

Politics of Foreign Policy

[View Online](#)

1

International Studies Association. Foreign policy analysis. Published Online First: 2005. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2244344>

2

Royal Institute of International Affairs, EBSCO Publishing (Firm), JSTOR (Organization). International affairs. Published Online First: 1944. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200321>

3

Political Studies Association of the United Kingdom, EBSCO Publishing (Firm). British journal of politics and international relations. Published Online First: 1999. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2196849>

4

European journal of international relations. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2199017>

5

Cambridge review of international affairs. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2228528>

6

British International Studies Association, Cambridge University Press. Review of international studies. Published Online First: 1981.<https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2204860>

7

Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, JSTOR (Organization), M.I.T. Press, et al. International security. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200670>

8

Security studies. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2229313>

9

International Institute for Strategic Studies, Oxford University Press. Survival. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2205588>

10

Contemporary politics. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2228615>

11

LexisNexis (Firm). Politics. Published Online First: 2008.<https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b3072814>

12

International politics. 1996.

13

International feminist journal of politics. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200360>

14

International Studies Association, JSTOR (Organization). International studies quarterly. Published Online First: 1967. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200679>

15

International Studies Association, JSTOR (Organization). International studies review. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200680>

16

International studies perspectives. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2928675>

17

London School of Economics and Political Science. Millennium: journal of international studies. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2289212>

18

American Political Science Association, JSTOR (Organization). Perspectives on politics. Published Online First: 2003. <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2203859>

19

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T, editors. Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. Third edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

20

Juliet Kaarbo. Foreign Policy Analysis in the Twenty-First Century: Back to Comparison, Forward to Identity and Ideas. International Studies Review 2003;**5**:155-202. doi:10.1111/1521-9488.5020012

21

Hudson VM. Foreign Policy Analysis: Actor-Specific Theory and the Ground of International Relations. Foreign Policy Analysis 2005;**1**:1-30. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00001.x

22

Walter Carlsnaes. The Agency-Structure Problem in Foreign Policy Analysis. *International Studies Quarterly* 1992;**36**:245–70.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600772>

23

Snyder J. One World, Rival Theories. *Foreign Policy* 2004;:52–62.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/4152944>

24

Garrison JA. Foreign Policy Analysis in 20/20: A Symposium. *International Studies Review* 2003;**5**:155–202. doi:10.1111/1521-9488.5020011

25

Handbook of international relations. 2nd ed. Los Angeles, Calif: : SAGE 2013.

26

Hudson VM, Vore CS. Foreign Policy Analysis Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow. *Mershon International Studies Review* 1995;**39**:209–38.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/222751>

27

Mintz A, DeRouen KR. Understanding foreign policy decision making. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2010.

28

Walker SG, Malici A, Schafer M. Rethinking foreign policy analysis: states, leaders, and the microfoundations of behavioral international relations. New York, N.Y.: : Routledge 2011.

29

Arnold Wolfers. 'National Security' as an Ambiguous Symbol. *Political Science Quarterly* 1952;**67**:481–502.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2145138>

30

Slater J. Ideology vs. The national interest: Bush, Sharon, and U.S. policy in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. *Security Studies* 2002;**12**:164–206.
doi:10.1080/09636410212120006

31

Williams MC. What is the National Interest? The Neoconservative Challenge in IR Theory. *European Journal of International Relations* 2005;**11**:307–37.
doi:10.1177/1354066105055482

32

Weldes J. Constructing National Interests. *European Journal of International Relations* 1996;**2**:275–318. doi:10.1177/1354066196002003001

33

Burchill S. *The national interest in international relations theory*. Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2005.

34

Chandler D. Culture Wars and International Intervention: An 'Inside/Out' View of the Decline of National Interest. *International politics* 2004;**41**:354–74.

35

Gilmore J. The uncertain merger of values and interests in UK foreign policy. *International Affairs* 2014;**90**:541–57. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12126

36

Morgenthau HJ. *In defense of the national interest: a critical examination of American*

foreign policy. Washington, D.C.: : University Press of America 1982.

37

Beard CA, Smith GHE. The idea of national interest: an analytical study in American foreign policy. New York: : Macmillan 1934.

38

Clinton WD. The two faces of national interest. Baton Rouge, La: : Louisiana State University Press 1994.

39

Edmunds T. Complexity, strategy and the national interest. International Affairs 2014;**90**:525–39. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12125

40

Gaskarth J. Strategizing Britain's role in the world. International Affairs 2014;**90**:559–81. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12127

41

Morris J. How Great is Britain? Power, Responsibility and Britain's Future Global Role. The British Journal of Politics & International Relations 2011;**13**:326–47. doi:10.1111/j.1467-856X.2011.00450.x

42

Clinton WD. The National Interest: Normative Foundations. The Review of Politics 1986;**48**. doi:10.1017/S0034670500039656

43

Cornish P, Dorman A. National defence in the age of austerity. International Affairs 2009;**85**:733–53. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2009.00825.x

44

Cornish P, Dorman AM. Smart muddling through: rethinking UK national strategy beyond Afghanistan. *International Affairs* 2012;**88**:213–22. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2012.01067.x

45

Edmunds T. British civil-military relations and the problem of risk. *International Affairs* 2012;**88**:265–82. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2012.01070.x

46

Fitz-Gerald AM. A UK National Security Strategy: Institutional and Cultural Challenges. *Defence Studies* 2008;**8**:4–25. doi:10.1080/14702430701823933

47

Gallie WB. Essentially Contested Concepts. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 1956;**56**:167–98. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4544562>

48

Finnemore M. *National interests in international society*. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 1996.

49

Hollis M, Smith S. Roles and Reasons in Foreign Policy Decision Making. *British Journal of Political Science* 1986;**16**:269–86. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/193813>

50

Lake DA, Powell R. *Strategic choice and international relations*. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1999.

51

Fearon JD. Rationalist Explanations for War. *International Organization* 1995;**49**:379–414. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2706903>

52

Gartzke E. War Is in the Error Term. *International Organization* 1999;**53**:567–87. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2601290>

53

Kaarbo J, Cantir C. Role conflict in recent wars: Danish and Dutch debates over Iraq and Afghanistan. *Cooperation and Conflict* 2013;**48**:465–83. doi:10.1177/0010836713482815

54

Martin LL. The Contributions of Rational Choice: A Defense of Pluralism. *International Security* 1999;**24**:74–83. doi:10.1162/016228899560158

55

Nincic M. The National Interest and its Interpretation. *The Review of Politics* 1999;**61**. doi:10.1017/S0034670500028126

56

Risse-Kappen T. Public Opinion, Domestic Structure, and Foreign Policy in Liberal Democracies. *World Politics* 1991;**43**:479–512. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010534>

57

Oakes A. Diversionary War and Argentina's Invasion of the Falkland Islands. *Security Studies* 2006;**15**:431–63. doi:10.1080/09636410601028354

58

Maoz Z, Russett B. Normative and Structural Causes of Democratic Peace, 1946-1986. *The American Political Science Review* 1993;**87**:624-38.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2938740>

59

Levy JS. Preventive War and Democratic Politics. *International Studies Quarterly* 2008;**52**:1-24.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/29734222>

60

Brown ME, Lynn-Jones SM, Miller SE. *Debating the democratic peace*. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 1996.

61

Cowhey PF. Domestic Institutions and the Credibility of International Commitments: Japan and the United States. *International Organization* 1993;**47**:299-326.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706892>

62

Oakes A. *Diversionary war: domestic unrest and international conflict*. Stanford, California: : Stanford Security Studies, an imprint of Stanford University Press 2012.

63

Strong J. Why Parliament Now Decides on War: Tracing the Growth of the Parliamentary Prerogative through Syria, Libya and Iraq. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations* Published Online First: July 2014. doi:10.1111/1467-856X.12055

64

Bennett DS, Nordstrom T. Foreign Policy Substitutability and Internal Economic Problems in Enduring Rivalries. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution* 2000;**44**:33-61.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/174621>

65

Leeds BA, Davis DR. Domestic Political Vulnerability and International Disputes. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution* 1997;**41**:814-34.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/174432>

66

Richards D, Morgan TC, Wilson RK, et al. Good Times, Bad Times, and the Diversionary Use of Force: A Tale of Some Not-So-Free Agents. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 1993;**37**:504-35. doi:10.1177/0022002793037003005

67

Mor BD. *Conflict in world politics: advances in the study of crisis, war and peace*. Basingstoke: : Macmillan 1998.

68

Gelpi C. Democratic Diversions: Governmental Structure and the Externalization of Domestic Conflict. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution* 1997;**41**:255-82.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/174373>

69

Sprecher C, DeRouen K. The Domestic Determinants of Foreign Policy Behavior in Middle Eastern Enduring Rivals, 1948-1998. *Foreign Policy Analysis* 2005;**1**:121-41. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00006.x

70

Ray JL. *Democracy and international conflict: an evaluation of the democratic peace proposition*. 1st pbk. ed. Columbia, SC: : University of South Carolina Press 1998.

71

Cederman L-E. Back to Kant: Reinterpreting the Democratic Peace as a Macrohistorical Learning Process. *The American Political Science Review* 2001;**95**:15-31.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3117626>

72

Russett BM, Oneal JR. Triangulating peace: democracy, interdependence, and international organizations. 1st ed. New York, NY: : Norton 2001.

73

Maoz Z. The Controversy over the Democratic Peace: Rearguard Action or Cracks in the Wall? *International Security* 1997;**22**
:162–98.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539333>

74

Gaubatz KT. Democratic States and Commitment in International Relations. *International Organization* 1996;**50**
:109–39.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2707000>

75

Schweller RL. Domestic Structure and Preventive War: Are Democracies More Pacific? *World Politics* 1992;**44**
:235–69.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010448>

76

Hill C. The changing politics of foreign policy. Basingstoke: : Palgrave MacMillan 2003.

77

Allison GT. Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis. *The American Political Science Review* 1969;**63**
:689–718.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1954423>

78

Narizny K. The Political Economy of Alignment: Great Britain's Commitments to Europe, 1905–39. *International Security* 2003;**27**
:184–219.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4137608>

79

Marsh K. Obama's Surge: A Bureaucratic Politics Analysis of the Decision to Order a Troop Surge in the Afghanistan War. *Foreign Policy Analysis* 2014;**10**:265–88.
doi:10.1111/fpa.12000

80

Sinno AH. *Organizations at war in Afghanistan and beyond*. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 2008.

81

Solingen E. Domestic Coalitions, Internationalization, and War: Then and Now. *International Security*; **39**:44–70.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/ins/summary/v039/39.1.solingen.html>

82

Levy JS. Organizational Routines and the Causes of War. *International Studies Quarterly* 1986;**30**:193–222.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600676>

83

Hudson VM. *Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory*. Second edition. Lanham: : Rowman & Littlefield 2014.

84

Allison GT, Zelikow P. *Essence of decision: explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis*. 2nd ed. New York, N.Y.: : Longman 1999.

85

Bendor J, Hammond TH. Rethinking Allison's Models. *The American Political Science Review* 1992;**86**:301–22.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1964222>

86

Krasner SD. Are Bureaucracies Important? (Or Allison Wonderland). *Foreign Policy* 1972;:159–79.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1147761>

87

Destler IM. Presidents, bureaucrats, and foreign policy: the politics of organizational reform . 1st Princeton pbk. ed. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1974.

88

Halperin MH, Clapp P, Kanter A. Bureaucratic politics and foreign policy. Washington: : The Brookings Institution 1974.

89

Kaarbo J. Coalition politics and cabinet decision making: a comparative analysis of foreign policy choices. First paperback edition. Ann Arbor: : University of Michigan Press 2013.

90

Grodsky B. Lessons (Not) Learned: A New Look at Bureaucratic Politics and U.S. Foreign Policy-Making in the Post-Soviet Space. *Problems of Post-Communism* 2014;**56**:43–57. doi:10.2753/PPC1075-8216560204

91

Welch DA. The Organizational Process and Bureaucratic Politics Paradigms: Retrospect and Prospect. *International Security* 1992;**17**:112–46.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539170>

92

Smith S. Policy Preferences and Bureaucratic Position: The Case of the American Hostage Rescue Mission. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)* 1985;**61**:9–25.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2619777>

93

Snow DM, Brown E. Puzzle palaces and Foggy Bottom: U.S. foreign and defense policy-making in the 1990s. New York: : St. Martin's Press 1994.

94

Lieberthal KG, Lampton DM. Bureaucracy, politics, and decision making in post-Mao China. Berkeley: : University of California Press 1992.

95

Rhodes E. Do Bureaucratic Politics Matter?: Some Disconfirming Findings from the Case of the U.S. Navy. *World Politics* 1994;**47**:1–41.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2950678>

96

Valenta J. The Bureaucratic Politics Paradigm and the Soviet Invasion of Czechoslovakia. *Political Science Quarterly* 1979;**94**:55–76.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2150156>

97

Brooks SG. Economic Actors' Lobbying Influence on the Prospects for War and Peace. *International Organization* 2013;**67**:863–88. doi:10.1017/S0020818313000283

98

Abelson DE. Old world, new world: the evolution and influence of foreign affairs think-tanks. *International Affairs* 2014;**90**:125–42. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12099

99

Alden C, Aran A. Foreign policy analysis: new approaches. London: : Routledge 2012.

100

Vanderbush W. Exiles and the Marketing of U.S. Policy toward Cuba and Iraq. *Foreign Policy Analysis* 2009;**5**:287–306. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2009.00094.x

101

Mearsheimer J, Walt S. The Israel Lobby. *London Review of Books*;**28**
.https://www.lrb.co.uk/the-paper/v28/n06/john-mearsheimer/the-israel-lobby

102

Trubowitz P. Sectionalism and American Foreign Policy: The Political Geography of Consensus and Conflict. *International Studies Quarterly* 1992;**36**
:173–90.https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600880

103

Hiscox MJ. *International trade and political conflict: commerce, coalitions, and mobility*. Princeton, New Jersey: : Princeton University Press 2002.

104

Brawley MR. Factoral or Sectoral Conflict? Partially Mobile Factors and the Politics of Trade in Imperial Germany. *International Studies Quarterly* 1997;**41**
:633–53.https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600856

105

Milner H. Trading Places: Industries for Free Trade. *World Politics* 1988;**40**
:350–76.http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010217

106

Rogowski R. Political Cleavages and Changing Exposure to Trade. *The American Political Science Review* 1987;**81**
:1121–37.http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1962581

107

Fearon JD. Domestic politics, foreign policy, and theories of international relations. *Annual Review of Political Science* 1998;**1**:289–313. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.1.1.289

108

Sears DO, Huddy L, Levy JS. *The Oxford handbook of political psychology*. 2nd ed. New York: : Oxford University Press 2013.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199760107.001.0001>

109

Janis IL. *Groupthink: psychological studies of policy decisions and fiascoes*. Second edition. Boston: : Wadsworth Cengage Learning 1982.

110

Levy JS. Prospect Theory, Rational Choice, and International Relations. *International Studies Quarterly* 1997;**41**:87–112.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600908>

111

Mercer J. Rationality and Psychology in International Politics. *International Organization* 2005;**59**. doi:10.1017/S0020818305050058

112

Hermann MG, Preston T, Korany B, et al. Who Leads Matters: The Effects of Powerful Individuals. *International Studies Review* 2001;**3**:83–131. doi:10.1111/1521-9488.00235

113

Kaarbo J. Prime Minister Leadership Styles in Foreign Policy Decision-Making: A Framework for Research. *Political Psychology* 1997;**18**:553–81.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/3792101>

114

Hermann MG, Hermann CF. Who Makes Foreign Policy Decisions and How: An Empirical Inquiry. *International Studies Quarterly* 1989;**33**:361–87. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600518>

115

Hermann MG, Preston T. Presidents, Advisers, and Foreign Policy: The Effect of Leadership Style on Executive Arrangements. *Political Psychology* 1994;**15**:75–96. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3791440>

116

James P, Zhang E. Chinese Choices: A Poliheuristic Analysis of Foreign Policy Crises, 1950-1996. *Foreign Policy Analysis* 2005;**1**:31–54. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00002.x

117

Mintz A. How Do Leaders Make Decisions?: A Poliheuristic Perspective. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 2004;**48**:3–13. doi:10.1177/0022002703261056

118

Goldgeier JM, Tetlock PE. Psychology and international relations theory. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2001;**4**:67–92. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.67

119

Jervis R. Hypotheses on Misperception. *World Politics* 1968;**20**:454–79. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2009777>

120

Jervis R. Deterrence and Perception. *International Security* 1983;**7**:3–30. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2538549>

121

George AL. The 'Operational Code': A Neglected Approach to the Study of Political Leaders and Decision-Making. *International Studies Quarterly* 1969;**13**:190-222.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/3013944>

122

O'Neill B. Risk Aversion in International Relations Theory. *International Studies Quarterly* 2001;**45**:617-40.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/3096063>

123

Blanton SL. Images in Conflict: The Case of Ronald Reagan and El Salvador. *International Studies Quarterly* 1996;**40**:23-44.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600930>

124

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T, editors. *Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases*. Third edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

125

Hymans JEC. *The Psychology of Nuclear Proliferation: Identity, Emotions and Foreign Policy*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006.
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491412>

126

Hall TH. We will not Swallow This Bitter Fruit: Theorizing a Diplomacy of Anger. *Security Studies* 2011;**20**:521-55. doi:10.1080/09636412.2011.625771

127

Holmes M. Believing This and Alieving That: Theorizing Affect and Intuitions in International Politics. *International Studies Quarterly* 2015;;n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/isqu.12201

128

Dyson SB. Cognitive Style and Foreign Policy: Margaret Thatcher's Black-and-White Thinking. *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique* 2009;**30**:33-48. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20445174>

129

Jonathan Mercer. Emotional Beliefs. *International Organization* 2010;**64**. doi:10.1017/S0020818309990221

130

Mercer J. Emotion and Strategy in the Korean War. *International Organization* 2013;**67**:221-52. doi:10.1017/S0020818313000015

131

Hibbing JR. Ten Misconceptions Concerning Neurobiology and Politics. *Perspectives on Politics* 2013;**11**:475-89. doi:10.1017/S1537592713000923

132

Holmes M. The Force of Face-to-Face Diplomacy: Mirror Neurons and the Problem of Intentions. *International Organization* 2013;**67**:829-61. doi:10.1017/S0020818313000234

133

Horowitz MC, Stam AC. How Prior Military Experience Influences the Future Militarized Behavior of Leaders. *International Organization* 2014;**68**:527-59. doi:10.1017/S0020818314000046

134

Rose McDermott. *Political psychology in international relations*. Ann Arbor, Mich: : University of Michigan Press 2004.

135

McDermott R. The Biological Bases for Aggressiveness and Nonaggressiveness in Presidents. *Foreign Policy Analysis* 2014;**10**:313–27. doi:10.1111/fpa.12009

136

Forsberg T. Crisis Decision-Making in Finland: Cognition, Institutions and Rationality. *Cooperation and Conflict* 2006;**41**:235–60. doi:10.1177/0010836706066558

137

Berejikian JD. Model Building with Prospect Theory: A Cognitive Approach to International Relations. *Political Psychology* 2002;**23**:759–86. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3792366>

138

Robert M. Entman. *Projections of power: framing news, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy*. Chicago, Illinois: : University of Chicago Press 2004. <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780226210735>

139

Herman ES, Chomsky N. *Manufacturing consent: the political economy of the mass media*. London: : Vintage 1994.

140

Robinson P, Goddard P, Parry K, et al. *Pockets of resistance: British news media, war and theory in the 2003 invasion of Iraq*. Manchester: : Manchester University Press 2010. <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781847794727>

141

Gribble R, Wessley S, Klein S, et al. *British Public Opinion after a Decade of War: Attitudes*

to Iraq and Afghanistan. *Politics* 2015;**35**:128–50. doi:10.1111/1467-9256.12073

142

Robinson P, Seib PM, Fröhlich R, editors. *Routledge handbook of media, conflict and security*. New York, NY: : Routledge 2016.

143

Eytan G, Robinson P, Miklian J, et al. Moving Media and Conflict Studies beyond the CNN Effect. *Review of International Studies* Published Online First: 2016. doi:10.1017/S026021051600005X

144

Herring E, Robinson P. Report X Marks the Spot: The British Government's Deceptive Dossier on Iraq and WMD. *Political Science Quarterly* 2014;**129**:551–84. doi:10.1002/polq.12252

145

Hildebrandt T, Hillebrecht C, Holm PM, et al. The Domestic Politics of Humanitarian Intervention: Public Opinion, Partisanship, and Ideology. *Foreign Policy Analysis* 2013;**9**:243–66. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2012.00189.x

146

Dixon P. Britain's 'Vietnam Syndrome'? Public Opinion and British Military Intervention from Palestine to Yugoslavia. *Review of International Studies* 2000;**26**:99–121. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20097658>

147

Ole R. Holsti. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Challenges to the Almond-Lippmann Consensus *Mershon Series: Research Programs and Debates*. *International Studies Quarterly* 1992;**36**:439–66. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600734>

148

Gilboa E. Global Television News and Foreign Policy: Debating the CNN Effect. *International Studies Perspectives* 2005;**6**:325–41. doi:10.1111/j.1528-3577.2005.00211.x

149

Foyle DC. Leading the Public To War? The Influence of American Public Opinion on the Bush Administration's Decision to go to War in Iraq. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 2004;**16**:269–94. doi:10.1093/ijpor/edh025

150

Sobel R. The impact of public opinion on U.S. foreign policy since Vietnam: constraining the colossus. New York: : Oxford University Press 2001.

151

Bjereld U, Ekengren A-M. Foreign Policy Dimensions: A Comparison Between the United States and Sweden. *International Studies Quarterly* 1999;**43**:503–18. doi:10.1111/0020-8833.00132

152

Hurwitz J, Peffley M, Seligson MA. Foreign Policy Belief Systems in Comparative Perspective: The United States and Costa Rica. *International Studies Quarterly* 1993;**37**:245–70.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600808>

153

Baum MA. Sex, Lies, and War: How Soft News Brings Foreign Policy to the Inattentive Public. *The American Political Science Review* 2002;**96**:91–109.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/3117812>

154

Kull S, Ramsay C, Lewis E. Misperceptions, the Media, and the Iraq War. *Political Science Quarterly* 2004;**118**:569–98.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/30035697>

155

Foyle DC. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Elite Beliefs as a Mediating Variable. *International Studies Quarterly* 1997;**41**:141–70. doi:10.1111/0020-8833.00036

156

Kaufmann C. Threat Inflation and the Failure of the Marketplace of Ideas: The Selling of the Iraq War. *International Security* 2004;**29**:5–48.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4137546>

157

Gaubatz KT. Intervention and Intransitivity: Public Opinion, Social Choice, and the Use of Military Force Abroad. *World Politics* 1995;**47**:534–54.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2950701>

158

Gowa J. Politics at the Water's Edge: Parties, Voters, and the Use of Force Abroad. *International Organization* 1998;**52**:307–24.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601277>

159

Herring E, Robinson P. Too Polemical or Too Critical? Chomsky on the Study of the News Media and US Foreign Policy. *Review of International Studies* 2003;**29**:553–68.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097876>

160

Hill KA. The Domestic Sources of Foreign Policymaking: Congressional Voting and American Mass Attitudes toward South Africa. *International Studies Quarterly* 1993;**37**:195–214.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600768>

161

Hixson WL. Parting the curtain: propaganda, culture, and the Cold War, 1945-61. Houndmills: : Macmillan Press 1998.

162

Jentleson BW. The Pretty Prudent Public: Post Post-Vietnam American Opinion on the Use of Military Force. *International Studies Quarterly* 1992;**36**:49–73.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600916>

163

Jentleson BW, Britton RL. Still Pretty Prudent: Post-Cold War American Public Opinion on the Use of Military Force. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution* 1998;**42**:395–417.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/174436>

164

Marsh C, Fraser C. Public opinion and nuclear weapons. Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Macmillan 1989.

165

Mazrui AA. Between the Crescent and the Star-Spangled Banner: American Muslims and US Foreign Policy. *International Affairs* (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-) 1996;**72**:493–506.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2625553>

166

Houghton DP. Reinvigorating the Study of Foreign Policy Decision Making: Toward a Constructivist Approach. *Foreign Policy Analysis* 2007;**3**:24–45. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00040.x

167

Hansen L. Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war. London: : Routledge 2006. <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=200689>

168

Gaskarth J. Discourses and Ethics: The Social Construction of British Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis* 2006;**2**:325–41. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2006.00034.x

169

Campbell D, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Writing security: United States foreign policy and the politics of identity. Revised edition. Manchester: : Manchester University Press 1998. <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=310792>

170

Doty RL. Imperial encounters: the politics of representation in North-South relations. Minneapolis: : University of Minnesota Press 1996.

171

Sjöstedt R. The Discursive Origins of a Doctrine. Foreign Policy Analysis 2007;**3**:233–54. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00049.x

172

Buzan B, Wæver O, de Wilde J. Security: a new framework for analysis. Boulder, Colo: : Lynne Rienner Pub 1998.

173

Larsen H. Foreign policy and discourse analysis: France, Britain, and Europe. London: : Routledge/LSE 1997. <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1138282>

174

Farrell T. Constructivist Security Studies: Portrait of a Research Program. International Studies Review 2002;**4**:49–72.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3186274>

175

Hopf T. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. International Security 1998;**23**:171–200.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539267>

176

Finnemore M. The purpose of intervention: changing beliefs about the use of force. Ithaca: : Cornell University Press 2003.

177

Finnemore M. National interests in international society. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 1996.

178

Wehner LE, Thies CG. Role Theory, Narratives, and Interpretation: The Domestic Contestation of Roles. *International Studies Review* 2014;**16**:411–36.
doi:10.1111/misr.12149

179

Moravcsik A. 'Is something rotten in the state of Denmark?' Constructivism and European integration. *Journal of European Public Policy* 1999;**6**:669–81.
doi:10.1080/135017699343531

180

Checkel JT, Moravcsik A. A Constructivist Research Program in EU Studies? *European Union Politics* 2001;**2**:219–49. doi:10.1177/1465116501002002004

181

Duffield JS. Political Culture and State Behavior: Why Germany Confounds Neorealism. *International Organization* 1999;**53**:765–803.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601309>

182

Agathangelou AM, Ling LHM. Power, Borders, Security, Wealth: Lessons of Violence and Desire from September 11. *International Studies Quarterly* 2004;**48**:517–38.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3693521>

183

Barnett M. Culture, Strategy and Foreign Policy Change: Israel's Road to Oslo. *European Journal of International Relations* 1999;**5**:5–36. doi:10.1177/1354066199005001001

184

Daddow O. Constructing a 'great' role for Britain in an age of austerity: Interpreting coalition foreign policy, 2010-2015. *International Relations* 2015;**29**:303–18. doi:10.1177/0047117815600931

185

Fisher K. Security, identity and British counterterrorism policy. Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2015.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781137524225>

186

Goddard SE, Krebs RR. Rhetoric, Legitimation, and Grand Strategy. *Security Studies* 2015;**24**:5–36. doi:10.1080/09636412.2014.1001198

187

Jelena Subotić. Narrative, Ontological Security, and Foreign Policy Change. *Foreign Policy Analysis* 2016;**12**:610–27. doi:10.1111/fpa.12089

188

Bevir M, Daddow O. Interpreting foreign policy: National, comparative and regional studies. *International Relations* 2015;**29**:273–87. doi:10.1177/0047117815600930

189

Solomon T. The politics of subjectivity in American foreign policy discourses. Ann Arbor: : University of Michigan Press 2015.

190

McCourt DM. Britain and world power since 1945: constructing a nation's role in international politics. Ann Arbor: : The University of Michigan Press 2014.

191

Hayes J. Constructing national security: U.S. relations with China and India. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2015.

192

Krebs RR. Narrative and the making of US national security. Cambridge, United Kingdom: : Cambridge University Press 2015.

193

Kettell S. Dilemmas of Discourse: Legitimising Britain's War on Terror. The British Journal of Politics & International Relations 2013;**15**:263–79. doi:10.1111/j.1467-856X.2012.00531.x

194

Goddard SE. The Rhetoric of Appeasement: Hitler's Legitimation and British Foreign Policy, 1938–39. Security Studies 2015;**24**:95–130. doi:10.1080/09636412.2015.1001216

195

Krebs RR. Tell Me a Story: FDR, Narrative, and the Making of the Second World War. Security Studies 2015;**24**:131–70. doi:10.1080/09636412.2015.1001215

196

Krebs RR. How Dominant Narratives Rise and Fall: Military Conflict, Politics, and the Cold War Consensus. International Organization 2015;**69**:809–45. doi:10.1017/S0020818315000181

197

Krebs RR, Lobasz JK. Fixing the Meaning of 9/11: Hegemony, Coercion, and the Road to War in Iraq. *Security Studies* 2007;**16**:409–51. doi:10.1080/09636410701547881

198

de Orellana P. Struggles over identity in diplomacy: 'Commie terrorists' contra 'imperialists' in Western Sahara. *International Relations* 2015;**29**:477–99. doi:10.1177/0047117815571620

199

Ostermann F. The end of ambivalence and the triumph of pragmatism? Franco-British defence cooperation and European and Atlantic defence policy traditions. *International Relations* 2015;**29**:334–47. doi:10.1177/0047117815600937

200

Holland J. *Selling the War on Terror: foreign policy discourses after 9/11*. Abingdon, Oxfordshire: : Routledge 2013.

201

Schmidt BC, Williams MC. The Bush Doctrine and the Iraq War: Neoconservatives Versus Realists. *Security Studies* 2008;**17**:191–220. doi:10.1080/09636410802098990

202

Jackson PT. *Civilizing the enemy: German reconstruction and the invention of the West*. Ann Arbor: : University of Michigan Press 2006.

203

Nyman J. 'Red Storm Ahead': Securitisation of Energy in US-China Relations. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies* 2014;**43**:43–65. doi:10.1177/0305829814525495

204

Croft S. Culture, crisis and America's War on Terror. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006.

205

Debrix F. Tabloid terror: war, culture, and geopolitics. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2008.

206

Jackson R. Writing the war on terrorism: language, politics, and counter-terrorism. Manchester: : Manchester University Press 2005.

207

Nabers D. Filling the Void of Meaning: Identity Construction in U.S. Foreign Policy After September 11, 2001. Foreign Policy Analysis 2009;**5**:191-214.
doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2009.00089.x

208

Reus-Smit C, Snidal D. The Oxford handbook of international relations. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199219322.01.0001>

209

Smith KE, Lights M. Ethics and foreign policy. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2001. <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=202006>

210

Bulley D. The politics of ethical foreign policy: A responsibility to protect whom? European Journal of International Relations 2010;**16**:441-61. doi:10.1177/1354066109350051

211

Kennan GF. Morality and Foreign Policy. Foreign Affairs 1985;**64**
. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.proquest.com/docview/1290258825/fulltextPDF?accountid=14540>

212

Busby JW. Moral movements and foreign policy. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2010.

213

Lawler P. The Good State: In Praise of 'Classical' Internationalism. Review of International Studies 2005;**31**:427–49. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40072083>

214

Kuperman AJ. The Moral Hazard of Humanitarian Intervention: Lessons from the Balkans. International Studies Quarterly 2008;**52**:49–80. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/29734224>

215

Beitz CR. Political theory and international relations. Princeton, NJ: : Princeton University Press 1999.

216

Walzer M. Just and unjust wars: a moral argument with historical illustrations. 4th ed. New York: : Basic Books 2006.

217

Abrahamsen R, Williams P. Ethics and Foreign Policy: the Antinomies of New Labour's 'Third Way' in Sub-Saharan Africa. Political Studies 2001;**49**:249–64.
doi:10.1111/1467-9248.00312

218

Little R, Wickham-Jones M. New Labour's foreign policy: a new moral crusade? Manchester:

: Manchester University Press 2000.

219

Fierke KM. Who is my neighbour? Memories of the Holocaust/al Nakba and a global ethic of care. *European Journal of International Relations* 2014;**20**:787-809.
doi:10.1177/1354066113497490

220

Baehr PR, Castermans-Holleman MC. The role of human rights in foreign policy. Third edition. Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2004.

221

Buckler S. Dirty hands: the problem of political morality. Aldershot, Hants: : Avebury 1993.

222

Campbell D. National deconstruction: violence, identity, and justice in Bosnia. Minneapolis, MN: : University of Minnesota Press 1998.

223

Cole P. Philosophies of exclusion: liberal political theory and immigration. Edinburgh: : Edinburgh University Press 2000.

224

Benhabib S. The rights of others: aliens, residents and citizens. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2004.

225

Betts RK. The Delusion of Impartial Intervention. *Foreign Affairs*;**73**
.https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.proquest.com/docview/1290286683/abstract/C39C5610F46A4868PQ/1?accountid=14540

226

Beardsworth R. Cosmopolitanism and international relations theory. Cambridge: : Polity Press 2011.

227

Weber C. Imagining America at war: morality, politics, and film. London, New York: : Routledge 2006.

228

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P. The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. 5th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

229

Chollet D, Lindberg T. A moral core for U.S. foreign policy. Policy Review 2007;**2007**
. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A172911420/AONE?u=glasuni&sid=bookmark-AONE&xid=858d2035>

230

Mapel DR, Nardin T. Traditions of international ethics. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1992.