

Qualitative Methods

View Online



1

Bryman A. Social Research Methods. 5th edn. Oxford University Press 2015.

2

Ebooks Corporation Limited. The Oxford handbook of qualitative research. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2014.

3

Bazeley P. Qualitative data analysis: Practical strategies, 14. 2nd edition. London: SAGE Publications 2020.

4

Blaikie NWH, Priest J. Social research: paradigms in action. Cambridge: Polity Press 2017.

5

Coffey A, Atkinson P. Making sense of qualitative data: complementary research strategies. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications 1996.

6

Creswell JW, Poth CN. Qualitative inquiry & research design: choosing among five approaches. Fourth edition, International student edition. Los Angeles: SAGE 2018.

7

Denzin NK, Lincoln YS, editors. Strategies of qualitative inquiry. Fourth Edition. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Inc 2013.

8

Denzin NK, Lincoln YS, editors. The SAGE handbook of qualitative research. Fifth edition. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Inc 2018.

9

Flick U, Kardorff E von, Steinke I. A companion to qualitative research. London: SAGE 2004.

10

George AL, Bennett A. Case studies and theory development in the social sciences. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press 2004.

11

Holliday A. Doing & writing qualitative research. Third edition. London: SAGE Publications Ltd 2016.

12

King G, Keohane RO, Verba S. Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press 1994.

13

King G, Keohane RO, Verba S, et al. Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press 1994.

14

Krueger RA, Casey MA. Focus groups: a practical guide for applied research. 5th edition. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Inc 2015.

15

Mason J. Qualitative researching. 3rd edition. London: SAGE Publications 2017.

16

Merriam SB, Tisdell EJ. Qualitative research: a guide to design and implementation. Fourth edition. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass 2016.

17

Miles MB. Qualitative data analysis - international student edition: A methods sourcebook, 14. 4th edition. London: SAGE Publications 2019.

18

Richards L. Handling qualitative data: A practical guide, 14. 4th edition. London: SAGE Publications 2020.

19

Ritchie J. Qualitative research practice: a guide for social science students and researchers . 2nd ed. London: SAGE Publications Ltd 2014.

20

Rudestam KE, Newton RR. Surviving your dissertation: a comprehensive guide to content and process. Fourth edition. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publication 2015.

21

Salmons JE. Doing qualitative research online. 2nd edition. Washington, D.C.: SAGE Publications Ltd 2021.

22

Seale C. Social research methods: a reader. London: Routledge 2004.

23

Silverman D. Qualitative research. 5th edition. London: SAGE Publications 2016.

24

Silverman D. Doing qualitative research. 4th ed. Los Angeles, Calif: SAGE 2013.

25

Smith JA. Qualitative psychology: A practical guide to research methods, 14. 3rd edition. London: SAGE Publications 2015.

26

Bryman A. Social Research Methods. 5th edn. Oxford University Press 2015.

27

Atkinson P, Coffey A, Delamont S. A debate about our canon. Qualitative Research. 2001;1:5-21. doi: 10.1177/146879410100100101

28

Ebooks Corporation Limited. The Oxford handbook of qualitative research. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2014.

29

Slevitch L. Qualitative and Quantitative Methodologies Compared: Ontological and Epistemological Perspectives. Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism. 2011;12:73-81. doi: 10.1080/1528008X.2011.541810

30

Creswell JW, Miller DL. Determining Validity in Qualitative Inquiry. *Theory Into Practice*. 2000;39:124–30.

31

Mauthner NS, Doucet A. Reflexive Accounts and Accounts of Reflexivity in Qualitative Data Analysis. *Sociology*. 2003;37:413–31. doi: 10.1177/00380385030373002

32

Watt D. On Becoming a Qualitative Researcher: The Value of Reflexivity. *The Qualitative Report*. 2007;12:82–101.

33

Pillow W. Confession, catharsis, or cure? Rethinking the uses of reflexivity as methodological power in qualitative research. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*. 2003;16:175–96. doi: 10.1080/0951839032000060635

34

Shenton AK. Strategies for Ensuring Trustworthiness in Qualitative Research Projects. *Education for Information*. 2004;22:63–75.

35

Ebooks Corporation Limited. *The Oxford handbook of qualitative research*. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2014.

36

Shaw R. Embedding Reflexivity Within Experiential Qualitative Psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*. 2010;7:233–43. doi: 10.1080/14780880802699092

37

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press 2016.

38

Ritchie J. Qualitative research practice: a guide for social science students and researchers . 2nd ed. London: SAGE Publications Ltd 2014.

39

Richards D. Elite Interviewing: Approaches and Pitfalls. *Politics*. 1996;16:199–204.

40

Anthias F. Where do I belong? *Ethnicities*. 2002;2:491–514. doi: 10.1177/14687968020020040301

41

Davies K. Knocking on doors: recruitment and enrichment in a qualitative interview-based study. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2011;14:289–300. doi: 10.1080/13645579.2010.516645

42

Oakley A. Interviewing Women Again: Power, Time and the Gift. *Sociology*. 2016;50:195–213. doi: 10.1177/0038038515580253

43

Gareth. Reflections on interviewing elites. *Area*. 2010;42:70–5.

44

Roulston K, deMarrais K, Lewis JB. Learning to Interview in the Social Sciences. *Qualitative Inquiry*. 2003;9:643–68. doi: 10.1177/1077800403252736

45

Ramazanoglu C, Holland J. Feminist methodology: challenges and choices. London: Paul Chapman 2002.

46

Rubin HJ, Rubin I. Qualitative interviewing: the art of hearing data. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage Publications 2005.

47

Bryman A. Social research methods. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press 2016.

48

Allen L. Managing masculinity: young men's identity work in focus groups. Qualitative Research. 2005;5:35-57. doi: 10.1177/1468794105048650

49

Fallon G, Brown RB. Focusing on focus groups: lessons from a research project involving a Bangladeshi community. Qualitative Research. 2002;2:195-208. doi: 10.1177/146879410200200204

50

Farnsworth J, Boon B. Analysing group dynamics within the focus group. Qualitative Research. 2010;10:605-24. doi: 10.1177/1468794110375223

51

Joanne, Lynch U, Moutray M, et al. Using Focus Groups to Research Sensitive Issues: Insights from Group Interviews on Nursing in the Northern Ireland "Troubles". International Journal of Qualitative Methods. ;6:1-19.

52

Morgan M, Gibbs S, Maxwell K, et al. Hearing children's voices: methodological issues in conducting focus groups with children aged 7-11 years. *Qualitative Research*. 2002;2:5-20. doi: 10.1177/1468794102002001636

53

Wengraf T. *Qualitative research interviewing: biographic narrative and semi-structured methods*. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE 2001.

54

Lyn. Virtual Focus Groups: New Frontiers in Research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. ;4:32-43.

55

Stanley CA, Slattery P. Who Reveals what to whom? Critical Reflections on Conducting Qualitative Inquiry as an Interdisciplinary, Biracial, Male/Female Research Team. *Qualitative Inquiry*. 2003;9:705-28. doi: 10.1177/1077800403253004

56

Warr DJ. "It was fun... but we don't usually talk about these things": Analyzing Sociable Interaction in Focus Groups. *Qualitative Inquiry*. 2005;11:200-25. doi: 10.1177/1077800404273412

57

Donaghy J, Jokio J, Nienhaus A, et al. Intervention - "A Perecian Attempt to Exhaust the Glasgow Subway".
<https://antipodefoundation.org/2015/09/28/exhausting-the-glasgow-subway/>

58

Bourgois P. Just Another Night in a Shooting Gallery. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 1998;15:37-66. doi: 10.1177/026327698015002002

59

Becker HS. Whose Side Are We On? *Social Problems*. 1967;14:239–47. doi: 10.2307/799147

60

Behar R. *The vulnerable observer: anthropology that breaks your heart*. Boston: Beacon Press 1996.

61

DeWalt KM, DeWalt BR. *Participant observation: a guide for fieldworkers*. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield, Md 2011.

62

Gerard Forsey M. Ethnography as participant listening. *Ethnography*. 2010;11:558–72. doi: 10.1177/1466138110372587

63

Goffmann E. On Fieldwork. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*. 1989;18:123–32. doi: 10.1177/089124189018002001

64

Lumsden K. 'You are what you research': researcher partisanship and the sociology of the 'underdog'. *Qualitative Research*. 2013;13:3–18. doi: 10.1177/1468794112439012

65

Lucy Pickering. Dancing my true dance: reflections on learning to express myself through ecstatic dance in Hawai'i. *Anthropology Matters*. 2009;11.

66

Robinson L, Schulz J. New Avenues for Sociological Inquiry. *Sociology*. 2009;43:685–98. doi: 10.1177/0038038509105415

67

Sanford V, Angel-Ajani A. Engaged observer: anthropology, advocacy, and activism. New Brunswick, N.J.: Rutgers University Press 2006.

68

Vrasti W. The Strange Case of Ethnography and International Relations. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 2008;37:279–301. doi: 10.1177/0305829808097641

69

boyd D, Crawford K. Critical questions for big data: Provocations for a cultural, technological, and scholarly phenomenon. Information, Communication & Society. 2012;15:662–79. doi: 10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878

70

Karpp D. Social science research methods in internet time. Information, Communication & Society. 2012;15:639–61. doi: 10.1080/1369118X.2012.665468

71

Wilson RE, Gosling SD, Graham LT. A Review of Facebook Research in the Social Sciences. Perspectives on Psychological Science. 2012;7:203–20. doi: 10.1177/1745691612442904

72

Digital Methods Initiative. <https://wiki.digitalmethods.net/Dmi/DmiAbout>

73

Institute of Network Cultures. <http://networkcultures.org/>

74

New Social Media, New Social Science. <http://nsmnss.blogspot.co.uk/p/about.html>

75

Ackland R. Web social science: concepts, data and tools for social scientists in the digital age. London: SAGE 2013.

76

Bouman MPA, Drossaert CHC, Pieterse ME. Mark My Words: The Design of an Innovative Methodology to Detect and Analyze Interpersonal Health Conversations in Web and Social Media. *Journal of Technology in Human Services*. 2012;30:312–26. doi: 10.1080/15228835.2012.743394

77

Giglietto F, Rossi L, Bennato D. The Open Laboratory: Limits and Possibilities of Using Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube as a Research Data Source. *Journal of Technology in Human Services*. 2012;30:145–59. doi: 10.1080/15228835.2012.743797

78

Highfield T. Talking of Many Things: Using Topical Networks to Study Discussions in Social Media. *Journal of Technology in Human Services*. 2012;30:204–18. doi: 10.1080/15228835.2012.746894

79

Kozinets RV. Marketing Netnography: Prom/ot(Ul)gating a New Research Method. *Methodological Innovations Online*. 2012;7:37–45. doi: 10.4256/mio.2012.004

80

Kozinets RV. *Netnography: ethnographic research online*. London: SAGE 2010.

81

Marres N, Weltevrede E. Scraping the social? Issues in real-time social research. *Journal of*

Cultural Economy. 2013;6:313–35. doi: 10.1080/17530350.2013.772070

82

Rogers R. Internet Research: The Question of Method—A Keynote Address from the YouTube and the 2008 Election Cycle in the United States Conference. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*. 2010;7:241–60. doi: 10.1080/19331681003753438

83

Reber B, Brossaud C. *Digital cognitive technologies: epistemology and the knowledge economy*. London: ISTE 2010.

84

Beneito-Montagut R. Ethnography goes online: towards a user-centred methodology to research interpersonal communication on the internet. *Qualitative Research*. 2011;11:716–35. doi: 10.1177/1468794111413368

85

Trevisan F, Reilly P. Ethical dilemmas in researching sensitive issues online: lessons from the study of British disability dissent networks. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2014;17:1131–46. doi: 10.1080/1369118X.2014.889188

86

Janes JE. Democratic encounters? Epistemic privilege, power, and community-based participatory action research. *Action Research*. 2016;14:72–87. doi: 10.1177/1476750315579129

87

Bain AL, Payne WJ. Queer de-participation: reframing the co-production of scholarly knowledge. *Qualitative Research*. 2016;16:330–40. doi: 10.1177/1468794115619002

88

Gray DE, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Doing research in the real world. London: SAGE 2004.

89

Quinn N. Participatory action research with asylum seekers and refugees experiencing stigma and discrimination: the experience from Scotland. *Disability & Society*. 2014;29:58–70. doi: 10.1080/09687599.2013.769863

90

Mills J, Birks M, editors. *Qualitative methodology: a practical guide*. Los Angeles: SAGE 2014.

91

Amber J. Doing Participatory Action Research in a Multicase Study. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. ;14.

92

Riaño Y. Minga biographic workshops with highly skilled migrant women: enhancing spaces of inclusion. *Qualitative Research*. 2016;16:267–79. doi: 10.1177/1468794115614884

93

van der Riet M. Participatory Research and the Philosophy of Social Science. *Qualitative Inquiry*. 2008;14:546–65. doi: 10.1177/1077800408314350

94

Leavy P, editor. *The Oxford handbook of qualitative research*. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2014.

95

Askews & Holts Library Services. *Handbook of constructionist research*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press 2008.

96

Bryant A, Charmaz K. The SAGE handbook of grounded theory. Pbk. ed. London: SAGE 2007.

97

Charmaz K. Constructing grounded theory. 2nd edition. London: SAGE Publications 2014.

98

Corbin J. Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory, 14. 4th edition. London: SAGE Publications 2015.

99

Glaser BG, Strauss AL. The discovery of grounded theory: strategies for qualitative research. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson 1968.

100

Hardman H. The validity of a grounded theory approach to research on democratization. *Qualitative Research*. 2013;13:635-49. doi: 10.1177/1468794112445526

101

Peters I. Too Abstract to be Feasible? Applying the Grounded Theory Method in Social Movement Research. 2014.

102

Denzin NK, Lincoln YS, editors. The SAGE handbook of qualitative research. Fifth edition. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Inc 2018.

103

Finch JH. The role of grounded theory in developing economic theory. *Journal of Economic Methodology*. 2002;9:213–34. doi: 10.1080/13501780210137119

104

Creswell JW, Poth CN. *Qualitative inquiry & research design: choosing among five approaches*. Fourth edition, International student edition. Los Angeles: SAGE 2018.

105

Fairclough N. *Analyzing discourse: textual analysis for social research*. London: Routledge 2003.

106

Bryman A. *Social research methods*. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press 2016.

107

The Leader Interviews.

108

Chiang S-Y. 'Well, I'm a lot of things, but I'm sure not a bigot': Positive self-presentation in confrontational discourse on racism. *Discourse & Society*. 2010;21:273–94. doi: 10.1177/0957926509360653

109

Fischer F, Forester J. *The argumentative turn in policy analysis and planning*. London: UCL Press 1993.

110

Hastings A. Connecting Linguistic Structures and Social Practices: a Discursive Approach to Social Policy Analysis. *Journal of Social Policy*. ;27:191–211.

111

Lynn N, Lea S. 'A Phantom Menace and the New Apartheid': The Social Construction of Asylum-Seekers in the United Kingdom. *Discourse & Society*. 2003;14:425-52. doi: 10.1177/0957926503014004002

112

Steinberg MW. Fence Sitting for a Better View: Finding a Middle Ground Between Materialism and the Linguistic Turn in the Epistemology of History. *Qualitative Inquiry*. 1997;3:26-52. doi: 10.1177/107780049700300102

113

Bryman A. *Social research methods*. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press 2016.

114

Della Porta D, Keating M, editors. *Approaches and methodologies in the social sciences: a pluralist perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2008.

115

Flyvbjerg B. Five Misunderstandings About Case-Study Research. *Qualitative Inquiry*. 2006;12:219-45. doi: 10.1177/1077800405284363

116

Fraser A, Batchelor S, Li LNL, et al. City as Lens. *YOUNG*. 2017;25:235-51. doi: 10.1177/1103308816669642

117

Knill C, Preidel C. Institutional opportunity structures and the Catholic Church: explaining variation in the regulation of same-sex partnerships in Ireland and Italy. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2015;22:374-90. doi: 10.1080/13501763.2014.951066

118

Bennett A, Checkel JT, editors. Process tracing: from metaphor to analytic tool. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2015.

119

Burnham P. Research methods in politics. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan 2008.

120

Checkel JT. Tracing Causal Mechanisms. *International Studies Review*. 2006;8:362–70. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2486.2006.00598_2.x

121

Della Porta D, Keating M, editors. Approaches and methodologies in the social sciences: a pluralist perspective. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2008.

122

Antje Ellermann. When Can Liberal States Avoid Unwanted Immigration?: Self-Limited Sovereignty and Guest Worker Recruitment in Switzerland and Germany. *World Politics*. 2013;65:491–538.

123

Flyvbjerg B. Five Misunderstandings About Case-Study Research. *Qualitative Inquiry*. 2006;12:219–45. doi: 10.1177/1077800405284363

124

Barbara Geddes. How the Cases You Choose Affect the Answers You Get: Selection Bias in Comparative Politics. *Political Analysis*. 1990;2:131–50.

125

George AL, Bennett A. Case studies and theory development in the social sciences. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press 2004.

126

Gerring J. Case study research: principles and practices. Second edition. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press 2017.

127

Hancock DR, Algozzine R. Doing case study research: a practical guide for beginning researchers. 2nd ed. New York, N.Y.: Teachers College Press 2011.

128

Knill C, Preidel C. Institutional opportunity structures and the Catholic Church: explaining variation in the regulation of same-sex partnerships in Ireland and Italy. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2015;22:374–90. doi: 10.1080/13501763.2014.951066

129

Lijphart A. The Comparable-Cases Strategy in Comparative Research. *Comparative Political Studies*. 1975;8:158–77. doi: 10.1177/001041407500800203

130

Ragin CC. The comparative method: moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies. Berkeley: University of California Press 1987.

131

Silverman D. Doing qualitative research. 5th edition. London: SAGE Publications 2017.

132

Stake RE. The art of case study research. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage Publications 1995.

133

Swanborn PG. Case study research: what, why and how? Los Angeles, Calif: SAGE 2010.

134

Van Evera S. Guide to methods for students of political science. Ithaca: Cornell University Press 1997.

135

Bates RH. Analytic narratives. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press 1998.

136

Yin RK. Applications of case study research. 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE 2012.

137

Yin RK. Case study research: design and methods. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE 2009.

138

Eatough V, Smith JA. I feel like a scrambled egg in my head: An idiographic case study of meaning making and anger using interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice*. 2006;79:115–35. doi: 10.1348/147608305X41100

139

Phipps A. Intercultural ethics: questions of methods in language and intercultural communication. *Language and Intercultural Communication*. 2013;13:10–26. doi: 10.1080/14708477.2012.748787

140

Final thoughts.