

Issues In International Relations

[View Online](#)

1.

Royal Institute of International Affairs. International affairs. 1944;

2.

Thomas J. Watson, Jr. Institute for International Studies (Brown University), EBSCO Publishing (Firm), William S. Hein & Company. The Brown journal of world affairs. 1994;

3.

British International Studies Association, Cambridge University Press. Review of international studies. 1981;

4.

Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs. International security.

5.

Security studies.

6.

Global environmental politics. 2001;

7.

Environmental politics.

8.

International Institute for Strategic Studies, Oxford University Press. Survival.

9.

Cambridge review of international affairs.

10.

Contemporary politics.

11.

Politics. 2008;

12.

International politics. 1996;

13.

International feminist journal of politics.

14.

Political Studies Association of the United Kingdom, EBSCO Publishing (Firm). British journal of politics and international relations. 1999;

15.

European Consortium for Political Research. European journal of international relations.

1995;

16.

Global governance: a review of multilateralism and international organizations. 1995;

17.

International Studies Association. International studies quarterly. 1967;

18.

International Studies Association. International studies review.

19.

International Studies Association. Foreign policy analysis. 2005;

20.

London School of Economics and Political Science. Millennium: journal of international studies.

21.

American Political Science Association. Perspectives on politics. 2003;

22.

E-International Relations [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.e-ir.info/>

23.

Foreign Policy. Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2199345>

24.

Foreign Affairs. Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2199344>

25.

Duck of Minerva [Internet]. Available from: <http://duckofminerva.com/>

26.

Political Violence @ a Glance [Internet]. Available from: <http://politicalviolenceataglance.org/>

27.

The Disorder Of Things [Internet]. Available from: <http://thedisorderofthings.com/>

28.

Robert A. Pape. The Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism. The American Political Science Review [Internet]. 2003;97(3):343-61. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3117613>

29.

Abrahms M. Why Terrorism Does Not Work. International Security. 2006 Oct;31(2):42-78.

30.

Hoffman B, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Inside terrorism [Internet]. Reised and expanded edition. New York: Columbia University Press; 2006. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=908254>

31.

Crenshaw M. Explaining terrorism: causes, processes, and consequences. Vol. Cass series on political violence. New York: Routledge; 2011.

32.

Juergensmeyer M. *Terror in the mind of God: the global rise of religious violence*. 3rd ed., rev.updated. Vol. *Comparative studies in religion and society*. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2003.

33.

Krueger, Alan B.¹Malecková, Jitka². Does Poverty Cause Terrorism? *New Republic* [Internet]. 2002;226(24):27–33. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=6822052&site=ehost-live>

34.

Neumann PR, Smith MLR. Strategic terrorism: The framework and its fallacies. *Journal of Strategic Studies*. 2005 Aug;28(4):571–95.

35.

Zaidise E, Canetti-Nisim D, Pedahzur A. Politics of God or Politics of Man? The Role of Religion and Deprivation in Predicting Support for Political Violence in Israel. *Political Studies*. 2007 Oct;55(3):499–521.

36.

McCauley C, Moskalkenko S. Mechanisms of Political Radicalization: Pathways Toward Terrorism. *Terrorism and Political Violence*. 2008 Jul;20(3):415–33.

37.

Rogers MB. The role of religious fundamentalism in terrorist violence: A social psychological analysis. *International Review of Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2009 Jul 11;19(3):253–62. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/09540260701349399>

38.

Victoroff J. The Mind of the Terrorist: A Review and Critique of Psychological Approaches. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 2005 Feb 1;49(1):3-42.

39.

Jaggar AM. What Is Terrorism, Why Is It Wrong, and Could It Ever Be Morally Permissible? *Journal of Social Philosophy*. 2005 May;36(2):202-17.

40.

Byman, Daniel. Why Drones Work. *Foreign Affairs* Jul/Aug [Internet]. 2013;92(4):32-43. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=88213865&site=ehost-live>

41.

Cronin, Audrey Kurth. Why Drones Fail. *Foreign Affairs* Jul/Aug [Internet]. 2013;92(4):44-54. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=88213866&site=ehost-live>

42.

Gregory T. Drones, Targeted Killings, and the Limitations of International Law. *International Political Sociology*. 2015 Sep;9(3):197-212.

43.

Brunstetter DR. Can We Wage a Just Drone War? *The Atlantic* [Internet]. 2012 Jul 19; Available from:
<https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2012/07/can-we-wage-a-just-drone-war/260055/>

44.

Brunstetter D, Braun M. The Implications of Drones on the Just War Tradition. *Ethics & International Affairs*. 2011 Sep;25(03):337-58.

45.

Gregory D. From a View to a Kill: Drones and Late Modern War. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2011 Dec 1;28(7-8):188-215.

46.

MAYER M. The new killer drones: understanding the strategic implications of next-generation unmanned combat aerial vehicles. *International Affairs*. 2015 Jul;91(4):765-80.

47.

Schulzke M. The Morality of Remote Warfare: Against the Asymmetry Objection to Remote Weaponry. *Political Studies*. 2014 Sep;n/a-n/a.

48.

Dunn DH. Drones: disembodied aerial warfare and the unarticulated threat. *International Affairs*. 2013 Sep;89(5):1237-46.

49.

BOYLE MJ. The costs and consequences of drone warfare. *International Affairs*. 2013 Jan;89(1):1-29.

50.

Holmqvist C. Undoing War: War Ontologies and the Materiality of Drone Warfare. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 2013 Jun 1;41(3):535-52.

51.

Cavallaro J, Sonnenberg S, Knuckey S. Living Under Drones: Death, Injury, and Trauma to Civilians from US Drone Practices in Pakistan [Internet]. *International Human Rights and Conflict Resolution Clinic, Stanford Law School; NYU School of Law, Global Justice Clinic*; Available from: <https://law.stanford.edu/publications/living-under-drones-death-injury-and-trauma-to-civilians-from-us-drone-practices-in-pakistan/>

52.

Pinker, Steven. A History of Violence. New Republic [Internet]. 2007;236(12):18-21.

Available from:

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=24353575&site=ehost-live>

53.

Goldstein J, Pinker S. War Really Is Going Out of Style. The New York Times [Internet].

Available from:

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A275154865/AONE?u=glasuni&sid=bookmark-AONE&xid=21e34dd6>

54.

Mitzen J. The Irony of Pinkerism. Perspectives on Politics. 2013 Jun;11(02):525-8.

55.

Herman ES, Peterson D. Steven Pinker on the Alleged Decline of Violence. Dissident Voice [Internet]. 2012 Dec 5; Available from:

<https://dissidentvoice.org/2012/12/steven-pinker-on-the-alleged-decline-of-violence/>

56.

Pinker S. The better angels of our nature: why violence has declined. New York, NY: Viking; 2011.

57.

Dead Wrong?: Battle Deaths, Military Medicine, and Exaggerated Reports of War's Demise. International Security [Internet]. 39(1):95-125. Available from:

https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v039/39.1.fazal.html

58.

JOHN MUELLER. War Has Almost Ceased to Exist: An Assessment. *Political Science Quarterly* [Internet]. 2009;124(2):297–321. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/25655656>

59.

Gat A. Is war declining - and why? *Journal of Peace Research*. 2013 Mar 1;50(2):149–57.

60.

Aronson R. PINKER AND PROGRESS. *History and Theory*. 2013 May;52(2):246–64.

61.

Waltz, Kenneth N. Why Iran Should Get the Bomb. *Foreign Affairs* Jul/Aug [Internet]. 2012;91(4):2–5. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=76591794&site=ehost-live>

62.

KAHL, COLIN H. Iran and the Bomb. *Foreign Affairs* Sep/Oct [Internet]. 2012;91(5):157–62. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=78859927&site=ehost-live>

63.

Pillar, Paul R. We Can Live with a Nuclear Iran. *Washington Monthly* Mar/Apr [Internet]. 2012;44(3):13–9. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=73166560&site=ehost-live>

64.

BOWEN W, MORAN M. Living with nuclear hedging: the implications of Iran's nuclear strategy. *International Affairs*. 2015 Jul;91(4):687–707.

65.

Abulof U. Revisiting Iran's nuclear rationales. *International Politics*. 2014 May;51(3):404–15.

66.

Is a Nuclear Deal with Iran Possible?: An Analytical Framework for the Iran Nuclear Negotiations. *International Security* [Internet]. 37(3):52–91. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v037/37.3.sebenius.html

67.

Matthew Kroenig. Time to attack Iran: why a strike is the least bad option. *Foreign Affairs* [Internet]. 2012;91(1). Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE|A277436600&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w&asid=526ae7150fceedc3e92e2302a087ee5e>

68.

Pocida F. Overblown: Why an Iranian Nuclear Bomb is not the End of the World. 2009 Jun 9; Available from: <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/united-states/2009-06-09/overblown>

69.

Why States Won't Give Nuclear Weapons to Terrorists. *International Security* [Internet]. 38(1):80–104. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v038/38.1.lieber.html

70.

Duncombe C. Representation, recognition and foreign policy in the Iran-US relationship. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2015 Sep 8;

71.

Racing toward Tragedy?: China's Rise, Military Competition in the Asia Pacific, and the Security Dilemma. *International Security* [Internet]. 39(2):52-91. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v039/39.2.liff.html

72.

Feffer J, Bleicher SA. China: Superpower or Basket Case? *Foreign Policy In Focus* [Internet]. 2008 Aug 5; Available from: https://fpif.org/china_superpower_or_basket_case/

73.

Turner O. 'Threatening' China and US security: the international politics of identity. *Review of International Studies*. 2013 Oct;39(04):903-24.

74.

Pei M. Everything You Think You Know About China Is Wrong. *Foreign policy* [Internet]. 2012 Aug 29; Available from: <https://foreignpolicy.com/2012/08/29/everything-you-think-you-know-about-china-is-wrong/>

75.

Vogt R. Europe and China: strategic partners or rivals? [Internet]. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.5790/hongkong/9789888083879.001.0001>

76.

Christensen TJ. Fostering Stability or Creating a Monster? The Rise of China and U.S. Policy toward East Asia. *International Security*. 2006 Jul;31(1):81-126.

77.

Johnston AI. Is China a Status Quo Power? *International Security*. 2003 Apr;27(4):5-56.

78.

Nathan AJ, Scobell A. How China Sees America. *Foreign Affairs* [Internet]. 2012;91:32–47. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=78859907&site=ehost-live>

79.

Beslin S. China and the global order: signalling threat or friendship? *International Affairs*. 2013 May;89(3):615–34.

80.

Samantha Power. Bystanders to genocide: why the United States let the Rwandan tragedy happen. *The Atlantic* [Internet]. 2001;288(2). Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE|A30067738&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w&asid=3466e9800c4576bf10a44d33b79c2139>

81.

Evans G, Shahnoun M. The Responsibility to Protect. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20033347>

82.

Evans G, Sahnoun M. The Responsibility to Protect. *Foreign Affairs* [Internet]. 2002;81(6). Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA92827778&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w&asid=3b76f3e741ca1e4caeb166deadf6b35b>

83.

Stansfield G. The Islamic State, the Kurdistan Region and the future of Iraq: assessing UK policy options. *International Affairs*. 2014 Nov;90(6):1329–50.

84.

Morris J. Libya and Syria: R2P and the spectre of the swinging pendulum. *International Affairs*. 2013 Sep;89(5):1265–83.

85.

Averre D, Davies L. Russia, humanitarian intervention and the Responsibility to Protect: the case of Syria. *International Affairs*. 2015 Jul;91(4):813–34.

86.

Bellamy AJ. The Responsibility to Protect and the problem of military intervention. *International Affairs*. 2008 Jul;84(4):615–39.

87.

Chandler D. Imposing the 'Liberal Peace'. *International Peacekeeping*. 2004 Mar;11(1):59–81.

88.

Betts RK. The Delusion of Impartial Intervention. *Foreign Affairs* [Internet]. 73:20–33. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=9411180049&site=ehost-live>

89.

Owens P. Accidents Don't Just Happen: The Liberal Politics of High-Technology 'Humanitarian' War. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 2003 Dec 1;32(3):595–616.

90.

Bellamy AJ, Williams PD. The new politics of protection? Côte d'Ivoire, Libya and the responsibility to protect. *International Affairs*. 2011 Jul;87(4):825–50.

91.

O'Neill K. The environment and international relations [Internet]. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2017. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/9781107448087>

92.

The Changing Nature of Nature: Environmental Politics in the Anthropocene. *Global Environmental Politics* [Internet]. 14(4):36–54. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/global_environmental_politics/v014/14.4.wapner.html

93.

Klein N. *This changes everything: capitalism vs. the climate*. London: Allen Lane; 2014.

94.

Security in Climate Change Discourse: Analyzing the Divergence between US and EU Approaches to Policy. *Global Environmental Politics* [Internet]. 14(2):82–101. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://muse.jhu.edu/journals/global_environmental_politics/v014/14.2.hayes.html

95.

Skovgaard J. EU climate policy after the crisis. *Environmental Politics*. 2014 Jan 2;23(1):1–17.

96.

Clapp J, Swanston L. Doing away with plastic shopping bags: international patterns of norm emergence and policy implementation. *Environmental Politics*. 2009 May;18(3):315–32.

97.

Tienhaara K. Varieties of green capitalism: economy and environment in the wake of the global financial crisis. *Environmental Politics*. 2014 Mar 4;23(2):187–204.

98.

Betsill MM, Hochstetler K, Stevis D. *Advances in international environmental politics* [Internet]. 2nd New ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from:

<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.springer.com/book/10.1057/9781137338976>

99.

Thiele LP. Indra's net and the Midas touch: living sustainably in a connected world [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press; 2011. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780262298858>

100.

Forsyth T, ProQuest (Firm). Critical political ecology: the politics of environmental science [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2003. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=215025>

101.

McKibben B. Oil and honey. First St. Martin's Griffin edition. New York, N.Y.: St. Martin's Griffin; 2014.

102.

Edkins J, Zehfuss M, editors. Global politics: a new introduction [Internet]. Third edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2019. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5638898>

103.

Piketty T, Goldhammer A. Capital in the twenty-first century [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 2014. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780674369542>

104.

IFS. Living standards, poverty and inequality in the UK: 2014 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.ifs.org.uk/publications/7274>

105.

Beitz CR. Does Global Inequality Matter? *Metaphilosophy*. 2001 Jan;32(1-2):95-112.

106.

Alesina A, Glaeser EL, Oxford University Press. Fighting poverty in the US and Europe: a world of difference [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2004. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0199267669.001.0001>

107.

Bartels LM. Unequal democracy: the political economy of the new gilded age. New York: Russell Sage Foundation; 2008.

108.

Birdsall N. Why Inequality Matters: Some Economic Issues. *Ethics & International Affairs*. 2001 Sep;15(02):3-28.

109.

Cammack P. What the World Bank means by poverty reduction, and why it matters. *New Political Economy*. 2004 Jun;9(2):189-211.

110.

Kaya A. Global inequality [Internet]. Vol. Oxford bibliographies. Political science. [New York]: Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/OBO/9780199756223-0025>

111.

Martins N. Globalisation, Inequality and the Economic Crisis. *New Political Economy*. 2011 Feb;16(1):1-18.

112.

Wolf M. Why globalization works. Vol. Yale Nota bene. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press; 2005.

113.

Collier P, Dawson Books. The bottom billion: why the poorest countries are failing and what can be done about it [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780198042549>

114.

Ebooks Corporation Limited. Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations [Internet]. Second edition. Shepherd LJ, editor. London: Routledge; 2015. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=1744166>

115.

Basaran T. The saved and the drowned: Governing indifference in the name of security. Security Dialogue. 2015 Jun 1;46(3):205–20.

116.

Nail T. The figure of the migrant. Stanford: Stanford University Press; 2015.

117.

Bleiker R, Campbell D, Hutchison E, Nicholson X. The visual dehumanisation of refugees. Australian Journal of Political Science. 2013 Dec;48(4):398–416.

118.

Adamson FB. Crossing Borders: International Migration and National Security. International Security. 2006 Jul;31(1):165–99.

119.

Muller BJ. (Dis)qualified bodies: securitization, citizenship and 'identity management'. *Citizenship Studies*. 2004 Sep;8(3):279–94.

120.

Basaran T. Security, Law, Borders: Spaces of Exclusion. *International Political Sociology*. 2008 Dec;2(4):339–54.

121.

Latham R. Border formations: security and subjectivity at the border. *Citizenship Studies*. 2010 Apr;14(2):185–201.

122.

Salter MB. When the exception becomes the rule: borders, sovereignty, and citizenship. *Citizenship Studies*. 2008 Aug;12(4):365–80.

123.

Edkins J, Zehfuss M, editors. *Global politics: a new introduction* [Internet]. Third edition. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2019. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5638898>

124.

Caso F, Hamilton C, editors. *Popular Culture and World Politics: Theories, Methods, Pedagogies* [Internet]. E-International Relations Publishing; 2015. Available from: <https://www.e-ir.info/publication/popular-culture-and-world-politics/>

125.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T, editors. *Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases*. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

126.

Robinson N. Have You Won the War on Terror? Military Videogames and the State of American Exceptionalism. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 2015 Jan 1;43(2):450–70.

127.

Dittmer J, Gray N. Popular Geopolitics 2.0: Towards New Methodologies of the Everyday. *Geography Compass*. 2010 Nov;4(11):1664–77.

128.

Franklin M. *Resounding international relations: on music, culture, and politics*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan; 2005.

129.

Power M. Digitized Virtuosity: Video War Games and Post-9/11 Cyber-Deterrence. *Security Dialogue*. 2007 Jun 1;38(2):271–88.

130.

Grayson K, Davies M, Philpott S. Pop Goes IR? Researching the Popular Culture-World Politics Continuum. *Politics*. 2009 Oct;29(3):155–63.

131.

Robinson N. Videogames, Persuasion and the War on Terror: Escaping or Embedding the Military-Entertainment Complex? *Political Studies*. 2012 Oct;60(3):504–22.

132.

Weldes J. Going Cultural: Star Trek, State Action, and Popular Culture. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 1999 Mar 1;28(1):117–34.

133.

Jhally S, Earp J, Shaheen JG, Media Education Foundation. *Reel bad Arabs: how Hollywood vilifies a people*. Northampton, MA: Media Education Foundation; 2006.

134.

Sylvester C. Art/museums: international relations where we least expect it. Vol. Media and power. Boulder, Colo: Paradigm Publishers; 2009.

135.

Bleiker R. Aesthetics and world politics. Vol. Rethinking peace and conflict studies. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.

136.

Weber C. International relations theory: a critical introduction. 4th ed. London: Routledge; 2014.

137.

Nexon DH, Neumann IB. Harry Potter and international relations. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2006.