

# FTV4091: Cult Film and Television

View Online



---

[1]

Akira Mizuta Lippit et al. 2003. Round Table: Showgirls. *Film Quarterly*. 56, 3 (2003), 32–46.

[2]

Andrews, D. 2013. *Theorizing art cinemas: foreign, cult, avant-garde, and beyond*. University of Texas Press.

[3]

Antony Todd 2012. *Authorship and the films of David Lynch: aesthetic receptions in contemporary Hollywood*. I.B. Tauris & Co. Ltd.

[4]

Austin, B.A. 1981. Portrait of a Cult Film Audience: The Rocky Horror Picture Show. *Journal of Communication*. 31, 2 (Jun. 1981), 43–54.

[5]

Barker, M. et al. 2006. Menstrual monsters: the reception of the Ginger Snaps cult horror franchise. [Intellect].

[6]

Barry K Grant 1991. Science fiction double feature: Ideology in the cult film. *The Cult film experience: beyond all reason*. J P Telotte, ed. University of Texas Press. 122–137.

[7]

Barry Keith Grant 2008. Auteurs and Authorship: A film reader. Blackwell Publishing.

[8]

Bill Warren 2010. Keep watching the skies!: American science fiction movies of the fifties. McFarland & Co.

[9]

Church, D. 2015. Grindhouse nostalgia: memory, home video and exploitation film fandom. Edinburgh University Press.

[10]

Clayton, W. and Harman, S. 2014. Screening Twilight: critical approaches to a cinematic phenomenon. I. B. Tauris.

[11]

Cult Survey: <http://cultsurvey.org/index.shtml>.

[12]

Cult Survey: <http://cultsurvey.org/index.shtml>.

[13]

Daniel Martin 2015. Extreme Asia: the rise of cult cinema from the Far East. Edinburgh University Press.

[14]

Danny Peary 1981. Cult movies: the classics, the sleepers, the weird, and the wonderful. Delacorte Press.

[15]

Danny Peary 1981. *Cult movies: the classics, the sleepers, the weird, and the wonderful*. Delacorte Press.

[16]

David Church 2011. *Freakery, Cult Films, and the Problem of Ambivalence*. *Journal of Film and Video*. 63, 1 (2011), 03-17.

[17]

David Lavery ed. 2010. *The essential cult TV reader*. University Press of Kentucky.

[18]

David Lavery ed. 2010. *The essential cult TV reader*. University Press of Kentucky.

[19]

David Ray Carter 2011. *Cinemasochism: Bad movies and the people who love them*. In *the peanut gallery with Mystery Science Theater 3000: essays on film, fandom, technology, and the culture of riffing*. R.G. Weiner and S.E. Barba, eds. McFarland.

[20]

Davis, B. 2012. *The battle for the Bs: 1950s Hollywood and the rebirth of low-budget cinema*. Rutgers University Press.

[21]

Dawson Books 2013. *The works of Tim Burton: margins to mainstream*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[22]

Deborah Cartmell et al. eds. 1997. *Trash aesthetics: popular culture and its audience*. Pluto Press.

[23]

Ebooks Corporation Limited 2010. *The cult TV book*. I.B. Tauris.

[24]

Ebooks Corporation Limited 2010. *The cult TV book*. I.B. Tauris.

[25]

Elena Gorfinkel 2008. Cult Film or Cinephilia by Any Other Name. *Cinéaste*. 34, 1 (2008), 33–38.

[26]

Eric Schaefer 1999. *'Bold! Daring! Shocking! True!': a history of exploitation films, 1919-1959*. Duke University Press.

[27]

Ernest Mathijs 2005. Bad reputations: the reception of 'trash' cinema. *Screen*. 46, 4 (Dec. 2005), 451–472. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/screen/46.4.451>.

[28]

Ernest Mathijs 2005. Bad reputations: the reception of 'trash' cinema. *Screen*. 46, 4 (Dec. 2005), 451–472. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/screen/46.4.451>.

[29]

Ernest Mathijs 2003. The making of a cult reputation: Topicality and controversy in the critical reception of *Shivers*. *Defining cult movies: the cultural politics of oppositional taste. Inside popular film*, (2003), 109–126.

[30]

Ernest Mathijs and Xavier Mendik eds. 2008. *The cult film reader*. McGraw Hill/Open University Press.

[31]

Ernest Mathijs and Xavier Mendik eds. 2008. *The cult film reader*. McGraw Hill/Open University Press.

[32]

Gary Hentzi 1993. Little Cinema of Horrors. *Film Quarterly*. 46, 3 (1993), 22–27.

[33]

Geraghty, L. 2014. *Cult collectors: nostalgia, fandom and collecting popular culture*. Routledge.

[34]

Geraghty, L. 2015. *Popular media cultures: fans, audiences and paratexts*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[35]

Gwenllian-Jones, S. and Pearson, R.E. eds. 2004. *Cult Television*. University of Minnesota Press.

[36]

Gwenllian-Jones, S. and Pearson, R.E. eds. 2004. *Cult Television*. University of Minnesota Press.

[37]

Harry M. Benshoff 2000. Blaxploitation Horror Films: Generic Reappropriation or Reinscription? *Cinema Journal*. 39, 2 (2000), 31–50.

[38]

Helen Merrick 1997. The readers feminism doesn't see: Feminist fans, critics and science fiction. *Trash aesthetics: popular culture and its audience*. Film/fiction, (1997), 48-65.

[39]

Henry Jenkins 1992. 'Get a Life!': Fans, Poachers, Nomads. *Textual Poachers: Television Fans and Participatory Culture*. Routledge. 9-49.

[40]

Hill, R.F. 2016. Science fiction and the cult of Ed Wood: Glen or Glenda?, Bride of the Monster, and Plan 9 From Outer Space. *Science fiction double feature: the science fiction film as cult text*. J.P. Telotte and G. Duchovnay, eds. Liverpool University Press. 172-189.

[41]

Hills, M. 2015. Cult cinema and the 'mainstreaming' discourse of technological change: revisiting subcultural capital in liquid modernity. *New Review of Film and Television Studies*. 13, 1 (Jan. 2015), 100-121. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/17400309.2014.982928>.

[42]

Hills, M. 2012. *Cult Movies With and Without Stars: Differentiating Discourses of Stardom*. *Cult film stardom: Offbeat attractions and processes of cultification*. Palgrave Macmillan. 21-36.

[43]

Hills, M. and Sexton, J. 2015. Cult cinema and technological change. *New Review of Film and Television Studies*. 13, 1 (Jan. 2015), 1-11. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/17400309.2014.989007>.

[44]

Hills, M. and Williams, R. 2005. 'It's all my interpretation': Reading Spike through the subcultural celebrity of James Marsters. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 8, 3 (Aug. 2005), 345–365. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549405054866>.

[45]

Hunter, I.Q. 2016. *Cult film as a guide to life: fandom, adaptation, and identity*. Bloomsbury Academic.

[46]

Hunter, I.Q. 2014. Trash Horror and the Cult of the Bad Film. A companion to the horror film. H.M. Benshoff, ed. Wiley Blackwell. 483–500.

[47]

I Q Hunter Beaver Las Vegas! A fan-boy's defence of Showgirls. *Unruly Pleasures*. Graeme Harper and Xavier Mendik, eds. FAB Press. 187–202.

[48]

Iain Robert Smith 2011. Bootleg Archives: Notes on BitTorrent Communities and Issues of Access. *Flow TV*. 2, 14 (2011).

[49]

Imelda Whelehan and Esther Sonnet 1997. Regendered reading: Tank Girl and Postmodernist Intertextuality. *Trash aesthetics: popular culture and its audience*. *Film/fiction*, (1997), 31–47.

[50]

J Hoberman 1962. Bad Movies. *Film Comment*. July/August 1980 (1962), 7–12.

[51]

J. Hoberman and Jonathan Rosenbaum 1991. *Midnight movies*. Da Capo Press.

[52]

J. Hoberman and Jonathan Rosenbaum 1991. *Midnight movies*. Da Capo Press.

[53]

J P Telotte 1991. *Beyond all reason: The nature of the cult. The Cult film experience: beyond all reason*. J P Telotte, ed. University of Texas Press. 5-17.

[54]

J P Telotte ed. 1991. *The Cult film experience: beyond all reason*. University of Texas Press.

[55]

Jacinda Read 2003. *The cult of masculinity: From fan-boys to academic bad-boys. Defining cult movies: the cultural politics of oppositional taste*. *Inside popular film*, (2003), 54-70.

[56]

Jamie Sexton *From Bad to Good and Back to Bad Again? Cult Cinema and Its Unstable Trajectory*. *B Is for Bad Cinema*. Claire Perkins and Constantine Verevis, eds. 129-148.

[57]

Jamie Sexton and Ernest Mathijs *Cult Stardom*. *Cult Cinema*. 76-85.

[58]

Jamie Sexton and Ernest Mathijs 22AD. *Introduction*. *Cult Cinema*. Wiley-Blackwell; 1 edition. 1-10.

[59]



Jamie Sexton, M.H. ed. 2017. *Transnational Cinemas: Vol 8, No 1.* (2017).

[60]

Jancovich, M. 2002. Cult fictions: Cult movies, subcultural capital and the production of cultural distinctions. *Cultural Studies*. 16, 2 (Mar. 2002), 306–322.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09502380110107607>.

[61]

Jancovich, M. 2002. Cult fictions: Cult movies, subcultural capital and the production of cultural distinctions. *Cultural Studies*. 16, 2 (Mar. 2002), 306–322.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09502380110107607>.

[62]

Jeffrey Sconce 2003. Esper, the renunciator: Teaching 'bad' movies to good students. *Defining cult movies: the cultural politics of oppositional taste.* Mark Jancovich et al., eds. Manchester University Press. 14–34.

[63]

Jeffrey Sconce 2007. *Movies: A century of failure. Sleaze artists: cinema at the margins of taste, style, and politics.* Jeffrey Sconce, ed. Duke University Press. 273–310.

[64]

Jeffrey Sconce ed. 2007. *Sleaze artists: cinema at the margins of taste, style, and politics.* Duke University Press.

[65]

Jeffrey Sconce 1995. 'Trashing' the academy: taste, excess, and an emerging politics of cinematic style. *Screen*. 36, 4 (Dec. 1995), 371–393.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/screen/36.4.371>.

[66]

Jeffrey Todd Adams 2015. *The cinema of the Coen brothers: hard-boiled entertainments*. Columbia University Press.

[67]

Jim Smith 2005. *Tarantino*. Virgin Books.

[68]

Joan Hawkins 2000. *Cutting Edge: Art horror and the horrific avant-garde*. University of Minnesota Press.

[69]

Joan Hawkins 2000. *Cutting Edge: Art horror and the horrific avant-garde*. University of Minnesota Press.

[70]

Joan Hawkins 2000. From horror to avant-garde: Tod Browning's *Freaks*. *Cutting Edge: Art horror and the horrific avant-garde*. University of Minnesota Press. 141–168.

[71]

Joan Hawkins 2000. Sleaze Mania, Euro-Trash, and High Art: The Place of European Art Films in American Low Culture. *Film Quarterly*. 53, 2 (2000), 14–29.

[72]

Joanne Hollows 2003. The Masculinity of Cult. *Defining cult movies: the cultural politics of oppositional taste*. Mark Jancovich et al., eds. Manchester University Press. 35–53.

[73]

Joe Bob Briggs, J. Hoberman, Damien Love, Tim Lucas, Danny Peary, Jeffrey Sconce and Peter Stanfield 2008. Cult cinema: A critical symposium. *Cinéaste*. 34, 1 (2008), 43–50.

[74]

John Tulloch and Henry Jenkins 1995. Science fiction audiences: watching Doctor Who and Star Trek. Routledge.

[75]

John Tulloch and Henry Jenkins 1995. Science fiction audiences: watching Doctor Who and Star Trek. Routledge.

[76]

Jowett, L. 2010. Representation: Exploring issues of sex, gender, and race in cult television. The cult TV book. S. Abbott, ed. I.B. Tauris. 107–113.

[77]

Jowett, L. and Abbott, S. 2013. TV horror: investigating the darker side of the small screen. I.B. Tauris.

[78]

Kate Egan 2007. Trash or treasure?: censorship and the changing meanings of the video nasties. Manchester University Press.

[79]

Kate Egan and Sarah Thomas eds. 2012. Cult film stardom: Offbeat attractions and processes of cultification. Palgrave Macmillan.

[80]

Kate Egan and Sarah Thomas eds. 2012. Cult film stardom: Offbeat attractions and processes of cultification. Palgrave Macmillan.

[81]

Kevin Heffernan 2007. Art house or house of exorcism? The changing distribution and

reception contexts of Mario Bava's *Lisa and the Devil*. *Sleaze artists: cinema at the margins of taste, style, and politics*. Jeffrey Sconce, ed. Duke University Press. 144–166.

[82]

Klinger, B. 2010. *Becoming cult: The Big Lebowski, replay culture and male fans*. *Screen*. 51, 1 (Mar. 2010), 1–20. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/screen/hjp055>.

[83]

Lavery, D. 1995. *Full of secrets: critical approaches to Twin Peaks*. Wayne State University Press.

[84]

Leon Hunt 2013. *Cult British TV comedy: from Reeves and Mortimer to Psychoville*. Manchester University Press.

[85]

Leon Hunt 2003. *Kung Fu cult masters: Stardom, performance and 'authenticity' in Hong Kong martial arts films*. *Defining cult movies: the cultural politics of oppositional taste*. Manchester University Press.

[86]

Lisa Bode 2010. *Transitional tastes: Teen girls and genre in the critical reception of Twilight*. *Continuum: Journal of Media & Cultural Studies*. 24, 5 (Oct. 2010), 707–719.

[87]

Lorna Jowett 2016. *Nightmare in red? Twin Peaks parody, homage, intertextuality, and mash-up*. *Return to Twin Peaks: new approaches to materiality, theory, and genre on television*. J.A. Weinstock and C. Spooner, eds. Palgrave Macmillan.

[88]

MacDowell, J. and Zborowski, J. 2013. The aesthetics of 'so bad it's good': Value, intention, and *The Room*. *Intensities: The Journal of Cult Media*. 6 (2013).

[89]

Mark Jancovich 2000. 'A Real Shocker': Authenticity, genre and the struggle for distinction. *Continuum*. 14, 1 (Apr. 2000), 23–35. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/713657675>.

[90]

Mark Jancovich et al. eds. 2003. *Defining cult movies: the cultural politics of oppositional taste*. Manchester University Press.

[91]

Mark Jancovich 1996. *Rational fears: American horror in the 1950s*. Manchester University Press.

[92]

Mark Jancovich and James Lyons eds. 2003. *Quality popular television: cult TV, the industry and fans*. British Film Institute.

[93]

Mark Jancovich and James Lyons eds. 2003. *Quality popular television: cult TV, the industry and fans*. British Film Institute.

[94]

Martin, A. 2008. What's Cult Got To Do With It?: In Defense of Cinephile Elitism. *Cinéaste*. 34, 1 (2008), 39–42.

[95]

Martin Barker et al. 2008. The Crash controversy: Reviewing the press. *The cult film reader*. (2008), 456–471.

[96]

Mathijs, E. and Sexton, J. 2011. *Cult cinema: an introduction*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

[97]

Matt Hills 2003. *Defining Cult TV: Texts, inter-texts and fan audiences*. *The Television Studies Reader*. Robert C Allen and Annette Hill, eds. Routledge. 509–523.

[98]

Matt Hills 2015. *Veronica Mars, fandom, and the 'Affective Economics' of crowdfunding poachers*. *New Media & Society*. 17, 2 (Feb. 2015), 183–197.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444814558909>.

[99]

Matt Hills and Dawson Books 2002. *Introduction: Who's who? Academics, fans, scholar fans and fan scholars*. *Fan cultures*. Routledge.

[100]

McCarthy, T. and Flynn, C. 1975. *Kings of the Bs: working within the Hollywood system : an anthology of film history and criticism*. E. P. Dutton.

[101]

McCulloch, R. 2011. *'Most people bring their own spoons': The Room's participatory audiences as comedy mediators*. *Participations*. 8, 2 (2011).

[102]

Mendik, X. and Harper, G. 2000. *Unruly pleasures: the cult film and its critics*. FAB Press.

[103]

Michael J Bowen 2002. Doris Wishman meets the avant-garde. *Underground USA: filmmaking beyond the Hollywood canon.* (2002), 109–122.

[104]

Mikita Brottman *Star cults/ cult stars: Cinema, psychosis, celebrity, death. Unruly Pleasures.* Graeme Harper and Xavier Mendik, eds. FAB Press. 103–120.

[105]

Moya Luckett 2003. *Sexploitation as feminine territory: The films of Doris Wishman. Defining cult movies: the cultural politics of oppositional taste.* Mark Jancovich et al., eds. Manchester University Press. 142–156.

[106]

Nathan Hunt 2003. *The importance of trivia: ownership, exclusion and authority in science fiction fandom. Defining cult movies: the cultural politics of oppositional taste.* Mark Jancovich et al., eds. Manchester University Press.

[107]

Oppenheim, P. 2013. *Grave expectations: Vampira and her audiences, 1954-1956. Intensities: The Journal of Cult Media.* 6 (2013).

[108]

Paul Watson 1997. *There's no accounting for taste: Exploitation cinema and the limits of film theory. Trash aesthetics: popular culture and its audience. Film/fiction,* (1997), 66–83.

[109]

Pauline Kael 1994. *Trash, Art and the Movies. Going steady: film writings, 1968-1969.* M. Boyars. 85–130.

[110]

Pender, P. 2016. *I'm Buffy and you're history: Buffy the vampire slayer and contemporary feminism*. I.B. Tauris.

[111]

Perkins, C. and Verevis, C. eds. 2014. *B is for bad cinema: aesthetics, politics, and cultural value*. SUNY Press.

[112]

Peter Hutchings 2003. The Argento effect. *Defining cult movies: the cultural politics of oppositional taste*. Inside popular film, (2003), 127–141.

[113]

Pett, E. 2015. A new media landscape? The BBFC, extreme cinema as cult, and technological change. *New Review of Film and Television Studies*. 13, 1 (Jan. 2015), 83–99. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/17400309.2014.982910>.

[114]

Pezzotta, E. 2013. *Stanley Kubrick: adapting the sublime*. University Press of Mississippi.

[115]

Pierre Bourdieu 1984. Introduction. *Distinction: a social critique of the judgement of taste*. Routledge & K. Paul. 1–7.

[116]

Rebecca Feasey 2003. 'Sharon Stone, screen diva': Stardom, femininity and cult fandom. *Defining cult movies: the cultural politics of oppositional taste*. Mark Jancovich et al., eds. Manchester University Press. 172–184.

[117]

Robert S. Birchard 1995. Edward D. Wood, Jr.: Some Notes on a Subject for Further



Research. *Film History*. 7, 4 (1995), 450–455.

[118]

Roberta E Pearson 2004. 'Bright particular star': Patrick Stewart, Jean-Luc Picard, and Cult Television. *Cult television*. (2004), 61–82.

[119]

Roger Corman and Jim Jerome 1989. *How I Made a Hundred Movies in Hollywood and Never Lost a Dime*. Random House.

[120]

Routt, W.D. Bad For Good. *Intensities: The Journal of Cult Media*. 2.

[121]

Sanjek, D. 1990. Fans' Notes: The Horror Film Fanzine. *Literature/Film Quarterly*. 3 (1990).

[122]

Sexton, J. 2017. The allure of otherness: transnational cult film fandom and the exoticist assumption. *Transnational Cinemas*. 8, 1 (Jan. 2017), 5–19.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/20403526.2016.1245922>.

[123]

Sexton, J. and Mathijs, E. 2011. *The Cult Auteur*. *Cult cinema: an introduction*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd. 67–75.

[124]

Smith, I.R. 2018. *The Hollywood Meme*. EDINBURGH UNIVERSITY PRESS.

[125]

Susan Sontag 2009. Notes on 'Camp'. Against Interpretation and Other Essays. Penguin Classics.

[126]

Tania Modleski 2007. Women's cinema as counterphobic cinema: Doris Wishman as the last auteur. Sleaze artists: cinema at the margins of taste, style, and politics. Jeffrey Sconce, ed. Duke University Press. 47–70.

[127]

Tatsumi, T. 2016. Transnational interactions: District 9, or Apaches in Johannesburg. Science fiction double feature: the science fiction film as cult text. J.P. Telotte and G. Duchovnay, eds. Liverpool University Press.

[128]

Telotte, J.P. 2016. Robot Monster and the 'watchable... terrible' cult/SF film. Science fiction double feature: the science fiction film as cult text. J.P. Telotte and G. Duchovnay, eds. Liverpool University Press. 159–170.

[129]

Thomas, S. 2012. 'Marginal moments of spectacle': Character Actors, Cult Stardom and Hollywood Cinema. Cult film stardom: Offbeat attractions and processes of cultification. Palgrave Macmillan. 37–54.

[130]

Victoria Ruétalo and Dolores Tierney eds. 2009. Latsploitation, exploitation cinemas, and Latin America. Routledge.

[131]

Wade Jennings 1991. The star as cult icon: Judy Garland. The Cult film experience: beyond all reason. University of Texas Press.

[132]

Waters, J. 2005. Shock value: a tasteful book about bad taste. Thunder's Mouth Press.

[133]

Weiner, R.G. and Barba, S.E. 2011. In the peanut gallery with Mystery Science Theater 3000: essays on film, fandom, technology, and the culture of riffing. McFarland.

[134]

Xavier Mendik and Stephen Jay Schneider 2002. A tasteless art: Waters, Kaufman and the pursuit of 'pure' gross-out. *Underground USA: filmmaking beyond the Hollywood canon.* (2002), 204-220.

[135]

Xavier Mendik and Stephen Jay Schneider eds. 2002. *Underground USA: filmmaking beyond the Hollywood canon.* Wallflower.

[136]

Zubernis, L.S. and Larsen, K. 2012. *Fandom at the crossroads: celebration, shame and fan/producer relationships.* Cambridge Scholars.

[137]

Intensities: The Journal of Cult Media.