Issues In International Relations

1.

Royal Institute of International Affairs: International affairs. (1944).

2.

Thomas J. Watson, Jr. Institute for International Studies (Brown University), EBSCO Publishing (Firm), William S. Hein & Company: The Brown journal of world affairs. (1994).

3.

British International Studies Association, Cambridge University Press: Review of international studies. (1981).

4.

Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs: International security.

5.

Security studies.

6.

Global environmental politics. (2001).

7.

Environmental politics.

8.

International Institute for Strategic Studies, Oxford University Press: Survival.

9.

Cambridge review of international affairs.

10.

Contemporary politics.

11.

Politics. (2008).

12.

International politics. (1996).

13.

International feminist journal of politics.

14.

Political Studies Association of the United Kingdom, EBSCO Publishing (Firm): British journal of politics and international relations. (1999).

15.

European Consortium for Political Research: European journal of international relations.

(1995).

16.

Global governance: a review of multilateralism and international organizations. (1995).

17.

International Studies Association: International studies quarterly. (1967).

18.

International Studies Association: International studies review.

19.

International Studies Association: Foreign policy analysis. (2005).

20.

London School of Economics and Political Science: Millennium: journal of international studies.

21.

American Political Science Association: Perspectives on politics. (2003).

22.

E-International Relations, http://www.e-ir.info/.

23.

Foreign Policy.

24.

Foreign Affairs.

25.

Duck of Minerva, http://duckofminerva.com/.

26.

Political Violence @ a Glance, http://politicalviolenceataglance.org/.

27.

The Disorder Of Things, http://thedisorderofthings.com/.

28.

Robert A. Pape: The Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism. The American Political Science Review. 97, 343–361 (2003).

29.

Abrahms, M.: Why Terrorism Does Not Work. International Security. 31, 42–78 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1162/isec.2006.31.2.42.

30.

Hoffman, B., Ebooks Corporation Limited: Inside terrorism. Columbia University Press, New York (2006).

31.

Crenshaw, M.: Explaining terrorism: causes, processes, and consequences. Routledge, New York (2011).

32.

Juergensmeyer, M.: Terror in the mind of God: the global rise of religious violence. University of California Press, Berkeley (2003).

33.

Krueger, Alan B.1Malecková, Jitka2: Does Poverty Cause Terrorism? New Republic. 226, 27–33 (2002).

34.

Neumann, P.R., Smith, M.L.R.: Strategic terrorism: The framework and its fallacies. Journal of Strategic Studies. 28, 571–595 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1080/01402390500300923.

35.

Zaidise, E., Canetti-Nisim, D., Pedahzur, A.: Politics of God or Politics of Man? The Role of Religion and Deprivation in Predicting Support for Political Violence in Israel. Political Studies. 55, 499–521 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2007.00673.x.

36.

McCauley, C., Moskalenko, S.: Mechanisms of Political Radicalization: Pathways Toward Terrorism. Terrorism and Political Violence. 20, 415–433 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1080/09546550802073367.

37.

Rogers, M.B.: The role of religious fundamentalism in terrorist violence: A social psychological analysis. International Review of Psychiatry. 19, 253–262 (2009).

38.

Victoroff, J.: The Mind of the Terrorist: A Review and Critique of Psychological Approaches. Journal of Conflict Resolution. 49, 3–42 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002704272040.

39.

Jaggar, A.M.: What Is Terrorism, Why Is It Wrong, and Could It Ever Be Morally Permissible? Journal of Social Philosophy. 36, 202–217 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9833.2005.00267.x.

40.

Byman, Daniel: Why Drones Work. Foreign Affairs. Jul/Aug. 92, 32–43 (2013).

41.

Cronin, Audrey Kurth: Why Drones Fail. Foreign Affairs. Jul/Aug. 92, 44–54 (2013).

42.

Gregory, T.: Drones, Targeted Killings, and the Limitations of International Law. International Political Sociology. 9, 197–212 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1111/ips.12093.

43.

Brunstetter, D.R.: Can We Wage a Just Drone War? The Atlantic. (2012).

44.

Brunstetter, D., Braun, M.: The Implications of Drones on the Just War Tradition. Ethics & International Affairs. 25, 337–358 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0892679411000281.

45.

Gregory, D.: From a View to a Kill: Drones and Late Modern War. Theory, Culture & Society. 28, 188–215 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276411423027.

46.

MAYER, M.: The new killer drones: understanding the strategic implications of next-generation unmanned combat aerial vehicles. International Affairs. 91, 765–780 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12342.

47.

Schulzke, M.: The Morality of Remote Warfare: Against the Asymmetry Objection to Remote Weaponry. Political Studies. n/a-n/a (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9248.12155.

48.

Dunn, D.H.: Drones: disembodied aerial warfare and the unarticulated threat. International Affairs. 89, 1237–1246 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12069.

49.

BOYLE, M.J.: The costs and consequences of drone warfare. International Affairs. 89, 1–29 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12002.

50.

Holmqvist, C.: Undoing War: War Ontologies and the Materiality of Drone Warfare. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 41, 535–552 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829813483350.

51.

Cavallaro, J., Sonnenberg, S., Knuckey, S.: Living Under Drones: Death, Injury, and Trauma to Civilians from US Drone Practices in Pakistan, https://law.stanford.edu/publications/living-under-drones-death-injury-and-trauma-to-civilians-from-us-drone-practices-in-pakistan/.

52.

Pinker, Steven: A History of Violence. New Republic. 236, 18–21 (2007).

53.

Goldstein, J., Pinker, S.: War Really Is Going Out of Style. The New York Times.

54.

Mitzen, J.: The Irony of Pinkerism. Perspectives on Politics. 11, 525–528 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592713001114.

55.

Herman, E.S., Peterson, D.: Steven Pinker on the Alleged Decline of Violence. Dissident Voice. (2012).

56.

Pinker, S.: The better angels of our nature: why violence has declined. Viking, New York, NY (2011).

57.

Dead Wrong?: Battle Deaths, Military Medicine, and Exaggerated Reports of War's Demise. International Security. 39, 95–125.

58.

JOHN MUELLER: War Has Almost Ceased to Exist: An Assessment. Political Science Quarterly. 124, 297–321 (2009).

59.

Gat, A.: Is war declining - and why? Journal of Peace Research. 50, 149–157 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343312461023.

60.

Aronson, R.: PINKER AND PROGRESS. History and Theory. 52, 246–264 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1111/hith.10666.

61.

Waltz, Kenneth N.: Why Iran Should Get the Bomb. Foreign Affairs. Jul/Aug. 91, 2–5 (2012).

62.

KAHL, COLIN H.: Iran and the Bomb. Foreign Affairs. Sep/Oct. 91, 157–162 (2012).

63.

Pillar, Paul R.: We Can Live with a Nuclear Iran. Washington Monthly. Mar/Apr. 44, 13–19 (2012).

64.

BOWEN, W., MORAN, M.: Living with nuclear hedging: the implications of Iran's nuclear strategy. International Affairs. 91, 687–707 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12337.

65.

Abulof, U.: Revisiting Iran's nuclear rationales. International Politics. 51, 404–415 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2014.9.

66.

Is a Nuclear Deal with Iran Possible?: An Analytical Framework for the Iran Nuclear Negotiations. International Security. 37, 52–91.

67.

Matthew Kroenig: Time to attack Iran: why a strike is the least bad option. Foreign Affairs. 91, (2012).

68.

Pocida, F.: Overblown: Why an Iranian Nuclear Bomb is not the End of the World. (2009).

69.

Why States Won't Give Nuclear Weapons to Terrorists. International Security. 38, 80–104.

70.

Duncombe, C.: Representation, recognition and foreign policy in the Iran-US relationship. European Journal of International Relations. (2015). https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066115597049.

71.

Racing toward Tragedy?: China's Rise, Military Competition in the Asia Pacific, and the Security Dilemma. International Security. 39, 52–91.

72.

Feffer, J., Bleicher, S.A.: China: Superpower or Basket Case? Foreign Policy In Focus. (2008).

73.

Turner, O.: 'Threatening' China and US security: the international politics of identity. Review of International Studies. 39, 903–924 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210512000599.

74.

Pei, M.: Everything You Think You Know About China Is Wrong. Foreign policy. (2012).

75.

Vogt, R.: Europe and China: strategic partners or rivals? Hong Kong University Press, Hong Kong (2012).

76.

Christensen, T.J.: Fostering Stability or Creating a Monster? The Rise of China and U.S. Policy toward East Asia. International Security. 31, 81–126 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1162/isec.2006.31.1.81.

77.

Johnston, A.I.: Is China a Status Quo Power? International Security. 27, 5–56 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1162/016228803321951081.

78.

Nathan, A.J., Scobell, A.: How China Sees America. Foreign Affairs. 91, 32–47 (2012).

79.

Beslin, S.: China and the global order: signalling threat or friendship? International Affairs. 89, 615–634 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12036.

80.

Samantha Power: Bystanders to genocide: why the United States let the Rwandan tragedy happen. The Atlantic. 288, (2001).

81.

Evans, G., Shahnoun, M.: The Responsibility to Protect.

82.

Evans, G., Sahnoun, M.: The Responsibility to Protect. Foreign Affairs. 81, (2002).

83.

Stansfield, G.: The Islamic State, the Kurdistan Region and the future of Iraq: assessing UK policy options. International Affairs. 90, 1329–1350 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12167.

84.

Morris, J.: Libya and Syria: R2P and the spectre of the swinging pendulum. International Affairs. 89, 1265–1283 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12071.

85.

Averre, D., Davies, L.: Russia, humanitarian intervention and the Responsibility to Protect: the case of Syria. International Affairs. 91, 813–834 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12343.

86.

Bellamy, A.J.: The Responsibility to Protect and the problem of military intervention. International Affairs. 84, 615–639 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2008.00729.x.

87.

Chandler, D.: Imposing the 'Liberal Peace'. International Peacekeeping. 11, 59–81 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1080/1353331042000228454.

88.

Betts, R.K.: The Delusion of Impartial Intervention. Foreign Affairs. 73, 20–33.

89.

Owens, P.: Accidents Don't Just Happen: The Liberal Politics of High-Technology `Humanitarian' War. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 32, 595–616 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298030320031101.

90.

Bellamy, A.J., Williams, P.D.: The new politics of protection? Côte d'Ivoire, Libya and the responsibility to protect. International Affairs. 87, 825–850 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2011.01006.x.

91.

O'Neill, K.: The environment and international relations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

92.

The Changing Nature of Nature: Environmental Politics in the Anthropocene. Global Environmental Politics. 14, 36–54.

93.

Klein, N.: This changes everything: capitalism vs. the climate. Allen Lane, London (2014).

94.

Security in Climate Change Discourse: Analyzing the Divergence between US and EU Approaches to Policy. Global Environmental Politics. 14, 82–101.

95.

Skovgaard, J.: EU climate policy after the crisis. Environmental Politics. 23, 1–17 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2013.818304.

96.

Clapp, J., Swanston, L.: Doing away with plastic shopping bags: international patterns of norm emergence and policy implementation. Environmental Politics. 18, 315–332 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1080/09644010902823717.

97.

Tienhaara, K.: Varieties of green capitalism: economy and environment in the wake of the global financial crisis. Environmental Politics. 23, 187–204 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2013.821828.

98.

Betsill, M.M., Hochstetler, K., Stevis, D.: Advances in international environmental politics. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2014).

99.

Thiele, L.P.: Indra's net and the Midas touch: living sustainably in a connected world. MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (2011).

100.

Forsyth, T., ProQuest (Firm): Critical political ecology: the politics of environmental science. Routledge, London (2003).

101.

McKibben, B.: Oil and honey. St. Martin's Griffin, New York, N.Y. (2014).

102.

Edkins, J., Zehfuss, M. eds: Global politics: a new introduction. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon (2019).

103.

Piketty, T., Goldhammer, A.: Capital in the twenty-first century. The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (2014).

104.

IFS: Living standards, poverty and inequality in the UK: 2014, http://www.ifs.org.uk/publications/7274.

105.

Beitz, C.R.: Does Global Inequality Matter? Metaphilosophy. 32, 95–112 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9973.00177.

106.

Alesina, A., Glaeser, E.L., Oxford University Press: Fighting poverty in the US and Europe: a world of difference. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2004).

107.

Bartels, L.M.: Unequal democracy: the political economy of the new gilded age. Russell Sage Foundation, New York (2008).

108.

Birdsall, N.: Why Inequality Matters: Some Economic Issues. Ethics & International Affairs. 15, 3–28 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-7093.2001.tb00356.x.

109.

Cammack, P.: What the World Bank means by poverty reduction, and why it matters. New Political Economy. 9, 189–211 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1080/1356346042000218069.

110.

Kaya, A.: Global inequality. Oxford University Press, [New York] (2012).

111.

Martins, N.: Globalisation, Inequality and the Economic Crisis. New Political Economy. 16, 1–18 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1080/13563461003789761.

112.

Wolf, M.: Why globalization works. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT (2005).

113.

Collier, P., Dawson Books: The bottom billion: why the poorest countries are failing and what can be done about it. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

114.

Ebooks Corporation Limited: Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. Routledge, London (2015).

115.

Basaran, T.: The saved and the drowned: Governing indifference in the name of security. Security Dialogue. 46, 205–220 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010614557512.

116.

Nail, T.: The figure of the migrant. Stanford University Press, Stanford (2015).

117.

Bleiker, R., Campbell, D., Hutchison, E., Nicholson, X.: The visual dehumanisation of refugees. Australian Journal of Political Science. 48, 398–416 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1080/10361146.2013.840769.

118.

Adamson, F.B.: Crossing Borders: International Migration and National Security. International Security. 31, 165–199 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1162/isec.2006.31.1.165.

119.

Muller, B.J.: (Dis)qualified bodies: securitization, citizenship and 'identity management'. Citizenship Studies. 8, 279–294 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1080/1362102042000257005.

120.

Basaran, T.: Security, Law, Borders: Spaces of Exclusion. International Political Sociology. 2, 339–354 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-5687.2008.00055.x.

121.

Latham, R.: Border formations: security and subjectivity at the border. Citizenship Studies. 14, 185–201 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1080/13621021003594858.

122.

Salter, M.B.: When the exception becomes the rule: borders, sovereignty, and citizenship. Citizenship Studies. 12, 365–380 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1080/13621020802184234.

123.

Edkins, J., Zehfuss, M. eds: Global politics: a new introduction. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon (2019).

124.

Caso, F., Hamilton, C. eds: Popular Culture and World Politics: Theories, Methods, Pedagogies. E-International Relations Publishing (2015).

125.

Smith, S., Hadfield, A., Dunne, T. eds: Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

126.

Robinson, N.: Have You Won the War on Terror? Military Videogames and the State of American Exceptionalism. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 43, 450–470 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829814557557.

127.

Dittmer, J., Gray, N.: Popular Geopolitics 2.0: Towards New Methodologies of the Everyday. Geography Compass. 4, 1664–1677 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-8198.2010.00399.x.

128.

Franklin, M.: Resounding international relations: on music, culture, and politics. Palgrave

Macmillan, New York (2005).

129.

Power, M.: Digitized Virtuosity: Video War Games and Post-9/11 Cyber-Deterrence. Security Dialogue. 38, 271–288 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010607078552.

130.

Grayson, K., Davies, M., Philpott, S.: Pop Goes IR? Researching the Popular Culture-World Politics Continuum. Politics. 29, 155–163 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9256.2009.01351.x.

131.

Robinson, N.: Videogames, Persuasion and the War on Terror: Escaping or Embedding the Military-Entertainment Complex? Political Studies. 60, 504–522 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2011.00923.x.

132.

Weldes, J.: Going Cultural: Star Trek, State Action, and Popular Culture. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 28, 117–134 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298990280011201.

133.

Jhally, S., Earp, J., Shaheen, J.G., Media Education Foundation: Reel bad Arabs: how Hollywood vilifies a people, (2006).

134.

Sylvester, C.: Art/museums: international relations where we least expect it. Paradigm Publishers, Boulder, Colo (2009).

135.

Bleiker, R.: Aesthetics and world politics. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2012).

136.

Weber, C.: International relations theory: a critical introduction. Routledge, London (2014).

137.

Nexon, D.H., Neumann, I.B.: Harry Potter and international relations. Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham, Md (2006).