

Issues In International Relations

[View Online](#)

1

Royal Institute of International Affairs. International affairs. 1944.

2

Thomas J. Watson, Jr. Institute for International Studies (Brown University), EBSCO Publishing (Firm), William S. Hein & Company. The Brown journal of world affairs. 1994.

3

British International Studies Association, Cambridge University Press. Review of international studies. 1981.

4

Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs. International security.

5

Security studies.

6

Global environmental politics. 2001.

7

Environmental politics.

8

International Institute for Strategic Studies, Oxford University Press. Survival.

9

Cambridge review of international affairs.

10

Contemporary politics.

11

Politics. 2008.

12

International politics. 1996.

13

International feminist journal of politics.

14

Political Studies Association of the United Kingdom, EBSCO Publishing (Firm). British journal of politics and international relations. 1999.

15

European Consortium for Political Research. European journal of international relations. 1995.

16

Global governance: a review of multilateralism and international organizations. 1995.

17

International Studies Association. International studies quarterly. 1967.

18

International Studies Association. International studies review.

19

International Studies Association. Foreign policy analysis. 2005.

20

London School of Economics and Political Science. Millennium: journal of international studies.

21

American Political Science Association. Perspectives on politics. 2003.

22

E-International Relations. <http://www.e-ir.info/>

23

Foreign Policy. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2199345>

24

Foreign Affairs. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2199344>

25

Duck of Minerva. <http://duckofminerva.com/>

26

Political Violence @ a Glance. <http://politicalviolenceataglace.org/>

27

The Disorder Of Things. <http://thedisorderofthings.com/>

28

Robert A. Pape. The Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism. The American Political Science Review 2003;**97**:343–61.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3117613>

29

Abrahms M. Why Terrorism Does Not Work. International Security 2006;**31**:42–78.
doi:10.1162/isec.2006.31.2.42

30

Hoffman B, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Inside terrorism. Reised and expanded edition. New York: : Columbia University Press 2006.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=908254>

31

Crenshaw M. Explaining terrorism: causes, processes, and consequences. New York: : Routledge 2011.

32

Juergensmeyer M. *Terror in the mind of God: the global rise of religious violence*. 3rd ed., rev.updated. Berkeley: : University of California Press 2003.

33

Krueger, Alan B.¹Malecková, Jitka². Does Poverty Cause Terrorism? *New Republic* 2002; **226**:27–33.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=6822052&site=ehost-live>

34

Neumann PR, Smith MLR. Strategic terrorism: The framework and its fallacies. *Journal of Strategic Studies* 2005;**28**:571–95. doi:10.1080/01402390500300923

35

Zaidise E, Canetti-Nisim D, Pedahzur A. Politics of God or Politics of Man? The Role of Religion and Deprivation in Predicting Support for Political Violence in Israel. *Political Studies* 2007;**55**:499–521. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2007.00673.x

36

McCauley C, Moskaleiko S. Mechanisms of Political Radicalization: Pathways Toward Terrorism. *Terrorism and Political Violence* 2008;**20**:415–33. doi:10.1080/09546550802073367

37

Rogers MB. The role of religious fundamentalism in terrorist violence: A social psychological analysis. *International Review of Psychiatry* 2009;**19**:253–62.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/09540260701349399>

38

Victoroff J. The Mind of the Terrorist: A Review and Critique of Psychological Approaches. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 2005;**49**:3–42. doi:10.1177/0022002704272040

39

Jaggar AM. What Is Terrorism, Why Is It Wrong, and Could It Ever Be Morally Permissible? *Journal of Social Philosophy* 2005;**36**:202–17. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9833.2005.00267.x

40

Byman, Daniel. Why Drones Work. *Foreign Affairs* Jul/Aug 2013;**92**:32–43. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=88213865&site=ehost-live>

41

Cronin, Audrey Kurth. Why Drones Fail. *Foreign Affairs* Jul/Aug 2013;**92**:44–54. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=88213866&site=ehost-live>

42

Gregory T. Drones, Targeted Killings, and the Limitations of International Law. *International Political Sociology* 2015;**9**:197–212. doi:10.1111/ips.12093

43

Brunstetter DR. Can We Wage a Just Drone War? *The Atlantic* Published Online First: 19 July 2012. <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2012/07/can-we-wage-a-just-drone-war/260055/>

44

Brunstetter D, Braun M. The Implications of Drones on the Just War Tradition. *Ethics & International Affairs* 2011;**25**:337–58. doi:10.1017/S0892679411000281

45

Gregory D. From a View to a Kill: Drones and Late Modern War. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2011;**28**:188–215. doi:10.1177/0263276411423027

46

MAYER M. The new killer drones: understanding the strategic implications of next-generation unmanned combat aerial vehicles. *International Affairs* 2015;**91**:765–80. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12342

47

Schulzke M. The Morality of Remote Warfare: Against the Asymmetry Objection to Remote Weaponry. *Political Studies* 2014;;n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/1467-9248.12155

48

Dunn DH. Drones: disembodied aerial warfare and the unarticulated threat. *International Affairs* 2013;**89**:1237–46. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12069

49

BOYLE MJ. The costs and consequences of drone warfare. *International Affairs* 2013;**89**:1–29. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12002

50

Holmqvist C. Undoing War: War Ontologies and the Materiality of Drone Warfare. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies* 2013;**41**:535–52. doi:10.1177/0305829813483350

51

Cavallaro J, Sonnenberg S, Knuckey S. Living Under Drones: Death, Injury, and Trauma to Civilians from US Drone Practices in Pakistan. <https://law.stanford.edu/publications/living-under-drones-death-injury-and-trauma-to-civilians-from-us-drone-practices-in-pakistan/>

52

Pinker, Steven. A History of Violence. *New Republic* 2007;**236**:18–21. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?dire>

ct=true&db=buh&AN=24353575&site=ehost-live

53

Goldstein J, Pinker S. War Really Is Going Out of Style. The New York Times
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A275154865/AONE?u=glasuni&sid=bookmark-AONE&xid=21e34dd6>

54

Mitzen J. The Irony of Pinkerism. Perspectives on Politics 2013;**11**:525–8.
doi:10.1017/S1537592713001114

55

Herman ES, Peterson D. Steven Pinker on the Alleged Decline of Violence. Dissident Voice
Published Online First: 5 December
2012.<https://dissidentvoice.org/2012/12/steven-pinker-on-the-alleged-decline-of-violence/>

56

Pinker S. The better angels of our nature: why violence has declined. New York, NY: :
Viking 2011.

57

Dead Wrong?: Battle Deaths, Military Medicine, and Exaggerated Reports of War's Demise.
International Security;**39**
:95–125.[https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_](https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v039/39.1.fazal.html)
[security/v039/39.1.fazal.html](https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v039/39.1.fazal.html)

58

JOHN MUELLER. War Has Almost Ceased to Exist: An Assessment. Political Science
Quarterly 2009;**124**
:297–321.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/25655656>

59

Gat A. Is war declining - and why? Journal of Peace Research 2013;**50**:149-57.
doi:10.1177/0022343312461023

60

Aronson R. PINKER AND PROGRESS. History and Theory 2013;**52**:246-64.
doi:10.1111/hith.10666

61

Waltz, Kenneth N. Why Iran Should Get the Bomb. Foreign Affairs Jul/Aug 2012;**91**:2-5.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=76591794&site=ehost-live>

62

KAHL, COLIN H. Iran and the Bomb. Foreign Affairs Sep/Oct 2012;**91**:157-62.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=78859927&site=ehost-live>

63

Pillar, Paul R. We Can Live with a Nuclear Iran. Washington Monthly Mar/Apr 2012;**44**:13-9.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=73166560&site=ehost-live>

64

BOWEN W, MORAN M. Living with nuclear hedging: the implications of Iran's nuclear strategy. International Affairs 2015;**91**:687-707. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12337

65

Abulof U. Revisiting Iran's nuclear rationales. International Politics 2014;**51**:404-15.
doi:10.1057/ip.2014.9

66

Is a Nuclear Deal with Iran Possible?: An Analytical Framework for the Iran Nuclear Negotiations. *International Security*; **37**:52–91. https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v037/37.3.sebenius.html

67

Matthew Kroenig. Time to attack Iran: why a strike is the least bad option. *Foreign Affairs* 2012; **91**. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE|A277436600&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w&asid=526ae7150fceedc3e92e2302a087ee5e>

68

Pocida F. Overblown: Why an Iranian Nuclear Bomb is not the End of the World. Published Online First: 9 June 2009. <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/united-states/2009-06-09/overblown>

69

Why States Won't Give Nuclear Weapons to Terrorists. *International Security*; **38**:80–104. https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v038/38.1.lieber.html

70

Duncombe C. Representation, recognition and foreign policy in the Iran-US relationship. *European Journal of International Relations* Published Online First: 8 September 2015. doi:10.1177/1354066115597049

71

Racing toward Tragedy?: China's Rise, Military Competition in the Asia Pacific, and the Security Dilemma. *International Security*; **39**:52–91. https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v039/39.2.liff.html

72

Feffer J, Bleicher SA. China: Superpower or Basket Case? Foreign Policy In Focus Published Online First: 5 August 2008.https://fpif.org/china_superpower_or_basket_case/

73

Turner O. 'Threatening' China and US security: the international politics of identity. Review of International Studies 2013;**39**:903–24. doi:10.1017/S0260210512000599

74

Pei M. Everything You Think You Know About China Is Wrong. Foreign policy Published Online First: 29 August 2012.<https://foreignpolicy.com/2012/08/29/everything-you-think-you-know-about-china-is-wrong/>

75

Vogt R. Europe and China: strategic partners or rivals? Hong Kong: : Hong Kong University Press 2012.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.5790/hongkong/9789888083879.001.0001>

76

Christensen TJ. Fostering Stability or Creating a Monster? The Rise of China and U.S. Policy toward East Asia. International Security 2006;**31**:81–126. doi:10.1162/isec.2006.31.1.81

77

Johnston AI. Is China a Status Quo Power? International Security 2003;**27**:5–56. doi:10.1162/016228803321951081

78

Nathan AJ, Scobell A. How China Sees America. Foreign Affairs 2012;**91**:32–47.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=78859907&site=ehost-live>

79

Beslin S. China and the global order: signalling threat or friendship? *International Affairs* 2013;**89**:615–34. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12036

80

Samantha Power. Bystanders to genocide: why the United States let the Rwandan tragedy happen. *The Atlantic* 2001;**288**
.https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE|A30067738&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w&asid=3466e9800c4576bf10a44d33b79c2139

81

Evans G, Shahnoun M. The Responsibility to Protect.
.https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20033347

82

Evans G, Sahnoun M. The Responsibility to Protect. *Foreign Affairs* 2002;**81**
.https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA92827778&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w&asid=3b76f3e741ca1e4caeb166deadf6b35b

83

Stansfield G. The Islamic State, the Kurdistan Region and the future of Iraq: assessing UK policy options. *International Affairs* 2014;**90**:1329–50. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12167

84

Morris J. Libya and Syria: R2P and the spectre of the swinging pendulum. *International Affairs* 2013;**89**:1265–83. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12071

85

Averre D, Davies L. Russia, humanitarian intervention and the Responsibility to Protect:

the case of Syria. *International Affairs* 2015;**91**:813–34. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12343

86

Bellamy AJ. The Responsibility to Protect and the problem of military intervention. *International Affairs* 2008;**84**:615–39. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2008.00729.x

87

Chandler D. Imposing the 'Liberal Peace'. *International Peacekeeping* 2004;**11**:59–81. doi:10.1080/1353331042000228454

88

Betts RK. The Delusion of Impartial Intervention. *Foreign Affairs*; **73**:20–33. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=9411180049&site=ehost-live>

89

Owens P. Accidents Don't Just Happen: The Liberal Politics of High-Technology 'Humanitarian' War. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies* 2003;**32**:595–616. doi:10.1177/03058298030320031101

90

Bellamy AJ, Williams PD. The new politics of protection? Côte d'Ivoire, Libya and the responsibility to protect. *International Affairs* 2011;**87**:825–50. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2011.01006.x

91

O'Neill K. The environment and international relations. Second edition. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/9781107448087>

92

The Changing Nature of Nature: Environmental Politics in the Anthropocene. *Global Environmental Politics*; **14**:36–54. https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/global_environmental_politics/v014/14.4.wapner.html

93

Klein N. *This changes everything: capitalism vs. the climate*. London: : Allen Lane 2014.

94

Security in Climate Change Discourse: Analyzing the Divergence between US and EU Approaches to Policy. *Global Environmental Politics*; **14**:82–101. https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/global_environmental_politics/v014/14.2.hayes.html

95

Skovgaard J. EU climate policy after the crisis. *Environmental Politics* 2014; **23**:1–17. doi:10.1080/09644016.2013.818304

96

Clapp J, Swanston L. Doing away with plastic shopping bags: international patterns of norm emergence and policy implementation. *Environmental Politics* 2009; **18**:315–32. doi:10.1080/09644010902823717

97

Tienhaara K. Varieties of green capitalism: economy and environment in the wake of the global financial crisis. *Environmental Politics* 2014; **23**:187–204. doi:10.1080/09644016.2013.821828

98

Betsill MM, Hochstetler K, Stevis D. *Advances in international environmental politics*. 2nd New ed. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2014. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.springer.com/book/10.1057/9781137338976>

99

Thiele LP. Indra's net and the Midas touch: living sustainably in a connected world. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : MIT Press 2011.

<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780262298858>

100

Forsyth T, ProQuest (Firm). Critical political ecology: the politics of environmental science. London: : Routledge 2003.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=215025>

101

McKibben B. Oil and honey. First St. Martin's Griffin edition. New York, N.Y.: : St. Martin's Griffin 2014.

102

Edkins J, Zehfuss M, editors. Global politics: a new introduction. Third edition. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2019.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5638898>

103

Piketty T, Goldhammer A. Capital in the twenty-first century. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press 2014.

<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780674369542>

104

IFS. Living standards, poverty and inequality in the UK: 2014.

<http://www.ifs.org.uk/publications/7274>

105

Beitz CR. Does Global Inequality Matter? *Metaphilosophy* 2001;**32**:95–112.
doi:10.1111/1467-9973.00177

106

Alesina A, Glaeser EL, Oxford University Press. *Fighting poverty in the US and Europe: a world of difference*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2004.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0199267669.001.0001>

107

Bartels LM. *Unequal democracy: the political economy of the new gilded age*. New York: : Russell Sage Foundation 2008.

108

Birdsall N. Why Inequality Matters: Some Economic Issues. *Ethics & International Affairs* 2001;**15**:3–28. doi:10.1111/j.1747-7093.2001.tb00356.x

109

Cammack P. What the World Bank means by poverty reduction, and why it matters. *New Political Economy* 2004;**9**:189–211. doi:10.1080/1356346042000218069

110

Kaya A. *Global inequality*. [New York]: : Oxford University Press 2012.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/OBO/9780199756223-0025>

111

Martins N. Globalisation, Inequality and the Economic Crisis. *New Political Economy* 2011;
16:1–18. doi:10.1080/13563461003789761

112

Wolf M. Why globalization works. New Haven, CT: : Yale University Press 2005.

113

Collier P, Dawson Books. The bottom billion: why the poorest countries are failing and what can be done about it. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.

<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780198042549>

114

Ebooks Corporation Limited. Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. Second edition. London: : Routledge 2015.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1744166>

115

Basaran T. The saved and the drowned: Governing indifference in the name of security. Security Dialogue 2015;**46**:205–20. doi:10.1177/0967010614557512

116

Nail T. The figure of the migrant. Stanford: : Stanford University Press 2015.

117

Bleiker R, Campbell D, Hutchison E, et al. The visual dehumanisation of refugees.

Australian Journal of Political Science 2013;**48**:398–416.

doi:10.1080/10361146.2013.840769

118

Adamson FB. Crossing Borders: International Migration and National Security. International Security 2006;**31**:165–99. doi:10.1162/isec.2006.31.1.165

119

Muller BJ. (Dis)qualified bodies: securitization, citizenship and 'identity management'.

Citizenship Studies 2004;**8**:279–94. doi:10.1080/1362102042000257005

120

Basaran T. Security, Law, Borders: Spaces of Exclusion. International Political Sociology 2008;**2**:339–54. doi:10.1111/j.1749-5687.2008.00055.x

121

Latham R. Border formations: security and subjectivity at the border. Citizenship Studies 2010;**14**:185–201. doi:10.1080/13621021003594858

122

Salter MB. When the exception becomes the rule: borders, sovereignty, and citizenship. Citizenship Studies 2008;**12**:365–80. doi:10.1080/13621020802184234

123

Edkins J, Zehfuss M, editors. Global politics: a new introduction. Third edition. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2019.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5638898>

124

Caso F, Hamilton C, editors. Popular Culture and World Politics: Theories, Methods, Pedagogies. E-International Relations Publishing 2015.
<https://www.e-ir.info/publication/popular-culture-and-world-politics/>

125

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T, editors. Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. Third edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

126

Robinson N. Have You Won the War on Terror? Military Videogames and the State of American Exceptionalism. Millennium - Journal of International Studies 2015;**43**:450–70.

doi:10.1177/0305829814557557

127

Dittmer J, Gray N. Popular Geopolitics 2.0: Towards New Methodologies of the Everyday. *Geography Compass* 2010;**4**:1664–77. doi:10.1111/j.1749-8198.2010.00399.x

128

Franklin M. *Resounding international relations: on music, culture, and politics*. New York: : Palgrave Macmillan 2005.

129

Power M. Digitized Virtuosity: Video War Games and Post-9/11 Cyber-Deterrence. *Security Dialogue* 2007;**38**:271–88. doi:10.1177/0967010607078552

130

Grayson K, Davies M, Philpott S. Pop Goes IR? Researching the Popular Culture-World Politics Continuum. *Politics* 2009;**29**:155–63. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9256.2009.01351.x

131

Robinson N. Videogames, Persuasion and the War on Terror: Escaping or Embedding the Military-Entertainment Complex? *Political Studies* 2012;**60**:504–22. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00923.x

132

Weldes J. Going Cultural: Star Trek, State Action, and Popular Culture. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies* 1999;**28**:117–34. doi:10.1177/03058298990280011201

133

Jhally S, Earp J, Shaheen JG, et al. *Reel bad Arabs: how Hollywood vilifies a people*. 2006.

134

Sylvester C. Art/museums: international relations where we least expect it. Boulder, Colo: : Paradigm Publishers 2009.

135

Bleiker R. Aesthetics and world politics. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2012.

136

Weber C. International relations theory: a critical introduction. 4th ed. London: : Routledge 2014.

137

Nexon DH, Neumann IB. Harry Potter and international relations. Lanham, Md: : Rowman & Littlefield 2006.