

BN2 Research Methods

[View Online](#)

1.

Ratcliffe S, Smith J. Factors influencing glove use in student nurses [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.nursingtimes.net/Journals/2014/11/28/n/a/k/031214-Factors-influencing-glove-use-in-student-nurses.pdf>

2.

Beauchamp TL, Childress JF. Principles of biomedical ethics. 6th ed. New York, N.Y.: Oxford University Press; 2009.

3.

Ellis P. Understanding research for nursing students [Internet]. 4th edition. London: Learning Matters; 2018. Available from:
<https://app.talis.com/glasgow/player#/modules/5f55ffaa3f2b343bc876562b/resources/5f563aeb3b6d6d6499f453fd>

4.

Gerrish K, Lathlean J. The research process in nursing. Seventh edition. Gerrish K, Lathlean J, editors. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: Wiley Blackwell; 2015.

5.

Holloway I, Wheeler S, Holloway I, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Qualitative research in nursing and healthcare [Internet]. Third edition. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=707888>

6.

Parahoo K. Nursing research: principles, process and issues. 3rd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.

7.

Polit DF, Beck CT. Nursing research: generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice. 9th international ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2012.

8.

Polit DF, Beck CT. Nursing research: generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice. 9th international ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2012.

9.

Rafferty AM, Traynor M. Exemplary research for nursing and midwifery [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=170202>

10.

Priest H. Understanding the research process in nursing. Nursing Standard [Internet]. 2006;21(1). Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE|A152258908&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w&asid=29558ed3ada91dcc11f7053e8563192d>

11.

Nursing Research Top 50 [Internet]. Available from:
https://www2.rcn.org.uk/development/research_and_innovation/build_knowledge/nursing_research_top_50

12.

Florence Nightingale | The Beauty of Diagrams [Internet]. 1AD. Available from:

<https://login.learningonscreen.ac.uk/wayfless.php?entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fidp.gla.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth&target=https%3A%2F%2Flearningonscreen.ac.uk%2Fondemand%2Findex.php%2Fprog%2F01832C88%3Fbcast%3D63044070>

13.

Gerrish K, Lathlean J, editors. The research process in nursing. Seventh edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: Wiley Blackwell; 2015.

14.

Mann CJ. Observational research methods. Research design II: cohort, cross sectional, and case-control studies. Emergency Medicine Journal. 2003 Jan 1;20(1):54–60.

15.

Nutley S, Powell A, Davies H. What Counts as Good Evidence? Provocation paper for the Alliance for Useful Evidence [Internet]. 2013. Available from: <http://www.alliance4usefulevidence.org/publication/what-counts-as-good-evidence-february-2013/>

16.

Parahoo K. Nursing research: principles, process and issues. 3rd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.

17.

Petticrew M. Evidence, hierarchies, and typologies: horses for courses. Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health. 2003 Jul 1;57(7):527–9.

18.

Polit DF, Beck CT. Nursing research: generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice. 9th international ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2012.

19.

Chitnis XA, Georghiou T, Steventon A, Bardsley MJ. Effect of a home-based end-of-life nursing service on hospital use at the end of life and place of death: a study using administrative data and matched controls. *BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care*. 2013 Dec 1;3(4):422–30.

20.

Effectiveness of intermittent pneumatic compression in reduction of risk of deep vein thrombosis in patients who have had a stroke (CLOTS 3): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*. 2013 Aug;382(9891):516–24.

21.

Doll R. The mortality of doctors in relation to their smoking habits: a preliminary report: (Reprinted from *Br Med J* 1954;ii;1451-5). *BMJ*. 2004 Jun 26;328(7455):1529–33.

22.

Farrell GA, Shafiei T. Workplace aggression, including bullying in nursing and midwifery: A descriptive survey (the SWAB study). *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2012 Nov;49(11):1423–31.

23.

Burnard P, Gill P, Stewart K, Treasure E, Chadwick B. Analysing and presenting qualitative data. *BDJ*. 2008 Apr 26;204(8):429–32.

24.

Ellis P. Understanding research for nursing students [Internet]. 4th edition. London: Learning Matters; 2018. Available from: <https://app.talis.com/glasgow/player#/modules/5f55ffaa3f2b343bc876562b/resources/5f563aeb3b6d6d6499f453fd>

25.

Holloway I, Wheeler S, Holloway I, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Qualitative research in nursing and healthcare [Internet]. Third edition. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=707888>

26.

Parahoo K. Nursing research: principles, process and issues. 3rd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.

27.

Glaser BG, Strauss AL. The discovery of grounded theory: strategies for qualitative research. Vol. Observations. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson; 1968.

28.

Kelly J, Ahern K. Preparing nurses for practice: A phenomenological study of the new graduate in Australia. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2008 Jul;???-???

29.

Kerr MP. A qualitative study of shift handover practice and function from a socio-technical perspective. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 2002 Jan 18;37(2):125–34.

30.

Kumar S. Why do general practitioners prescribe antibiotics for sore throat? Grounded theory interview study. BMJ [Internet]. 2003 Jan 18;326(7381):138–138. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC140007/>

31.

Taxis K. Causes of intravenous medication errors: an ethnographic study. Quality and Safety in Health Care. 2003 Oct 1;12(5):343–7.

32.

Holloway I, Wheeler S, Holloway I, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Qualitative research in nursing and healthcare [Internet]. Third edition. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=707888>

33.

Polit DF, Beck CT. Nursing research: generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice. 9th international ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2012.

34.

Morse JM, Barrett M, Mayan M, Olson K, Spiers J. Verification Strategies for Establishing Reliability and Validity in Qualitative Research. International Journal of Qualitative Methods. 2002 Jun;1(2):13-22.

35.

Denise F. Polita, b, , , Cheryl Tatano Beckc. Generalization in quantitative and qualitative research: Myths and strategies. International Journal of Nursing Studies [Internet]. 47(11):1451-8. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0020748910002063>

36.

Gerrish K, Lathlean J, editors. The research process in nursing. Seventh edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: Wiley Blackwell; 2015.

37.

Gerrish K, Lathlean J, editors. The research process in nursing. Seventh edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: Wiley Blackwell; 2015.

38.

Holloway I, Wheeler S, Holloway I, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Qualitative research in nursing and healthcare [Internet]. Third edition. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=707888>

39.

Barbara B. Kawulich. Participant Observation as a Data Collection Method. Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research [Internet]. 2005;6(2). Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com./login.aspx?direct=true&db=sih&AN=17713348&site=ehost-live>

40.

Taxis K. Causes of intravenous medication errors: an ethnographic study. Quality and Safety in Health Care. 2003 Oct 1;12(5):343–7.

41.

Cormack D. The research process in nursing. 4th ed. Oxford: BlackwellScience; 2000.

42.

Ellis P. Understanding research for nursing students [Internet]. 4th edition. London: Learning Matters; 2018. Available from:
<https://app.talis.com/glasgow/player#/modules/5f55ffaa3f2b343bc876562b/resources/5f563aeb3b6d6d6499f453fd>

43.

Parahoo K. Nursing research: principles, process and issues. 3rd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.

44.

Wedderburn C, Rickenbach M. Questionnaires, questionnaires. BMJ. 2011 May 25;

45.

Sonja Eaker. Response Rate to Mailed Epidemiologic Questionnaires: A Population-based Randomized Trial of Variations in Design and Mailing Routines. American Journal of Epidemiology [Internet]. 1998;147(1):74–82. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a009370>

46.

Edwards PJ, Roberts I, Clarke MJ, DiGiseppi C, Wentz R, Kwan I, et al. Methods to increase response to postal and electronic questionnaires. In: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews [Internet]. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 1996. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/14651858.MR000008.pub4>

47.

Mealing NM, Banks E, Jorm LR, Steel DG, Clements MS, Rogers KD. Investigation of relative risk estimates from studies of the same population with contrasting response rates and designs. BMC Medical Research Methodology [Internet]. 2010 Dec;10(1). Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20356408/>

48.

Beauchamp TL, Childress JF. Principles of biomedical ethics. 6th ed. New York, N.Y.: Oxford University Press; 2009.

49.

The Research Governance Framework for Health and Community Care [Internet]. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/139565/dh_4122427.pdf

50.

World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. JAMA. 2013 Nov 27;310(20).

51.

Fitness to practice panel hearing 28th January 2010 [Internet]. Available from: <https://web.archive.org/web/20101213133507/http://briandeer.com/solved/gmc-charge-sheet.pdf>

52.

Hattenstone S. Everybody thought we were toxic waste. The Guardian [Internet]. 2007;

Available from:

<https://advance.lexis.com/api/permalink/2896ba42-33a4-4d2e-94af-ae82cff1a160/?context=1519360&federationidp=8K7S4M60073>

53.

Skloot R. The immortal life of Henrietta Lacks. London: Pan Books; 2011.

54.

Wakefield A, Murch S, Anthony A, Linnell J, Casson D, Malik M, et al. RETRACTED: Ileal-lymphoid-nodular hyperplasia, non-specific colitis, and pervasive developmental disorder in children. The Lancet. 1998 Feb;351(9103):637–41.

55.

Burls A, Hayward Medical Communications Ltd, sanofi aventis (Firm). What is critical appraisal? [Internet]. 2nd ed. Vol. What is ... ? series. London: Hayward Medical Communications; 2009. Available from: http://www.medicine.ox.ac.uk/bandolier/painres/download/whatis/what_is_critical_appraisal.pdf

56.

Parahoo K. Nursing research: principles, process and issues. 3rd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.

57.

García-Berthou E, Alcaraz C. Incongruence between test statistics and P values in medical papers. BMC Medical Research Methodology [Internet]. 2004 Dec;4(1). Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15169550>

58.

Cochrane Collaboration. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions [Internet]. Higgins JPT, Green S, editors. [Oxford]: Cochrane Collaboration; 2022. Available from: <https://handbook.cochrane.org>

59.

Montori VM. Users' guide to detecting misleading claims in clinical research reports. *BMJ*. 2004 Nov 6;329(7474):1093-6.

60.

Alexander M. Strasak, Qamruz Zaman, Gerhard Marinell, Karl P. Pfeiffer and Hanno Ulmer. The Use of Statistics in Medical Research: A Comparison of 'The New England Journal of Medicine' and 'Nature Medicine'. *The American Statistician* [Internet]. 2007;61(1):47-55. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/27643837>

61.

Polit DF, Beck CT. *Nursing research: principles and methods*. 7th ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2004.

62.

Thompson DS, O'Leary K, Jensen E, Scott-Findlay S, O'Brien-Pallas L, Estabrooks CA. The relationship between busyness and research utilization: it is about time. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2008 Jan 14;17(4):539-48.

63.

McCaughan D. Nurse practitioner and practice nurses' use of research information in clinical decision making: findings from an exploratory study. *Family Practice*. 2005 Jun 17;22(5):490-7.

64.

Squires JE, Estabrooks CA, Gustavsson P, Wallin L. Individual determinants of research utilization by nurses: a systematic review update. *Implementation Science*. 2011;6(1).

65.

Tagney J, Haines C. Using evidence-based practice to address gaps in nursing knowledge. *British Journal of Nursing* [Internet]. 2009 Apr 23;18(8):484-9. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19377394>

66.

Thompson C, McCaughan D, Cullum N, Sheldon T, Raynor P. Barriers to evidence-based practice in primary care nursing - why viewing decision-making as context is helpful. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 2005 Nov;52(4):432-44.

67.

Thompson DS, Estabrooks CA, Scott-Findlay S, Moore K, Wallin L. Interventions aimed at increasing research use in nursing: a systematic review. *Implementation Science*. 2007;2(1).