# Politics of Foreign Policy



1.

International Studies Association. Foreign policy analysis. (2005).

### 2.

Royal Institute of International Affairs, EBSCO Publishing (Firm), & JSTOR (Organization). International affairs. (1944).

# З.

Political Studies Association of the United Kingdom & EBSCO Publishing (Firm). British journal of politics and international relations. (1999).

4.

European journal of international relations.

5.

Cambridge review of international affairs.

6.

British International Studies Association & Cambridge University Press. Review of international studies. (1981).

Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, JSTOR (Organization), M.I.T. Press, Project MUSE., & Thomson Gale (Firm). International security.

# 8.

Security studies.

# 9.

International Institute for Strategic Studies & Oxford University Press. Survival.

10.

Contemporary politics.

11.

LexisNexis (Firm). Politics. (2008).

12.

International politics. (1996).

13.

International feminist journal of politics.

# 14.

International Studies Association & JSTOR (Organization). International studies quarterly. (1967).

International Studies Association & JSTOR (Organization). International studies review.

# 16.

International studies perspectives.

# 17.

London School of Economics and Political Science. Millennium: journal of international studies.

# 18.

American Political Science Association & JSTOR (Organization). Perspectives on politics. (2003).

# 19.

Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. (Oxford University Press, 2016).

# 20.

Juliet Kaarbo. Foreign Policy Analysis in the Twenty-First Century: Back to Comparison, Forward to Identity and Ideas. International Studies Review **5**, 155–202 (2003).

# 21.

Hudson, V. M. Foreign Policy Analysis: Actor-Specific Theory and the Ground of International Relations. Foreign Policy Analysis 1, 1–30 (2005).

# 22.

Walter Carlsnaes. The Agency-Structure Problem in Foreign Policy Analysis. International Studies Quarterly **36**, 245–270 (1992).

Snyder, J. One World, Rival Theories. Foreign Policy 52–62 (2004).

### 24.

Garrison, J. A. Foreign Policy Analysis in 20/20: A Symposium. International Studies Review 5, 155–202 (2003).

### 25.

Handbook of international relations. (SAGE, 2013).

### 26.

Hudson, V. M. & Vore, C. S. Foreign Policy Analysis Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow. Mershon International Studies Review **39**, 209–238 (1995).

### 27.

Mintz, A. & DeRouen, K. R. Understanding foreign policy decision making. (Cambridge University Press, 2010).

### 28.

Walker, S. G., Malici, A. & Schafer, M. Rethinking foreign policy analysis: states, leaders, and the microfoundations of behavioral international relations. (Routledge, 2011).

#### 29.

Arnold Wolfers. 'National Security' as an Ambiguous Symbol. Political Science Quarterly 67, 481–502 (1952).

#### 30.

Slater, J. Ideology vs. The national interest: Bush, Sharon, and U.S. policy in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Security Studies **12**, 164–206 (2002).

Williams, M. C. What is the National Interest? The Neoconservative Challenge in IR Theory. European Journal of International Relations 11, 307–337 (2005).

# 32.

Weldes, J. Constructing National Interests. European Journal of International Relations 2, 275–318 (1996).

# 33.

Burchill, S. The national interest in international relations theory. (Palgrave Macmillan, 2005).

### 34.

Chandler, D. Culture Wars and International Intervention: An 'Inside/Out' View of the Decline of National Interest. International politics **41**, 354–374 (2004).

### 35.

Gilmore, J. The uncertain merger of values and interests in UK foreign policy. International Affairs **90**, 541–557 (2014).

### 36.

Morgenthau, H. J. In defense of the national interest: a critical examination of American foreign policy. (University Press of America, 1982).

### 37.

Beard, C. A. & Smith, G. H. E. The idea of national interest: an analytical study in American foreign policy. (Macmillan, 1934).

# 38.

Clinton, W. D. The two faces of national interest. vol. Series: Political traditions in foreign

policy series (Louisiana State University Press, 1994).

### 39.

Edmunds, T. Complexity, strategy and the national interest. International Affairs **90**, 525–539 (2014).

# 40.

Gaskarth, J. Strategizing Britain's role in the world. International Affairs **90**, 559–581 (2014).

### 41.

Morris, J. How Great is Britain? Power, Responsibility and Britain's Future Global Role. The British Journal of Politics & International Relations **13**, 326–347 (2011).

### 42.

Clinton, W. D. The National Interest: Normative Foundations. The Review of Politics **48**, (1986).

### 43.

Cornish, P. & Dorman, A. National defence in the age of austerity. International Affairs 85, 733–753 (2009).

### 44.

Cornish, P. & Dorman, A. M. Smart muddling through: rethinking UK national strategy beyond Afghanistan. International Affairs **88**, 213–222 (2012).

### 45.

Edmunds, T. British civil-military relations and the problem of risk. International Affairs **88**, 265–282 (2012).

Fitz-Gerald, A. M. A UK National Security Strategy: Institutional and Cultural Challenges. Defence Studies **8**, 4–25 (2008).

### 47.

Gallie, W. B. Essentially Contested Concepts. Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society 56, 167–198 (1956).

### 48.

Finnemore, M. National interests in international society. vol. Series: Cornell studies in political economy (Cornell University Press, 1996).

### 49.

Hollis, M. & Smith, S. Roles and Reasons in Foreign Policy Decision Making. British Journal of Political Science **16**, 269–286 (1986).

### 50.

Lake, D. A. & Powell, R. Strategic choice and international relations. (Princeton University Press, 1999).

### 51.

Fearon, J. D. Rationalist Explanations for War. International Organization **49**, 379–414 (1995).

### 52.

Gartzke, E. War Is in the Error Term. International Organization 53, 567–587 (1999).

# 53.

Kaarbo, J. & Cantir, C. Role conflict in recent wars: Danish and Dutch debates over Iraq and Afghanistan. Cooperation and Conflict **48**, 465–483 (2013).

Martin, L. L. The Contributions of Rational Choice: A Defense of Pluralism. International Security **24**, 74–83 (1999).

### 55.

Nincic, M. The National Interest and its Interpretation. The Review of Politics 61, (1999).

#### 56.

Risse-Kappen, T. Public Opinion, Domestic Structure, and Foreign Policy in Liberal Democracies. World Politics **43**, 479–512 (1991).

### 57.

Oakes, A. Diversionary War and Argentina's Invasion of the Falkland Islands. Security Studies **15**, 431–463 (2006).

#### 58.

Maoz, Z. & Russett, B. Normative and Structural Causes of Democratic Peace, 1946-1986. The American Political Science Review **87**, 624–638 (1993).

#### 59.

Levy, J. S. Preventive War and Democratic Politics. International Studies Quarterly **52**, 1–24 (2008).

#### 60.

Brown, M. E., Lynn-Jones, S. M. & Miller, S. E. Debating the democratic peace. vol. Series: International security readers (MIT Press, 1996).

Cowhey, P. F. Domestic Institutions and the Credibility of International Commitments: Japan and the United States. International Organization **47**, 299–326 (1993).

# 62.

Oakes, A. Diversionary war: domestic unrest and international conflict. (Stanford Security Studies, an imprint of Stanford University Press, 2012).

# 63.

Strong, J. Why Parliament Now Decides on War: Tracing the Growth of the Parliamentary Prerogative through Syria, Libya and Iraq. The British Journal of Politics & International Relations (2014) doi:10.1111/1467-856X.12055.

### 64.

Bennett, D. S. & Nordstrom, T. Foreign Policy Substitutability and Internal Economic Problems in Enduring Rivalries. The Journal of Conflict Resolution **44**, 33–61 (2000).

# 65.

Leeds, B. A. & Davis, D. R. Domestic Political Vulnerability and International Disputes. The Journal of Conflict Resolution **41**, 814–834 (1997).

### 66.

Richards, D., Morgan, T. C., Wilson, R. K., Schwebach, V. L. & Young, G. D. Good Times, Bad Times, and the Diversionary Use of Force: A Tale of Some Not-So-Free Agents. Journal of Conflict Resolution **37**, 504–535 (1993).

### 67.

Mor, B. D. Conflict in world politics: advances in the study of crisis, war and peace. vol. Series: Advances in political science (Macmillan, 1998).

### 68.

Gelpi, C. Democratic Diversions: Governmental Structure and the Externalization of

Domestic Conflict. The Journal of Conflict Resolution 41, 255–282 (1997).

### 69.

Sprecher, C. & DeRouen, K. The Domestic Determinants of Foreign Policy Behavior in Middle Eastern Enduring Rivals, 1948-1998. Foreign Policy Analysis **1**, 121–141 (2005).

### 70.

Ray, J. L. Democracy and international conflict: an evaluation of the democratic peace proposition. vol. Series: Studies in international relations (University of South Carolina Press, 1998).

### 71.

Cederman, L.-E. Back to Kant: Reinterpreting the Democratic Peace as a Macrohistorical Learning Process. The American Political Science Review **95**, 15–31 (2001).

### 72.

Russett, B. M. & Oneal, J. R. Triangulating peace: democracy, interdependence, and international organizations. (Norton, 2001).

### 73.

Maoz, Z. The Controversy over the Democratic Peace: Rearguard Action or Cracks in the Wall? International Security **22**, 162–198 (1997).

### 74.

Gaubatz, K. T. Democratic States and Commitment in International Relations. International Organization **50**, 109–139 (1996).

### 75.

Schweller, R. L. Domestic Structure and Preventive War: Are Democracies More Pacific? World Politics **44**, 235–269 (1992).

Hill, C. The changing politics of foreign policy. (Palgrave MacMillan, 2003).

### 77.

Allison, G. T. Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis. The American Political Science Review **63**, 689–718 (1969).

### 78.

Narizny, K. The Political Economy of Alignment: Great Britain's Commitments to Europe, 1905-39. International Security **27**, 184–219 (2003).

### 79.

Marsh, K. Obama's Surge: A Bureaucratic Politics Analysis of the Decision to Order a Troop Surge in the Afghanistan War. Foreign Policy Analysis **10**, 265–288 (2014).

### 80.

Sinno, A. H. Organizations at war in Afghanistan and beyond. (Cornell University Press, 2008).

### 81.

Solingen, E. Domestic Coalitions, Internationalization, and War: Then and Now. International Security **39**, 44–70.

# 82.

Levy, J. S. Organizational Routines and the Causes of War. International Studies Quarterly **30**, 193–222 (1986).

Hudson, V. M. Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory. (Rowman & Littlefield, 2014).

#### 84.

Allison, G. T. & Zelikow, P. Essence of decision: explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis. (Longman, 1999).

### 85.

Bendor, J. & Hammond, T. H. Rethinking Allison's Models. The American Political Science Review **86**, 301–322 (1992).

#### 86.

Krasner, S. D. Are Bureaucracies Important? (Or Allison Wonderland). Foreign Policy 159–179 (1972).

#### 87.

Destler, I. M. Presidents, bureaucrats, and foreign policy: the politics of organizational reform. vol. Series: Princeton paperbacks (Princeton University Press, 1974).

#### 88.

Halperin, M. H., Clapp, P. & Kanter, A. Bureaucratic politics and foreign policy. (The Brookings Institution, 1974).

#### 89.

Kaarbo, J. Coalition politics and cabinet decision making: a comparative analysis of foreign policy choices. (University of Michigan Press, 2013).

### 90.

Grodsky, B. Lessons (Not) Learned: A New Look at Bureaucratic Politics and U.S. Foreign Policy-Making in the Post-Soviet Space. Problems of Post-Communism **56**, 43–57 (2014).

Welch, D. A. The Organizational Process and Bureaucratic Politics Paradigms: Retrospect and Prospect. International Security **17**, 112–146 (1992).

### 92.

Smith, S. Policy Preferences and Bureaucratic Position: The Case of the American Hostage Rescue Mission. International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-) **61**, 9–25 (1985).

### 93.

Snow, D. M. & Brown, E. Puzzle palaces and Foggy Bottom: U.S. foreign and defense policy-making in the 1990s. (St. Martin's Press, 1994).

### 94.

Lieberthal, K. G. & Lampton, D. M. Bureaucracy, politics, and decision making in post-Mao China. vol. Series: Studies on China (University of California Press, 1992).

### 95.

Rhodes, E. Do Bureaucratic Politics Matter?: Some Disconfirming Findings from the Case of the U.S. Navy. World Politics **47**, 1–41 (1994).

#### 96.

Valenta, J. The Bureaucratic Politics Paradigm and the Soviet Invasion of Czechoslovakia. Political Science Quarterly **94**, 55–76 (1979).

### 97.

Brooks, S. G. Economic Actors' Lobbying Influence on the Prospects for War and Peace. International Organization **67**, 863–888 (2013).

Abelson, D. E. Old world, new world: the evolution and influence of foreign affairs think-tanks. International Affairs **90**, 125–142 (2014).

99.

Alden, C. & Aran, A. Foreign policy analysis: new approaches. (Routledge, 2012).

100.

Vanderbush, W. Exiles and the Marketing of U.S. Policy toward Cuba and Iraq. Foreign Policy Analysis **5**, 287–306 (2009).

101.

Mearsheimer, J. & Walt, S. The Israel Lobby. London Review of Books 28,.

102.

Trubowitz, P. Sectionalism and American Foreign Policy: The Political Geography of Consensus and Conflict. International Studies Quarterly **36**, 173–190 (1992).

103.

Hiscox, M. J. International trade and political conflict: commerce, coalitions, and mobility. (Princeton University Press, 2002).

104.

Brawley, M. R. Factoral or Sectoral Conflict? Partially Mobile Factors and the Politics of Trade in Imperial Germany. International Studies Quarterly **41**, 633–653 (1997).

105.

Milner, H. Trading Places: Industries for Free Trade. World Politics 40, 350–376 (1988).

Rogowski, R. Political Cleavages and Changing Exposure to Trade. The American Political Science Review **81**, 1121–1137 (1987).

### 107.

Fearon, J. D. Domestic politics, foreign policy, and theories of international relations. Annual Review of Political Science  $\mathbf{1}$ , 289–313 (1998).

108.

Sears, D. O., Huddy, L. & Levy, J. S. The Oxford handbook of political psychology. (Oxford University Press, 2013).

### 109.

Janis, I. L. Groupthink: psychological studies of policy decisions and fiascoes. (Wadsworth Cengage Learning, 1982).

### 110.

Levy, J. S. Prospect Theory, Rational Choice, and International Relations. International Studies Quarterly **41**, 87–112 (1997).

### 111.

Mercer, J. Rationality and Psychology in International Politics. International Organization **59**, (2005).

#### 112.

Hermann, M. G., Preston, T., Korany, B. & Shaw, T. M. Who Leads Matters: The Effects of Powerful Individuals. International Studies Review **3**, 83–131 (2001).

# 113.

Kaarbo, J. Prime Minister Leadership Styles in Foreign Policy Decision-Making: A Framework

for Research. Political Psychology 18, 553–581 (1997).

### 114.

Hermann, M. G. & Hermann, C. F. Who Makes Foreign Policy Decisions and How: An Empirical Inquiry. International Studies Quarterly **33**, 361–387 (1989).

#### 115.

Hermann, M. G. & Preston, T. Presidents, Advisers, and Foreign Policy: The Effect of Leadership Style on Executive Arrangements. Political Psychology **15**, 75–96 (1994).

#### 116.

James, P. & Zhang, E. Chinese Choices: A Poliheuristic Analysis of Foreign Policy Crises, 1950-1996. Foreign Policy Analysis 1, 31–54 (2005).

#### 117.

Mintz, A. How Do Leaders Make Decisions?: A Poliheuristic Perspective. Journal of Conflict Resolution **48**, 3–13 (2004).

#### 118.

Goldgeier, J. M. & Tetlock, P. E. Psychology and international relations theory. Annual Review of Political Science **4**, 67–92 (2001).

119.

Jervis, R. Hypotheses on Misperception. World Politics 20, 454–479 (1968).

120.

Jervis, R. Deterrence and Perception. International Security 7, 3–30 (1983).

George, A. L. The 'Operational Code': A Neglected Approach to the Study of Political Leaders and Decision-Making. International Studies Quarterly **13**, 190–222 (1969).

### 122.

O'Neill, B. Risk Aversion in International Relations Theory. International Studies Quarterly **45**, 617–640 (2001).

### 123.

Blanton, S. L. Images in Conflict: The Case of Ronald Reagan and El Salvador. International Studies Quarterly **40**, 23–44 (1996).

#### 124.

Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. (Oxford University Press, 2016).

#### 125.

Hymans, J. E. C. The Psychology of Nuclear Proliferation: Identity, Emotions and Foreign Policy. (Cambridge University Press, 2006).

### 126.

Hall, T. H. We will not Swallow This Bitter Fruit: Theorizing a Diplomacy of Anger. Security Studies **20**, 521–555 (2011).

### 127.

Holmes, M. Believing This and Alieving That: Theorizing Affect and Intuitions in International Politics. International Studies Quarterly n/a-n/a (2015) doi:10.1111/isqu.12201.

### 128.

Dyson, S. B. Cognitive Style and Foreign Policy: Margaret Thatcher's Black-and-White Thinking. International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique **30**, 33–48 (2009).

Jonathan Mercer. Emotional Beliefs. International Organization 64, (2010).

### 130.

Mercer, J. Emotion and Strategy in the Korean War. International Organization **67**, 221–252 (2013).

### 131.

Hibbing, J. R. Ten Misconceptions Concerning Neurobiology and Politics. Perspectives on Politics **11**, 475–489 (2013).

### 132.

Holmes, M. The Force of Face-to-Face Diplomacy: Mirror Neurons and the Problem of Intentions. International Organization **67**, 829–861 (2013).

# 133.

Horowitz, M. C. & Stam, A. C. How Prior Military Experience Influences the Future Militarized Behavior of Leaders. International Organization **68**, 527–559 (2014).

### 134.

Rose McDermott. Political psychology in international relations. vol. Series: Analytical perspectives on politics (University of Michigan Press, 2004).

# 135.

McDermott, R. The Biological Bases for Aggressiveness and Nonaggressiveness in Presidents. Foreign Policy Analysis **10**, 313–327 (2014).

Forsberg, T. Crisis Decision-Making in Finland: Cognition, Institutions and Rationality. Cooperation and Conflict **41**, 235–260 (2006).

# 137.

Berejikian, J. D. Model Building with Prospect Theory: A Cognitive Approach to International Relations. Political Psychology **23**, 759–786 (2002).

# 138.

Robert M. Entman. Projections of power: framing news, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy. vol. Series: Studies in communication, media, and public opinion (University of Chicago Press, 2004).

# 139.

Herman, E. S. & Chomsky, N. Manufacturing consent: the political economy of the mass media. vol. Vintage original (Vintage, 1994).

# 140.

Robinson, P. et al. Pockets of resistance: British news media, war and theory in the 2003 invasion of Iraq. (Manchester University Press, 2010).

# 141.

Gribble, R. et al. British Public Opinion after a Decade of War: Attitudes to Iraq and Afghanistan. Politics **35**, 128–150 (2015).

### 142.

Routledge handbook of media, conflict and security. (Routledge, 2016).

# 143.

Eytan, G., Robinson, P., Miklian, J. & Gabrielsen Jumbert, M. Moving Media and Conflict Studies beyond the CNN Effect. Review of International Studies (2016) doi:10.1017/S026021051600005X.

Herring, E. & Robinson, P. Report X Marks the Spot: The British Government's Deceptive Dossier on Iraq and WMD. Political Science Quarterly **129**, 551–584 (2014).

#### 145.

Hildebrandt, T., Hillebrecht, C., Holm, P. M. & Pevehouse, J. The Domestic Politics of Humanitarian Intervention: Public Opinion, Partisanship, and Ideology. Foreign Policy Analysis **9**, 243–266 (2013).

#### 146.

Dixon, P. Britain's 'Vietnam Syndrome'? Public Opinion and British Military Intervention from Palestine to Yugoslavia. Review of International Studies **26**, 99–121 (2000).

#### 147.

Ole R. Holsti. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Challenges to the Almond-Lippmann Consensus Mershon Series: Research Programs and Debates. International Studies Quarterly **36**, 439–466 (1992).

#### 148.

Gilboa, E. Global Television News and Foreign Policy: Debating the CNN Effect. International Studies Perspectives 6, 325–341 (2005).

#### 149.

Foyle, D. C. Leading the Public To War? The Influence of American Public Opinion on the Bush Administration's Decision to go to War in Iraq. International Journal of Public Opinion Research **16**, 269–294 (2004).

### 150.

Sobel, R. The impact of public opinion on U.S. foreign policy since Vietnam: constraining the colossus. (Oxford University Press, 2001).

Bjereld, U. & Ekengren, A.-M. Foreign Policy Dimensions: A Comparison Between the United States and Sweden. International Studies Quarterly **43**, 503–518 (1999).

#### 152.

Hurwitz, J., Peffley, M. & Seligson, M. A. Foreign Policy Belief Systems in Comparative Perspective: The United States and Costa Rica. International Studies Quarterly **37**, 245–270 (1993).

#### 153.

Baum, M. A. Sex, Lies, and War: How Soft News Brings Foreign Policy to the Inattentive Public. The American Political Science Review **96**, 91–109 (2002).

#### 154.

Kull, S., Ramsay, C. & Lewis, E. Misperceptions, the Media, and the Iraq War. Political Science Quarterly **118**, 569–598 (2004).

### 155.

Foyle, D. C. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Elite Beliefs as a Mediating Variable. International Studies Quarterly **41**, 141–170 (1997).

#### 156.

Kaufmann, C. Threat Inflation and the Failure of the Marketplace of Ideas: The Selling of the Iraq War. International Security **29**, 5–48 (2004).

### 157.

Gaubatz, K. T. Intervention and Intransitivity: Public Opinion, Social Choice, and the Use of Military Force Abroad. World Politics **47**, 534–554 (1995).

Gowa, J. Politics at the Water's Edge: Parties, Voters, and the Use of Force Abroad. International Organization **52**, 307–324 (1998).

159.

Herring, E. & Robinson, P. Too Polemical or Too Critical? Chomsky on the Study of the News Media and US Foreign Policy. Review of International Studies **29**, 553–568 (2003).

160.

Hill, K. A. The Domestic Sources of Foreign Policymaking: Congressional Voting and American Mass Attitudes toward South Africa. International Studies Quarterly **37**, 195–214 (1993).

161.

Hixson, W. L. Parting the curtain: propaganda, culture, and the Cold War, 1945-61. (Macmillan Press, 1998).

162.

Jentleson, B. W. The Pretty Prudent Public: Post Post-Vietnam American Opinion on the Use of Military Force. International Studies Quarterly **36**, 49–73 (1992).

163.

Jentleson, B. W. & Britton, R. L. Still Pretty Prudent: Post-Cold War American Public Opinion on the Use of Military Force. The Journal of Conflict Resolution **42**, 395–417 (1998).

164.

Marsh, C. & Fraser, C. Public opinion and nuclear weapons. (Macmillan, 1989).

Mazrui, A. A. Between the Crescent and the Star-Spangled Banner: American Muslims and US Foreign Policy. International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-) **72**, 493–506 (1996).

#### 166.

Houghton, D. P. Reinvigorating the Study of Foreign Policy Decision Making: Toward a Constructivist Approach. Foreign Policy Analysis **3**, 24–45 (2007).

### 167.

Hansen, L. Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war. vol. Series: New international relations (Routledge, 2006).

#### 168.

Gaskarth, J. Discourses and Ethics: The Social Construction of British Foreign Policy. Foreign Policy Analysis **2**, 325–341 (2006).

### 169.

Campbell, D. & Ebooks Corporation Limited. Writing security: United States foreign policy and the politics of identity. (Manchester University Press, 1998).

### 170.

Doty, R. L. Imperial encounters: the politics of representation in North-South relations. vol. Series: Borderlines (Minneapolis, Minn.) (University of Minnesota Press, 1996).

#### 171.

Sjöstedt, R. The Discursive Origins of a Doctrine. Foreign Policy Analysis **3**, 233–254 (2007).

### 172.

Buzan, B., Wæver, O. & de Wilde, J. Security: a new framework for analysis. (Lynne Rienner Pub, 1998).

Larsen, H. Foreign policy and discourse analysis: France, Britain, and Europe. vol. Series: Routledge advances in international relations and politics (Routledge/LSE, 1997).

### 174.

Farrell, T. Constructivist Security Studies: Portrait of a Research Program. International Studies Review **4**, 49–72 (2002).

### 175.

Hopf, T. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. International Security **23**, 171–200 (1998).

### 176.

Finnemore, M. The purpose of intervention: changing beliefs about the use of force. vol. Series: Cornell studies in security affairs (Cornell University Press, 2003).

### 177.

Finnemore, M. National interests in international society. vol. Series: Cornell studies in political economy (Cornell University Press, 1996).

### 178.

Wehner, L. E. & Thies, C. G. Role Theory, Narratives, and Interpretation: The Domestic Contestation of Roles. International Studies Review **16**, 411–436 (2014).

### 179.

Moravcsik, A. 'Is something rotten in the state of Denmark?' Constructivism and European integration. Journal of European Public Policy **6**, 669–681 (1999).

Checkel, J. T. & Moravcsik, A. A Constructivist Research Program in EU Studies? European Union Politics **2**, 219–249 (2001).

### 181.

Duffield, J. S. Political Culture and State Behavior: Why Germany Confounds Neorealism. International Organization **53**, 765–803 (1999).

### 182.

Agathangelou, A. M. & Ling, L. H. M. Power, Borders, Security, Wealth: Lessons of Violence and Desire from September 11. International Studies Quarterly **48**, 517–538 (2004).

### 183.

Barnett, M. Culture, Strategy and Foreign Policy Change: Israel's Road to Oslo. European Journal of International Relations **5**, 5–36 (1999).

### 184.

Daddow, O. Constructing a 'great' role for Britain in an age of austerity: Interpreting coalition foreign policy, 2010-2015. International Relations **29**, 303–318 (2015).

### 185.

Fisher, K. Security, identity and British counterterrorism policy. vol. New security challenges series (Palgrave Macmillan, 2015).

### 186.

Goddard, S. E. & Krebs, R. R. Rhetoric, Legitimation, and Grand Strategy. Security Studies 24, 5–36 (2015).

### 187.

Jelena Subotić. Narrative, Ontological Security, and Foreign Policy Change. Foreign Policy Analysis **12**, 610–627 (2016).

Bevir, M. & Daddow, O. Interpreting foreign policy: National, comparative and regional studies. International Relations **29**, 273–287 (2015).

#### 189.

Solomon, T. The politics of subjectivity in American foreign policy discourses. vol. Configurations: critical studies of world politics (University of Michigan Press, 2015).

#### 190.

McCourt, D. M. Britain and world power since 1945: constructing a nation's role in international politics. vol. Configurations (The University of Michigan Press, 2014).

#### 191.

Hayes, J. Constructing national security: U.S. relations with China and India. (Cambridge University Press, 2015).

#### 192.

Krebs, R. R. Narrative and the making of US national security. vol. Cambridge studies in international relations (Cambridge University Press, 2015).

# 193.

Kettell, S. Dilemmas of Discourse: Legitimising Britain's War on Terror. The British Journal of Politics & International Relations **15**, 263–279 (2013).

# 194.

Goddard, S. E. The Rhetoric of Appeasement: Hitler's Legitimation and British Foreign Policy, 1938–39. Security Studies **24**, 95–130 (2015).

Krebs, R. R. Tell Me a Story: FDR, Narrative, and the Making of the Second World War. Security Studies **24**, 131–170 (2015).

#### 196.

Krebs, R. R. How Dominant Narratives Rise and Fall: Military Conflict, Politics, and the Cold War Consensus. International Organization **69**, 809–845 (2015).

### 197.

Krebs, R. R. & Lobasz, J. K. Fixing the Meaning of 9/11: Hegemony, Coercion, and the Road to War in Iraq. Security Studies **16**, 409–451 (2007).

#### 198.

de Orellana, P. Struggles over identity in diplomacy: 'Commie terrorists' contra 'imperialists' in Western Sahara. International Relations **29**, 477–499 (2015).

#### 199.

Ostermann, F. The end of ambivalence and the triumph of pragmatism? Franco-British defence cooperation and European and Atlantic defence policy traditions. International Relations **29**, 334–347 (2015).

### 200.

Holland, J. Selling the War on Terror: foreign policy discourses after 9/11. vol. Series: Critical terrorism studies (Routledge, 2013).

201.

Schmidt, B. C. & Williams, M. C. The Bush Doctrine and the Iraq War: Neoconservatives Versus Realists. Security Studies **17**, 191–220 (2008).

### 202.

Jackson, P. T. Civilizing the enemy: German reconstruction and the invention of the West. (University of Michigan Press, 2006).

Nyman, J. 'Red Storm Ahead': Securitisation of Energy in US-China Relations. Millennium - Journal of International Studies **43**, 43–65 (2014).

204.

Croft, S. Culture, crisis and America's War on Terror. (Cambridge University Press, 2006).

205.

Debrix, F. Tabloid terror: war, culture, and geopolitics. (Routledge, 2008).

206.

Jackson, R. Writing the war on terrorism: language, politics, and counter-terrorism. vol. Series: New approaches to conflict analysis (Manchester University Press, 2005).

207.

Nabers, D. Filling the Void of Meaning: Identity Construction in U.S. Foreign Policy After September 11, 2001. Foreign Policy Analysis **5**, 191–214 (2009).

208.

Reus-Smit, C. & Snidal, D. The Oxford handbook of international relations. vol. The Oxford handbooks of political science (Oxford University Press, 2008).

209.

Smith, K. E. & Lights, M. Ethics and foreign policy. vol. Series: LSE monographs in international studies (Cambridge University Press, 2001).

Bulley, D. The politics of ethical foreign policy: A responsibility to protect whom? European Journal of International Relations **16**, 441–461 (2010).

# 211.

Kennan, G. F. Morality and Foreign Policy. Foreign Affairs 64, (1985).

# 212.

Busby, J. W. Moral movements and foreign policy. vol. Series: Cambridge Studies in International Relations (Cambridge University Press, 2010).

# 213.

Lawler, P. The Good State: In Praise of 'Classical' Internationalism. Review of International Studies **31**, 427–449 (2005).

# 214.

Kuperman, A. J. The Moral Hazard of Humanitarian Intervention: Lessons from the Balkans. International Studies Quarterly **52**, 49–80 (2008).

# 215.

Beitz, C. R. Political theory and international relations. (Princeton University Press, 1999).

# 216.

Walzer, M. Just and unjust wars: a moral argument with historical illustrations. (Basic Books, 2006).

# 217.

Abrahamsen, R. & Williams, P. Ethics and Foreign Policy: the Antinomies of New Labour's 'Third Way' in Sub-Saharan Africa. Political Studies **49**, 249–264 (2001).

Little, R. & Wickham-Jones, M. New Labour's foreign policy: a new moral crusade? (Manchester University Press, 2000).

### 219.

Fierke, K. M. Who is my neighbour? Memories of the Holocaust/al Nakba and a global ethic of care. European Journal of International Relations **20**, 787–809 (2014).

### 220.

Baehr, P. R. & Castermans-Holleman, M. C. The role of human rights in foreign policy. (Palgrave Macmillan, 2004).

### 221.

Buckler, S. Dirty hands: the problem of political morality. vol. Series: Avebury series in philosophy (Avebury, 1993).

### 222.

Campbell, D. National deconstruction: violence, identity, and justice in Bosnia. (University of Minnesota Press, 1998).

### 223.

Cole, P. Philosophies of exclusion: liberal political theory and immigration. (Edinburgh University Press, 2000).

### 224.

Benhabib, S. The rights of others: aliens, residents and citizens. vol. Series: The John Robert Seeley lectures (Cambridge University Press, 2004).

### 225.

Betts, R. K. The Delusion of Impartial Intervention. Foreign Affairs 73,.

Beardsworth, R. Cosmopolitanism and international relations theory. (Polity Press, 2011).

#### 227.

Weber, C. Imagining America at war: morality, politics, and film. (Routledge, 2006).

#### 228.

Baylis, J., Smith, S. & Owens, P. The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. (Oxford University Press, 2011).

### 229.

Chollet, D. & Lindberg, T. A moral core for U.S. foreign policy. Policy Review 2007, (2007).

# 230.

Mapel, D. R. & Nardin, T. Traditions of international ethics. vol. Series: Cambridge studies in international relations (Cambridge University Press, 1992).