

# Post-colonial IR theory

View Online



---

1.

Royal Institute of International Affairs, EBSCO Publishing (Firm), JSTOR (Organization). International affairs. Published online 1944.

2.

Thomas J. Watson, Jr. Institute for International Studies (Brown University), EBSCO Publishing (Firm), William S. Hein & Company. The Brown journal of world affairs.

3.

British International Studies Association, Cambridge University Press. Review of international studies. Published online 1981.

4.

Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, JSTOR (Organization), M.I.T. Press, Thomson Gale (Firm). International security.

5.

Security studies.

6.

EBSCO Publishing (Firm). Global environmental politics. Published online 2001.

7.

Environmental politics. Published online 1992.

8.

International Institute for Strategic Studies, Oxford University Press. Survival.

9.

University of Cambridge. Centre of International Studies. Cambridge review of international affairs.

10.

Taylor & Francis. Contemporary politics.

11.

LexisNexis (Firm). Politics. Published online 2008.

12.

SpringerLink (Online service). International politics.

13.

International feminist journal of politics.

14.

Political Studies Association of the United Kingdom, EBSCO Publishing (Firm). British journal of politics and international relations.

15.

European journal of international relations.

16.

Thomson Gale (Firm), William S. Hein & Company. Global governance: a review of multilateralism and international organizations. Published online 1995.

17.

International Studies Association, JSTOR (Organization). International studies quarterly. Published online 1967.

18.

International Studies Association, JSTOR (Organization). International studies review.

19.

International Studies Association. Foreign policy analysis.

20.

London School of Economics and Political Science. Millennium: journal of international studies.

21.

American Political Science Association, JSTOR (Organization). Perspectives on politics.

22.

E-International Relations — the world's leading open access website for students and scholars of international politics. <https://www.e-ir.info/>

23.

Foreign Policy – the Global Magazine of News and Ideas. <https://foreignpolicy.com/>

24.

Foreign Affairs Magazine: analysis and debate of foreign policy, geopolitics and global affairs. <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/>

25.

Duck of Minerva. <http://duckofminerva.com/>

26.

Political Violence at a Glance. <http://politicalviolenceataglance.org/>

27.

The Disorder Of Things | For the Relentless Criticism of All Existing Conditions Since 2010. <https://thedisorderofthings.com/>

28.

Seth S. Postcolonial Theory and the Critique of International Relations. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2011;40(1):167-183. doi:10.1177/0305829811412325

29.

Darby P, Paolini AJ. Bridging International Relations and Postcolonialism. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*. 1994;19(3). <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/40644813>

30.

Agathangelou AM, Ling LHM. The House of IR: From Family Power Politics to the Poisies of Worldism. *International Studies Review*. 2004;6(4). <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3699724>

31.

Epstein C. The Postcolonial Perspective: An Introduction. *International Theory*. 2014;6(2):294-311.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/intheory6&id=304>

32.

Ebooks Corporation Limited. *Race and Racism in International Relations: Confronting the Global Colour Line*. (Anievas A, Manchanda N, Shilliam R, eds.). Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2015. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1829364>

33.

Henderson EA. Hidden in plain sight: racism in international relations theory. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*. 2013;26(1):71-92. doi:10.1080/09557571.2012.710585

34.

Krishna S. Race, Amnesia, and the Education of International Relations. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*. 2001;26(4).  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/40645028>

35.

Henderson EA. The Revolution Will Not Be Theorised: Du Bois, Locke, and the Howard School's Challenge to White Supremacist IR Theory. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2017;45(3):492-510. doi:10.1177/0305829817694246

36.

Moffette D, Walters WHC. Flickering Presence: Theorizing Race and Racism in the Governmentality of Borders and Migration. *Studies in Social Justice*. 2018;12(1):92-110. doi:10.26522/ssj.v12i1.1630

37.

Vitalis R. The Graceful and Generous Liberal Gesture: Making Racism Invisible in American International Relations. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2000;29(2):331-356.

doi:10.1177/03058298000290020701

38.

Vitalis R. *White World Order, Black Power Politics: The Birth of American International Relations*. Cornell University Press; 2015.

39.

Owens P. Racism in the Theory Canon: Hannah Arendt and 'the One Great Crime in Which America Was Never Involved'. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2017;45(3):403-424. doi:10.1177/0305829817695880

40.

Jacquin-Berdal D, Oros A, Verweij M. *Culture in World Politics*. Macmillan Press; 1998.

41.

Ling LHM. *World Politics in Colour*. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2017;45(3):473-491. doi:10.1177/0305829817703192

42.

Ebooks Corporation Limited. *Race and Racism in International Relations: Confronting the Global Colour Line*. (Anievas A, Manchanda N, Shilliam R, eds.). Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2015. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1829364>

43.

Thakur V, Davis AE, Vale P. Imperial Mission, 'Scientific' Method: an Alternative Account of the Origins of IR. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2017;46(1):3-23. doi:10.1177/0305829817711911

44.

Chowdhry G, Nair S. *Power, Postcolonialism and International Relations: Reading Race, Gender and Class*. Vol 16. Routledge; 2004.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203166345>

45.

Hobson JM. *The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics: Western International Theory, 1760–2010*. Cambridge University Press; 2012.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139096829>

46.

Hobson JM, American Council of Learned Societies. *The Eastern Origins of Western Civilisation*. Cambridge University Press; 2004.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://hdl.handle.net/2027/heb.31054>

47.

Millennium (2014) vol.42, no.2.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://journals.sagepub.com/toc/mila/42/2>

48.

Postcolonial Studies: Vol 19, No 2.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/cpcs20/19/2?nav=tocList>

49.

Hobson JM, American Council of Learned Societies. *The Eastern Origins of Western Civilisation*. Cambridge University Press; 2004.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://hdl.handle.net/2027/heb.31054>

50.

Hobson JM. *The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics: Western International Theory, 1760–2010*. Cambridge University Press; 2012.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139096829>

51.

Barkawi T, Stanski K, eds. *Orientalism and War*. Oxford University Press; 2014.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199327782.001.0001>

52.

Said EW. *Orientalism*. Penguin Books; 2003.

53.

de Carvalho B, Leira H, Hobson JM. The Big Bangs of IR: The Myths That Your Teachers Still Tell You about 1648 and 1919. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2011;39(3):735-758. doi:10.1177/0305829811401459

54.

Hobson JM. Is critical theory always for the white West and for Western imperialism? Beyond Westphalian towards a post-racist critical IR. *Review of International Studies*. 2007;33(S1). doi:10.1017/S0260210507007413

55.

Acharya A, Buzan B. Preface: Why is there no non-Western IR theory: reflections on and from Asia. *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific*. 2007;7(3):285-286.  
doi:10.1093/irap/lcm011

56.

Matin K. Redeeming the universal: Postcolonialism and the inner life of Eurocentrism. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2013;19(2):353-377.  
doi:10.1177/1354066111425263

57.

Vasilaki R. Provincialising IR? Deadlocks and Prospects in Post-Western IR Theory. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2012;41(1):3-22.  
doi:10.1177/0305829812451720



58.

Hall M, Hobson JM. Liberal International theory: Eurocentric but not always Imperialist? *International Theory*. 2010;2(02):210-245. doi:10.1017/S1752971909990261

59.

Biswas S. Empire and Global Public Intellectuals: Reading Edward Said as an International Relations Theorist. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2007;36(1):117-133. doi:10.1177/03058298070360010801

60.

Sabaratnam M. Avatars of Eurocentrism in the critique of the liberal peace. *Security Dialogue*. 2013;44(3):259-278. doi:10.1177/0967010613485870

61.

Hobson JM. Provincializing Westphalia: The Eastern origins of sovereignty. *International Politics*. 2009;46(6):671-690. doi:10.1057/ip.2009.22

62.

Inayatullah N, Blaney DL. *International Relations and the Problem of Difference*. Routledge; 2004.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203644096>

63.

Shaw K. Indigeneity and the International. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2002;31(1):55-81. doi:10.1177/03058298020310010401

64.

Little War on the Prairie. <https://www.thisamericanlife.org/479/little-war-on-the-prairie>

65.

Chakravorty GS. Can The Subaltern Speak?  
<https://archive.org/details/CanTheSubalternSpeak>

66.

Mohammed Ayoob. Inequality and Theorizing in International Relations: The Case for Subaltern Realism. *International Studies Review*. 2002;4(3).  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3186462>

67.

Byrd JA, Rothberg M. Between subalternity and indigeneity: Critical categories for postcolonial studies. *Interventions*. 2011;13(1):1-12. doi:10.1080/1369801X.2011.545574

68.

Enloe CH. *The Curious Feminist: Searching for Women in a New Age of Empire*. University of California Press; 2004.

69.

Kyle Grayson. Dissidence, Richard K. Ashley, and the politics of silence. *Review of International Studies*. 2010;36(4).  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/40961965>

70.

Warrior R. The subaltern can dance, and so sometimes can the intellectual. *Interventions*. 2011;13(1):85-94. doi:10.1080/1369801X.2011.545579

71.

Bhabra GK, Shilliam R. *Silencing Human Rights: Critical Engagements with a Contested Project*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2009.

72.

Dingli S. We need to talk about silence: Re-examining silence in International Relations theory. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2015;21(4):721-742.  
doi:10.1177/1354066114568033

73.

Spivak GC. *Can the Subaltern Speak?: Reflections on the History of an Idea*. (Morris RC, ed.). Columbia University Press; 2010.

74.

J. Maggio. 'Can the Subaltern Be Heard?': Political Theory, Translation, Representation, and Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*. 2007;32(4).  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/40645229>

75.

Tickner AB, Blaney DL, eds. *Thinking International Relations Differently*. Vol 2. Routledge; 2012.

76.

Blaney DL, Tickner AB. Worlding, Ontological Politics and the Possibility of a Decolonial IR. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2017;45(3):293-311.  
doi:10.1177/0305829817702446

77.

Anna M. Agathangelou and L. H. M. Ling. The House of IR: From Family Power Politics to the Poisies of Worldism. *International Studies Review*. 2004;6(4).  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3699724>

78.

Ling LHM. *The Dao of World Politics: Towards a Post-Westphalian, Worldist International Relations*. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2014.

79.

Seth S, ed. *Postcolonial Theory and International Relations: A Critical Introduction*. Routledge; 2013.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203073025>

80.

Chakrabarty D, Ebooks Corporation Limited. *Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference*. [New ed.]. Princeton University Press; 2008.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=581797>

81.

Seth S, ed. *Postcolonial Theory and International Relations: A Critical Introduction*. Routledge; 2013.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203073025>

82.

Grovogui SN. Regimes of Sovereignty: International Morality and the African Condition. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2002;8(3):315-338.

doi:10.1177/1354066102008003001

83.

Grovogui SN. *Sovereigns, Quasi Sovereigns, and Africans: Race and Self-Determination in International Law*. Vol v. 3. University of Minnesota Press; 1996.

84.

Dunn KC, Shaw TM. *Africa's Challenge to International Relations Theory*. Palgrave; 2013.

85.

Tickner AB, Blaney DL. *Claiming the International*. Routledge; 2013.

86.

Tickner AB, Blaney DL, eds. *Thinking International Relations Differently*. Vol 2. Routledge; 2012.

87.

Charles T. Call. The Fallacy of the 'Failed State'. *Third World Quarterly*. 2008;29(8). <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20455126>

88.

Dingli S. Is the Failed State Thesis Analytically Useful? The Case of Yemen. *Politics*. 2013;33(2):91-100. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9256.2012.01453.x

89.

Hobson JM. Provincializing Westphalia: The Eastern origins of sovereignty. *International Politics*. 2009;46(6):671-690. doi:10.1057/ip.2009.22

90.

Barkawi T. Decolonising war. *European Journal of International Security*. 2016;1(02):199-214. doi:10.1017/eis.2016.7

91.

Tarak Barkawi. On the Pedagogy of 'Small Wars'. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*. 2004;80(1). <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3569291>

92.

Satia P. From Colonial Air Attacks to Drones in Pakistan. *New Perspectives Quarterly*. 2009;26(3):34-37. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5842.2009.01091.x

93.

Moyn S. Drones and Imagination: A Response to Paul Kahn. *European Journal of International Law*. 2013;24(1):227-233. doi:10.1093/ejil/cht011

94.

Barkawi T, Stanski K, eds. *Orientalism and War*. Oxford University Press; 2014.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199327782.001.0001>

95.

Gregory D. *The Colonial Present: Afghanistan, Palestine, and Iraq*. Blackwell Publishing; 2004.

96.

Agathangelou AM, Ling LHM. Power and Play through Poisies: Reconstructing Self and Other in the 9/11 Commission Report. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2005;33(3):827-853. doi:10.1177/03058298050330030701

97.

Anna M. Agathangelou and L. H. M. Ling. Power, Borders, Security, Wealth: Lessons of Violence and Desire from September 11. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2004;48(3).  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3693521>

98.

Barkawi T, Laffey M. *Democracy, Liberalism, and War: Rethinking the Democratic Peace Debate*. Lynne Rienner Publishers; 2001.

99.

Tarak Barkawi. Globalization, Culture, and War: On the Popular Mediation of 'Small Wars'. *Cultural Critique*. 2004;(58).  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4140775>

100.

Hobson JM. Reconstructing International Relations Through World History: Oriental Globalization and the Global-Dialogic Conception of Inter-Civilizational Relations. *International Politics*. 2007;44(4):414-430. doi:10.1057/palgrave.ip.8800198

101.

Chowdhry G, Nair S. *Power, Postcolonialism and International Relations: Reading Race, Gender and Class*. Vol 16. Routledge; 2004.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203166345>

102.

Krishna S, Dawson Books. *Globalization and Postcolonialism: Hegemony and Resistance in the Twenty-First Century*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc; 2009.  
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780742557642>

103.

Hobson JM. Part 2 – Reconstructing the non-Eurocentric foundations of IPE: From Eurocentric 'open economy politics' to inter-civilizational political economy. *Review of International Political Economy*. 2013;20(5):1055-1081.  
doi:10.1080/09692290.2012.733498

104.

Seth S, ed. *Postcolonial Theory and International Relations: A Critical Introduction*. Routledge; 2013.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203073025>

105.

Hobson JM, American Council of Learned Societies. *The Eastern Origins of Western Civilisation*. Cambridge University Press; 2004.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://hdl.handle.net/2027/heb.31054>

106.

Tickner AB, Blaney DL, eds. *Thinking International Relations Differently*. Vol 2. Routledge; 2012.

107.

Anievas A, Nisancioglu K. What's at Stake in the Transition Debate? Rethinking the Origins of Capitalism and the 'Rise of the West'. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2013;42(1):78-102. doi:10.1177/0305829813497823

108.

Chowdhry G, Nair S. *Power, Postcolonialism and International Relations: Reading Race, Gender and Class*. Vol 16. Routledge; 2004.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203166345>

109.

Hobson JM. What's at Stake in the Neo-Trotskyist Debate? Towards a Non-Eurocentric Historical Sociology of Uneven and Combined Development. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2011;40(1):147-166. doi:10.1177/0305829811412653

110.

Mahmood S. *Politics of Piety: The Islamic Revival and the Feminist Subject*. Princeton University Press; 2005.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://hdl.handle.net/2027/heb.04721>

111.

Dingli S, Cooke TN, eds. *Political Silence: Meanings, Functions and Ambiguity*. Routledge; 2019.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315104928>

112.

Saba Mahmood. *Feminist Theory, Embodiment, and the Docile Agent: Some Reflections on*



the Egyptian Islamic Revival. *Cultural Anthropology*. 2001;16(2).  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/656537>

113.

Mahmood S. Feminist theory, embodiment, and the docile agent: Some reflections on the Egyptian Islamic revival. *Cultural Anthropology*. 2001;16(2):202-236.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/656537>

114.

Interview: Saba Mahmood.  
<http://thelightinhereyesmovie.com/resources/interview-saba-mahmood/>

115.

Eltahawy M. *Headscarves and Hymens: Why the Middle East Needs a Sexual Revolution*. Weidenfeld & Nicolson; 2016.

116.

Ahmed L. *A Quiet Revolution: The Veil's Resurgence, from the Middle East to America*. Yale University Press; 2011.

117.

Odeh LA. Post-colonial feminism and the veil: Thinking the difference. *Feminist Review*. 1993;(43):26-37. doi:10.2307/1395067

118.

Golley NA. Is feminism relevant to Arab women? *Third World Quarterly*. 2004;25(3):521-536. doi:10.1080/0143659042000191410

119.

Read JG, Bartkowski JP. To veil or not to veil? A case study of identity negotiation among Muslim women in Austin, Texas. *Gender and Society*. 2000;14(3):395-417.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/190135>

120.

El Guindi F. Gendered resistance, feminist veiling, Islamic feminism. *Ahfad Journal*. 2005;22(1):53-78.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.galegroup.com/apps/doc/A134680612/AONE?u=glasuni&sid=AONE&xid=9c6b0552>

121.

Abu-Lughod L. Do Muslim Women Really Need Saving? Anthropological Reflections on Cultural Relativism and Its Others. *American Anthropologist*. 2002;104(3):783-790.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3567256>

122.

Deeb L. Piety politics and the role of a transnational feminist analysis. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 2009;15:S112-S126. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9655.2009.01545.x

123.

Ahmed AS, Donnan H. *Islam, Globalization and Postmodernity*. Routledge; 1994.

124.

Torab A. Piety as gendered agency: a study of jalaseh ritual discourse in an urban neighbourhood in Iran. *The Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*.

1996;2(2):235-252. doi:10.2307/3034094

125.

El Guindi F. *Veil: Modesty, Privacy and Resistance*. Berg; 1999.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.2752/9781847888969>

126.

Bilge S. Beyond subordination vs. resistance: An intersectional approach to the agency of

veiled Muslim women. *Journal of Intercultural Studies*. 2010;31(1):9-28.  
doi:10.1080/07256860903477662

127.

Bhambra GK, Gebrial D, Nişancıoğlu K, eds. *Decolonising the University*. Pluto Press; 2018.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5493110>

128.

Williams J. The 'decolonise the curriculum' movement re-racialises knowledge.  
*openDemocracy*. Published online 2017.  
<https://www.opendemocracy.net/wfd/joanna-williams/decolonise-curriculum-movement-re-racialises-knowledge>

129.

Malik K. Are Soas students right to 'decolonise' their minds from western philosophers?  
*The Guardian*. Published online 2017.  
<https://www.theguardian.com/education/2017/feb/19/soas-philosophy-decolonise-our-minds-enlightenment-white-european-kenan-malik>

130.

Adams R. British universities employ no black academics in top roles, figures show. *The Guardian*. Published online 2017.  
<https://www.theguardian.com/education/2017/jan/19/british-universities-employ-no-black-academics-in-top-roles-figures-show>

131.

Maldonado-Torres N. ON THE COLONIALITY OF BEING. *Cultural Studies*.  
2007;21(2-3):240-270. doi:10.1080/09502380601162548

132.

Why is My curriculum White?  
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00131857.2015.1037227>

133.

Odysseos L, Pal M. Toward Critical Pedagogies of the International? Student Resistance, Other-Regardedness, and Self-Formation in the Neoliberal University. *International Studies Perspectives*. 2018;19(1):1-26. doi:10.1093/isp/ekx006

134.

Le Grange L. Decolonising the university curriculum. *South African Journal of Higher Education*. 2016;30(2):1-12. doi:10.20853/30-2-709

135.

Gopal P. Yes, we must decolonise: our teaching has to go beyond elite white men. *The Guardian*. Published online 27 October 2017.  
<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2017/oct/27/decolonise-elite-white-men-decolonising-cambridge-university-english-curriculum-literature>

136.

Williams J. The 'decolonise the curriculum' movement re-racialises knowledge. *openDemocracy*. Published online 2017.  
<https://www.opendemocracy.net/wfd/joanna-williams/decolonise-curriculum-movement-re-racialises-knowledge>

137.

Whyman T. Soas students have a point. Philosophy degrees should look beyond white Europeans. *The Guardian*. Published online 10 January 2017.  
<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2017/jan/10/soas-students-study-philosophy-africa-asia-european-pc-snowflakes>

138.

Bhambra GK, Gebrial D, Nişancioğlu K, eds. *Decolonising the University*. Pluto Press; 2018.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5493110>

139.

Peters C. Left-wing academics are helping a minority of students to force their identity

politics on the rest of us. Telegraph. Published online 27 October 2017.  
<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2017/10/27/left-wing-academics-helping-minority-students-force-identity/>

140.

Sabaratnam M. IR in dialogue ... but can we change the subjects? A typology of decolonising strategies for the study of world politics. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2011;39(3):781-803. doi:10.1177/0305829811404270

141.

Odysseos L. Prolegomena to Any Future Decolonial Ethics: Coloniality, Poetics and 'Being Human as Praxis'. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2017;45(3):447-472. doi:10.1177/0305829817704503

142.

Tickner AB, Wæver O. *International Relations Scholarship around the World*. Routledge; 2009.

143.

Tickner AB, Blaney DL. *Claiming the International*. Routledge; 2013.

144.

Ayoob M. Inequality and theorizing in international relations: the case for subaltern realism. *International Studies Review*. 2002;4(3):27-48. doi:10.1111/1521-9488.00263

145.

Tickner AB, Blaney DL. *Claiming the International*. Routledge; 2013.

146.

Acharya A. Global International Relations (IR) and Regional Worlds. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2014;58(4):647-659. doi:10.1111/isqu.12171

147.

Acharya A, Buzan B. *Non-Western International Relations Theory: Perspectives on and beyond Asia*. Routledge; 2010.

148.

Gruffydd Jones B. *Decolonizing International Relations*. Rowman & Littlefield; 2006.

149.

Shani G. Toward a Post-Western IR: The Umma, Khalsa Panth, and Critical International Relations Theory. *International Studies Review*. 2008;10(4):722-734.  
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2486.2008.00828.x

150.

Vasilaki R. Provincialising IR? Deadlocks and prospects in post-Western IR theory. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2012;41(1):3-22.  
doi:10.1177/0305829812451720

151.

Shahi D, Ascione G. Rethinking the absence of post-Western International Relations theory in India: 'Advaitic monism' as an alternative epistemological resource. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2016;22(2):313-334. doi:10.1177/1354066115592938

152.

Tickner AB, Blaney DL. *Claiming the International*. Routledge; 2013.

153.

Seth S, ed. *Postcolonial Theory and International Relations: A Critical Introduction*. Routledge; 2013.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203073025>

5

154.

Tickner AB, Blaney DL, eds. *Thinking International Relations Differently*. Vol 2. Routledge; 2012.

155.

Ling LHM. *The Dao of World Politics: Towards a Post-Westphalian, Worldist International Relations*. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2014.

156.

Grovogui SN. Come to Africa: a hermeneutics of race in international theory. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*. 2001;26(4):425-448. doi:10.1177/030437540102600404

157.

Agathangelou AM, Ling LHM. *Transforming World Politics: From Empire to Multiple Worlds*. Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group; 2009.

158.

KURU D. Historicising Eurocentrism and anti-Eurocentrism in IR: A revisionist account of disciplinary self-reflexivity. *Review of International Studies*. 2016;42(02):351-376. doi:10.1017/S0260210515000315

159.

Zondi S. Decolonising International Relations and Its Theory: A Critical Conceptual Meditation. *Politikon*. 2018;45(1):16-31. doi:10.1080/02589346.2018.1418202

160.

Capan ZG. Decolonising International Relations? *Third World Quarterly*. 2017;38(1):1-15. doi:10.1080/01436597.2016.1245100

161.

Gani JK. The Erasure of Race: Cosmopolitanism and the Illusion of Kantian Hospitality. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2017;45(3):425-446. doi:10.1177/0305829817714064

162.

Barreto JM. Decolonial Strategies and Dialogue in the Human Rights Field: A Manifesto. *Transnational Legal Theory*. 2012;3(1):1-29. doi:10.5235/TLT.3.1.1

163.

Siba N. Grovogui. To the orphaned, dispossessed, and illegitimate children: human rights beyond republican and liberal traditions. *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?p=AONE&u=glasuni&id=GALE|A268604335&v=2.1&it=r&sid=summon>

164.

Shilliam R. Decolonising the Grounds of Ethical Inquiry: A Dialogue between Kant, Foucault and Glissant. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2011;39(3):649-665. doi:10.1177/0305829811399144

165.

Shilliam R, ed. *International Relations and Non-Western Thought: Imperialism, Colonialism and Investigations of Global Modernity*. Routledge; 2011.