

Issues In International Relations

[View Online](#)

-
1.
Royal Institute of International Affairs. International affairs. Published online 1944.
 2.
Thomas J. Watson, Jr. Institute for International Studies (Brown University), EBSCO Publishing (Firm), William S. Hein & Company. The Brown journal of world affairs. Published online 1994.
 3.
British International Studies Association, Cambridge University Press. Review of international studies. Published online 1981.
 4.
Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs. International security.
 5.
Security studies.
 6.
Global environmental politics. Published online 2001.

7.

Environmental politics.

8.

International Institute for Strategic Studies, Oxford University Press. Survival.

9.

Cambridge review of international affairs.

10.

Contemporary politics.

11.

Politics. Published online 2008.

12.

International politics. Published online 1996.

13.

International feminist journal of politics.

14.

Political Studies Association of the United Kingdom, EBSCO Publishing (Firm). British journal of politics and international relations. Published online 1999.

15.

European Consortium for Political Research. European journal of international relations.

Published online 1995.

16.

Global governance: a review of multilateralism and international organizations. Published online 1995.

17.

International Studies Association. International studies quarterly. Published online 1967.

18.

International Studies Association. International studies review.

19.

International Studies Association. Foreign policy analysis. Published online 2005.

20.

London School of Economics and Political Science. Millennium: journal of international studies.

21.

American Political Science Association. Perspectives on politics. Published online 2003.

22.

E-International Relations. <http://www.e-ir.info/>

23.

Foreign Policy. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2199345>

24.

Foreign Affairs. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2199344>

25.

Duck of Minerva. <http://duckofminerva.com/>

26.

Political Violence @ a Glance. <http://politicalviolenceataglace.org/>

27.

The Disorder Of Things. <http://thedisorderofthings.com/>

28.

Robert A. Pape. The Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism. The American Political Science Review. 2003;97(3):343-361.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3117613>

29.

Abrahms M. Why Terrorism Does Not Work. International Security. 2006;31(2):42-78.
doi:10.1162/isec.2006.31.2.42

30.

Hoffman B, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Inside Terrorism. Reised and expanded edition. Columbia University Press; 2006.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=908254>

31.

Crenshaw M. Explaining Terrorism: Causes, Processes, and Consequences. Vol Cass series

on political violence. Routledge; 2011.

32.

Juergensmeyer M. Terror in the Mind of God: The Global Rise of Religious Violence. Vol Comparative studies in religion and society. 3rd ed., rev.updated. University of California Press; 2003.

33.

Krueger, Alan B.¹Malecková, Jitka². Does Poverty Cause Terrorism? New Republic. 2002;226(24):27-33.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=6822052&site=ehost-live>

34.

Neumann PR, Smith MLR. Strategic terrorism: The framework and its fallacies. Journal of Strategic Studies. 2005;28(4):571-595. doi:10.1080/01402390500300923

35.

Zaidise E, Canetti-Nisim D, Pedahzur A. Politics of God or Politics of Man? The Role of Religion and Deprivation in Predicting Support for Political Violence in Israel. Political Studies. 2007;55(3):499-521. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2007.00673.x

36.

McCauley C, Moskaleiko S. Mechanisms of Political Radicalization: Pathways Toward Terrorism. Terrorism and Political Violence. 2008;20(3):415-433.
doi:10.1080/09546550802073367

37.

Rogers MB. The role of religious fundamentalism in terrorist violence: A social psychological analysis. International Review of Psychiatry. 2009;19(3):253-262.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/09540260701349399>

38.

Victoroff J. The Mind of the Terrorist: A Review and Critique of Psychological Approaches. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 2005;49(1):3-42. doi:10.1177/0022002704272040

39.

Jaggar AM. What Is Terrorism, Why Is It Wrong, and Could It Ever Be Morally Permissible? *Journal of Social Philosophy*. 2005;36(2):202-217. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9833.2005.00267.x

40.

Byman, Daniel. Why Drones Work. *Foreign Affairs* Jul/Aug. 2013;92(4):32-43.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=88213865&site=ehost-live>

41.

Cronin, Audrey Kurth. Why Drones Fail. *Foreign Affairs* Jul/Aug. 2013;92(4):44-54.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=88213866&site=ehost-live>

42.

Gregory T. Drones, Targeted Killings, and the Limitations of International Law. *International Political Sociology*. 2015;9(3):197-212. doi:10.1111/ips.12093

43.

Brunstetter DR. Can We Wage a Just Drone War? *The Atlantic*. Published online 19 July 2012.
<https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2012/07/can-we-wage-a-just-drone-war/260055/>

44.

Brunstetter D, Braun M. The Implications of Drones on the Just War Tradition. *Ethics & International Affairs*. 2011;25(03):337-358. doi:10.1017/S0892679411000281

45.

Gregory D. From a View to a Kill: Drones and Late Modern War. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2011;28(7-8):188-215. doi:10.1177/0263276411423027

46.

MAYER M. The new killer drones: understanding the strategic implications of next-generation unmanned combat aerial vehicles. *International Affairs*. 2015;91(4):765-780. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12342

47.

Schulzke M. The Morality of Remote Warfare: Against the Asymmetry Objection to Remote Weaponry. *Political Studies*. Published online September 2014:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/1467-9248.12155

48.

Dunn DH. Drones: disembodied aerial warfare and the unarticulated threat. *International Affairs*. 2013;89(5):1237-1246. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12069

49.

BOYLE MJ. The costs and consequences of drone warfare. *International Affairs*. 2013;89(1):1-29. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12002

50.

Holmqvist C. Undoing War: War Ontologies and the Materiality of Drone Warfare. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 2013;41(3):535-552. doi:10.1177/0305829813483350

51.

Cavallaro J, Sonnenberg S, Knuckey S. Living Under Drones: Death, Injury, and Trauma to Civilians from US Drone Practices in Pakistan. <https://law.stanford.edu/publications/living-under-drones-death-injury-and-trauma-to-civilians/>

ns-from-us-drone-practices-in-pakistan/

52.

Pinker, Steven. A History of Violence. New Republic. 2007;236(12):18-21.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=24353575&site=ehost-live>

53.

Goldstein J, Pinker S. War Really Is Going Out of Style. The New York Times.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A275154865/AONE?u=glasuni&sid=bookmark-AONE&xid=21e34dd6>

54.

Mitzen J. The Irony of Pinkerism. Perspectives on Politics. 2013;11(02):525-528.
doi:10.1017/S1537592713001114

55.

Herman ES, Peterson D. Steven Pinker on the Alleged Decline of Violence. Dissident Voice. Published online 5 December 2012.
<https://dissidentvoice.org/2012/12/steven-pinker-on-the-alleged-decline-of-violence/>

56.

Pinker S. The Better Angels of Our Nature: Why Violence Has Declined. Viking; 2011.

57.

Dead Wrong?: Battle Deaths, Military Medicine, and Exaggerated Reports of War's Demise. International Security. 39(1):95-125.
https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v039/39.1.fazal.html

58.

JOHN MUELLER. War Has Almost Ceased to Exist: An Assessment. *Political Science Quarterly*. 2009;124(2):297-321.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/25655656>

59.

Gat A. Is war declining - and why? *Journal of Peace Research*. 2013;50(2):149-157.
doi:10.1177/0022343312461023

60.

Aronson R. PINKER AND PROGRESS. *History and Theory*. 2013;52(2):246-264.
doi:10.1111/hith.10666

61.

Waltz, Kenneth N. Why Iran Should Get the Bomb. *Foreign Affairs* Jul/Aug. 2012;91(4):2-5.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=76591794&site=ehost-live>

62.

KAHL, COLIN H. Iran and the Bomb. *Foreign Affairs* Sep/Oct. 2012;91(5):157-162.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=78859927&site=ehost-live>

63.

Pillar, Paul R. We Can Live with a Nuclear Iran. *Washington Monthly* Mar/Apr. 2012;44(3):13-19.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=73166560&site=ehost-live>

64.

BOWEN W, MORAN M. Living with nuclear hedging: the implications of Iran's nuclear strategy. *International Affairs*. 2015;91(4):687-707. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12337

65.

Abulof U. Revisiting Iran's nuclear rationales. *International Politics*. 2014;51(3):404-415.
doi:10.1057/ip.2014.9

66.

Is a Nuclear Deal with Iran Possible?: An Analytical Framework for the Iran Nuclear Negotiations. *International Security*. 37(3):52-91.
https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v037/37.3.sebenius.html

67.

Matthew Kroenig. Time to attack Iran: why a strike is the least bad option. *Foreign Affairs*. 2012;91(1).
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE|A277436600&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w&asid=526ae7150fceedc3e92e2302a087ee5e>

68.

Pocida F. Overblown: Why an Iranian Nuclear Bomb is not the End of the World. Published online 9 June 2009.
<https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/united-states/2009-06-09/overblown>

69.

Why States Won't Give Nuclear Weapons to Terrorists. *International Security*. 38(1):80-104.
https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v038/38.1.lieber.html

70.

Duncombe C. Representation, recognition and foreign policy in the Iran-US relationship. *European Journal of International Relations*. Published online 8 September 2015.
doi:10.1177/1354066115597049

71.

Racing toward Tragedy?: China's Rise, Military Competition in the Asia Pacific, and the Security Dilemma. *International Security*. 39(2):52-91.
https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v039/39.2.liff.html

72.

Feffer J, Bleicher SA. China: Superpower or Basket Case? *Foreign Policy In Focus*. Published online 5 August 2008. https://fpif.org/china_superpower_or_basket_case/

73.

Turner O. 'Threatening' China and US security: the international politics of identity. *Review of International Studies*. 2013;39(04):903-924. doi:10.1017/S0260210512000599

74.

Pei M. Everything You Think You Know About China Is Wrong. *Foreign policy*. Published online 29 August 2012.
<https://foreignpolicy.com/2012/08/29/everything-you-think-you-know-about-china-is-wrong/>

75.

Vogt R. *Europe and China: Strategic Partners or Rivals?* Hong Kong University Press; 2012.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.5790/hongkong/9789888083879.001.0001>

76.

Christensen TJ. Fostering Stability or Creating a Monster? The Rise of China and U.S. Policy toward East Asia. *International Security*. 2006;31(1):81-126. doi:10.1162/isec.2006.31.1.81

77.

Johnston AI. Is China a Status Quo Power? *International Security*. 2003;27(4):5-56. doi:10.1162/016228803321951081

78.

Nathan AJ, Scobell A. How China Sees America. *Foreign Affairs*. 2012;91:32-47.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=78859907&site=ehost-live>

79.

Beslin S. China and the global order: signalling threat or friendship? *International Affairs*. 2013;89(3):615-634. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12036

80.

Samantha Power. Bystanders to genocide: why the United States let the Rwandan tragedy happen. *The Atlantic*. 2001;288(2).
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE|A30067738&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w&asid=3466e9800c4576bf10a44d33b79c2139>

81.

Evans G, Shahnoun M. The Responsibility to Protect.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20033347>

82.

Evans G, Sahnoun M. The Responsibility to Protect. *Foreign Affairs*. 2002;81(6).
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA92827778&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w&asid=3b76f3e741ca1e4caeb166deadf6b35b>

83.

Stansfield G. The Islamic State, the Kurdistan Region and the future of Iraq: assessing UK policy options. *International Affairs*. 2014;90(6):1329-1350. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12167

84.

Morris J. Libya and Syria: R2P and the spectre of the swinging pendulum. *International*

Affairs. 2013;89(5):1265-1283. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12071

85.

Averre D, Davies L. Russia, humanitarian intervention and the Responsibility to Protect: the case of Syria. *International Affairs*. 2015;91(4):813-834. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12343

86.

Bellamy AJ. The Responsibility to Protect and the problem of military intervention. *International Affairs*. 2008;84(4):615-639. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2008.00729.x

87.

Chandler D. Imposing the 'Liberal Peace'. *International Peacekeeping*. 2004;11(1):59-81. doi:10.1080/1353331042000228454

88.

Betts RK. The Delusion of Impartial Intervention. *Foreign Affairs*. 73:20-33. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=9411180049&site=ehost-live>

89.

Owens P. Accidents Don't Just Happen: The Liberal Politics of High-Technology 'Humanitarian' War. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 2003;32(3):595-616. doi:10.1177/03058298030320031101

90.

Bellamy AJ, Williams PD. The new politics of protection? Côte d'Ivoire, Libya and the responsibility to protect. *International Affairs*. 2011;87(4):825-850. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2011.01006.x

91.

O'Neill K. The Environment and International Relations. Second edition. Cambridge University Press; 2017.

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/9781107448087>

92.

The Changing Nature of Nature: Environmental Politics in the Anthropocene. *Global Environmental Politics*. 14(4):36-54.

https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/global_environmental_politics/v014/14.4.wapner.html

93.

Klein N. This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate. Allen Lane; 2014.

94.

Security in Climate Change Discourse: Analyzing the Divergence between US and EU Approaches to Policy. *Global Environmental Politics*. 14(2):82-101.

https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/global_environmental_politics/v014/14.2.hayes.html

95.

Skovgaard J. EU climate policy after the crisis. *Environmental Politics*. 2014;23(1):1-17.
doi:10.1080/09644016.2013.818304

96.

Clapp J, Swanston L. Doing away with plastic shopping bags: international patterns of norm emergence and policy implementation. *Environmental Politics*. 2009;18(3):315-332.
doi:10.1080/09644010902823717

97.

Tienhaara K. Varieties of green capitalism: economy and environment in the wake of the global financial crisis. *Environmental Politics*. 2014;23(2):187-204.
doi:10.1080/09644016.2013.821828

98.

Betsill MM, Hochstetler K, Stevis D. Advances in International Environmental Politics. 2nd New ed. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.springer.com/book/10.1057/9781137338976>

99.

Thiele LP. Indra's Net and the Midas Touch: Living Sustainably in a Connected World. MIT Press; 2011.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780262298858>

100.

Forsyth T, ProQuest (Firm). Critical Political Ecology: The Politics of Environmental Science. Routledge; 2003. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=215025>

101.

McKibben B. Oil and Honey. First St. Martin's Griffin edition. St. Martin's Griffin; 2014.

102.

Edkins J, Zehfuss M, eds. Global Politics: A New Introduction. Third edition. Routledge; 2019. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5638898>

103.

Piketty T, Goldhammer A. Capital in the Twenty-First Century. The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 2014.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780674369542>

104.

IFS. Living standards, poverty and inequality in the UK: 2014.
<http://www.ifs.org.uk/publications/7274>

105.

Beitz CR. Does Global Inequality Matter? *Metaphilosophy*. 2001;32(1-2):95-112. doi:10.1111/1467-9973.00177

106.

Alesina A, Glaeser EL, Oxford University Press. *Fighting Poverty in the US and Europe: A World of Difference*. Oxford University Press; 2004. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0199267669.001.0001>

107.

Bartels LM. *Unequal Democracy: The Political Economy of the New Gilded Age*. Russell Sage Foundation; 2008.

108.

Birdsall N. Why Inequality Matters: Some Economic Issues. *Ethics & International Affairs*. 2001;15(02):3-28. doi:10.1111/j.1747-7093.2001.tb00356.x

109.

Cammack P. What the World Bank means by poverty reduction, and why it matters. *New Political Economy*. 2004;9(2):189-211. doi:10.1080/1356346042000218069

110.

Kaya A. *Global Inequality*. Vol Oxford bibliographies. Political science. Oxford University Press; 2012. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/OBO/9780199756223-0025>

111.

Martins N. Globalisation, Inequality and the Economic Crisis. *New Political Economy*. 2011;16(1):1-18. doi:10.1080/13563461003789761

112.

Wolf M. Why Globalization Works. Vol Yale Nota bene. Yale University Press; 2005.

113.

Collier P, Dawson Books. The Bottom Billion: Why the Poorest Countries Are Failing and What Can Be Done about It. Oxford University Press; 2007.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780198042549>

114.

Ebooks Corporation Limited. Gender Matters in Global Politics: A Feminist Introduction to International Relations. Second edition. (Shepherd LJ, ed.). Routledge; 2015.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1744166>

115.

Basaran T. The saved and the drowned: Governing indifference in the name of security. Security Dialogue. 2015;46(3):205-220. doi:10.1177/0967010614557512

116.

Nail T. The Figure of the Migrant. Stanford University Press; 2015.

117.

Bleiker R, Campbell D, Hutchison E, Nicholson X. The visual dehumanisation of refugees. Australian Journal of Political Science. 2013;48(4):398-416.
doi:10.1080/10361146.2013.840769

118.

Adamson FB. Crossing Borders: International Migration and National Security. International Security. 2006;31(1):165-199. doi:10.1162/isec.2006.31.1.165

119.

Muller BJ. (Dis)qualified bodies: securitization, citizenship and 'identity management'. *Citizenship Studies*. 2004;8(3):279-294. doi:10.1080/1362102042000257005

120.

Basaran T. Security, Law, Borders: Spaces of Exclusion. *International Political Sociology*. 2008;2(4):339-354. doi:10.1111/j.1749-5687.2008.00055.x

121.

Latham R. Border formations: security and subjectivity at the border. *Citizenship Studies*. 2010;14(2):185-201. doi:10.1080/13621021003594858

122.

Salter MB. When the exception becomes the rule: borders, sovereignty, and citizenship. *Citizenship Studies*. 2008;12(4):365-380. doi:10.1080/13621020802184234

123.

Edkins J, Zehfuss M, eds. *Global Politics: A New Introduction*. Third edition. Routledge; 2019. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5638898>

124.

Caso F, Hamilton C, eds. *Popular Culture and World Politics: Theories, Methods, Pedagogies*. E-International Relations Publishing; 2015. <https://www.e-ir.info/publication/popular-culture-and-world-politics/>

125.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T, eds. *Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases*. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

126.

Robinson N. Have You Won the War on Terror? Military Videogames and the State of American Exceptionalism. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 2015;43(2):450-470. doi:10.1177/0305829814557557

127.

Dittmer J, Gray N. Popular Geopolitics 2.0: Towards New Methodologies of the Everyday. *Geography Compass*. 2010;4(11):1664-1677. doi:10.1111/j.1749-8198.2010.00399.x

128.

Franklin M. *Resounding International Relations: On Music, Culture, and Politics*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2005.

129.

Power M. Digitized Virtuosity: Video War Games and Post-9/11 Cyber-Deterrence. *Security Dialogue*. 2007;38(2):271-288. doi:10.1177/0967010607078552

130.

Grayson K, Davies M, Philpott S. Pop Goes IR? Researching the Popular Culture-World Politics Continuum. *Politics*. 2009;29(3):155-163. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9256.2009.01351.x

131.

Robinson N. Videogames, Persuasion and the War on Terror: Escaping or Embedding the Military-Entertainment Complex? *Political Studies*. 2012;60(3):504-522. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00923.x

132.

Weldes J. Going Cultural: Star Trek, State Action, and Popular Culture. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 1999;28(1):117-134. doi:10.1177/03058298990280011201

133.

Jhally S, Earp J, Shaheen JG, Media Education Foundation. Reel bad Arabs: how Hollywood vilifies a people. Published online 2006.

134.

Sylvester C. Art/Museums: International Relations Where We Least Expect It. Vol Media and power. Paradigm Publishers; 2009.

135.

Bleiker R. Aesthetics and World Politics. Vol Rethinking peace and conflict studies. Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.

136.

Weber C. International Relations Theory: A Critical Introduction. 4th ed. Routledge; 2014.

137.

Nexon DH, Neumann IB. Harry Potter and International Relations. Rowman & Littlefield; 2006.