

Politics of Foreign Policy

[View Online](#)

-
1.
International Studies Association. Foreign policy analysis. Published online 2005.
<https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2244344>
 2.
Royal Institute of International Affairs, EBSCO Publishing (Firm), JSTOR (Organization).
International affairs. Published online 1944. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200321>
 3.
Political Studies Association of the United Kingdom, EBSCO Publishing (Firm). British journal
of politics and international relations. Published online 1999.
<https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2196849>
 4.
European journal of international relations. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2199017>
 5.
Cambridge review of international affairs. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2228528>
 - 6.

British International Studies Association, Cambridge University Press. Review of international studies. Published online 1981. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2204860>

7.

Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, JSTOR (Organization), M.I.T. Press, Project MUSE., Thomson Gale (Firm). International security. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200670>

8.

Security studies. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2229313>

9.

International Institute for Strategic Studies, Oxford University Press. Survival. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2205588>

10.

Contemporary politics. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2228615>

11.

LexisNexis (Firm). Politics. Published online 2008. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b3072814>

12.

International politics. Published online 1996.

13.

International feminist journal of politics. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200360>

14.

International Studies Association, JSTOR (Organization). International studies quarterly. Published online 1967. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200679>

15.

International Studies Association, JSTOR (Organization). International studies review. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200680>

16.

International studies perspectives. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2928675>

17.

London School of Economics and Political Science. Millennium: journal of international studies. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2289212>

18.

American Political Science Association, JSTOR (Organization). Perspectives on politics. Published online 2003. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2203859>

19.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T, eds. Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

20.

Juliet Kaarbo. Foreign Policy Analysis in the Twenty-First Century: Back to Comparison, Forward to Identity and Ideas. International Studies Review. 2003;5(2):155-202. doi:10.1111/1521-9488.5020012

21.

Hudson VM. Foreign Policy Analysis: Actor-Specific Theory and the Ground of International

Relations. Foreign Policy Analysis. 2005;1(1):1-30. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00001.x

22.

Walter Carlsnaes. The Agency-Structure Problem in Foreign Policy Analysis. International Studies Quarterly. 1992;36(3):245-270. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600772>

23.

Snyder J. One World, Rival Theories. Foreign Policy. 2004;(145):52-62. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4152944>

24.

Garrison JA. Foreign Policy Analysis in 20/20: A Symposium. International Studies Review. 2003;5(2):155-202. doi:10.1111/1521-9488.5020011

25.

Handbook of International Relations. 2nd ed. SAGE; 2013.

26.

Hudson VM, Vore CS. Foreign Policy Analysis Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow. Mershon International Studies Review. 1995;39(2):209-238. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/222751>

27.

Mintz A, DeRouen KR. Understanding Foreign Policy Decision Making. Cambridge University Press; 2010.

28.

Walker SG, Malici A, Schafer M. Rethinking Foreign Policy Analysis: States, Leaders, and the Microfoundations of Behavioral International Relations. Routledge; 2011.

29.

Arnold Wolfers. 'National Security' as an Ambiguous Symbol. *Political Science Quarterly*. 1952;67(4):481-502. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2145138>

30.

Slater J. Ideology vs. The national interest: Bush, Sharon, and U.S. policy in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. *Security Studies*. 2002;12(1):164-206. doi:10.1080/09636410212120006

31.

Williams MC. What is the National Interest? The Neoconservative Challenge in IR Theory. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2005;11(3):307-337. doi:10.1177/1354066105055482

32.

Weldes J. Constructing National Interests. *European Journal of International Relations*. 1996;2(3):275-318. doi:10.1177/1354066196002003001

33.

Burchill S. *The National Interest in International Relations Theory*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2005.

34.

Chandler D. Culture Wars and International Intervention: An 'Inside/Out' View of the Decline of National Interest. *International politics*. 2004;41:354-374.

35.

Gilmore J. The uncertain merger of values and interests in UK foreign policy. *International Affairs*. 2014;90(3):541-557. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12126

36.

Morgenthau HJ. In Defense of the National Interest: A Critical Examination of American Foreign Policy. University Press of America; 1982.

37.

Beard CA, Smith GHE. The Idea of National Interest: An Analytical Study in American Foreign Policy. Macmillan; 1934.

38.

Clinton WD. The Two Faces of National Interest. Vol Series: Political traditions in foreign policy series. Louisiana State University Press; 1994.

39.

Edmunds T. Complexity, strategy and the national interest. International Affairs. 2014;90(3):525-539. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12125

40.

Gaskarth J. Strategizing Britain's role in the world. International Affairs. 2014;90(3):559-581. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12127

41.

Morris J. How Great is Britain? Power, Responsibility and Britain's Future Global Role. The British Journal of Politics & International Relations. 2011;13(3):326-347. doi:10.1111/j.1467-856X.2011.00450.x

42.

Clinton WD. The National Interest: Normative Foundations. The Review of Politics. 1986;48(04). doi:10.1017/S0034670500039656

43.

Cornish P, Dorman A. National defence in the age of austerity. International Affairs. 2009;85(4):733-753. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2009.00825.x

44.

Cornish P, Dorman AM. Smart muddling through: rethinking UK national strategy beyond Afghanistan. *International Affairs*. 2012;88(2):213-222. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2012.01067.x

45.

Edmunds T. British civil-military relations and the problem of risk. *International Affairs*. 2012;88(2):265-282. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2012.01070.x

46.

Fitz-Gerald AM. A UK National Security Strategy: Institutional and Cultural Challenges. *Defence Studies*. 2008;8(1):4-25. doi:10.1080/14702430701823933

47.

Gallie WB. Essentially Contested Concepts. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*. 1956;56:167-198. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4544562>

48.

Finnemore M. *National Interests in International Society*. Vol Series: Cornell studies in political economy. Cornell University Press; 1996.

49.

Hollis M, Smith S. Roles and Reasons in Foreign Policy Decision Making. *British Journal of Political Science*. 1986;16(3):269-286. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/193813>

50.

Lake DA, Powell R. *Strategic Choice and International Relations*. Princeton University Press; 1999.

51.

Fearon JD. Rationalist Explanations for War. *International Organization*. 1995;49(3):379-414. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2706903>

52.

Gartzke E. War Is in the Error Term. *International Organization*. 1999;53(3):567-587. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2601290>

53.

Kaarbo J, Cantir C. Role conflict in recent wars: Danish and Dutch debates over Iraq and Afghanistan. *Cooperation and Conflict*. 2013;48(4):465-483. doi:10.1177/0010836713482815

54.

Martin LL. The Contributions of Rational Choice: A Defense of Pluralism. *International Security*. 1999;24(2):74-83. doi:10.1162/016228899560158

55.

Nincic M. The National Interest and its Interpretation. *The Review of Politics*. 1999;61(01). doi:10.1017/S0034670500028126

56.

Risse-Kappen T. Public Opinion, Domestic Structure, and Foreign Policy in Liberal Democracies. *World Politics*. 1991;43(4):479-512. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010534>

57.

Oakes A. Diversionary War and Argentina's Invasion of the Falkland Islands. *Security Studies*. 2006;15(3):431-463. doi:10.1080/09636410601028354

58.

Maoz Z, Russett B. Normative and Structural Causes of Democratic Peace, 1946-1986. *The American Political Science Review*. 1993;87(3):624-638.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2938740>

59.

Levy JS. Preventive War and Democratic Politics. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2008;52(1):1-24.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/29734222>

60.

Brown ME, Lynn-Jones SM, Miller SE. *Debating the Democratic Peace. Vol Series: International security readers*. MIT Press; 1996.

61.

Cowhey PF. Domestic Institutions and the Credibility of International Commitments: Japan and the United States. *International Organization*. 1993;47(2):299-326.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706892>

62.

Oakes A. *Diversionsary War: Domestic Unrest and International Conflict*. Stanford Security Studies, an imprint of Stanford University Press; 2012.

63.

Strong J. Why Parliament Now Decides on War: Tracing the Growth of the Parliamentary Prerogative through Syria, Libya and Iraq. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*. Published online July 2014. doi:10.1111/1467-856X.12055

64.

Bennett DS, Nordstrom T. Foreign Policy Substitutability and Internal Economic Problems in Enduring Rivalries. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 2000;44(1):33-61.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/174621>

65.

Leeds BA, Davis DR. Domestic Political Vulnerability and International Disputes. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 1997;41(6):814-834.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/174432>

66.

Richards D, Morgan TC, Wilson RK, Schwebach VL, Young GD. Good Times, Bad Times, and the Diversionary Use of Force: A Tale of Some Not-So-Free Agents. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 1993;37(3):504-535. doi:10.1177/0022002793037003005

67.

Mor BD. *Conflict in World Politics: Advances in the Study of Crisis, War and Peace*. Vol Series: Advances in political science. (Harvey FP, ed.). Macmillan; 1998.

68.

Gelpi C. Democratic Diversions: Governmental Structure and the Externalization of Domestic Conflict. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 1997;41(2):255-282.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/174373>

69.

Sprecher C, DeRouen K. The Domestic Determinants of Foreign Policy Behavior in Middle Eastern Enduring Rivals, 1948-1998. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2005;1(1):121-141.
doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00006.x

70.

Ray JL. *Democracy and International Conflict: An Evaluation of the Democratic Peace Proposition*. Vol Series: Studies in international relations. 1st pbk. ed. University of South Carolina Press; 1998.

71.

Cederman LE. Back to Kant: Reinterpreting the Democratic Peace as a Macrohistorical Learning Process. *The American Political Science Review*. 2001;95(1):15-31.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3117626>

72.

Russett BM, Oneal JR. *Triangulating Peace: Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations*. 1st ed. Norton; 2001.

73.

Maoz Z. The Controversy over the Democratic Peace: Rearguard Action or Cracks in the Wall? *International Security*. 1997;22(1):162-198.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539333>

74.

Gaubatz KT. Democratic States and Commitment in International Relations. *International Organization*. 1996;50(1):109-139.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2707000>

75.

Schweller RL. Domestic Structure and Preventive War: Are Democracies More Pacific? *World Politics*. 1992;44(2):235-269.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010448>

76.

Hill C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

77.

Allison GT. Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis. *The American Political Science Review*. 1969;63(3):689-718.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1954423>

78.

Narizny K. The Political Economy of Alignment: Great Britain's Commitments to Europe, 1905-39. *International Security*. 2003;27(4):184-219.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4137608>

79.

Marsh K. Obama's Surge: A Bureaucratic Politics Analysis of the Decision to Order a Troop Surge in the Afghanistan War. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014;10(3):265-288.
doi:10.1111/fpa.12000

80.

Sinno AH. *Organizations at War in Afghanistan and Beyond*. Cornell University Press; 2008.

81.

Solingen E. Domestic Coalitions, Internationalization, and War: Then and Now. *International Security*. 39(1):44-70.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/ins/summary/v039/39.1.solingen.html>

82.

Levy JS. Organizational Routines and the Causes of War. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1986;30(2):193-222.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600676>

83.

Hudson VM. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Classic and Contemporary Theory*. Second edition. Rowman & Littlefield; 2014.

84.

Allison GT, Zelikow P. *Essence of Decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis*. 2nd ed. Longman; 1999.

85.

Bendor J, Hammond TH. Rethinking Allison's Models. *The American Political Science Review*. 1992;86(2):301-322.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1964222>

86.

Krasner SD. Are Bureaucracies Important? (Or Allison Wonderland). *Foreign Policy*. 1972;(7):159-179.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1147761>

87.

Destler IM. Presidents, Bureaucrats, and Foreign Policy: The Politics of Organizational Reform. Vol Series: Princeton paperbacks. 1st Princeton pbk. ed. Princeton University Press; 1974.

88.

Halperin MH, Clapp P, Kanter A. Bureaucratic Politics and Foreign Policy. The Brookings Institution; 1974.

89.

Kaarbo J. Coalition Politics and Cabinet Decision Making: A Comparative Analysis of Foreign Policy Choices. First paperback edition. University of Michigan Press; 2013.

90.

Grodsky B. Lessons (Not) Learned: A New Look at Bureaucratic Politics and U.S. Foreign Policy-Making in the Post-Soviet Space. *Problems of Post-Communism*. 2014;56(2):43-57. doi:10.2753/PPC1075-8216560204

91.

Welch DA. The Organizational Process and Bureaucratic Politics Paradigms: Retrospect and Prospect. *International Security*. 1992;17(2):112-146.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539170>

92.

Smith S. Policy Preferences and Bureaucratic Position: The Case of the American Hostage Rescue Mission. *International Affairs* (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-). 1985;61(1):9-25. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2619777>

93.

Snow DM, Brown E. *Puzzle Palaces and Foggy Bottom: U.S. Foreign and Defense Policy-Making in the 1990s*. St. Martin's Press; 1994.

94.

Lieberthal KG, Lampton DM. *Bureaucracy, Politics, and Decision Making in Post-Mao China*. Vol Series: Studies on China. University of California Press; 1992.

95.

Rhodes E. Do Bureaucratic Politics Matter?: Some Disconfirming Findings from the Case of the U.S. Navy. *World Politics*. 1994;47(1):1-41. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2950678>

96.

Valenta J. The Bureaucratic Politics Paradigm and the Soviet Invasion of Czechoslovakia. *Political Science Quarterly*. 1979;94(1):55-76. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2150156>

97.

Brooks SG. Economic Actors' Lobbying Influence on the Prospects for War and Peace. *International Organization*. 2013;67(04):863-888. doi:10.1017/S0020818313000283

98.

Abelson DE. Old world, new world: the evolution and influence of foreign affairs think-tanks. *International Affairs*. 2014;90(1):125-142. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12099

99.

Alden C, Aran A. Foreign Policy Analysis: New Approaches. Routledge; 2012.

100.

Vanderbush W. Exiles and the Marketing of U.S. Policy toward Cuba and Iraq. Foreign Policy Analysis. 2009;5(3):287-306. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2009.00094.x

101.

Mearsheimer J, Walt S. The Israel Lobby. London Review of Books. 28(6).
<https://www.lrb.co.uk/the-paper/v28/n06/john-mearsheimer/the-israel-lobby>

102.

Trubowitz P. Sectionalism and American Foreign Policy: The Political Geography of Consensus and Conflict. International Studies Quarterly. 1992;36(2):173-190.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600880>

103.

Hiscox MJ. International Trade and Political Conflict: Commerce, Coalitions, and Mobility. Princeton University Press; 2002.

104.

Brawley MR. Factoral or Sectoral Conflict? Partially Mobile Factors and the Politics of Trade in Imperial Germany. International Studies Quarterly. 1997;41(4):633-653.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600856>

105.

Milner H. Trading Places: Industries for Free Trade. World Politics. 1988;40(3):350-376.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010217>

106.

Rogowski R. Political Cleavages and Changing Exposure to Trade. The American Political Science Review. 1987;81(4):1121-1137.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1962581>

107.

Fearon JD. Domestic politics, foreign policy, and theories of international relations. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 1998;1(1):289-313. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.1.1.289

108.

Sears DO, Huddy L, Levy JS. *The Oxford Handbook of Political Psychology*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2013.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199760107.01.0001>

109.

Janis IL. *Groupthink: Psychological Studies of Policy Decisions and Fiascoes*. Second edition. Wadsworth Cengage Learning; 1982.

110.

Levy JS. Prospect Theory, Rational Choice, and International Relations. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1997;41(1):87-112.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600908>

111.

Mercer J. Rationality and Psychology in International Politics. *International Organization*. 2005;59(01). doi:10.1017/S0020818305050058

112.

Hermann MG, Preston T, Korany B, Shaw TM. Who Leads Matters: The Effects of Powerful Individuals. *International Studies Review*. 2001;3(2):83-131. doi:10.1111/1521-9488.00235

113.

Kaarbo J. Prime Minister Leadership Styles in Foreign Policy Decision-Making: A Framework for Research. *Political Psychology*. 1997;18(3):553-581.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/3792101>

114.

Hermann MG, Hermann CF. Who Makes Foreign Policy Decisions and How: An Empirical Inquiry. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1989;33(4):361-387.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600518>

115.

Hermann MG, Preston T. Presidents, Advisers, and Foreign Policy: The Effect of Leadership Style on Executive Arrangements. *Political Psychology*. 1994;15(1):75-96.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/3791440>

116.

James P, Zhang E. Chinese Choices: A Poliheuristic Analysis of Foreign Policy Crises, 1950-1996. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2005;1(1):31-54.
doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00002.x

117.

Mintz A. How Do Leaders Make Decisions?: A Poliheuristic Perspective. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 2004;48(1):3-13. doi:10.1177/0022002703261056

118.

Goldgeier JM, Tetlock PE. Psychology and international relations theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2001;4(1):67-92. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.67

119.

Jervis R. Hypotheses on Misperception. *World Politics*. 1968;20(3):454-479.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2009777>

120.

Jervis R. Deterrence and Perception. *International Security*. 1983;7(3):3-30.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2538549>

121.

George AL. The 'Operational Code': A Neglected Approach to the Study of Political Leaders and Decision-Making. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1969;13(2):190-222.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/3013944>

122.

O'Neill B. Risk Aversion in International Relations Theory. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2001;45(4):617-640. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3096063>

123.

Blanton SL. Images in Conflict: The Case of Ronald Reagan and El Salvador. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1996;40(1):23-44.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600930>

124.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T, eds. *Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases*. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

125.

Hymans JEC. *The Psychology of Nuclear Proliferation: Identity, Emotions and Foreign Policy*. Cambridge University Press; 2006.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491412>

126.

Hall TH. We will not Swallow This Bitter Fruit: Theorizing a Diplomacy of Anger. *Security Studies*. 2011;20(4):521-555. doi:10.1080/09636412.2011.625771

127.

Holmes M. Believing This and Alieving That: Theorizing Affect and Intuitions in International Politics. *International Studies Quarterly*. Published online May 2015:n/a-n/a.
doi:10.1111/isqu.12201

128.

Dyson SB. Cognitive Style and Foreign Policy: Margaret Thatcher's Black-and-White Thinking. *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique*. 2009;30(1):33-48.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20445174>

129.

Jonathan Mercer. Emotional Beliefs. *International Organization*. 2010;64(01).
doi:10.1017/S0020818309990221

130.

Mercer J. Emotion and Strategy in the Korean War. *International Organization*. 2013;67(02):221-252. doi:10.1017/S0020818313000015

131.

Hibbing JR. Ten Misconceptions Concerning Neurobiology and Politics. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2013;11(02):475-489. doi:10.1017/S1537592713000923

132.

Holmes M. The Force of Face-to-Face Diplomacy: Mirror Neurons and the Problem of Intentions. *International Organization*. 2013;67(04):829-861.
doi:10.1017/S0020818313000234

133.

Horowitz MC, Stam AC. How Prior Military Experience Influences the Future Militarized Behavior of Leaders. *International Organization*. 2014;68(03):527-559.
doi:10.1017/S0020818314000046

134.

Rose McDermott. Political Psychology in International Relations. Vol Series: Analytical perspectives on politics. University of Michigan Press; 2004.

135.

McDermott R. The Biological Bases for Aggressiveness and Nonaggressiveness in Presidents. Foreign Policy Analysis. 2014;10(4):313-327. doi:10.1111/fpa.12009

136.

Forsberg T. Crisis Decision-Making in Finland: Cognition, Institutions and Rationality. Cooperation and Conflict. 2006;41(3):235-260. doi:10.1177/0010836706066558

137.

Berejikian JD. Model Building with Prospect Theory: A Cognitive Approach to International Relations. Political Psychology. 2002;23(4):759-786.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3792366>

138.

Robert M. Entman. Projections of Power: Framing News, Public Opinion, and U.S. Foreign Policy. Vol Series: Studies in communication, media, and public opinion. University of Chicago Press; 2004.
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780226210735>

139.

Herman ES, Chomsky N. Manufacturing Consent: The Political Economy of the Mass Media. Vol Vintage original. Vintage; 1994.

140.

Robinson P, Goddard P, Parry K, Murray C, Taylor PM, Askews & Holts Library Services. Pockets of Resistance: British News Media, War and Theory in the 2003 Invasion of Iraq. Manchester University Press; 2010.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=97818477947>

27

141.

Gribble R, Wessley S, Klein S, Alexander DA, Dandeker C, Fear NT. British Public Opinion after a Decade of War: Attitudes to Iraq and Afghanistan. *Politics*. 2015;35(2):128-150. doi:10.1111/1467-9256.12073

142.

Robinson P, Seib PM, Fröhlich R, eds. *Routledge Handbook of Media, Conflict and Security*. Routledge; 2016.

143.

Eytan G, Robinson P, Miklian J, Gabrielsen Jumbert M. Moving Media and Conflict Studies beyond the CNN Effect. *Review of International Studies*. Published online 2016. doi:10.1017/S026021051600005X

144.

Herring E, Robinson P. Report X Marks the Spot: The British Government's Deceptive Dossier on Iraq and WMD. *Political Science Quarterly*. 2014;129(4):551-584. doi:10.1002/polq.12252

145.

Hildebrandt T, Hillebrecht C, Holm PM, Pevehouse J. The Domestic Politics of Humanitarian Intervention: Public Opinion, Partisanship, and Ideology. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2013;9(3):243-266. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2012.00189.x

146.

Dixon P. Britain's 'Vietnam Syndrome'? Public Opinion and British Military Intervention from Palestine to Yugoslavia. *Review of International Studies*. 2000;26(1):99-121. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20097658>

147.

Ole R. Holsti. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Challenges to the Almond-Lippmann Consensus. *Mershon Series: Research Programs and Debates. International Studies Quarterly*. 1992;36(4):439-466. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600734>

148.

Gilboa E. Global Television News and Foreign Policy: Debating the CNN Effect. *International Studies Perspectives*. 2005;6(3):325-341. doi:10.1111/j.1528-3577.2005.00211.x

149.

Foyle DC. Leading the Public To War? The Influence of American Public Opinion on the Bush Administration's Decision to go to War in Iraq. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*. 2004;16(3):269-294. doi:10.1093/ijpor/edh025

150.

Sobel R. *The Impact of Public Opinion on U.S. Foreign Policy since Vietnam: Constraining the Colossus*. Oxford University Press; 2001.

151.

Bjereld U, Ekengren AM. Foreign Policy Dimensions: A Comparison Between the United States and Sweden. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1999;43(3):503-518. doi:10.1111/0020-8833.00132

152.

Hurwitz J, Peffley M, Seligson MA. Foreign Policy Belief Systems in Comparative Perspective: The United States and Costa Rica. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1993;37(3):245-270. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600808>

153.

Baum MA. Sex, Lies, and War: How Soft News Brings Foreign Policy to the Inattentive Public. *The American Political Science Review*. 2002;96(1):91-109. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3117812>

154.

Kull S, Ramsay C, Lewis E. Misperceptions, the Media, and the Iraq War. *Political Science Quarterly*. 2004;118(4):569-598.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/30035697>

155.

Foyle DC. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Elite Beliefs as a Mediating Variable. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1997;41(1):141-170. doi:10.1111/0020-8833.00036

156.

Kaufmann C. Threat Inflation and the Failure of the Marketplace of Ideas: The Selling of the Iraq War. *International Security*. 2004;29(1):5-48.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4137546>

157.

Gaubatz KT. Intervention and Intransitivity: Public Opinion, Social Choice, and the Use of Military Force Abroad. *World Politics*. 1995;47(4):534-554.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2950701>

158.

Gowa J. Politics at the Water's Edge: Parties, Voters, and the Use of Force Abroad. *International Organization*. 1998;52(2):307-324.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601277>

159.

Herring E, Robinson P. Too Polemical or Too Critical? Chomsky on the Study of the News Media and US Foreign Policy. *Review of International Studies*. 2003;29(4):553-568.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097876>

160.

Hill KA. The Domestic Sources of Foreign Policymaking: Congressional Voting and American Mass Attitudes toward South Africa. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1993;37(2):195-214.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600768>

161.

Hixson WL. Parting the Curtain: Propaganda, Culture, and the Cold War, 1945-61. Macmillan Press; 1998.

162.

Jentleson BW. The Pretty Prudent Public: Post Post-Vietnam American Opinion on the Use of Military Force. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1992;36(1):49-73.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600916>

163.

Jentleson BW, Britton RL. Still Pretty Prudent: Post-Cold War American Public Opinion on the Use of Military Force. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 1998;42(4):395-417.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/174436>

164.

Marsh C, Fraser C. Public Opinion and Nuclear Weapons. Macmillan; 1989.

165.

Mazrui AA. Between the Crescent and the Star-Spangled Banner: American Muslims and US Foreign Policy. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*. 1996;72(3):493-506.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2625553>

166.

Houghton DP. Reinvigorating the Study of Foreign Policy Decision Making: Toward a Constructivist Approach. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2007;3(1):24-45.
doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00040.x

167.

Hansen L. Security as Practice: Discourse Analysis and the Bosnian War. Vol Series: New international relations. Routledge; 2006.

<http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=200689>

168.

Gaskarth J. Discourses and Ethics: The Social Construction of British Foreign Policy. Foreign Policy Analysis. 2006;2(4):325-341. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2006.00034.x

169.

Campbell D, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Writing Security: United States Foreign Policy and the Politics of Identity. Revised edition. Manchester University Press; 1998.
<http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=310792>

170.

Doty RL. Imperial Encounters: The Politics of Representation in North-South Relations. Vol Series: Borderlines (Minneapolis, Minn.). University of Minnesota Press; 1996.

171.

Sjöstedt R. The Discursive Origins of a Doctrine. Foreign Policy Analysis. 2007;3(3):233-254. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00049.x

172.

Buzan B, Wæver O, de Wilde J. Security: A New Framework for Analysis. Lynne Rienner Pub; 1998.

173.

Larsen H. Foreign Policy and Discourse Analysis: France, Britain, and Europe. Vol Series: Routledge advances in international relations and politics. Routledge/LSE; 1997.
<http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1138282>

174.

Farrell T. Constructivist Security Studies: Portrait of a Research Program. International Studies Review. 2002;4(1):49-72.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3186274>

175.

Hopf T. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. *International Security*. 1998;23(1):171-200.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539267>

176.

Finnemore M. *The Purpose of Intervention: Changing Beliefs about the Use of Force*. Vol Series: Cornell studies in security affairs. Cornell University Press; 2003.

177.

Finnemore M. *National Interests in International Society*. Vol Series: Cornell studies in political economy. Cornell University Press; 1996.

178.

Wehner LE, Thies CG. Role Theory, Narratives, and Interpretation: The Domestic Contestation of Roles. *International Studies Review*. 2014;16(3):411-436.
doi:10.1111/misr.12149

179.

Moravcsik A. 'Is something rotten in the state of Denmark?' Constructivism and European integration. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1999;6(4):669-681.
doi:10.1080/135017699343531

180.

Checkel JT, Moravcsik A. A Constructivist Research Program in EU Studies? *European Union Politics*. 2001;2(2):219-249. doi:10.1177/1465116501002002004

181.

Duffield JS. *Political Culture and State Behavior: Why Germany Confounds Neorealism*.

International Organization. 1999;53(4):765-803.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601309>

182.

Agathangelou AM, Ling LHM. Power, Borders, Security, Wealth: Lessons of Violence and Desire from September 11. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2004;48(3):517-538.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3693521>

183.

Barnett M. Culture, Strategy and Foreign Policy Change: Israel's Road to Oslo. *European Journal of International Relations*. 1999;5(1):5-36. doi:10.1177/1354066199005001001

184.

Daddow O. Constructing a 'great' role for Britain in an age of austerity: Interpreting coalition foreign policy, 2010-2015. *International Relations*. 2015;29(3):303-318.
doi:10.1177/0047117815600931

185.

Fisher K. Security, Identity and British Counterterrorism Policy. Vol New security challenges series. Palgrave Macmillan; 2015.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781137524225>

186.

Goddard SE, Krebs RR. Rhetoric, Legitimation, and Grand Strategy. *Security Studies*. 2015;24(1):5-36. doi:10.1080/09636412.2014.1001198

187.

Jelena Subotić. Narrative, Ontological Security, and Foreign Policy Change. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2016;12(4):610-627. doi:10.1111/fpa.12089

188.

Bevir M, Daddow O. Interpreting foreign policy: National, comparative and regional studies. *International Relations*. 2015;29(3):273-287. doi:10.1177/0047117815600930

189.

Solomon T. *The Politics of Subjectivity in American Foreign Policy Discourses*. Vol Configurations: critical studies of world politics. University of Michigan Press; 2015.

190.

McCourt DM. *Britain and World Power since 1945: Constructing a Nation's Role in International Politics*. Vol Configurations. The University of Michigan Press; 2014.

191.

Hayes J. *Constructing National Security: U.S. Relations with China and India*. Cambridge University Press; 2015.

192.

Krebs RR. *Narrative and the Making of US National Security*. Vol Cambridge studies in international relations. Cambridge University Press; 2015.

193.

Kettell S. Dilemmas of Discourse: Legitimising Britain's War on Terror. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*. 2013;15(2):263-279. doi:10.1111/j.1467-856X.2012.00531.x

194.

Goddard SE. The Rhetoric of Appeasement: Hitler's Legitimation and British Foreign Policy, 1938-39. *Security Studies*. 2015;24(1):95-130. doi:10.1080/09636412.2015.1001216

195.

Krebs RR. Tell Me a Story: FDR, Narrative, and the Making of the Second World War. *Security Studies*. 2015;24(1):131-170. doi:10.1080/09636412.2015.1001215

196.

Krebs RR. How Dominant Narratives Rise and Fall: Military Conflict, Politics, and the Cold War Consensus. *International Organization*. 2015;69(04):809-845. doi:10.1017/S0020818315000181

197.

Krebs RR, Lobasz JK. Fixing the Meaning of 9/11: Hegemony, Coercion, and the Road to War in Iraq. *Security Studies*. 2007;16(3):409-451. doi:10.1080/09636410701547881

198.

de Orellana P. Struggles over identity in diplomacy: 'Commie terrorists' contra 'imperialists' in Western Sahara. *International Relations*. 2015;29(4):477-499. doi:10.1177/0047117815571620

199.

Ostermann F. The end of ambivalence and the triumph of pragmatism? Franco-British defence cooperation and European and Atlantic defence policy traditions. *International Relations*. 2015;29(3):334-347. doi:10.1177/0047117815600937

200.

Holland J. *Selling the War on Terror: Foreign Policy Discourses after 9/11*. Vol Series: Critical terrorism studies. Routledge; 2013.

201.

Schmidt BC, Williams MC. The Bush Doctrine and the Iraq War: Neoconservatives Versus Realists. *Security Studies*. 2008;17(2):191-220. doi:10.1080/09636410802098990

202.

Jackson PT. *Civilizing the Enemy: German Reconstruction and the Invention of the West*. University of Michigan Press; 2006.

203.

Nyman J. 'Red Storm Ahead': Securitisation of Energy in US-China Relations. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 2014;43(1):43-65. doi:10.1177/0305829814525495

204.

Croft S. *Culture, Crisis and America's War on Terror*. Cambridge University Press; 2006.

205.

Debrix F. *Tabloid Terror: War, Culture, and Geopolitics*. Routledge; 2008.

206.

Jackson R. *Writing the War on Terrorism: Language, Politics, and Counter-Terrorism*. Vol Series: New approaches to conflict analysis. Manchester University Press; 2005.

207.

Nabers D. Filling the Void of Meaning: Identity Construction in U.S. Foreign Policy After September 11, 2001. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2009;5(2):191-214. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2009.00089.x

208.

Reus-Smit C, Snidal D. *The Oxford Handbook of International Relations*. Vol The Oxford handbooks of political science. Oxford University Press; 2008. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199219322.01.0001>

209.

Smith KE, Lights M. *Ethics and Foreign Policy*. Vol Series: LSE monographs in international studies. Cambridge University Press; 2001. <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=202006>

210.

Bulley D. The politics of ethical foreign policy: A responsibility to protect whom? *European Journal of International Relations*. 2010;16(3):441-461. doi:10.1177/1354066109350051

211.

Kennan GF. Morality and Foreign Policy. *Foreign Affairs*. 1985;64(2).
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.proquest.com/docview/1290258825/fulltextPDF?accountid=14540>

212.

Busby JW. *Moral Movements and Foreign Policy*. Vol Series: Cambridge Studies in International Relations. Cambridge University Press; 2010.

213.

Lawler P. The Good State: In Praise of 'Classical' Internationalism. *Review of International Studies*. 2005;31(3):427-449. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40072083>

214.

Kuperman AJ. The Moral Hazard of Humanitarian Intervention: Lessons from the Balkans. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2008;52(1):49-80. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/29734224>

215.

Beitz CR. *Political Theory and International Relations*. Princeton University Press; 1999.

216.

Walzer M. *Just and Unjust Wars: A Moral Argument with Historical Illustrations*. 4th ed. Basic Books; 2006.

217.

Abrahamsen R, Williams P. Ethics and Foreign Policy: the Antinomies of New Labour's 'Third Way' in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Political Studies*. 2001;49(2):249-264.
doi:10.1111/1467-9248.00312

218.

Little R, Wickham-Jones M. *New Labour's Foreign Policy: A New Moral Crusade?* Manchester University Press; 2000.

219.

Fierke KM. Who is my neighbour? Memories of the Holocaust/al Nakba and a global ethic of care. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2014;20(3):787-809.
doi:10.1177/1354066113497490

220.

Baehr PR, Castermans-Holleman MC. *The Role of Human Rights in Foreign Policy*. Third edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2004.

221.

Buckler S. *Dirty Hands: The Problem of Political Morality*. Vol Series: Avebury series in philosophy. Avebury; 1993.

222.

Campbell D. *National Deconstruction: Violence, Identity, and Justice in Bosnia*. University of Minnesota Press; 1998.

223.

Cole P. *Philosophies of Exclusion: Liberal Political Theory and Immigration*. Edinburgh University Press; 2000.

224.

Benhabib S. *The Rights of Others: Aliens, Residents and Citizens*. Vol Series: The John

Robert Seeley lectures. Cambridge University Press; 2004.

225.

Betts RK. The Delusion of Impartial Intervention. *Foreign Affairs*. 73(6).
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.proquest.com/docview/1290286683/abstract/C39C5610F46A4868PQ/1?accountid=14540>

226.

Beardsworth R. *Cosmopolitanism and International Relations Theory*. Polity Press; 2011.

227.

Weber C. *Imagining America at War: Morality, Politics, and Film*. Routledge; 2006.

228.

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P. *The Globalization of World Politics: An Introduction to International Relations*. 5th ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

229.

Chollet D, Lindberg T. A moral core for U.S. foreign policy. *Policy Review*. 2007;2007(2007).
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A172911420/AONE?u=glasuni&sid=bookmark-AONE&xid=858d2035>

230.

Mapel DR, Nardin T. *Traditions of International Ethics*. Vol Series: Cambridge studies in international relations. Cambridge University Press; 1992.