Politics of Foreign Policy

1.

International Studies Association: Foreign policy analysis. (2005).

2.

Royal Institute of International Affairs, EBSCO Publishing (Firm), JSTOR (Organization): International affairs. (1944).

3.

Political Studies Association of the United Kingdom, EBSCO Publishing (Firm): British journal of politics and international relations. (1999).

4.

European journal of international relations.

5.

Cambridge review of international affairs.

6.

British International Studies Association, Cambridge University Press: Review of international studies. (1981).

7.

Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, JSTOR (Organization), M.I.T. Press, Project MUSE., Thomson Gale (Firm): International security.

8.

Security studies.

9.

International Institute for Strategic Studies, Oxford University Press: Survival.

10.

Contemporary politics.

11.

LexisNexis (Firm): Politics. (2008).

12.

International politics. (1996).

13.

International feminist journal of politics.

14.

International Studies Association, JSTOR (Organization): International studies quarterly. (1967).

15.

International Studies Association, JSTOR (Organization): International studies review.

16.

International studies perspectives.

17.

London School of Economics and Political Science: Millennium: journal of international studies.

18.

American Political Science Association, JSTOR (Organization): Perspectives on politics. (2003).

19.

Smith, S., Hadfield, A., Dunne, T. eds: Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

20.

Juliet Kaarbo: Foreign Policy Analysis in the Twenty-First Century: Back to Comparison, Forward to Identity and Ideas. International Studies Review. 5, 155–202 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1111/1521-9488.5020012.

21.

Hudson, V.M.: Foreign Policy Analysis: Actor-Specific Theory and the Ground of International Relations. Foreign Policy Analysis. 1, 1–30 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00001.x.

22.

Walter Carlsnaes: The Agency-Structure Problem in Foreign Policy Analysis. International Studies Quarterly. 36, 245–270 (1992).

23.

Snyder, J.: One World, Rival Theories. Foreign Policy. 52–62 (2004).

24.

Garrison, J.A.: Foreign Policy Analysis in 20/20: A Symposium. International Studies Review. 5, 155–202 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1111/1521-9488.5020011.

25.

Handbook of international relations. SAGE, Los Angeles, Calif (2013).

26.

Hudson, V.M., Vore, C.S.: Foreign Policy Analysis Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow. Mershon International Studies Review. 39, 209–238 (1995).

27.

Mintz, A., DeRouen, K.R.: Understanding foreign policy decision making. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

28.

Walker, S.G., Malici, A., Schafer, M.: Rethinking foreign policy analysis: states, leaders, and the microfoundations of behavioral international relations. Routledge, New York, N.Y. (2011).

29.

Arnold Wolfers: 'National Security' as an Ambiguous Symbol. Political Science Quarterly. 67, 481–502 (1952).

30.

Slater, J.: Ideology vs. The national interest: Bush, Sharon, and U.S. policy in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Security Studies. 12, 164–206 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1080/09636410212120006.

31.

Williams, M.C.: What is the National Interest? The Neoconservative Challenge in IR Theory. European Journal of International Relations. 11, 307–337 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066105055482.

32.

Weldes, J.: Constructing National Interests. European Journal of International Relations. 2, 275–318 (1996). https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066196002003001.

33.

Burchill, S.: The national interest in international relations theory. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke, Hampshire (2005).

34.

Chandler, D.: Culture Wars and International Intervention: An 'Inside/Out' View of the Decline of National Interest. International politics. 41, 354–374 (2004).

35.

Gilmore, J.: The uncertain merger of values and interests in UK foreign policy. International Affairs. 90, 541–557 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12126.

36.

Morgenthau, H.J.: In defense of the national interest: a critical examination of American foreign policy. University Press of America, Washington, D.C. (1982).

37.

Beard, C.A., Smith, G.H.E.: The idea of national interest: an analytical study in American

foreign policy. Macmillan, New York (1934).

38.

Clinton, W.D.: The two faces of national interest. Louisiana State University Press, Baton Rouge, La (1994).

39.

Edmunds, T.: Complexity, strategy and the national interest. International Affairs. 90, 525–539 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12125.

40.

Gaskarth, J.: Strategizing Britain's role in the world. International Affairs. 90, 559–581 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12127.

41.

Morris, J.: How Great is Britain? Power, Responsibility and Britain's Future Global Role. The British Journal of Politics & International Relations. 13, 326–347 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2011.00450.x.

42.

Clinton, W.D.: The National Interest: Normative Foundations. The Review of Politics. 48, (1986). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0034670500039656.

43.

Cornish, P., Dorman, A.: National defence in the age of austerity. International Affairs. 85, 733–753 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2009.00825.x.

44.

Cornish, P., Dorman, A.M.: Smart muddling through: rethinking UK national strategy beyond Afghanistan. International Affairs. 88, 213–222 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2012.01067.x.

45.

Edmunds, T.: British civil-military relations and the problem of risk. International Affairs. 88, 265–282 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2012.01070.x.

46.

Fitz-Gerald, A.M.: A UK National Security Strategy: Institutional and Cultural Challenges. Defence Studies. 8, 4–25 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1080/14702430701823933.

47.

Gallie, W.B.: Essentially Contested Concepts. Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society. 56, 167–198 (1956).

48.

Finnemore, M.: National interests in international society. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (1996).

49.

Hollis, M., Smith, S.: Roles and Reasons in Foreign Policy Decision Making. British Journal of Political Science. 16, 269–286 (1986).

50.

Lake, D.A., Powell, R.: Strategic choice and international relations. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1999).

51.

Fearon, J.D.: Rationalist Explanations for War. International Organization. 49, 379–414 (1995).

52.

Gartzke, E.: War Is in the Error Term. International Organization. 53, 567–587 (1999).

53.

Kaarbo, J., Cantir, C.: Role conflict in recent wars: Danish and Dutch debates over Iraq and Afghanistan. Cooperation and Conflict. 48, 465–483 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1177/0010836713482815.

54.

Martin, L.L.: The Contributions of Rational Choice: A Defense of Pluralism. International Security. 24, 74–83 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1162/016228899560158.

55.

Nincic, M.: The National Interest and its Interpretation. The Review of Politics. 61, (1999). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0034670500028126.

56.

Risse-Kappen, T.: Public Opinion, Domestic Structure, and Foreign Policy in Liberal Democracies. World Politics. 43, 479–512 (1991).

57.

Oakes, A.: Diversionary War and Argentina's Invasion of the Falkland Islands. Security Studies. 15, 431–463 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1080/09636410601028354.

58.

Maoz, Z., Russett, B.: Normative and Structural Causes of Democratic Peace, 1946-1986. The American Political Science Review. 87, 624–638 (1993).

59.

Levy, J.S.: Preventive War and Democratic Politics. International Studies Quarterly. 52, 1–24 (2008).

60.

Brown, M.E., Lynn-Jones, S.M., Miller, S.E.: Debating the democratic peace. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (1996).

61.

Cowhey, P.F.: Domestic Institutions and the Credibility of International Commitments: Japan and the United States. International Organization. 47, 299–326 (1993).

62.

Oakes, A.: Diversionary war: domestic unrest and international conflict. Stanford Security Studies, an imprint of Stanford University Press, Stanford, California (2012).

63.

Strong, J.: Why Parliament Now Decides on War: Tracing the Growth of the Parliamentary Prerogative through Syria, Libya and Iraq. The British Journal of Politics & International Relations. (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-856X.12055.

64.

Bennett, D.S., Nordstrom, T.: Foreign Policy Substitutability and Internal Economic Problems in Enduring Rivalries. The Journal of Conflict Resolution. 44, 33–61 (2000).

65.

Leeds, B.A., Davis, D.R.: Domestic Political Vulnerability and International Disputes. The Journal of Conflict Resolution. 41, 814–834 (1997).

66.

Richards, D., Morgan, T.C., Wilson, R.K., Schwebach, V.L., Young, G.D.: Good Times, Bad Times, and the Diversionary Use of Force: A Tale of Some Not-So-Free Agents. Journal of Conflict Resolution. 37, 504–535 (1993). https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002793037003005.

67.

Mor, B.D.: Conflict in world politics: advances in the study of crisis, war and peace. Macmillan, Basingstoke (1998).

68.

Gelpi, C.: Democratic Diversions: Governmental Structure and the Externalization of Domestic Conflict. The Journal of Conflict Resolution. 41, 255–282 (1997).

69.

Sprecher, C., DeRouen, K.: The Domestic Determinants of Foreign Policy Behavior in Middle Eastern Enduring Rivals, 1948-1998. Foreign Policy Analysis. 1, 121–141 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00006.x.

70.

Ray, J.L.: Democracy and international conflict: an evaluation of the democratic peace proposition. University of South Carolina Press, Columbia, SC (1998).

71.

Cederman, L.-E.: Back to Kant: Reinterpreting the Democratic Peace as a Macrohistorical Learning Process. The American Political Science Review. 95, 15–31 (2001).

72.

Russett, B.M., Oneal, J.R.: Triangulating peace: democracy, interdependence, and international organizations. Norton, New York, NY (2001).

73.

Maoz, Z.: The Controversy over the Democratic Peace: Rearguard Action or Cracks in the Wall? International Security. 22, 162–198 (1997).

74.

Gaubatz, K.T.: Democratic States and Commitment in International Relations. International Organization. 50, 109–139 (1996).

75.

Schweller, R.L.: Domestic Structure and Preventive War: Are Democracies More Pacific? World Politics. 44, 235–269 (1992).

76.

Hill, C.: The changing politics of foreign policy. Palgrave MacMillan, Basingstoke (2003).

77.

Allison, G.T.: Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis. The American Political Science Review. 63, 689–718 (1969).

78.

Narizny, K.: The Political Economy of Alignment: Great Britain's Commitments to Europe, 1905-39. International Security. 27, 184–219 (2003).

79.

Marsh, K.: Obama's Surge: A Bureaucratic Politics Analysis of the Decision to Order a Troop Surge in the Afghanistan War. Foreign Policy Analysis. 10, 265–288 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/fpa.12000.

80.

Sinno, A.H.: Organizations at war in Afghanistan and beyond. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (2008).

81.

Solingen, E.: Domestic Coalitions, Internationalization, and War: Then and Now. International Security. 39, 44–70.

82.

Levy, J.S.: Organizational Routines and the Causes of War. International Studies Quarterly. 30, 193–222 (1986).

83.

Hudson, V.M.: Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory. Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham (2014).

84.

Allison, G.T., Zelikow, P.: Essence of decision: explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis. Longman, New York, N.Y. (1999).

85.

Bendor, J., Hammond, T.H.: Rethinking Allison's Models. The American Political Science Review. 86, 301–322 (1992).

86.

Krasner, S.D.: Are Bureaucracies Important? (Or Allison Wonderland). Foreign Policy. 159–179 (1972).

87.

Destler, I.M.: Presidents, bureaucrats, and foreign policy: the politics of organizational reform. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1974).

88.

Halperin, M.H., Clapp, P., Kanter, A.: Bureaucratic politics and foreign policy. The Brookings Institution, Washington (1974).

89.

Kaarbo, J.: Coalition politics and cabinet decision making: a comparative analysis of foreign policy choices. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (2013).

90.

Grodsky, B.: Lessons (Not) Learned: A New Look at Bureaucratic Politics and U.S. Foreign Policy-Making in the Post-Soviet Space. Problems of Post-Communism. 56, 43–57 (2014). https://doi.org/10.2753/PPC1075-8216560204.

91.

Welch, D.A.: The Organizational Process and Bureaucratic Politics Paradigms: Retrospect and Prospect. International Security. 17, 112–146 (1992).

92.

Smith, S.: Policy Preferences and Bureaucratic Position: The Case of the American Hostage Rescue Mission. International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-). 61, 9–25 (1985).

93.

Snow, D.M., Brown, E.: Puzzle palaces and Foggy Bottom: U.S. foreign and defense policy-making in the 1990s. St. Martin's Press, New York (1994).

94.

Lieberthal, K.G., Lampton, D.M.: Bureaucracy, politics, and decision making in post-Mao China. University of California Press, Berkeley (1992).

95.

Rhodes, E.: Do Bureaucratic Politics Matter?: Some Disconfirming Findings from the Case of the U.S. Navy. World Politics. 47, 1–41 (1994).

96.

Valenta, J.: The Bureaucratic Politics Paradigm and the Soviet Invasion of Czechoslovakia.

Political Science Quarterly. 94, 55–76 (1979).

97.

Brooks, S.G.: Economic Actors' Lobbying Influence on the Prospects for War and Peace. International Organization. 67, 863–888 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818313000283.

98.

Abelson, D.E.: Old world, new world: the evolution and influence of foreign affairs think-tanks. International Affairs. 90, 125–142 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12099.

99.

Alden, C., Aran, A.: Foreign policy analysis: new approaches. Routledge, London (2012).

100.

Vanderbush, W.: Exiles and the Marketing of U.S. Policy toward Cuba and Iraq. Foreign Policy Analysis. 5, 287–306 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2009.00094.x.

101.

Mearsheimer, J., Walt, S.: The Israel Lobby. London Review of Books. 28,.

102.

Trubowitz, P.: Sectionalism and American Foreign Policy: The Political Geography of Consensus and Conflict. International Studies Quarterly. 36, 173–190 (1992).

103.

Hiscox, M.J.: International trade and political conflict: commerce, coalitions, and mobility. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey (2002).

104.

Brawley, M.R.: Factoral or Sectoral Conflict? Partially Mobile Factors and the Politics of Trade in Imperial Germany. International Studies Quarterly. 41, 633–653 (1997).

105.

Milner, H.: Trading Places: Industries for Free Trade. World Politics. 40, 350–376 (1988).

106.

Rogowski, R.: Political Cleavages and Changing Exposure to Trade. The American Political Science Review. 81, 1121–1137 (1987).

107.

Fearon, J.D.: Domestic politics, foreign policy, and theories of international relations. Annual Review of Political Science. 1, 289–313 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.1.1.289.

108.

Sears, D.O., Huddy, L., Levy, J.S.: The Oxford handbook of political psychology. Oxford University Press, New York (2013).

109.

Janis, I.L.: Groupthink: psychological studies of policy decisions and fiascoes. Wadsworth Cengage Learning, Boston (1982).

110.

Levy, J.S.: Prospect Theory, Rational Choice, and International Relations. International Studies Quarterly. 41, 87–112 (1997).

111.

Mercer, J.: Rationality and Psychology in International Politics. International Organization. 59, (2005). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818305050058.

112.

Hermann, M.G., Preston, T., Korany, B., Shaw, T.M.: Who Leads Matters: The Effects of Powerful Individuals. International Studies Review. 3, 83–131 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1111/1521-9488.00235.

113.

Kaarbo, J.: Prime Minister Leadership Styles in Foreign Policy Decision-Making: A Framework for Research. Political Psychology. 18, 553–581 (1997).

114.

Hermann, M.G., Hermann, C.F.: Who Makes Foreign Policy Decisions and How: An Empirical Inquiry. International Studies Quarterly. 33, 361–387 (1989).

115.

Hermann, M.G., Preston, T.: Presidents, Advisers, and Foreign Policy: The Effect of Leadership Style on Executive Arrangements. Political Psychology. 15, 75–96 (1994).

116.

James, P., Zhang, E.: Chinese Choices: A Poliheuristic Analysis of Foreign Policy Crises, 1950-1996. Foreign Policy Analysis. 1, 31–54 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00002.x.

117.

Mintz, A.: How Do Leaders Make Decisions?: A Poliheuristic Perspective. Journal of Conflict Resolution. 48, 3–13 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002703261056.

118.

Goldgeier, J.M., Tetlock, P.E.: Psychology and international relations theory. Annual Review

of Political Science. 4, 67–92 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.67.

119.

Jervis, R.: Hypotheses on Misperception. World Politics. 20, 454–479 (1968).

120.

Jervis, R.: Deterrence and Perception. International Security. 7, 3–30 (1983).

121.

George, A.L.: The 'Operational Code': A Neglected Approach to the Study of Political Leaders and Decision-Making. International Studies Quarterly. 13, 190–222 (1969).

122.

O'Neill, B.: Risk Aversion in International Relations Theory. International Studies Quarterly. 45, 617–640 (2001).

123.

Blanton, S.L.: Images in Conflict: The Case of Ronald Reagan and El Salvador. International Studies Quarterly. 40, 23–44 (1996).

124.

Smith, S., Hadfield, A., Dunne, T. eds: Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

125.

Hymans, J.E.C.: The Psychology of Nuclear Proliferation: Identity, Emotions and Foreign Policy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006).

126.

Hall, T.H.: We will not Swallow This Bitter Fruit: Theorizing a Diplomacy of Anger. Security Studies. 20, 521–555 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1080/09636412.2011.625771.

127.

Holmes, M.: Believing This and Alieving That: Theorizing Affect and Intuitions in International Politics. International Studies Quarterly. n/a-n/a (2015). https://doi.org/10.1111/isqu.12201.

128.

Dyson, S.B.: Cognitive Style and Foreign Policy: Margaret Thatcher's Black-and-White Thinking. International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique. 30, 33–48 (2009).

129.

Jonathan Mercer: Emotional Beliefs. International Organization. 64, (2010). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818309990221.

130.

Mercer, J.: Emotion and Strategy in the Korean War. International Organization. 67, 221–252 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818313000015.

131.

Hibbing, J.R.: Ten Misconceptions Concerning Neurobiology and Politics. Perspectives on Politics. 11, 475–489 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592713000923.

132.

Holmes, M.: The Force of Face-to-Face Diplomacy: Mirror Neurons and the Problem of Intentions. International Organization. 67, 829–861 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818313000234.

133.

Horowitz, M.C., Stam, A.C.: How Prior Military Experience Influences the Future Militarized Behavior of Leaders. International Organization. 68, 527–559 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818314000046.

134.

Rose McDermott: Political psychology in international relations. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, Mich (2004).

135.

McDermott, R.: The Biological Bases for Aggressiveness and Nonaggressiveness in Presidents. Foreign Policy Analysis. 10, 313–327 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/fpa.12009.

136.

Forsberg, T.: Crisis Decision-Making in Finland: Cognition, Institutions and Rationality. Cooperation and Conflict. 41, 235–260 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1177/0010836706066558.

137.

Berejikian, J.D.: Model Building with Prospect Theory: A Cognitive Approach to International Relations. Political Psychology. 23, 759–786 (2002).

138.

Robert M. Entman: Projections of power: framing news, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois (2004).

139.

Herman, E.S., Chomsky, N.: Manufacturing consent: the political economy of the mass media. Vintage, London (1994).

140.

Robinson, P., Goddard, P., Parry, K., Murray, C., Taylor, P.M., Askews & Holts Library Services: Pockets of resistance: British news media, war and theory in the 2003 invasion of Iraq. Manchester University Press, Manchester (2010).

141.

Gribble, R., Wessley, S., Klein, S., Alexander, D.A., Dandeker, C., Fear, N.T.: British Public Opinion after a Decade of War: Attitudes to Iraq and Afghanistan. Politics. 35, 128–150 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9256.12073.

142.

Robinson, P., Seib, P.M., Fröhlich, R. eds: Routledge handbook of media, conflict and security. Routledge, New York, NY (2016).

143.

Eytan, G., Robinson, P., Miklian, J., Gabrielsen Jumbert, M.: Moving Media and Conflict Studies beyond the CNN Effect. Review of International Studies. (2016). https://doi.org/10.1017/S026021051600005X.

144.

Herring, E., Robinson, P.: Report X Marks the Spot: The British Government's Deceptive Dossier on Iraq and WMD. Political Science Quarterly. 129, 551–584 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1002/polq.12252.

145.

Hildebrandt, T., Hillebrecht, C., Holm, P.M., Pevehouse, J.: The Domestic Politics of Humanitarian Intervention: Public Opinion, Partisanship, and Ideology. Foreign Policy Analysis. 9, 243–266 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2012.00189.x.

146.

Dixon, P.: Britain's 'Vietnam Syndrome'? Public Opinion and British Military Intervention from Palestine to Yugoslavia. Review of International Studies. 26, 99–121 (2000).

147.

Ole R. Holsti: Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Challenges to the Almond-Lippmann Consensus Mershon Series: Research Programs and Debates. International Studies Quarterly. 36, 439–466 (1992).

148.

Gilboa, E.: Global Television News and Foreign Policy: Debating the CNN Effect. International Studies Perspectives. 6, 325–341 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-3577.2005.00211.x.

149.

Foyle, D.C.: Leading the Public To War? The Influence of American Public Opinion on the Bush Administration's Decision to go to War in Iraq. International Journal of Public Opinion Research. 16, 269–294 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edh025.

150.

Sobel, R.: The impact of public opinion on U.S. foreign policy since Vietnam: constraining the colossus. Oxford University Press, New York (2001).

151.

Bjereld, U., Ekengren, A.-M.: Foreign Policy Dimensions: A Comparison Between the United States and Sweden. International Studies Quarterly. 43, 503–518 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1111/0020-8833.00132.

152.

Hurwitz, J., Peffley, M., Seligson, M.A.: Foreign Policy Belief Systems in Comparative Perspective: The United States and Costa Rica. International Studies Quarterly. 37, 245–270 (1993).

153.

Baum, M.A.: Sex, Lies, and War: How Soft News Brings Foreign Policy to the Inattentive Public. The American Political Science Review. 96, 91–109 (2002).

154.

Kull, S., Ramsay, C., Lewis, E.: Misperceptions, the Media, and the Iraq War. Political Science Quarterly. 118, 569–598 (2004).

155.

Foyle, D.C.: Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Elite Beliefs as a Mediating Variable. International Studies Quarterly. 41, 141–170 (1997). https://doi.org/10.1111/0020-8833.00036.

156.

Kaufmann, C.: Threat Inflation and the Failure of the Marketplace of Ideas: The Selling of the Iraq War. International Security. 29, 5–48 (2004).

157.

Gaubatz, K.T.: Intervention and Intransitivity: Public Opinion, Social Choice, and the Use of Military Force Abroad. World Politics. 47, 534–554 (1995).

158.

Gowa, J.: Politics at the Water's Edge: Parties, Voters, and the Use of Force Abroad. International Organization. 52, 307–324 (1998).

159.

Herring, E., Robinson, P.: Too Polemical or Too Critical? Chomsky on the Study of the News Media and US Foreign Policy. Review of International Studies. 29, 553–568 (2003).

160.

Hill, K.A.: The Domestic Sources of Foreign Policymaking: Congressional Voting and American Mass Attitudes toward South Africa. International Studies Quarterly. 37, 195–214 (1993).

161.

Hixson, W.L.: Parting the curtain: propaganda, culture, and the Cold War, 1945-61. Macmillan Press, Houndmills (1998).

162.

Jentleson, B.W.: The Pretty Prudent Public: Post Post-Vietnam American Opinion on the Use of Military Force. International Studies Quarterly. 36, 49–73 (1992).

163.

Jentleson, B.W., Britton, R.L.: Still Pretty Prudent: Post-Cold War American Public Opinion on the Use of Military Force. The Journal of Conflict Resolution. 42, 395–417 (1998).

164.

Marsh, C., Fraser, C.: Public opinion and nuclear weapons. Macmillan, Basingstoke, Hampshire (1989).

165.

Mazrui, A.A.: Between the Crescent and the Star-Spangled Banner: American Muslims and US Foreign Policy. International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-). 72, 493–506 (1996).

166.

Houghton, D.P.: Reinvigorating the Study of Foreign Policy Decision Making: Toward a Constructivist Approach. Foreign Policy Analysis. 3, 24–45 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00040.x.

167.

Hansen, L.: Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war. Routledge, London (2006).

168.

Gaskarth, J.: Discourses and Ethics: The Social Construction of British Foreign Policy. Foreign Policy Analysis. 2, 325–341 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2006.00034.x.

169.

Campbell, D., Ebooks Corporation Limited: Writing security: United States foreign policy and the politics of identity. Manchester University Press, Manchester (1998).

170.

Doty, R.L.: Imperial encounters: the politics of representation in North-South relations. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis (1996).

171.

Sjöstedt, R.: The Discursive Origins of a Doctrine. Foreign Policy Analysis. 3, 233–254 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00049.x.

172.

Buzan, B., Wæver, O., de Wilde, J.: Security: a new framework for analysis. Lynne Rienner Pub, Boulder, Colo (1998).

173.

Larsen, H.: Foreign policy and discourse analysis: France, Britain, and Europe. Routledge/LSE, London (1997).

174.

Farrell, T.: Constructivist Security Studies: Portrait of a Research Program. International Studies Review. 4, 49–72 (2002).

175.

Hopf, T.: The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. International Security. 23, 171–200 (1998).

176.

Finnemore, M.: The purpose of intervention: changing beliefs about the use of force. Cornell University Press, Ithaca (2003).

177.

Finnemore, M.: National interests in international society. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (1996).

178.

Wehner, L.E., Thies, C.G.: Role Theory, Narratives, and Interpretation: The Domestic Contestation of Roles. International Studies Review. 16, 411–436 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/misr.12149.

179.

Moravcsik, A.: 'Is something rotten in the state of Denmark?' Constructivism and European integration. Journal of European Public Policy. 6, 669–681 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1080/135017699343531.

180.

Checkel, J.T., Moravcsik, A.: A Constructivist Research Program in EU Studies? European Union Politics. 2, 219–249 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116501002002004.

181.

Duffield, J.S.: Political Culture and State Behavior: Why Germany Confounds Neorealism. International Organization. 53, 765–803 (1999).

182.

Agathangelou, A.M., Ling, L.H.M.: Power, Borders, Security, Wealth: Lessons of Violence and Desire from September 11. International Studies Quarterly. 48, 517–538 (2004).

183.

Barnett, M.: Culture, Strategy and Foreign Policy Change: Israel's Road to Oslo. European Journal of International Relations. 5, 5–36 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066199005001001.

184.

Daddow, O.: Constructing a 'great' role for Britain in an age of austerity: Interpreting coalition foreign policy, 2010-2015. International Relations. 29, 303–318 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117815600931.

185.

Fisher, K.: Security, identity and British counterterrorism policy. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke, Hampshire (2015).

186.

Goddard, S.E., Krebs, R.R.: Rhetoric, Legitimation, and Grand Strategy. Security Studies. 24, 5–36 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1080/09636412.2014.1001198.

187.

Jelena Subotić: Narrative, Ontological Security, and Foreign Policy Change. Foreign Policy Analysis. 12, 610–627 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1111/fpa.12089.

188.

Bevir, M., Daddow, O.: Interpreting foreign policy: National, comparative and regional studies. International Relations. 29, 273–287 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117815600930.

189.

Solomon, T.: The politics of subjectivity in American foreign policy discourses. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (2015).

190.

McCourt, D.M.: Britain and world power since 1945: constructing a nation's role in international politics. The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (2014).

191.

Hayes, J.: Constructing national security: U.S. relations with China and India. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2015).

192.

Krebs, R.R.: Narrative and the making of US national security. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom (2015).

193.

Kettell, S.: Dilemmas of Discourse: Legitimising Britain's War on Terror. The British Journal of Politics & International Relations. 15, 263–279 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2012.00531.x.

194.

Goddard, S.E.: The Rhetoric of Appeasement: Hitler's Legitimation and British Foreign Policy, 1938–39. Security Studies. 24, 95–130 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1080/09636412.2015.1001216.

195.

Krebs, R.R.: Tell Me a Story: FDR, Narrative, and the Making of the Second World War. Security Studies. 24, 131–170 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1080/09636412.2015.1001215.

196.

Krebs, R.R.: How Dominant Narratives Rise and Fall: Military Conflict, Politics, and the Cold War Consensus. International Organization. 69, 809–845 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818315000181.

197.

Krebs, R.R., Lobasz, J.K.: Fixing the Meaning of 9/11: Hegemony, Coercion, and the Road to War in Iraq. Security Studies. 16, 409–451 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1080/09636410701547881.

198.

de Orellana, P.: Struggles over identity in diplomacy: 'Commie terrorists' contra 'imperialists' in Western Sahara. International Relations. 29, 477–499 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117815571620.

199.

Ostermann, F.: The end of ambivalence and the triumph of pragmatism? Franco-British defence cooperation and European and Atlantic defence policy traditions. International Relations. 29, 334–347 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117815600937.

200.

Holland, J.: Selling the War on Terror: foreign policy discourses after 9/11. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxfordshire (2013).

201.

Schmidt, B.C., Williams, M.C.: The Bush Doctrine and the Iraq War: Neoconservatives Versus Realists. Security Studies. 17, 191–220 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1080/09636410802098990.

202.

Jackson, P.T.: Civilizing the enemy: German reconstruction and the invention of the West. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (2006).

203.

Nyman, J.: 'Red Storm Ahead': Securitisation of Energy in US-China Relations. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 43, 43–65 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829814525495.

204.

Croft, S.: Culture, crisis and America's War on Terror. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006).

205.

Debrix, F.: Tabloid terror: war, culture, and geopolitics. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon (2008).

206.

Jackson, R.: Writing the war on terrorism: language, politics, and counter-terrorism. Manchester University Press, Manchester (2005).

207.

Nabers, D.: Filling the Void of Meaning: Identity Construction in U.S. Foreign Policy After September 11, 2001. Foreign Policy Analysis. 5, 191–214 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-8594.2009.00089.x.

208.

Reus-Smit, C., Snidal, D.: The Oxford handbook of international relations. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

209.

Smith, K.E., Lights, M.: Ethics and foreign policy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001).

210.

Bulley, D.: The politics of ethical foreign policy: A responsibility to protect whom? European Journal of International Relations. 16, 441–461 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066109350051.

211.

Kennan, G.F.: Morality and Foreign Policy. Foreign Affairs. 64, (1985).

212.

Busby, J.W.: Moral movements and foreign policy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

213.

Lawler, P.: The Good State: In Praise of 'Classical' Internationalism. Review of International Studies. 31, 427–449 (2005).

214.

Kuperman, A.J.: The Moral Hazard of Humanitarian Intervention: Lessons from the Balkans. International Studies Quarterly. 52, 49–80 (2008).

215.

Beitz, C.R.: Political theory and international relations. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1999).

216.

Walzer, M.: Just and unjust wars: a moral argument with historical illustrations. Basic Books, New York (2006).

217.

Abrahamsen, R., Williams, P.: Ethics and Foreign Policy: the Antinomies of New Labour's 'Third Way' in Sub-Saharan Africa. Political Studies. 49, 249–264 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9248.00312.

218.

Little, R., Wickham-Jones, M.: New Labour's foreign policy: a new moral crusade?

Manchester University Press, Manchester (2000).

219.

Fierke, K.M.: Who is my neighbour? Memories of the Holocaust/al Nakba and a global ethic of care. European Journal of International Relations. 20, 787–809 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066113497490.

220.

Baehr, P.R., Castermans-Holleman, M.C.: The role of human rights in foreign policy. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke, Hampshire (2004).

221.

Buckler, S.: Dirty hands: the problem of political morality. Avebury, Aldershot, Hants (1993).

222.

Campbell, D.: National deconstruction: violence, identity, and justice in Bosnia. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, MN (1998).

223.

Cole, P.: Philosophies of exclusion: liberal political theory and immigration. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh (2000).

224.

Benhabib, S.: The rights of others: aliens, residents and citizens. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).

225.

Betts, R.K.: The Delusion of Impartial Intervention. Foreign Affairs. 73,.

226.

Beardsworth, R.: Cosmopolitanism and international relations theory. Polity Press, Cambridge (2011).

227.

Weber, C.: Imagining America at war: morality, politics, and film. Routledge, London, New York (2006).

228.

Baylis, J., Smith, S., Owens, P.: The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

229.

Chollet, D., Lindberg, T.: A moral core for U.S. foreign policy. Policy Review. 2007, (2007).

230.

Mapel, D.R., Nardin, T.: Traditions of international ethics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992).