Critique of a Technician Ideology. *Advances in Public Interest Accounting*. 1,.

30.

Market failure: The case for mandatory social and environmental reporting, <http://www.hapinternational.org/pool/files/doanepaper1.pdf>.

31.

Adams, L.L.: Can we apply postcolonial theory to Central Eurasia?

32.

Buckler, J.A.: What Comes after "Post-Soviet" in Russian Studies? *PMLA*. 124, 251–263 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1632/pmla.2009.124.1.251>.

33.

NEWELL, P.: Citizenship, accountability and community: the limits of the CSR agenda. *International Affairs*. 81, 541–557 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2005.00468.x>.

34.

The Attractions of Risk-based Regulation: Accounting for the Emergence of Risk Ideas in Regulation,
<http://www.lse.ac.uk/researchAndExpertise/units/CARR/pdf/DPs/Disspaper33.pdf>.

35.

ISSUU - Risk&Regulation Financial Crisis Special by CARR,
<http://issuu.com/carr/docs/riskregulationfinancialcrisis2008>.

36.

Drahos, P., Braithwaite, J.: The Globalisation of Regulation. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 9, 103–128 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9760.00120>.

37.

Koenig-Archibugi, M.: Transnational Corporations and Public Accountability. *Government and Opposition*. 39, 234–259 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.2004.00122.x>.

38.

Larrinaga, C., Carrasco, F., Correa, C., Llena, F., Moneva, J.: Accountability and accounting regulation: the case of the Spanish environmental disclosure standard. *European Accounting Review*. 11, 723–740 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0963818022000001000>.

39.

Carrots and Sticks – Sustainability reporting policies worldwide - today's best practice, tomorrow's trends, <https://www.globalreporting.org/resourcelibrary/Carrots-and-Sticks.pdf>.

40.

Sustainability Reporting - Employment, Social Affairs & Inclusion - European Commission,
<http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?langId=en&catId=89&newsId=1013&furtherNews=yes>.

41.

The United Nations Global Compact – Basic Guide: Communications on Progress (COP), http://www.unglobalcompact.org/docs/communication_on_progress/Tools_and_Publications/COP_Basic_Guide.pdf.

42.

A renewed EU strategy 2011-14 for Corporate Social Responsibility, <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2011:0681:FIN:EN:PDF>.

43.

Research reports | Core, <http://corporate-responsibility.org/publications/research-reports/>.

44.

Bouten, L., Everaert, P., Van Liedekerke, L., De Moor, L., Christiaens, J.: Corporate social responsibility reporting: A comprehensive picture? *Accounting Forum*. 35, 187–204 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2011.06.007>.

45.

Laufer, W.S.: Social Accountability and Corporate Greenwashing. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 43, 253–261 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022962719299>.

46.

Islam, M.A., Deegan, C.: Media pressures and corporate disclosure of social responsibility performance information: A study of two global clothing and sports retail companies. *Accounting and Business Research*. 40, 131–148 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.2010.9663388>.

47.

Gray, R., Kouhy, R., Lavers, S.: Corporate social and environmental reporting: a review of the literature and a longitudinal study of UK disclosure. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 8, 47–77 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513579510146996>.

48.

Tilling, M.V., Tilt, C.A.: The edge of legitimacy: Voluntary social and environmental reporting in Rothmans' 1956-1999 annual reports. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 23, 55–81 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513571011010600>.

49.

Suttipun, M., Stanton, P.: The Differences in Corporate Environmental Disclosures on Websites and in Annual Reports: A Case Study of Companies Listed in Thailand. *International Journal of Business and Management*. 7, (2012). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v7n14p18>.

50.

Aerts, W., Cormier, D., Magnan, M.: Intra-industry imitation in corporate environmental reporting: An international perspective. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*. 25, 299–331 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2006.03.004>.

51.

Archel, P., Husillos, J., Larrinaga, C., Spence, C.: Social disclosure, legitimacy theory and the role of the state. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 22, 1284–1307 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570910999319>.

52.

Ball, A., Craig, R.: Using neo-institutionalism to advance social and environmental accounting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*. 21, 283–293 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2009.11.006>.

53.

Brown, N., Deegan, C.: The public disclosure of environmental performance information—a dual test of media agenda setting theory and legitimacy theory. *Accounting and Business Research*. 29, 21–41 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.1998.9729564>.

54.

Deegan, C.: Introduction: The legitimising effect of social and environmental disclosures – a theoretical foundation. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 15, 282–311 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570210435852>.

55.

John Dowling and Jeffrey Pfeffer: Organizational Legitimacy: Social Values and Organizational Behavior. *The Pacific Sociological Review*. 18, 122–136.

56.

Seeking Legitimacy for New Assurance Forms: The Case of Assurance on Sustainability Reporting by Brendan O'Dwyer, David Owen, Jeffrey Uneman :: SSRN, http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1742822.

57.

Patten, D.M.: Intra-industry environmental disclosures in response to the Alaskan oil spill: A note on legitimacy theory. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 17, 471–475 (1992). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(92\)90042-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(92)90042-Q).

58.

Rahaman, A.S., Lawrence, S., Roper, J.: Social and environmental reporting at the VRA: institutionalised legitimacy or legitimization crisis? *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*. 15, 35–56 (2004). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1045-2354\(03\)00005-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1045-2354(03)00005-4).

59.

Roberts, R.W.: Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure: An application of stakeholder theory. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 17, 595–612 (1992). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(92\)90015-K](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(92)90015-K).

60.

Scott, W.R.: Approaching adulthood: the maturing of institutional theory. *Theory and Society*. 37, 427–442 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11186-008-9067-z>.

61.

Hackston, D., Milne, M.J.: Some determinants of social and environmental disclosures in New Zealand companies. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 9, 77–108 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513579610109987>.

62.

Beck, A.C., Campbell, D., Shrives, P.J.: Content analysis in environmental reporting research: Enrichment and rehearsal of the method in a British–German context. *The British Accounting Review*. 42, 207–222 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2010.05.002>.

63.

Milne, M.J., Adler, R.W.: Exploring the reliability of social and environmental disclosures content analysis. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 12, 237–256 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513579910270138>.

64.

Soobaroyen, T., Ntim, C.G.: Social and environmental accounting as symbolic and substantive means of legitimation: The case of HIV/AIDS reporting in South Africa. *Accounting Forum*. 37, 92–109 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2013.04.002>.

65.

Haynes, K., Fearfull, A.: Exploring ourselves: Exploiting and resisting gendered identities of women academics in accounting and management. *Pacific Accounting Review*. 20, 185–204 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1108/01140580810892508>.

66.

Hoepner, A.G.F., Aguiar, T.R.S., Majithia, R.: The Level of Compliance with the International Code of Marketing of Breast-Milk Substitutes: Does it Matter to Stock Markets? *Journal of Business Ethics*. (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-1625-2>.

67.

Gallhofer, S., Haslam, J., van der Walt, S.: Accountability and transparency in relation to human rights: A critical perspective reflecting upon accounting, corporate responsibility

and ways forward in the context of globalisation. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*. 22, 765–780 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2011.07.002>.

68.

Lee, B., Cassell, C.: Employee and social reporting as a war of position and the union learning representative initiative in the UK. *Accounting Forum*. 32, 276–287 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2008.05.001>.

69.

Beck, A.C., Campbell, D., Shrives, P.J.: Content analysis in environmental reporting research: Enrichment and rehearsal of the method in a British–German context. *The British Accounting Review*. 42, 207–222 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2010.05.002>.

70.

Hooks, J., van Staden, C.J.: Evaluating environmental disclosures: The relationship between quality and extent measures. *The British Accounting Review*. 43, 200–213 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2011.06.005>.

71.

Adams, C.A., McPhail, K.J.: Reporting and the Politics of Difference: (Non)Disclosure on Ethnic Minorities. *Abacus*. 40, 405–435 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6281.2004.00164.x>.

72.

Tinker, T., Neimark, M.: The role of annual reports in gender and class contradictions at general motors: 1917–1976. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 12, 71–88 (1987). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(87\)90017-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(87)90017-1).

73.

Gray, R.: How a Two-Step Approach Discloses Different Determinants of Voluntary Social and Environmental Reporting. *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal*. 33, 122–123 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969160X.2013.820431>.

74.

Muchlinski, P.T.: Human Rights and Multinationals: Is there a Problem? *International Affairs*. 77, 31–48 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.00176>.

75.

Muchlinski, P.: Human rights, social responsibility and the regulation of international business: The development of international standards by intergovernmental organisations. *Non-State Actors and International Law*. 3, 123–152 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1163/156771203322428412>.

76.

Neu, D.: Accounting and accountability relations: colonization, genocide and Canada's first nations. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 13, 268–288 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570010334126>.

77.

Roslender, R., Stevenson, J.: Accounting for People: A real step forward or more a case of wishing and hoping? *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*. 20, 855–869 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2007.02.003>.

78.

Sandra Waddock: Creating Corporate Accountability: Foundational Principles to Make Corporate Citizenship Real. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 50, 313–327.

79.

Lines in the Sand: Lessons in Corporate Accountability from the Barmer to Salaya Pipeline, <http://www.business-humanrights.org/Links/Repository/1004185>.

80.

Human Rights Impact Assessment: Review of Practice and Guidance for Future Assessments, <http://www.scottishhumanrights.com/hria>.

81.

International Business Leaders Forum and International Finance Corporation, Guide to Human Rights Impact Assessment and Management,
http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/Topics_Ext_Content/IFC_External_Corporate_Site/Guide+to+Human+Rights+Impact+Assessment+and+Management.

82.

Promotion and Protection of all human rights, Civil, Political, economic Social and Cultural Rights, Including the Right to Development: Protect, Respect & Remedy: A Framework for business and human rights,
<http://www.business-humanrights.org/SpecialRepPortal/Home/Protect-Respect-Remedy-Framework>.

83.

Business & Human Rights : UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights,
<http://www.business-humanrights.org/Documents/UNGuidingPrinciples>.

84.

Human Rights analysis trends,
https://www.globalreporting.org/resourcelibrary/Human_Rights_analysis_trends.pdf.

85.

Reporting On Human Rights : survey conducted by the Global Reporting InitiativeTM and the Roberts Environmental Center (Claremont McKenna College),
<https://www.globalreporting.org/resourcelibrary/Reporting-On-Human-Rights.pdf>, (2008).

86.

Resource Guide to Corporate Human Rights Reporting,
http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/policies/sustainable-business/corporate-social-responsibility/reporting-disclosure/swedish-presidency/files/background_documents/guide_to_human_rights_reporting_-_gri_en.pdf.

87.

International Business Leaders Forum and International Finance Corporation, Guide to Human Rights Impact Assessment and Management, http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/Topics_Ext_Content/IFC_External_Corporate_Site/Guide+to+Human+Rights+Impact+Assessment+and+Management.

88.

Human Rights, http://www.unglobalcompact.org/Issues/human_rights/.

89.

Watchirs, H.: AIDS Audit - HIV and Human Rights: An Australian Pilot. Law & Policy. 25, 245–268 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9930.2003.00150.x>.

90.

Watchirs, H.: Review of Methodologies Measuring Human Rights Implementation. The Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics. 30, 716–733 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-720X.2002.tb00438.x>.

91.

Gray, R., Bebbington, J., Collison, D.: NGOs, civil society and accountability: making the people accountable to capital. Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal. 19, 319–348 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570610670325>.

92.

O'Dwyer, B., Unerman, J.: The paradox of greater NGO accountability: A case study of Amnesty Ireland. Accounting, Organizations and Society. 33, 801–824 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2008.02.002>.

93.

Lehman, G.: The accountability of NGOs in civil society and its public spheres. Critical Perspectives on Accounting. 18, 645–669 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2006.04.002>.

94.

NGOs and Corporate Power: is there a win-win solution?,
<http://www.onlineopinion.com.au/view.asp?article=1601>.

95.

NGO Accountability and Aid Delivery,
<http://www.accaglobal.co.uk/content/dam/acca/global/PDF-technical/sustainability-reporting/rr-110-001.pdf>.

96.

Adams, C.A.: The ethical, social and environmental reporting-performance portrayal gap. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 17, 731–757 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410567791>.

97.

Kreander, N., McPhail, K., Molyneaux, D.: God's fund managers: A critical study of stock market investment practices of the Church of England and UK Methodists. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 17, 408–441 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410545803>.

98.

Friedman, A.L., Miles, S.: Socially Responsible Investment and Corporate Social and Environmental Reporting in the UK: An Exploratory Study. *The British Accounting Review*. 33, 523–548 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1006/bare.2001.0172>.

99.

Courville, S.: Social Accountability Audits: Challenging or Defending Democratic Governance? *Law & Policy*. 25, 269–297 (2003).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9930.2003.00151.x>.

100.

Schwartz, M.S.: The 'Ethics' of Ethical Investing. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 43, 195–213 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022933912939>.

101.

Accountability, Completeness, Credibility and the Audit Expectations Gap,
<http://greenleaf-publishing.com/content/pdfs/jcc14adam.pdf>.

102.

Perks, R.W., Rawlinson, D.H., Ingram, L.: An exploration of ethical investment in the UK. *The British Accounting Review*. 24, 43–65 (1992).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0890-8389\(05\)80066-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0890-8389(05)80066-6).

103.

Cowton, C.: Playing by the rules: ethical criteria at an ethical investment fund. *Business Ethics: A European Review*. 8, 60–69 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8608.00126>.

104.

Owen, D.L., Swift, T.A., Humphrey, C., Bowerman, M.: The new social audits: accountability, managerial capture or the agenda of social champions? *European Accounting Review*. 9, 81–98 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1080/096381800407950>.

105.

O'Dwyer, B.: The legitimacy of accountants' participation in social and ethical accounting, auditing and reporting. *Business Ethics: A European Review*. 10, 27–39 (2001).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8608.00209>.

106.

Power, M.: Auditing and Environmental Expertise: Between Protest and Professionalisation. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 4, (1991).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513579110141751>.

107.

Collison, D., Cobb, G., Power, D., Stevenson, L.: FTSE4Good: exploring its implications for corporate conduct. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 22, 35–58 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570910923006>.

108.

Lamberton, G.: Sustainability accounting—a brief history and conceptual framework. *Accounting Forum*. 29, 7–26 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2004.11.001>.

109.

Joseph, C., Taplin, R.: The measurement of sustainability disclosure: Abundance versus occurrence. *Accounting Forum*. 35, 19–31 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2010.11.002>.

110.

Bebbington, J., Higgins, C., Frame, B.: Initiating sustainable development reporting: evidence from New Zealand. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 22, 588–625 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570910955452>.

111.

Burritt, R.L., Schaltegger, S.: Sustainability accounting and reporting: fad or trend? *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 23, 829–846 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513571011080144>.

112.

Moneva, J.M., Archel, P., Correa, C.: GRI and the camouflaging of corporate unsustainability. *Accounting Forum*. 30, 121–137 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2006.02.001>.

113.

Unerman, Jeffrey, Bebbington, Jan, O'Dwyer, Brendan: Sustainability accounting and accountability. Routledge, London (2007).

114.

Bebbington, J., Brown, J., Frame, B.: Accounting technologies and sustainability assessment models. *Ecological Economics*. 61, 224–236 (2007).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2006.10.021>.

115.

Bebbington, J.: Sustainable development: a review of the international development, business and accounting literature. *Accounting Forum*. 25, 128–157 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6303.00059>.

116.

Gray, R.: Is accounting for sustainability actually accounting for sustainability...and how would we know? An exploration of narratives of organisations and the planet. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 35, 47–62 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2009.04.006>.

117.

Lehman, G.: Global Accountability and Sustainability: Research Prospects. *Accounting Forum*. 26, 219–232 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6303.00087>.

118.

Bebbington, J., Gray, R.: An Account of Sustainability: Failure, Success and a Reconceptualization. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*. 12, 557–588 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1006/cpac.2000.0450>.

119.

Söderbaum, P.: Issues of paradigm, ideology and democracy in sustainability assessment. *Ecological Economics*. 60, 613–626 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2006.01.006>.

120.

Gasparatos, A., El-Haram, M., Horner, M.: The argument against a reductionist approach for measuring sustainable development performance and the need for methodological pluralism. *Accounting Forum*. 33, 245–256 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2008.07.006>.

121.

O'Dwyer, B.: Stakeholder democracy: challenges and contributions from social accounting. *Business Ethics: A European Review*. 14, 28–41 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8608.2005.00384.x>.

122.

Williams, S.J.: Moral accounting? Employee disclosures from a stakeholder accountability perspective. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 26, 449–495 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513571311311892>.

123.

Brown, J.: Democracy, sustainability and dialogic accounting technologies: Taking pluralism seriously. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*. 20, 313–342 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2008.08.002>.

124.

Roberts, J.: The Manufacture of Corporate Social Responsibility: Constructing Corporate Sensibility. *Organization*. 10, 249–265 (2003).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508403010002004>.

125.

Unerman, J., Bennett, M.: Increased stakeholder dialogue and the internet: towards greater corporate accountability or reinforcing capitalist hegemony? *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 29, 685–707 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2003.10.009>.

126.

Bebbington, J., Brown, J., Frame, B., Thomson, I.: Theorizing engagement: the potential of a critical dialogic approach. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 20, 356–381 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570710748544>.

127.

Lehman, G.: Disclosing new worlds: a role for social and environmental accounting and auditing. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 24, 217–241 (1999).

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(98\)00044-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(98)00044-0).

128.

Shearer, T.: Ethics and accountability: from the for-itself to the for-the-other. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 27, 541-573 (2002).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(01\)00036-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(01)00036-8).

129.

Critical Friends: The Emerging Role of Stakeholder Panels in Corporate Governance, Reporting and Assurance,
<http://www.accountability.org/about-us/publications/critical-friends.html>.

130.

Setting the Standard for Corporate Responsibility and Sustainable Development,
<http://www.accountability.org/standards/aa1000ses/index.html>.

131.

Stakeholder engagement Manual, Vol. 1,
<http://www.accountability.org/about-us/publications/the-stakeholder-1.html>.

132.

The Stakeholder Engagement Manual: Volume 2,
<http://www.accountability.org/about-us/publications/the-stakeholder.html>.

133.

ActionAid, Accountability, Learning and Planning system, Alps 2011,
http://www.actionaid.org/sites/files/actionaid/alps2011_aug11.pdf.

134.

Georgakopoulos, G., Thomson, I.: Social reporting, engagements, controversies and conflict in an arena context. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 21, 1116-1143 (2008).

<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570810918788>.

135.

Adams, C.A., Whelan, G.: Conceptualising future change in corporate sustainability reporting. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 22, 118–143 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570910923033>.

136.

Spence, C., Husillos, J., Correa-Ruiz, C.: Cargo cult science and the death of politics: A critical review of social and environmental accounting research. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*. 21, 76–89 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2008.09.008>.

137.

Gray, R., Dillard, J., Spence, C.: Social Accounting Research as If The World Matters. *Public Management Review*. 11, 545–573 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030902798222>.

138.

Spence, C.: Social accounting's emancipatory potential: A Gramscian critique. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*. 20, 205–227 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2007.06.003>.

139.

Owen, D.: Chronicles of wasted time?: A personal reflection on the current state of, and future prospects for, social and environmental accounting research. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 21, 240–267 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570810854428>.

140.

Gray, R.: The social accounting project and Accounting Organizations and Society Privileging engagement, imaginings, new accountings and pragmatism over critique? *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 27, 687–708 (2002). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(00\)00003-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(00)00003-9).

141.

Adams, C., Laing, Y.: How to research a company. *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal*. 20, 6–11 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969160X.2000.9651636>.

142.

Ebooks Corporation Limited: Sustainability accounting and accountability. Routledge, New York, NY (2014).

143.

Zadek, Simon, Pruzan, Peter, Evans, Richard: Building corporate accountability: emerging practices in social and ethical accounting, auditing and reporting. Earthscan, London (1997).

144.

Gray, Rob, Bebbington, Jan: Accounting for the environment. SAGE Publications, London (2001).

145.

de Aguiar, T.R.S., Fearfull, A.: Global climate change and corporate disclosure: Pedagogical tools for critical accounting? *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal*. 30, 64–79 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969160X.2010.9651824>.

146.

Andrew, J., Cortese, C.: Accounting for climate change and the self-regulation of carbon disclosures. *Accounting Forum*. 35, 130–138 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2011.06.006>.

147.

Ascui, F., Lovell, H.: As frames collide: making sense of carbon accounting. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 24, 978–999 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513571111184724>.

148.

Bebbington, J., Larrinaga-González, C.: Carbon Trading: Accounting and Reporting Issues. *European Accounting Review*. 17, 697–717 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180802489162>.

149.

Braun, M.: The evolution of emissions trading in the European Union – The role of policy networks, knowledge and policy entrepreneurs. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 34, 469–487 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2008.06.002>.

150.

Callon, M.: Civilizing markets: Carbon trading between in vitro and in vivo experiments. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 34, 535–548 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2008.04.003>.

151.

Cook, A.: Emission rights: From costless activity to market operations. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 34, 456–468 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2007.12.001>.

152.

Corporate responses to climate change. Greenleaf Pub. Ltd., Sheffield, UK (2008).

153.

Cowan, S., Deegan, C.: Corporate disclosure reactions to Australia's first national emission reporting scheme. *Accounting & Finance*. 51, 409–436 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-629X.2010.00361.x>.

154.

Freedman, M., Jaggi, B.: Global warming, commitment to the Kyoto protocol, and accounting disclosures by the largest global public firms from polluting industries. *The*

International Journal of Accounting. 40, 215–232 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intacc.2005.06.004>.

155.

Haque, S., Deegan, C.: Corporate Climate Change-Related Governance Practices and Related Disclosures: Evidence from Australia. *Australian Accounting Review*. 20, 317–333 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1835-2561.2010.00107.x>.

156.

Hrasky, S.: Carbon footprints and legitimization strategies: symbolism or action? *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 25, 174–198 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513571211191798>.

157.

Lodhia, S., Martin, N.: Stakeholder responses to the National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act: An agenda setting perspective. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 25, 126–145 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513571211191770>.

158.

Putting Carbon Markets into Practice: A Case Study of Financial Accounting in Europe by Heather Lovell, Jan Bebbington, Carlos Larrinaga, Thereza Raquel Sales de Aguiar :: SSRN, http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2285622.

159.

Accounting for carbon,
<http://www.accaglobal.org.uk/content/dam/acca/global/PDF-technical/climate-change/rr-122-001.pdf>.

160.

McNicholas, P., Windsor, C.: Can the financialised atmosphere be effectively regulated and accounted for? *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 24, 1071–1096 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09613671111184760>.

161.

Pinkse, J.: Corporate intentions to participate in emission trading. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. 16, 12–25 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.463>.

162.

Pinkse, J., Kolk, A.: Multinational Corporations and Emissions Trading: European *Management Journal*. 25, 441–452 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2007.07.003>.

163.

Ans Kolk: *International business and global climate change*. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon (2009).

164.

Prado-Lorenzo, J.-M., Rodríguez-Domínguez, L., Gallego-Álvarez, I., García-Sánchez, I.-M.: Factors influencing the disclosure of greenhouse gas emissions in companies world-wide. *Management Decision*. 47, 1133–1157 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251740910978340>.

165.

Prado-Lorenzo, J.-M., Rodríguez-Domínguez, L., Gallego-Álvarez, I., García-Sánchez, I.-M.: Factors influencing the disclosure of greenhouse gas emissions in companies world-wide. *Management Decision*. 47, 1133–1157 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251740910978340>.

166.

Reizinger-Ducsai, A.: Accounting for emission rights. *Periodica Polytechnica Social and Management Sciences*. 15, (2007). <https://doi.org/10.3311/pp.so.2007-2.02>.

167.

BUSINESS OF CLIMATE CHANGE: CORPORATE RESPONSES TO KYOTO; ED. BY KATHRYN BEGG. GREENLEAF PUBLISHING, SHEFFIELD.

168.

SIMNETT, R., NUGENT, M.: Developing an Assurance Standard for Carbon Emissions Disclosures. *Australian Accounting Review*. 17, 37–47 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1835-2561.2007.tb00441.x>.

169.

Corporate responses to climate change. Greenleaf Pub. Ltd., Sheffield, UK (2008).

170.

von Malmborg, F., Strachan, P.A.: Climate policy, ecological modernization and the UK emission trading scheme. *European Environment*. 15, 143–160 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1002/eet.384>.

171.

Bowen, F., Wittneben, B.: Carbon accounting: Negotiating accuracy, consistency and certainty across organisational fields. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 24, 1022–1036 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513571111184742>.

172.

Boston, J., Lempp, F.: Climate change: Explaining and solving the mismatch between scientific urgency and political inertia. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 24, 1000–1021 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513571111184733>.