

Politics of Foreign Policy

[View Online](#)

[1]

Abelson, D.E. 2014. Old world, new world: the evolution and influence of foreign affairs think-tanks. *International Affairs*. 90, 1 (Jan. 2014), 125–142.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12099>.

[2]

Abrahamsen, R. and Williams, P. 2001. Ethics and Foreign Policy: the Antinomies of New Labour's 'Third Way' in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Political Studies*. 49, 2 (Jun. 2001), 249–264.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9248.00312>.

[3]

Agathangelou, A.M. and Ling, L.H.M. 2004. Power, Borders, Security, Wealth: Lessons of Violence and Desire from September 11. *International Studies Quarterly*. 48, 3 (2004), 517–538.

[4]

Alden, C. and Aran, A. 2012. *Foreign policy analysis: new approaches*. Routledge.

[5]

Allison, G.T. 1969. Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis. *The American Political Science Review*. 63, 3 (1969), 689–718.

[6]

Allison, G.T. and Zelikow, P. 1999. *Essence of decision: explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis*. Longman.

[7]

American Political Science Association and JSTOR (Organization) 2003. *Perspectives on politics*. (2003).

[8]

Arnold Wolfers 1952. 'National Security' as an Ambiguous Symbol. *Political Science Quarterly*. 67, 4 (1952), 481–502.

[9]

Baehr, P.R. and Castermans-Holleman, M.C. 2004. *The role of human rights in foreign policy*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[10]

Barnett, M. 1999. Culture, Strategy and Foreign Policy Change: Israel's Road to Oslo. *European Journal of International Relations*. 5, 1 (Mar. 1999), 5–36.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066199005001001>.

[11]

Baum, M.A. 2002. Sex, Lies, and War: How Soft News Brings Foreign Policy to the Inattentive Public. *The American Political Science Review*. 96, 1 (2002), 91–109.

[12]

Baylis, J. et al. 2011. *The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations*. Oxford University Press.

[13]

Beard, C.A. and Smith, G.H.E. 1934. *The idea of national interest: an analytical study in American foreign policy*. Macmillan.

[14]

Beardsworth, R. 2011. Cosmopolitanism and international relations theory. Polity Press.

[15]

Beitz, C.R. 1999. Political theory and international relations. Princeton University Press.

[16]

Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs et al. International security.

[17]

Bendor, J. and Hammond, T.H. 1992. Rethinking Allison's Models. The American Political Science Review. 86, 2 (1992), 301–322.

[18]

Benhabib, S. 2004. The rights of others: aliens, residents and citizens. Cambridge University Press.

[19]

Bennett, D.S. and Nordstrom, T. 2000. Foreign Policy Substitutability and Internal Economic Problems in Enduring Rivalries. The Journal of Conflict Resolution. 44, 1 (2000), 33–61.

[20]

Berejikian, J.D. 2002. Model Building with Prospect Theory: A Cognitive Approach to International Relations. Political Psychology. 23, 4 (2002), 759–786.

[21]

Betts, R.K. The Delusion of Impartial Intervention. Foreign Affairs. 73, 6.

[22]

Bevir, M. and Daddow, O. 2015. Interpreting foreign policy: National, comparative and regional studies. *International Relations*. 29, 3 (Sep. 2015), 273–287.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117815600930>.

[23]

Bjereld, U. and Ekengren, A.-M. 1999. Foreign Policy Dimensions: A Comparison Between the United States and Sweden. *International Studies Quarterly*. 43, 3 (Sep. 1999), 503–518. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/0020-8833.00132>.

[24]

Blanton, S.L. 1996. Images in Conflict: The Case of Ronald Reagan and El Salvador. *International Studies Quarterly*. 40, 1 (1996), 23–44.

[25]

Brawley, M.R. 1997. Factoral or Sectoral Conflict? Partially Mobile Factors and the Politics of Trade in Imperial Germany. *International Studies Quarterly*. 41, 4 (1997), 633–653.

[26]

British International Studies Association and Cambridge University Press 1981. Review of international studies. (1981).

[27]

Brooks, S.G. 2013. Economic Actors' Lobbying Influence on the Prospects for War and Peace. *International Organization*. 67, 04 (Oct. 2013), 863–888.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818313000283>.

[28]

Brown, M.E. et al. 1996. Debating the democratic peace. MIT Press.

[29]

Buckler, S. 1993. *Dirty hands: the problem of political morality*. Avebury.

[30]

Bulley, D. 2010. The politics of ethical foreign policy: A responsibility to protect whom? *European Journal of International Relations*. 16, 3 (Sep. 2010), 441–461.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066109350051>.

[31]

Burchill, S. 2005. *The national interest in international relations theory*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[32]

Busby, J.W. 2010. *Moral movements and foreign policy*. Cambridge University Press.

[33]

Buzan, B. et al. 1998. *Security: a new framework for analysis*. Lynne Rienner Pub.

[34]

Campbell, D. 1998. *National deconstruction: violence, identity, and justice in Bosnia*. University of Minnesota Press.

[35]

Campbell, D. and Ebooks Corporation Limited 1998. *Writing security: United States foreign policy and the politics of identity*. Manchester University Press.

[36]

Cederman, L.-E. 2001. Back to Kant: Reinterpreting the Democratic Peace as a Macrohistorical Learning Process. *The American Political Science Review*. 95, 1 (2001), 15–31.

[37]

Chandler, D. 2004. Culture Wars and International Intervention: An 'Inside/Out' View of the Decline of National Interest. *International politics*. 41, (2004), 354–374.

[38]

Checkel, J.T. and Moravcsik, A. 2001. A Constructivist Research Program in EU Studies? *European Union Politics*. 2, 2 (Jun. 2001), 219–249.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116501002002004>.

[39]

Chollet, D. and Lindberg, T. 2007. A moral core for U.S. foreign policy. *Policy Review*. 2007, 2007 (2007).

[40]

Clinton, W.D. 1986. The National Interest: Normative Foundations. *The Review of Politics*. 48, 04 (Sep. 1986). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0034670500039656>.

[41]

Clinton, W.D. 1994. *The two faces of national interest*. Louisiana State University Press.

[42]

Cole, P. 2000. *Philosophies of exclusion: liberal political theory and immigration*. Edinburgh University Press.

[43]

Cornish, P. and Dorman, A. 2009. National defence in the age of austerity. *International Affairs*. 85, 4 (Jul. 2009), 733–753. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2009.00825.x>.

[44]

Cornish, P. and Dorman, A.M. 2012. *Smart muddling through: rethinking UK national*

strategy beyond Afghanistan. *International Affairs*. 88, 2 (Mar. 2012), 213–222.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2012.01067.x>.

[45]

Cowhey, P.F. 1993. Domestic Institutions and the Credibility of International Commitments: Japan and the United States. *International Organization*. 47, 2 (1993), 299–326.

[46]

Croft, S. 2006. *Culture, crisis and America's War on Terror*. Cambridge University Press.

[47]

Daddow, O. 2015. Constructing a 'great' role for Britain in an age of austerity: Interpreting coalition foreign policy, 2010–2015. *International Relations*. 29, 3 (Sep. 2015), 303–318.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117815600931>.

[48]

Debrix, F. 2008. *Tabloid terror: war, culture, and geopolitics*. Routledge.

[49]

Destler, I.M. 1974. *Presidents, bureaucrats, and foreign policy: the politics of organizational reform*. Princeton University Press.

[50]

Dixon, P. 2000. Britain's 'Vietnam Syndrome'? Public Opinion and British Military Intervention from Palestine to Yugoslavia. *Review of International Studies*. 26, 1 (2000), 99–121.

[51]

Doty, R.L. 1996. *Imperial encounters: the politics of representation in North-South relations*. University of Minnesota Press.

[52]

Duffield, J.S. 1999. Political Culture and State Behavior: Why Germany Confounds Neorealism. *International Organization*. 53, 4 (1999), 765–803.

[53]

Dyson, S.B. 2009. Cognitive Style and Foreign Policy: Margaret Thatcher's Black-and-White Thinking. *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique*. 30, 1 (2009), 33–48.

[54]

Edmunds, T. 2012. British civil-military relations and the problem of risk. *International Affairs*. 88, 2 (Mar. 2012), 265–282. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2012.01070.x>.

[55]

Edmunds, T. 2014. Complexity, strategy and the national interest. *International Affairs*. 90, 3 (May 2014), 525–539. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12125>.

[56]

Eytan, G. et al. 2016. Moving Media and Conflict Studies beyond the CNN Effect. *Review of International Studies*. (2016). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S026021051600005X>.

[57]

Farrell, T. 2002. Constructivist Security Studies: Portrait of a Research Program. *International Studies Review*. 4, 1 (2002), 49–72.

[58]

Fearon, J.D. 1998. Domestic politics, foreign policy, and theories of international relations. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 1, 1 (Jun. 1998), 289–313. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.1.1.289>.

[59]

Fearon, J.D. 1995. Rationalist Explanations for War. *International Organization*. 49, 3 (1995), 379–414.

[60]

Fierke, K.M. 2014. Who is my neighbour? Memories of the Holocaust/al Nakba and a global ethic of care. *European Journal of International Relations*. 20, 3 (Sep. 2014), 787–809. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066113497490>.

[61]

Finnemore, M. 1996. *National interests in international society*. Cornell University Press.

[62]

Finnemore, M. 1996. *National interests in international society*. Cornell University Press.

[63]

Finnemore, M. 2003. *The purpose of intervention: changing beliefs about the use of force*. Cornell University Press.

[64]

Fisher, K. 2015. *Security, identity and British counterterrorism policy*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[65]

Fitz-Gerald, A.M. 2008. A UK National Security Strategy: Institutional and Cultural Challenges. *Defence Studies*. 8, 1 (Mar. 2008), 4–25. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14702430701823933>.

[66]

Forsberg, T. 2006. Crisis Decision-Making in Finland: Cognition, Institutions and Rationality. *Cooperation and Conflict*. 41, 3 (Sep. 2006), 235–260. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010836706066558>.

[67]

Foyle, D.C. 2004. Leading the Public To War? The Influence of American Public Opinion on the Bush Administration's Decision to go to War in Iraq. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*. 16, 3 (Sep. 2004), 269–294. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edh025>.

[68]

Foyle, D.C. 1997. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Elite Beliefs as a Mediating Variable. *International Studies Quarterly*. 41, 1 (Mar. 1997), 141–170. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/0020-8833.00036>.

[69]

Gallie, W.B. 1956. Essentially Contested Concepts. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*. 56, (1956), 167–198.

[70]

Garrison, J.A. 2003. Foreign Policy Analysis in 20/20: A Symposium. *International Studies Review*. 5, 2 (2003), 155–202. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1521-9488.5020011>.

[71]

Gartzke, E. 1999. War Is in the Error Term. *International Organization*. 53, 3 (1999), 567–587.

[72]

Gaskarth, J. 2006. Discourses and Ethics: The Social Construction of British Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2, 4 (Oct. 2006), 325–341. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2006.00034.x>.

[73]

Gaskarth, J. 2014. Strategizing Britain's role in the world. *International Affairs*. 90, 3 (May 2014), 559–581. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12127>.

[74]

Gaubatz, K.T. 1996. Democratic States and Commitment in International Relations. *International Organization*. 50, 1 (1996), 109–139.

[75]

Gaubatz, K.T. 1995. Intervention and Intransitivity: Public Opinion, Social Choice, and the Use of Military Force Abroad. *World Politics*. 47, 4 (1995), 534–554.

[76]

Gelpi, C. 1997. Democratic Diversions: Governmental Structure and the Externalization of Domestic Conflict. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 41, 2 (1997), 255–282.

[77]

George, A.L. 1969. The 'Operational Code': A Neglected Approach to the Study of Political Leaders and Decision-Making. *International Studies Quarterly*. 13, 2 (1969), 190–222.

[78]

Gilboa, E. 2005. Global Television News and Foreign Policy: Debating the CNN Effect. *International Studies Perspectives*. 6, 3 (Aug. 2005), 325–341.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-3577.2005.00211.x>.

[79]

Gilmore, J. 2014. The uncertain merger of values and interests in UK foreign policy. *International Affairs*. 90, 3 (May 2014), 541–557.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12126>.

[80]

Goddard, S.E. 2015. The Rhetoric of Appeasement: Hitler's Legitimation and British Foreign Policy, 1938-39. *Security Studies*. 24, 1 (Jan. 2015), 95-130.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09636412.2015.1001216>.

[81]

Goddard, S.E. and Krebs, R.R. 2015. Rhetoric, Legitimation, and Grand Strategy. *Security Studies*. 24, 1 (Jan. 2015), 5-36. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09636412.2014.1001198>.

[82]

Goldgeier, J.M. and Tetlock, P.E. 2001. Psychology and international relations theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 4, 1 (Jun. 2001), 67-92.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.67>.

[83]

Gowa, J. 1998. Politics at the Water's Edge: Parties, Voters, and the Use of Force Abroad. *International Organization*. 52, 2 (1998), 307-324.

[84]

Gribble, R. et al. 2015. British Public Opinion after a Decade of War: Attitudes to Iraq and Afghanistan. *Politics*. 35, 2 (Jun. 2015), 128-150.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9256.12073>.

[85]

Grodsky, B. 2014. Lessons (Not) Learned: A New Look at Bureaucratic Politics and U.S. Foreign Policy-Making in the Post-Soviet Space. *Problems of Post-Communism*. 56, 2 (Dec. 2014), 43-57. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2753/PPC1075-8216560204>.

[86]

Hall, T.H. 2011. We will not Swallow This Bitter Fruit: Theorizing a Diplomacy of Anger. *Security Studies*. 20, 4 (Oct. 2011), 521-555.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09636412.2011.625771>.

[87]

Halperin, M.H. et al. 1974. Bureaucratic politics and foreign policy. The Brookings Institution.

[88]

Hansen, L. 2006. Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war. Routledge.

[89]

Hayes, J. 2015. Constructing national security: U.S. relations with China and India. Cambridge University Press.

[90]

Herman, E.S. and Chomsky, N. 1994. Manufacturing consent: the political economy of the mass media. Vintage.

[91]

Hermann, M.G. et al. 2001. Who Leads Matters: The Effects of Powerful Individuals. *International Studies Review*. 3, 2 (Sep. 2001), 83–131.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1521-9488.00235>.

[92]

Hermann, M.G. and Hermann, C.F. 1989. Who Makes Foreign Policy Decisions and How: An Empirical Inquiry. *International Studies Quarterly*. 33, 4 (1989), 361–387.

[93]

Hermann, M.G. and Preston, T. 1994. Presidents, Advisers, and Foreign Policy: The Effect of Leadership Style on Executive Arrangements. *Political Psychology*. 15, 1 (1994), 75–96.

[94]

Herring, E. and Robinson, P. 2014. Report X Marks the Spot: The British Government's

Deceptive Dossier on Iraq and WMD. *Political Science Quarterly*. 129, 4 (Dec. 2014), 551–584. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1002/polq.12252>.

[95]

Herring, E. and Robinson, P. 2003. Too Polemical or Too Critical? Chomsky on the Study of the News Media and US Foreign Policy. *Review of International Studies*. 29, 4 (2003), 553–568.

[96]

Hibbing, J.R. 2013. Ten Misconceptions Concerning Neurobiology and Politics. *Perspectives on Politics*. 11, 02 (Jun. 2013), 475–489. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592713000923>.

[97]

Hildebrandt, T. et al. 2013. The Domestic Politics of Humanitarian Intervention: Public Opinion, Partisanship, and Ideology. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 9, 3 (Jul. 2013), 243–266. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2012.00189.x>.

[98]

Hill, C. 2003. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Palgrave MacMillan.

[99]

Hill, K.A. 1993. The Domestic Sources of Foreign Policymaking: Congressional Voting and American Mass Attitudes toward South Africa. *International Studies Quarterly*. 37, 2 (1993), 195–214.

[100]

Hiscox, M.J. 2002. *International trade and political conflict: commerce, coalitions, and mobility*. Princeton University Press.

[101]

Hixson, W.L. 1998. Parting the curtain: propaganda, culture, and the Cold War, 1945-61. Macmillan Press.

[102]

Holland, J. 2013. Selling the War on Terror: foreign policy discourses after 9/11. Routledge.

[103]

Hollis, M. and Smith, S. 1986. Roles and Reasons in Foreign Policy Decision Making. British Journal of Political Science. 16, 3 (1986), 269-286.

[104]

Holmes, M. 2015. Believing This and Alieving That: Theorizing Affect and Intuitions in International Politics. International Studies Quarterly. (May 2015), n/a-n/a.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/isqu.12201>.

[105]

Holmes, M. 2013. The Force of Face-to-Face Diplomacy: Mirror Neurons and the Problem of Intentions. International Organization. 67, 04 (Oct. 2013), 829-861.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818313000234>.

[106]

Hopf, T. 1998. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. International Security. 23, 1 (1998), 171-200.

[107]

Horowitz, M.C. and Stam, A.C. 2014. How Prior Military Experience Influences the Future Militarized Behavior of Leaders. International Organization. 68, 03 (2014), 527-559.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818314000046>.

[108]

Houghton, D.P. 2007. Reinvigorating the Study of Foreign Policy Decision Making: Toward a Constructivist Approach. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 3, 1 (Jan. 2007), 24–45.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00040.x>.

[109]

Hudson, V.M. 2005. Foreign Policy Analysis: Actor-Specific Theory and the Ground of International Relations. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 1, 1 (Feb. 2005), 1–30.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00001.x>.

[110]

Hudson, V.M. 2014. *Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory*. Rowman & Littlefield.

[111]

Hudson, V.M. and Vore, C.S. 1995. Foreign Policy Analysis Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow. *Mershon International Studies Review*. 39, 2 (1995), 209–238.

[112]

Hurwitz, J. et al. 1993. Foreign Policy Belief Systems in Comparative Perspective: The United States and Costa Rica. *International Studies Quarterly*. 37, 3 (1993), 245–270.

[113]

Hymans, J.E.C. 2006. *The Psychology of Nuclear Proliferation: Identity, Emotions and Foreign Policy*. Cambridge University Press.

[114]

International Institute for Strategic Studies and Oxford University Press Survival.

[115]

International Studies Association 2005. *Foreign policy analysis*. (2005).

[116]

International Studies Association and JSTOR (Organization) 1967. International studies quarterly. (1967).

[117]

International Studies Association and JSTOR (Organization) International studies review.

[118]

Jackson, P.T. 2006. Civilizing the enemy: German reconstruction and the invention of the West. University of Michigan Press.

[119]

Jackson, R. 2005. Writing the war on terrorism: language, politics, and counter-terrorism. Manchester University Press.

[120]

James, P. and Zhang, E. 2005. Chinese Choices: A Polyheuristic Analysis of Foreign Policy Crises, 1950-1996. Foreign Policy Analysis. 1, 1 (Feb. 2005), 31-54.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00002.x>.

[121]

Janis, I.L. 1982. Groupthink: psychological studies of policy decisions and fiascos. Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

[122]

Jelena Subotić 2016. Narrative, Ontological Security, and Foreign Policy Change. Foreign Policy Analysis. 12, 4 (2016), 610-627. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/fpa.12089>.

[123]

Jentleson, B.W. 1992. The Pretty Prudent Public: Post Post-Vietnam American Opinion on the Use of Military Force. *International Studies Quarterly*. 36, 1 (1992), 49–73.

[124]

Jentleson, B.W. and Britton, R.L. 1998. Still Pretty Prudent: Post-Cold War American Public Opinion on the Use of Military Force. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 42, 4 (1998), 395–417.

[125]

Jervis, R. 1983. Deterrence and Perception. *International Security*. 7, 3 (1983), 3–30.

[126]

Jervis, R. 1968. Hypotheses on Misperception. *World Politics*. 20, 3 (1968), 454–479.

[127]

Jonathan Mercer 2010. Emotional Beliefs. *International Organization*. 64, 01 (Jan. 2010). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818309990221>.

[128]

Juliet Kaarbo 2003. Foreign Policy Analysis in the Twenty-First Century: Back to Comparison, Forward to Identity and Ideas. *International Studies Review*. 5, 2 (Jun. 2003), 155–202. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1521-9488.5020012>.

[129]

Kaarbo, J. 2013. *Coalition politics and cabinet decision making: a comparative analysis of foreign policy choices*. University of Michigan Press.

[130]

Kaarbo, J. 1997. Prime Minister Leadership Styles in Foreign Policy Decision-Making: A

Framework for Research. *Political Psychology*. 18, 3 (1997), 553–581.

[131]

Kaarbo, J. and Cantir, C. 2013. Role conflict in recent wars: Danish and Dutch debates over Iraq and Afghanistan. *Cooperation and Conflict*. 48, 4 (Dec. 2013), 465–483.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010836713482815>.

[132]

Kaufmann, C. 2004. Threat Inflation and the Failure of the Marketplace of Ideas: The Selling of the Iraq War. *International Security*. 29, 1 (2004), 5–48.

[133]

Kennan, G.F. 1985. Morality and Foreign Policy. *Foreign Affairs*. 64, 2 (1985).

[134]

Kettell, S. 2013. Dilemmas of Discourse: Legitimising Britain's War on Terror. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*. 15, 2 (May 2013), 263–279.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2012.00531.x>.

[135]

Krasner, S.D. 1972. Are Bureaucracies Important? (Or Allison Wonderland). *Foreign Policy*. 7 (1972), 159–179.

[136]

Krebs, R.R. 2015. How Dominant Narratives Rise and Fall: Military Conflict, Politics, and the Cold War Consensus. *International Organization*. 69, 04 (2015), 809–845.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818315000181>.

[137]

Krebs, R.R. 2015. *Narrative and the making of US national security*. Cambridge University Press.

[138]

Krebs, R.R. 2015. Tell Me a Story: FDR, Narrative, and the Making of the Second World War. *Security Studies*. 24, 1 (Jan. 2015), 131–170.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09636412.2015.1001215>.

[139]

Krebs, R.R. and Lobasz, J.K. 2007. Fixing the Meaning of 9/11: Hegemony, Coercion, and the Road to War in Iraq. *Security Studies*. 16, 3 (Aug. 2007), 409–451.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09636410701547881>.

[140]

Kull, S. et al. 2004. Misperceptions, the Media, and the Iraq War. *Political Science Quarterly*. 118, 4 (2004), 569–598.

[141]

Kuperman, A.J. 2008. The Moral Hazard of Humanitarian Intervention: Lessons from the Balkans. *International Studies Quarterly*. 52, 1 (2008), 49–80.

[142]

Lake, D.A. and Powell, R. 1999. *Strategic choice and international relations*. Princeton University Press.

[143]

Larsen, H. 1997. *Foreign policy and discourse analysis: France, Britain, and Europe*. Routledge/LSE.

[144]

Lawler, P. 2005. The Good State: In Praise of 'Classical' Internationalism. *Review of International Studies*. 31, 3 (2005), 427–449.

[145]

Leeds, B.A. and Davis, D.R. 1997. Domestic Political Vulnerability and International Disputes. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 41, 6 (1997), 814–834.

[146]

Levy, J.S. 1986. Organizational Routines and the Causes of War. *International Studies Quarterly*. 30, 2 (1986), 193–222.

[147]

Levy, J.S. 2008. Preventive War and Democratic Politics. *International Studies Quarterly*. 52, 1 (2008), 1–24.

[148]

Levy, J.S. 1997. Prospect Theory, Rational Choice, and International Relations. *International Studies Quarterly*. 41, 1 (1997), 87–112.

[149]

LexisNexis (Firm) 2008. *Politics*. (2008).

[150]

Lieberthal, K.G. and Lampton, D.M. 1992. *Bureaucracy, politics, and decision making in post-Mao China*. University of California Press.

[151]

Little, R. and Wickham-Jones, M. 2000. *New Labour's foreign policy: a new moral crusade?* Manchester University Press.

[152]

London School of Economics and Political Science Millennium: *journal of international studies*.

[153]

Maoz, Z. 1997. The Controversy over the Democratic Peace: Rearguard Action or Cracks in the Wall? *International Security*. 22, 1 (1997), 162–198.

[154]

Maoz, Z. and Russett, B. 1993. Normative and Structural Causes of Democratic Peace, 1946-1986. *The American Political Science Review*. 87, 3 (1993), 624–638.

[155]

Mapel, D.R. and Nardin, T. 1992. *Traditions of international ethics*. Cambridge University Press.

[156]

Marsh, C. and Fraser, C. 1989. *Public opinion and nuclear weapons*. Macmillan.

[157]

Marsh, K. 2014. Obama's Surge: A Bureaucratic Politics Analysis of the Decision to Order a Troop Surge in the Afghanistan War. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 10, 3 (Jul. 2014), 265–288. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/fpa.12000>.

[158]

Martin, L.L. 1999. The Contributions of Rational Choice: A Defense of Pluralism. *International Security*. 24, 2 (Oct. 1999), 74–83. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1162/016228899560158>.

[159]

Mazrui, A.A. 1996. Between the Crescent and the Star-Spangled Banner: American Muslims and US Foreign Policy. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*. 72, 3 (1996), 493–506.

[160]

McCourt, D.M. 2014. Britain and world power since 1945: constructing a nation's role in international politics. The University of Michigan Press.

[161]

McDermott, R. 2014. The Biological Bases for Aggressiveness and Nonaggressiveness in Presidents. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 10, 4 (Oct. 2014), 313–327.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/fpa.12009>.

[162]

Mearsheimer, J. and Walt, S. The Israel Lobby. *London Review of Books*. 28, 6.

[163]

Mercer, J. 2013. Emotion and Strategy in the Korean War. *International Organization*. 67, 02 (Apr. 2013), 221–252. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818313000015>.

[164]

Mercer, J. 2005. Rationality and Psychology in International Politics. *International Organization*. 59, 01 (Jan. 2005). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818305050058>.

[165]

Milner, H. 1988. Trading Places: Industries for Free Trade. *World Politics*. 40, 3 (1988), 350–376.

[166]

Mintz, A. 2004. How Do Leaders Make Decisions?: A Poliheuristic Perspective. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 48, 1 (Feb. 2004), 3–13.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002703261056>.

[167]

Mintz, A. and DeRouen, K.R. 2010. Understanding foreign policy decision making. Cambridge University Press.

[168]

Mor, B.D. 1998. Conflict in world politics: advances in the study of crisis, war and peace. Macmillan.

[169]

Moravcsik, A. 1999. 'Is something rotten in the state of Denmark?' Constructivism and European integration. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 6, 4 (Jan. 1999), 669–681. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/135017699343531>.

[170]

Morgenthau, H.J. 1982. In defense of the national interest: a critical examination of American foreign policy. University Press of America.

[171]

Morris, J. 2011. How Great is Britain? Power, Responsibility and Britain's Future Global Role. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*. 13, 3 (Aug. 2011), 326–347. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2011.00450.x>.

[172]

Nabers, D. 2009. Filling the Void of Meaning: Identity Construction in U.S. Foreign Policy After September 11, 2001. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 5, 2 (Apr. 2009), 191–214. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2009.00089.x>.

[173]

Narizny, K. 2003. The Political Economy of Alignment: Great Britain's Commitments to Europe, 1905-39. *International Security*. 27, 4 (2003), 184–219.

[174]

Nincic, M. 1999. The National Interest and its Interpretation. *The Review of Politics*. 61, 01 (Dec. 1999). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0034670500028126>.

[175]

Nyman, J. 2014. 'Red Storm Ahead': Securitisation of Energy in US-China Relations. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 43, 1 (Sep. 2014), 43–65. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829814525495>.

[176]

Oakes, A. 2006. Diversionary War and Argentina's Invasion of the Falkland Islands. *Security Studies*. 15, 3 (Sep. 2006), 431–463. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09636410601028354>.

[177]

Oakes, A. 2012. *Diversionary war: domestic unrest and international conflict*. Stanford Security Studies, an imprint of Stanford University Press.

[178]

Ole R. Holsti 1992. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Challenges to the Almond-Lippmann Consensus *Mershon Series: Research Programs and Debates*. *International Studies Quarterly*. 36, 4 (1992), 439–466.

[179]

O'Neill, B. 2001. Risk Aversion in International Relations Theory. *International Studies Quarterly*. 45, 4 (2001), 617–640.

[180]

de Orellana, P. 2015. Struggles over identity in diplomacy: 'Commie terrorists' contra 'imperialists' in Western Sahara. *International Relations*. 29, 4 (Dec. 2015), 477–499. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117815571620>.

[181]

Ostermann, F. 2015. The end of ambivalence and the triumph of pragmatism? Franco-British defence cooperation and European and Atlantic defence policy traditions. *International Relations*. 29, 3 (Sep. 2015), 334–347. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117815600937>.

[182]

Political Studies Association of the United Kingdom and EBSCO Publishing (Firm) 1999. *British journal of politics and international relations*. (1999).

[183]

Ray, J.L. 1998. *Democracy and international conflict: an evaluation of the democratic peace proposition*. University of South Carolina Press.

[184]

Reus-Smit, C. and Snidal, D. 2008. *The Oxford handbook of international relations*. Oxford University Press.

[185]

Rhodes, E. 1994. Do Bureaucratic Politics Matter?: Some Disconfirming Findings from the Case of the U.S. Navy. *World Politics*. 47, 1 (1994), 1–41.

[186]

Richards, D. et al. 1993. Good Times, Bad Times, and the Diversionary Use of Force: A Tale of Some Not-So-Free Agents. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 37, 3 (Sep. 1993), 504–535. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002793037003005>.

[187]

Risse-Kappen, T. 1991. Public Opinion, Domestic Structure, and Foreign Policy in Liberal Democracies. *World Politics*. 43, 4 (1991), 479–512.

[188]

Robert M. Entman 2004. *Projections of power: framing news, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy*. University of Chicago Press.

[189]

Robinson, P. et al. 2010. *Pockets of resistance: British news media, war and theory in the 2003 invasion of Iraq*. Manchester University Press.

[190]

Robinson, P. et al. eds. 2016. *Routledge handbook of media, conflict and security*. Routledge.

[191]

Rogowski, R. 1987. Political Cleavages and Changing Exposure to Trade. *The American Political Science Review*. 81, 4 (1987), 1121–1137.

[192]

Rose McDermott 2004. *Political psychology in international relations*. University of Michigan Press.

[193]

Royal Institute of International Affairs et al. 1944. *International affairs*. (1944).

[194]

Russett, B.M. and Oneal, J.R. 2001. *Triangulating peace: democracy, interdependence, and international organizations*. Norton.

[195]

Schmidt, B.C. and Williams, M.C. 2008. The Bush Doctrine and the Iraq War: Neoconservatives Versus Realists. *Security Studies*. 17, 2 (May 2008), 191–220. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09636410802098990>.

[196]

Schweller, R.L. 1992. Domestic Structure and Preventive War: Are Democracies More Pacific? *World Politics*. 44, 2 (1992), 235–269.

[197]

Sears, D.O. et al. 2013. *The Oxford handbook of political psychology*. Oxford University Press.

[198]

Sinno, A.H. 2008. *Organizations at war in Afghanistan and beyond*. Cornell University Press.

[199]

Sjöstedt, R. 2007. The Discursive Origins of a Doctrine. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 3, 3 (Jul. 2007), 233–254. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00049.x>.

[200]

Slater, J. 2002. Ideology vs. The national interest: Bush, Sharon, and U.S. policy in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. *Security Studies*. 12, 1 (Oct. 2002), 164–206. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09636410212120006>.

[201]

Smith, K.E. and Lights, M. 2001. *Ethics and foreign policy*. Cambridge University Press.

[202]

Smith, S. et al. eds. 2016. *Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases*. Oxford University Press.

[203]

Smith, S. et al. eds. 2016. Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. Oxford University Press.

[204]

Smith, S. 1985. Policy Preferences and Bureaucratic Position: The Case of the American Hostage Rescue Mission. *International Affairs* (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-) . 61, 1 (1985), 9–25.

[205]

Snow, D.M. and Brown, E. 1994. Puzzle palaces and Foggy Bottom: U.S. foreign and defense policy-making in the 1990s. St. Martin's Press.

[206]

Snyder, J. 2004. One World, Rival Theories. *Foreign Policy*. 145 (2004), 52–62.

[207]

Sobel, R. 2001. The impact of public opinion on U.S. foreign policy since Vietnam: constraining the colossus. Oxford University Press.

[208]

Solingen, E. Domestic Coalitions, Internationalization, and War: Then and Now. *International Security*. 39, 1, 44–70.

[209]

Solomon, T. 2015. The politics of subjectivity in American foreign policy discourses. University of Michigan Press.

[210]

Sprecher, C. and DeRouen, K. 2005. The Domestic Determinants of Foreign Policy Behavior in Middle Eastern Enduring Rivals, 1948-1998. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 1, 1 (Feb. 2005), 121–141. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00006.x>.

[211]

Strong, J. 2014. Why Parliament Now Decides on War: Tracing the Growth of the Parliamentary Prerogative through Syria, Libya and Iraq. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*. (Jul. 2014). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-856X.12055>.

[212]

Trubowitz, P. 1992. Sectionalism and American Foreign Policy: The Political Geography of Consensus and Conflict. *International Studies Quarterly*. 36, 2 (1992), 173–190.

[213]

Valenta, J. 1979. The Bureaucratic Politics Paradigm and the Soviet Invasion of Czechoslovakia. *Political Science Quarterly*. 94, 1 (1979), 55–76.

[214]

Vanderbush, W. 2009. Exiles and the Marketing of U.S. Policy toward Cuba and Iraq. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 5, 3 (Jul. 2009), 287–306.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2009.00094.x>.

[215]

Walker, S.G. et al. 2011. *Rethinking foreign policy analysis: states, leaders, and the microfoundations of behavioral international relations*. Routledge.

[216]

Walter Carlsnaes 1992. The Agency-Structure Problem in Foreign Policy Analysis. *International Studies Quarterly*. 36, 3 (1992), 245–270.

[217]

Walzer, M. 2006. *Just and unjust wars: a moral argument with historical illustrations*. Basic Books.

[218]

Weber, C. 2006. *Imagining America at war: morality, politics, and film*. Routledge.

[219]

Wehner, L.E. and Thies, C.G. 2014. Role Theory, Narratives, and Interpretation: The Domestic Contestation of Roles. *International Studies Review*. 16, 3 (Sep. 2014), 411–436. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/misr.12149>.

[220]

Welch, D.A. 1992. The Organizational Process and Bureaucratic Politics Paradigms: Retrospect and Prospect. *International Security*. 17, 2 (1992), 112–146.

[221]

Weldes, J. 1996. Constructing National Interests. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2, 3 (1996), 275–318. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066196002003001>.

[222]

Williams, M.C. 2005. What is the National Interest? The Neoconservative Challenge in IR Theory. *European Journal of International Relations*. 11, 3 (2005), 307–337. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066105055482>.

[223]

Cambridge review of international affairs.

[224]

Contemporary politics.

[225]

European journal of international relations.

[226]

2013. Handbook of international relations. SAGE.

[227]

International feminist journal of politics.

[228]

1996. International politics. (1996).

[229]

International studies perspectives.

[230]

Security studies.