

Comparative Public Opinion

View Online



-
1.
The American Political Science Review. American Political Science Association; 1906; Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/journal/amerpolisciervi>

 2.
American Journal of Political Science. Midwest Political Science Association; 1973; Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/journal/amerjpoliscie>

 3.
Annual Review of Political Science. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/command/detail?sid=11ba6554-2e01-4540-86ff-3827cf64e75a%40sessionmgr4007&vid=0&hid=4201&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWwhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#jid=84B&db=buh>

 4.
Annual Review of Sociology. Annual Reviews; 1975; Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/journal/annurevisoci>

 5.
British Journal of Political Science. Cambridge University Press; 1971; Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/journal/britjpoliscie>

 - 6.

Comparative Political Studies. Available from:
<https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2197554>

7.

European Journal of Political Research. Available from:
[https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10.1111/\(ISSN\)1475-6765](https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10.1111/(ISSN)1475-6765)

8.

European Union Politics. Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2199096>

9.

Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/fbep20>

10.

Political Analysis. Oxford University Press Society for Political Methodology; 1989; Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/journal/polianalysis>

11.

Political Behavior. Springer; 1979; Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/journal/polibeha>

12.

Political Psychology. International Society of Political Psychology; 1979; Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/journal/polipsyc>

13.

The Public Opinion Quarterly. Oxford University Press American Association for Public Opinion Research; 1937; Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/journal/publopinquar>

14.

Aldridge A, Levine K, Dawson Books. Surveying the social world: principles and practice in survey research [Internet]. Buckingham: Open University Press; 2001. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780335230471>

15.

Denscombe M, Ebooks Corporation Limited. The good research guide: for small-scale social research projects [Internet]. Fifth edition. Maidenhead, Berkshire: Open University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://gla.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1910221>

16.

Donsbach W, Traugott MW, Dawson Books. The SAGE handbook of public opinion research [Internet]. Los Angeles, Calif: SAGE; 2008. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781446206515>

17.

Rae Atkeson L, Alvarez RM. Introduction to Political Analysis Mini-Symposium on Advances in Survey Methodology. Political Analysis. 2014 July 1;22(3):281–284.

18.

Massey DS, Tourangeau R. Introduction: New Challenges to Social Measurement. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 2013 Jan 1;645(1):6–22.

19.

Murphy J, Link MW, Childs JH, Tesfaye CL, Dean E, Stern M, Pasek J, Cohen J, Callegaro M, Harwood P. Social Media in Public Opinion Research: Executive Summary of the Aapor Task Force on Emerging Technologies in Public Opinion Research. Public Opinion Quarterly. 2014 Dec 1;78(4):788–794.

20.

Barrington LW. Fragile Snapshot or Stable Relationships?: What the Orange and Rose Revolutions Reveal About the Stability of Cross-Sectional Survey Data. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2012 Mar 1;45(3):312–340.

21.

Soroka SN, Wlezien C. Opinion-Policy Dynamics: Public Preferences and Public Expenditure in the United Kingdom. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2005;35(4):665–689. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4092416>

22.

Toshkov D. Public opinion and policy output in the European Union: A lost relationship. *European Union Politics*. 2011 June 1;12(2):169–191.

23.

Franklin MN, Wlezien C. The Responsive Public: Issue Salience, Policy Change, and Preferences for European Unification. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*. 1997 July 1;9(3):347–363.

24.

Wlezien C. The Public as Thermostat: Dynamics of Preferences for Spending. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1995 Nov;39(4).

25.

Nicholson-Crotty S, Peterson DAM, Ramirez MD. Dynamic Representation(s): Federal Criminal Justice Policy and an Alternative Dimension of Public Mood. *Political Behavior* [Internet]. Springer; 2009;31(4):629–655. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/40587302>

26.

Jennings W. The Public Thermostat, Political Responsiveness and Error-Correction: Border Control and Asylum in Britain, 1994-2007. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2009;39(4):847-870. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/27742775>

27.

Donsbach W, Traugott MW, Dawson Books. The SAGE handbook of public opinion research [Internet]. Los Angeles, Calif: SAGE; 2008. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781446206515>

28.

Norris P. Democratic deficit: critical citizens revisited [Internet]. Leiden: Cambridge University Press; 2011. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511973383>

29.

Hetherington MJ. Why trust matters: declining political trust and the demise of American liberalism. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 2005.

30.

Armingeon K, Guthmann K. Democracy in crisis? The declining support for national democracy in European countries, 2007-2011. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2014 Aug;53(3):423-442.

31.

Teixeira CP, Tsatsanis E, Belchior AM. Support for Democracy in Times of Crisis: Diffuse and Specific Regime Support in Portugal and Greece. *South European Society and Politics*. 2014 Oct 2;19(4):501-518.

32.

Dahlberg S, Holmberg S. Democracy and Bureaucracy: How their Quality Matters for Popular Satisfaction. *West European Politics*. 2014 May 4;37(3):515-537.

33.

Marien S, Hooghe M. Does political trust matter? An empirical investigation into the relation between political trust and support for law compliance. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2011 Mar;50(2):267–291.

34.

Esaiasson P, Gilljam M, Persson M. Which decision-making arrangements generate the strongest legitimacy beliefs? Evidence from a randomised field experiment. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2012 Oct;51(6):785–808.

35.

Natalia Letki. Investigating the Roots of Civic Morality: Trust, Social Capital, and Institutional Performance. *Political Behavior* [Internet]. SpringerSpringer; 2006;28(4):305–325. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4500227>

36.

Anderson CJ, Tverdova YV. Corruption, Political Allegiances, and Attitudes toward Government in Contemporary Democracies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2003 Jan;47(1).

37.

Anderson CJ, Tverdova YV. Winners, Losers, and Attitudes about Government in Contemporary Democracies. *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique* [Internet]. Sage Publications, Ltd.; 2001;22(4):321–338. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1601210>

38.

Rohrschneider R. Institutional Quality and Perceptions of Representation in Advanced Industrial Democracies. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2005 Sept 1;38(7):850–874.

39.

Norris P, Oxford University Press. Critical citizens: global support for democratic government [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1999. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0198295685.001.0001>

40.

Dalton RJ, Oxford University Press. Democratic challenges, democratic choices: the erosion of political support in advanced industrial democracies [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2004. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199268436.001.0001>

41.

Hobolt SB, de Vries CE. Public Support for European Integration. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2016 May 11;19(1):413–432.

42.

Hobolt SB, Wratil C. Public opinion and the crisis: the dynamics of support for the euro. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2015 Feb 7;22(2):238–256.

43.

Curtice PJ. In or Out? How Britain Decided [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.gla.ac.uk/schools/socialpolitical/research/politics/stevensontrust/videos/>

44.

Brexit vote explained: poverty, low skills and lack of opportunities | JRF. Available from: <https://www.jrf.org.uk/report/brexit-vote-explained-poverty-low-skills-and-lack-opportunities>

45.

Gifford C. The rise of post-imperial populism: The case of right-wing Euroscepticism in Britain. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2006 Aug;45(5):851–869.

46.

Bruter M. Time Bomb?: The Dynamic Effect of News and Symbols on the Political Identity of European Citizens. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2009 Dec 1;42(12):1498–1536.

47.

Sigalas E. Cross-border mobility and European identity: The effectiveness of intergroup contact during the ERASMUS year abroad. *European Union Politics*. 2010 June 1;11(2):241–265.

48.

Jackson JE, Mach BW, Miller-Gonzalez JL. Buying support and regime change: the evolution of Polish attitudes towards the EU and voting between accession and 2008. *European Union Politics*. 2011 June 1;12(2):147–167.

49.

Clements B. The sociological and psychological influences on public support for the European Union in Britain, 1983–2005. *British Politics*. 2009 Apr;4(1):47–82.

50.

van Elsas EJ, Hakhverdian A, van der Brug W. United against a common foe? The nature and origins of Euroscepticism among left-wing and right-wing citizens. *West European Politics*. 2016 Nov;39(6):1181–1204.

51.

Hooghe L, Marks G. A Postfunctionalist Theory of European Integration: From Permissive Consensus to Constraining. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2009;39(1):1–23. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/27568377>

52.

Down I, Wilson CJ. A rising generation of Europeans? Life-cycle and cohort effects on support for 'Europe'. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2013 June;52(4):431–456.

53.

Rohrschneider R, Loveless M. Macro Salience: How Economic and Political Contexts Mediate Popular Evaluations of the Democracy Deficit in the European Union. *The Journal of Politics*. 2010 Oct;72(4):1029-1045.

54.

Munoz J, Torcal M, Bonet E. Institutional trust and multilevel government in the European Union: Congruence or compensation? *European Union Politics*. 2011 Dec 1;12(4):551-574.

55.

Elenbaas M, De Vreese CH, Boomgaarden HG, Schuck ART. The impact of information acquisition on EU performance judgements. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2012 Oct;51(6):728-755.

56.

Stoeckel F. Ambivalent or indifferent? Reconsidering the structure of EU public opinion. *European Union Politics*. 2013 Mar 1;14(1):23-45.

57.

McLaren LM. *Identity, interests, and attitudes to European integration*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2006.

58.

Carey S. *Undivided Loyalties: Is National Identity an Obstacle to European Integration?* *European Union Politics*. 2002 Dec 1;3(4):387-413.

59.

Hooghe L. *Calculation, Community and Cues: Public Opinion on European Integration*. *European Union Politics*. 2005 Dec 1;6(4):419-443.

60.

Ilonszki G. Perceptions of the European Union in new member states: a comparative perspective. London: Routledge; 2010.

61.

Hooghe L, Marks G. Does Identity or Economic Rationality Drive Public Opinion on European Integration? PS: Political Science and Politics [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 2004;37(3):415–420. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4488854>

62.

Hooghe M, Stolle D, editors. Generating social capital: civil society and institutions in comparative perspective [Internet]. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan; 2003. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781403979544>

63.

Field J. Social capital [Internet]. 2nd ed. Abingdon: Routledge; 2008. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780203930519>

64.

Stolle D, Hooghe M. Inaccurate, Exceptional, One-Sided or Irrelevant? The Debate about the Alleged Decline of Social Capital and Civic Engagement in Western Societies. British Journal of Political Science [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2005;35(1):149–167. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4092284>

65.

Reeskens T, Wright M. Nationalism and the Cohesive Society: A Multilevel Analysis of the Interplay Among Diversity, National Identity, and Social Capital Across 27 European Societies. Comparative Political Studies. 2013 Feb 1;46(2):153–181.

66.

Kittilson MC, Dalton RJ. Virtual Civil Society: The New Frontier of Social Capital? Political Behavior [Internet]. Springer; 2011;33(4):625–644. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/41488879>

67.

Hall PA. Social Capital in Britain. British Journal of Political Science [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1999;29(3):417–461. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/194145>

68.

Hooghe M, Quintelier E. Do All Associations Lead to Lower Levels of Ethnocentrism? A Two-Year Longitudinal Test of the Selection and Adaptation Model. Political Behavior. 2013 June;35(2):289–309.

69.

Hopkins DJ, Williamson T. Inactive by Design? Neighborhood Design and Political Participation. Political Behavior [Internet]. Springer; 2012;34(1):79–101. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/41488052>

70.

Paraskevopoulos CJ. Social Capital: Summing up the Debate on a Conceptual Tool of Comparative Politics and Public Policy. Comparative Politics [Internet]. Comparative Politics, Ph.D. Programs in Political Science, City University of New York; 2010;42(4):475–494. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/25741377>

71.

Berman S. Civil Society and the Collapse of the Weimar Republic. World Politics. 1997 Apr;49(03):401–429.

72.

Freitag M, Buhlmann M. Crafting Trust: The Role of Political Institutions in a Comparative

Perspective. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2009 Dec 1;42(12):1537–1566.

73.

Delhey J, Newton K, Welzel C. How General Is Trust in 'Most People'? Solving the Radius of Trust Problem. *American Sociological Review* [Internet]. American Sociological Association; 2011;76(5):786–807. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/23019220>

74.

Bekkers R. Trust and Volunteering: Selection or Causation? Evidence From a 4 Year Panel Study. *Political Behavior* [Internet]. SpringerSpringer; 2012;34(2):225–247. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/23262445>

75.

Pichler F, Wallace C. Social Capital and Social Class in Europe: The Role of Social Networks in Social Stratification. *European Sociological Review* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2009;25(3):319–332. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/25548330>

76.

Almond GA, Verba S. *The civic culture: political attitudes and democracy in five nations*. [New ed.]. Newbury Park, Calif: Sage; 1989.

77.

Putnam RD, Leonardi R, Nanetti RY. *Making democracy work: civic traditions in modern Italy* [Internet]. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 1994. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781400820740>

78.

Putnam RD. *Bowling alone: the collapse and revival of American community*. New York, N.Y.: Simon & Schuster; 2000.

79.

Uslaner EM. The moral foundations of trust. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002.

80.

Muller EN, Seligson MA. Civic Culture and Democracy: The Question of Causal Relationships. *American Political Science Review*. 1994 Sept;88(03):635-652.

81.

Radnitz S, Wheatley J, Zurcher C. The Origins of Social Capital: Evidence From a Survey of Post-Soviet Central Asia. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2009 June 1;42(6):707-732.

82.

Baker W, Faulkner RR. Social Capital, Double Embeddedness, and Mechanisms of Stability and Change. *American Behavioral Scientist*. 2009 July 1;52(11):1531-1555.

83.

van Deth JW, Zmerli S. Introduction: Civicness, Equality, and Democracy--A 'Dark Side' of Social Capital? *American Behavioral Scientist*. 2010 Jan 1;53(5):631-639.

84.

Sturgis P, Read S, Hatemi PK, Zhu G, Trull T, Wright MJ, Martin NG. A Genetic Basis for Social Trust? *Political Behavior* [Internet]. Springer; 2010;32(2):205-230. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/40587316>

85.

Portes A, Vickstrom E. Diversity, Social Capital, and Cohesion. *Annual Review of Sociology*. 2011 Aug 11;37(1):461-479.

86.

Uslaner EM. Segregation and Mistrust: Diversity, Isolation, and Social Cohesion [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139026758>

87.

Gerritsen D, Lubbers M. Unknown is unloved? Diversity and inter-population trust in Europe. *European Union Politics*. 2010 June 1;11(2):267–287.

88.

Sturgis P, Brunton-Smith I, Read S, Allum N. Does ethnic diversity erode trust?: Putnam's 'hunkering-down' thesis reconsidered. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. 41(1):57–82. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/british-journal-of-political-science/article/does-ethnic-diversity-erode-trust-putnams-hunkering-down-thesis-reconsidered/DC0DA871517729C51D08C4C7FF34E03B>

89.

Sturgis P, Brunton-Smith I, Kuha J, Jackson J. Ethnic diversity, segregation and the social cohesion of neighbourhoods in London. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*. 2014 July 3;37(8):1286–1309.

90.

Hooghe M, Reeskens T, Stolle D, Trappers A. Ethnic Diversity and Generalized Trust in Europe: A Cross-National Multilevel Study. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2008 Oct 10;42(2):198–223.

91.

You J sung. Social Trust: Fairness Matters More Than Homogeneity. *Political Psychology* [Internet]. *International Society of Political Psychology*; 2012;33(5):701–721. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/23324182>

92.

Reeskens T, Wright M. Nationalism and the Cohesive Society: A Multilevel Analysis of the Interplay Among Diversity, National Identity, and Social Capital Across 27 European

Societies. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2013 Feb 1;46(2):153–181.

93.

Walzer M, Askews & Holts Library Services. On toleration [Internet]. New Haven, Connecticut: Yale University Press; 1997. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780300127737>

94.

Mendus S, Edwards D, MyiLibrary. On toleration [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1987. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=81097&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

95.

Iyengar S, McGuire WJ. *Explorations in political psychology*. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press; 1993.

96.

Hainmueller J, Hopkins DJ. Public Attitudes Toward Immigration. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2014 May 11;17(1):225–249.

97.

Quillian L. New Approaches to Understanding Racial Prejudice and Discrimination. *Annual Review of Sociology* [Internet]. Annual Reviews; 2006;32:299–328. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/29737741>

98.

Gilens M, Dawson Books. *Why Americans hate welfare: race, media, and the politics of antipoverty policy* [Internet]. Chicago, Ill: University of Chicago Press; 1999. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780226293660>

99.

Schmidt-Catran AW, Spies DC. Immigration and Welfare Support in Germany. *American Sociological Review*. 2016 Apr 1;81(2):242–261.

100.

Iyengar S, McGuire WJ. *Explorations in political psychology*. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press; 1993.

101.

Hainmueller J, Hangartner D. Who Gets a Swiss Passport? A Natural Experiment in Immigrant Discrimination. *American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 107(1):159–187. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/american-political-science-review/article/who-gets-a-swiss-passport-a-natural-experiment-in-immigrant-discrimination/DACDC6C041FE980B47E31D501225B7CA>

102.

Abrajano M, Hajnal Z. *White backlash: immigration, race, and American politics*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press; 2015.

103.

Newman BJ, Hartman TK, Taber CS. Social Dominance and the Cultural Politics of Immigration. *Political Psychology*. 2014 Apr;35(2):165–186.

104.

Filindra A, Kaplan NJ. Racial Resentment and Whites' Gun Policy Preferences in Contemporary America. *Political Behavior*. 2016 June;38(2):255–275.

105.

The Political Quarterly. Volume 85(Issue 3). Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/poqu.2014>.

85.issue-3/issuetoc

106.

Banks AJ. The Public's Anger: White Racial Attitudes and Opinions Toward Health Care Reform. *Political Behavior*. 2014 Sept;36(3):493–514.

107.

Ford R. Acceptable and Unacceptable Immigrants: How Opposition to Immigration in Britain is Affected by Migrants' Region of Origin. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*. 2011 Aug;37(7):1017–1037.

108.

Sniderman PM, Hagendoorn L, Prior M. Predisposing Factors and Situational Triggers: Exclusionary Reactions to Immigrant Minorities. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 2004;98(1):35–49. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4145295>

109.

Sides J, Citrin J. European Opinion about Immigration: The Role of Identities, Interests and Information. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2007;37(3):477–504. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4497304>

110.

Blinder S, Ford R, Ivarsflaten E. The Better Angels of Our Nature: How the Antiprejudice Norm Affects Policy and Party Preferences in Great Britain and Germany. *American Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Midwest Political Science Association; 2013;57(4):841–857. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/23496660>

111.

Rydgren J, Sofi D, Hällsten M. Interethnic Friendship, Trust, and Tolerance: Findings from Two North Iraqi Cities¹. *American Journal of Sociology* [Internet]. 2013;118(Issue 6):1650–1694. Available from:

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/669854>

112.

Simon RJ. Old Minorities, New Immigrants: Aspirations, Hopes, and Fears. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* [Internet]. Sage Publications, Inc.American Academy of Political and Social ScienceAmerican Academy of Political and Social Science; 1993;530:61–73. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1047677>

113.

Whitley BE. Right-wing authoritarianism, social dominance orientation, and prejudice. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* [Internet]. 1999;(1). Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=1999-05981-009&site=ehost-live>

114.

Tausch N, Hewstone M, Kenworthy J, Cairns E, Christ O. Cross-Community Contact, Perceived Status Differences, and Intergroup Attitudes in Northern Ireland: The Mediating Roles of Individual-level versus Group-level Threats and the Moderating Role of Social Identification. *Political Psychology*. 2007 Feb;28(1):53–68.

115.

McLaren L, Johnson M. Resources, Group Conflict and Symbols: Explaining Anti-Immigration Hostility in Britain. *Political Studies*. 2007 Dec 1;55(4):709–732.

116.

McLaren LM. Anti-Immigrant Prejudice in Europe: Contact, Threat Perception, and Preferences for the Exclusion of Migrants. *Social Forces*. 2003 Mar 1;81(3):909–936.

117.

Tesler M. The Spillover of Racialization into Health Care: How President Obama Polarized Public Opinion by Racial Attitudes and Race. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2012 July;56(3):690–704.

118.

Rocha RR, Espino R. Racial Threat, Residential Segregation, and the Policy Attitudes of Anglos. *Political Research Quarterly* [Internet]. Sage Publications, Inc. University of Utah; 2009;62(2):415–426. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/27759878>

119.

Bowyer BT. The Contextual Determinants of Whites' Racial Attitudes in England. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2009;39(3):559–586. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/27742758>

120.

Poteat PV. The socialization of dominance: Peer group contextual effects on homophobic and dominance attitudes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* [Internet]. 2007;(6). Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2007-07951-006&site=ehost-live>

121.

Andersen R, Fetner T. Cohort Differences in Tolerance of Homosexuality: Attitudinal Change in Canada and the United States, 1981-2000. *The Public Opinion Quarterly* [Internet]. Oxford University Press American Association for Public Opinion Research; 2008;72(2):311–330. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/25167627>

122.

Loftus J. America's Liberalization in Attitudes toward Homosexuality, 1973 to 1998. *American Sociological Review* [Internet]. American Sociological Association; 2001;66(5):762–782. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3088957>

123.

Haider-Markel DP, Joslyn MR. Beliefs about the Origins of Homosexuality and Support for

Gay Rights: An Empirical Test of Attribution Theory. *The Public Opinion Quarterly* [Internet]. Oxford University Press American Association for Public Opinion Research; 2008;72(2):291–310. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/25167626>

124.

Olson LR, Cadge W, Harrison JT. Religion and Public Opinion about Same-Sex Marriage. *Social Science Quarterly*. 2006 June;87(2):340–360.

125.

Miceli MS. Morality Politics vs. Identity Politics: Framing Processes and Competition among Christian Right and Gay Social Movement Organizations. *Sociological Forum* [Internet]. Springer; 2005;20(4):589–612. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4540917>

126.

Ohlander J, Batalova J, Treas J. Explaining educational influences on attitudes toward homosexual relations. *Social Science Research*. 2005 Dec;34(4):781–799.

127.

Brewer J. Sexuality in the Church: toward a sociology of the Bible. *Sociological Research Online*. 2003;8(4).

128.

Crockett A, Voas D. A Divergence of Views: Attitude change and the religious crisis over homosexuality. A divergence of views: Attitude change and the religious crisis over homosexuality [Internet]. *Sociological Research Online*; 8(4). Available from: <http://www.socresonline.org.uk/8/4/crockett.html>

129.

Herek GM. Gender Gaps in Public Opinion about Lesbians and Gay Men. *The Public Opinion Quarterly* [Internet]. Oxford University Press American Association for Public Opinion Research; 2002;66(1):40–66. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078695>

130.

Walzer M, Askews & Holts Library Services. On toleration [Internet]. New Haven, Connecticut: Yale University Press; 1997. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780300127737>

131.

Mendus S, Edwards D, MyiLibrary. On toleration [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1987. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=81097&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

132.

Gibson JL. Alternative Measures of Political Tolerance: Must Tolerance be 'Least-Liked'? *American Journal of Political Science*. 1992 May;36(2).

133.

Peterson M, Slothuus R, Stubager R, Togeby L. Freedom for All? The Strength and Limits of Political Tolerance. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. 41:581–597. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/british-journal-of-political-science/article/freedom-for-all-the-strength-and-limits-of-political-tolerance/09585D31154BE3DC885966C3339591D0>

134.

Gibson JL. Political Intolerance and Political Repression During the McCarthy Red Scare. *The American Political Science Review*. 1988 June;82(2).

135.

Gibson JL. Intolerance and Political Repression in the United States: A Half Century after McCarthyism. *American Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Midwest Political Science Association; 2008;52(1):96–108. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/25193799>

136.

Gibson JL, Gouws A. Making Tolerance Judgments: The Effects of Context, Local and National. *The Journal of Politics* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press Southern Political Science Association The University of Chicago Press; 2001;63(4):1067–1090. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2691807>

137.

Hinckley RA. Personality and Political Tolerance: The Limits of Democratic Learning in Postcommunist Europe. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2010 Feb 1;43(2):188–207.

138.

Crawford JT, Pilanski JM. Political Intolerance, Right and Left. *Political Psychology*. 2014 Dec;35(6):841–851.

139.

Djupe PA, Calfano BR. American Muslim Investment in Civil Society: Political Discussion, Disagreement, and Tolerance. *Political Research Quarterly* [Internet]. Sage Publications, Inc. University of Utah; 2012;65(3):516–528. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/41635252>

140.

Bobo L, Licari FC. Education and Political Tolerance: Testing the Effects of Cognitive Sophistication and Target Group Affect. *The Public Opinion Quarterly* [Internet]. Oxford University Press American Association for Public Opinion Research; 1989;53(3):285–308. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2749123>

141.

Sniderman PM, Fletcher JF, Russell PH, Tetlock PE, Gaines BJ. The Fallacy of Democratic Elitism: Elite Competition and Commitment to Civil Liberties. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1991;21(3):349–370. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/193731>

142.

Stouffer SA. Communism, conformity, and civil liberties: a cross-section of the nation speaks its mind. New Brunswick, N.J.: Transactions Publishers; 1992.

143.

Sullivan JL, Piereson J, Marcus GE. Political tolerance and American democracy. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1989.

144.

Gibson JL. A Sober Second Thought: An Experiment in Persuading Russians to Tolerate. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1998 July;42(3).

145.

Peffley M, Rohrschneider R. Democratization and Political Tolerance in Seventeen Countries: A Multi-Level Model of Democratic Learning. *Political Research Quarterly*. 2003 Sept;56(3).

146.

Shamir M, Sullivan J. The Political Context of Tolerance: The United States and Israel. *American Political Science Review*. 1983 Dec;77(04):911-928.

147.

Shamir M. Political Intolerance among Masses and Elites in Israel: A Reevaluation of the Elitist Theory of Democracy. *The Journal of Politics* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press Southern Political Science Association; 1991;53(4):1018-1043. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2131865>

148.

Inglehart R. Culture shift in advanced industrial society. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1990.

149.

Woodward I, Skrbis Z, Bean C. Attitudes towards globalization and cosmopolitanism: cultural diversity, personal consumption and the national economy. *The British Journal of Sociology*. 2008 June;59(2):207–226.

150.

Bishop BH. Focusing Events and Public Opinion: Evidence from the Deepwater Horizon Disaster. *Political Behavior*. 2014 Mar;36(1):1–22.

151.

Barker DC, Bearce DH. End-Times Theology, the Shadow of the Future, and Public Resistance to Addressing Global Climate Change. *Political Research Quarterly* [Internet]. Sage Publications, Inc. University of Utah; 2013;66(2):267–279. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/23563143>

152.

Schuldt JP, Konrath SH, Schwarz N. 'Global warming' or 'climate change'? : Whether the planet is warming depends on question wording. *Public Opinion Quarterly*. 2011 Mar 1;75(1):115–124.

153.

Haller M, Hadler M. Dispositions to Act in Favor of the Environment: Fatalism and Readiness to Make Sacrifices in a Cross-National Perspective. *Sociological Forum* [Internet]. Wiley; 2008;23(2):281–311. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/20110265>

154.

Kellstedt PM, Zahran S, Vedlitz A. Personal Efficacy, the Information Environment, and Attitudes Toward Global Warming and Climate Change in the United States. *Risk Analysis*. 2008 Feb;28(1):113–126.

155.

Norris P, Inglehart R, Ebooks Corporation Limited. *Cosmopolitan communications: cultural diversity in a globalized world* [Internet]. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 2009. Available from: <http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=461088>

156.

Hellwig T. Economic openness, policy uncertainty, and the dynamics of government support. *Electoral Studies*. 2007 Dec;26(4):772–786.

157.

Inglehart R. The Silent Revolution in Europe: Intergenerational Change in Post-Industrial Societies. *American Political Science Review*. 1971 Dec;65(04):991–1017.

158.

Dalton RJ. *Citizen politics: public opinion and political parties in advanced industrial democracies*. Sixth edition. Los Angeles, California: SAGE/CQ Press; 2014.

159.

Inglehart R. *Modernization and postmodernization: cultural, economic, and political change in 43 societies*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1997.

160.

Edwards MS. Public Opinion regarding Economic and Cultural Globalization: Evidence from a Cross-National Survey. *Review of International Political Economy* [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 2006;13(4):587–608. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/25124090>

161.

Skrbis Z, Woodward I. The ambivalence of ordinary cosmopolitanism: Investigating the limits of cosmopolitan openness. *The Sociological Review*. 2007 Nov 6;55(4):730–747.

162.

Straker J. Youth, Globalisation, and Millennial Reflection in a Guinean Forest Town. *The Journal of Modern African Studies* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2007;45(2):299–319. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4501283>

163.

Pervasive Uncertainty in Second Modernity: an Empirical Test. *Sociological Research Online*; 2005; Available from: <http://www.socresonline.org.uk/10/4/taylor-gooby.html>

164.

Olofsson A, Ohman S. Cosmopolitans and Locals: An Empirical Investigation of Transnationalism. *Current Sociology*. 2007 Nov 1;55(6):877–895.

165.

Davis DW. Individual Level Examination of Postmaterialism in the U.S.: Political Tolerance, Racial Attitudes, Environmentalism, and Participatory Norms. *Political Research Quarterly* [Internet]. University of Utah Press, etc.; 53(3). Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/1291667466?pq-origsite=summon>

166.

Clarke HD. Refutations Affirmed: Conversations Concerning the Euro-Barometer Values Battery. *Political Research Quarterly* [Internet]. University of Utah Press, etc.; 53(3). Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/1291667482?pq-origsite=summon>

167.

Clarke HD, Kornberg A, McIntyre C, Bauer-kaase P, Kaase M. The Effect of Economic Priorities on the Measurement of Value Change: New Experimental Evidence. *American Political Science Review* [Internet]. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?p=EAIM&u=glasuni&id=GALE|A63716367&v=2.1&it=r&sid=summon&userGroup=glasuni&authCount=1>

168.

Davis DW, Davenport C. Assessing the Validity of the Postmaterialism Index. *American Political Science Review* [Internet]. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?p=EAIM&u=glasuni&id=GALE|A63716368&v=2.1&it=r&sid=summon&userGroup=glasuni>

169.

Clarke HD, Dutt N, Rapkin J. Conversations in Context: The (Mis) Measurement of Value Change in Advanced Industrial Societies. *Political Behavior* [Internet]. Springer; 1997;19(1):19–39. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/586548>

170.

Abramson PR, Ellis S, Inglehart R. Research in Context: Measuring Value Change. *Political Behavior* [Internet]. Springer; 1997;19(1):41–59. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/586549>

171.

Duch RM, Taylor MA. Postmaterialism and the Economic Condition. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1993 Aug;37(3).

172.

Abramson PR, Inglehart R. Generational Replacement and Value Change in Eight West European Societies. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1992;22(2):183–228. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/194059>

173.

Clarke HD, Dutt N. Measuring Value Change in Western Industrialized Societies: The Impact of Unemployment. *The American Political Science Review*. 1991 Sept;85(3).

174.

Davis DW, Dowley KM, Silver BD. Postmaterialism in World Societies: Is It Really a Value Dimension? *American Journal of Political Science*. 1999 July;43(3).

175.

Inglehart R, Abramson PR. Measuring Postmaterialism. *American Political Science Review* [Internet]. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?p=EAIM&u=glasuni&id=GALE|A63716369&v=2.1&it=r&sid=summon&userGroup=glasuni>

176.

Donsbach W, Traugott MW, Dawson Books. *The SAGE handbook of public opinion research* [Internet]. Los Angeles, Calif: SAGE; 2008. Available from:
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781446206515>

177.

Kinder DR. Communication and Opinion. *Annual Review of Political Science* [Internet]. 1998;1. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=5365185&site=ehost-live>

178.

Bruter M. Time Bomb?: The Dynamic Effect of News and Symbols on the Political Identity of European Citizens. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2009 Dec 1;42(12):1498-1536.

179.

Morris JS. Slanted Objectivity? Perceived Media Bias, Cable News Exposure, and Political Attitudes. *Social Science Quarterly*. 2007 Sept;88(3):707-728.

180.

Prior M. News vs. Entertainment: How Increasing Media Choice Widens Gaps in Political Knowledge and Turnout. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2005 July;49(3):577-592.

181.

Baum MA. Talking the Vote: Why Presidential Candidates Hit the Talk Show Circuit. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2005 Apr;49(2).

182.

Kull S, Ramsay C, Lewis E. Misperceptions, the Media, and the Iraq War. *Political Science Quarterly* [Internet]. *The Academy of Political Science*; 2004;118(4):569–598. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/30035697>

183.

Mutz DC, Reeves B. The New Videomalaise: Effects of Televised Incivility on Political Trust. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. *American Political Science Association*; 2005;99(1):1–15. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/30038915>

184.

Lau RR, Sigelman L, Rovner IB. The Effects of Negative Political Campaigns: A Meta-Analytic Reassessment. *The Journal of Politics*. 2007 Nov;69(4):1176–1209.

185.

Soroka S, McAdams S. News, Politics, and Negativity. *Political Communication*. 2015 Jan 2;32(1):1–22.

186.

Borah P. Interactions of News Frames and Incivility in the Political Blogosphere: Examining Perceptual Outcomes. *Political Communication*. 2013 July;30(3):456–473.

187.

Wolf MR, Strachan JC, Shea DM. Incivility and Standing Firm: A Second Layer of Partisan Division. *PS: Political Science and Politics* [Internet]. *American Political Science Association*; 2012;45(3):428–434. Available from:

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/41691357>

188.

Schmitt-Beck R. Mass Communication, Personal Communication and Vote Choice: The Filter Hypothesis of Media Influence in Comparative Perspective. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2003;33(2):233–259. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4092340>

189.

Lawson C, McCann JA. Television News, Mexico's 2000 Elections and Media Effects in Emerging Democracies. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2005;35(1):1–30. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4092278>

190.

White S, Oates S, McAllister I. Media Effects and Russian Elections, 1999–2000. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2005 Apr;35(2):191–208.

191.

Baker WD, Oneal JR. Patriotism or Opinion Leadership?: The Nature and Origins of the 'Rally 'Round the Flag' Effect. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution* [Internet]. Sage Publications, Inc.; 2001;45(5):661–687. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3176318>

192.

Ansolabehere S, Iyengar S, Simon A, Valentino N. Does Attack Advertising Demobilize the Electorate? *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 1994;88(4):829–838. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2082710>

193.

Kahn KF, Kenney PJ. Do Negative Campaigns Mobilize or Suppress Turnout? Clarifying the Relationship between Negativity and Participation. *American Political Science Review*. 1999 Dec;93(04):877–889.

194.

Ansolabehere SD, Iyengar S, Simon A. Replicating Experiments Using Aggregate and Survey Data: The Case of Negative Advertising and Turnout. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 1999;93(4):901-909. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2586120>

195.

Goldstein K, Freedman P. Campaign Advertising and Voter Turnout: New Evidence for a Stimulation Effect. *The Journal of Politics* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press Southern Political Science Association; 2002;64(3):721-740. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1520110>

196.

Norris P. Did the Media Matter? Agenda-Setting, Persuasion and Mobilization Effects in the British General Election Campaign. *British Politics*. 2006 July;1(2):195-221.

197.

Druckman JN. On the Limits of Framing Effects: Who Can Frame? *The Journal of Politics* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press Southern Political Science Association; 2001;63(4):1041-1066. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2691806>

198.

Kellstedt PM. Media Framing and the Dynamics of Racial Policy Preferences. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2000 Apr;44(2).

199.

Stevens D. The Relationship between Negative Political Advertising and Public Mood: Effects and Consequences. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties*. 2008 May;18(2):153-177.

200.

Levendusky M. Partisan Media Exposure and Attitudes Toward the Opposition. *Political Communication*. 2013 Oct;30(4):565–581.

201.

Gilens M, Dawson Books. *Why Americans hate welfare: race, media, and the politics of antipoverty policy* [Internet]. Chicago, Ill: University of Chicago Press; 1999. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780226293660>

202.

Miller JM, Krosnick JA. News Media Impact on the Ingredients of Presidential Evaluations: Politically Knowledgeable Citizens Are Guided by a Trusted Source. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2000 Apr;44(2).

203.

Nelson TE, Clawson RA, Oxley ZM. Media Framing of a Civil Liberties Conflict and Its Effect on Tolerance. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 1997;91(3):567–583. Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2952075>

204.

Druckman JN, Fein J, Leeper TJ. A Source of Bias in Public Opinion Stability. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 2012;106(2):430–454. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/41495086>

205.

Haider-Markel DP, Joslyn MR. Gun Policy, Opinion, Tragedy, and Blame Attribution: The Conditional Influence of Issue Frames. *The Journal of Politics* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press Southern Political Science Association; 2001;63(2):520–543. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2691763>

206.

Druckman JN. Political Preference Formation: Competition, Deliberation, and the (Ir)relevance of Framing Effects. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 2004;98(4):671–686. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/4145331>

207.

Baum MA. Sex, Lies, and War: How Soft News Brings Foreign Policy to the Inattentive Public. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 2002;96(1):91–109. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3117812>

208.

Hartman TK, Weber CR. Who Said What? The Effects of Source Cues in Issue Frames. *Political Behavior* [Internet]. Springer; 2009;31(4):537–558. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/40587298>