

Politics of Foreign Policy

[View Online](#)

-
1.
International Studies Association. Foreign policy analysis. 2005; Available from:
<https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2244344>

 2.
Royal Institute of International Affairs, EBSCO Publishing (Firm), JSTOR (Organization).
International affairs. 1944; Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200321>

 3.
Political Studies Association of the United Kingdom, EBSCO Publishing (Firm). British journal
of politics and international relations. 1999; Available from:
<https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2196849>

 4.
European journal of international relations. Available from:
<https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2199017>

 5.
Cambridge review of international affairs. Available from:
<https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2228528>

 - 6.

British International Studies Association, Cambridge University Press. Review of international studies. 1981; Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2204860>

7.

Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, JSTOR (Organization), M.I.T. Press, Project MUSE., Thomson Gale (Firm). International security. Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200670>

8.

Security studies. Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2229313>

9.

International Institute for Strategic Studies, Oxford University Press. Survival. Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2205588>

10.

Contemporary politics. Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2228615>

11.

LexisNexis (Firm). Politics. 2008; Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b3072814>

12.

International politics. 1996;

13.

International feminist journal of politics. Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200360>

14.

International Studies Association, JSTOR (Organization). International studies quarterly. 1967; Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200679>

15.

International Studies Association, JSTOR (Organization). International studies review. Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2200680>

16.

International studies perspectives. Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2928675>

17.

London School of Economics and Political Science. Millennium: journal of international studies. Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2289212>

18.

American Political Science Association, JSTOR (Organization). Perspectives on politics. 2003; Available from: <https://eleanor.lib.gla.ac.uk/record=b2203859>

19.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T, editors. Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

20.

Juliet Kaarbo. Foreign Policy Analysis in the Twenty-First Century: Back to Comparison, Forward to Identity and Ideas. International Studies Review. 2003 Jun;5(2):155–202.

21.

Hudson VM. Foreign Policy Analysis: Actor-Specific Theory and the Ground of International

Relations. Foreign Policy Analysis. 2005 Feb 4;1(1):1–30.

22.

Walter Carlsnaes. The Agency-Structure Problem in Foreign Policy Analysis. International Studies Quarterly [Internet]. 1992;36(3):245–70. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600772>

23.

Snyder J. One World, Rival Theories. Foreign Policy [Internet]. 2004;(145):52–62. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4152944>

24.

Garrison JA. Foreign Policy Analysis in 20/20: A Symposium. International Studies Review. 2003;5(2):155–202.

25.

Handbook of international relations. 2nd ed. Los Angeles, Calif: SAGE; 2013.

26.

Hudson VM, Vore CS. Foreign Policy Analysis Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow. Mershon International Studies Review [Internet]. 1995;39(2):209–38. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/222751>

27.

Mintz A, DeRouen KR. Understanding foreign policy decision making. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

28.

Walker SG, Malici A, Schafer M. Rethinking foreign policy analysis: states, leaders, and the microfoundations of behavioral international relations. New York, N.Y.: Routledge; 2011.

29.

Arnold Wolfers. 'National Security' as an Ambiguous Symbol. *Political Science Quarterly* [Internet]. 1952;67(4):481–502. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2145138>

30.

Slater J. Ideology vs. The national interest: Bush, Sharon, and U.S. policy in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. *Security Studies*. 2002 Oct 1;12(1):164–206.

31.

Williams MC. What is the National Interest? The Neoconservative Challenge in IR Theory. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2005;11(3):307–37.

32.

Weldes J. Constructing National Interests. *European Journal of International Relations*. 1996;2(3):275–318.

33.

Burchill S. *The national interest in international relations theory*. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2005.

34.

Chandler D. Culture Wars and International Intervention: An 'Inside/Out' View of the Decline of National Interest. *International politics*. 2004;41:354–74.

35.

Gilmore J. The uncertain merger of values and interests in UK foreign policy. *International Affairs*. 2014 May;90(3):541–57.

36.

Morgenthau HJ. In defense of the national interest: a critical examination of American foreign policy. Washington, D.C.: University Press of America; 1982.

37.

Beard CA, Smith GHE. The idea of national interest: an analytical study in American foreign policy. New York: Macmillan; 1934.

38.

Clinton WD. The two faces of national interest. Vol. Series: Political traditions in foreign policy series. Baton Rouge, La: Louisiana State University Press; 1994.

39.

Edmunds T. Complexity, strategy and the national interest. International Affairs. 2014 May;90(3):525–39.

40.

Gaskarth J. Strategizing Britain's role in the world. International Affairs. 2014 May;90(3):559–81.

41.

Morris J. How Great is Britain? Power, Responsibility and Britain's Future Global Role. The British Journal of Politics & International Relations. 2011 Aug;13(3):326–47.

42.

Clinton WD. The National Interest: Normative Foundations. The Review of Politics. 1986 Sep;48(04).

43.

Cornish P, Dorman A. National defence in the age of austerity. International Affairs. 2009 Jul;85(4):733–53.

44.

Cornish P, Dorman AM. Smart muddling through: rethinking UK national strategy beyond Afghanistan. *International Affairs*. 2012 Mar;88(2):213–22.

45.

Edmunds T. British civil-military relations and the problem of risk. *International Affairs*. 2012 Mar;88(2):265–82.

46.

Fitz-Gerald AM. A UK National Security Strategy: Institutional and Cultural Challenges. *Defence Studies*. 2008 Mar;8(1):4–25.

47.

Gallie WB. Essentially Contested Concepts. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* [Internet]. 1956;56:167–98. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4544562>

48.

Finnemore M. National interests in international society. Vol. Series: Cornell studies in political economy. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press; 1996.

49.

Hollis M, Smith S. Roles and Reasons in Foreign Policy Decision Making. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. 1986;16(3):269–86. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/193813>

50.

Lake DA, Powell R. Strategic choice and international relations. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1999.

51.

Fearon JD. Rationalist Explanations for War. *International Organization* [Internet]. 1995;49(3):379–414. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2706903>

52.

Gartzke E. War Is in the Error Term. *International Organization* [Internet]. 1999;53(3):567–87. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2601290>

53.

Kaarbo J, Cantir C. Role conflict in recent wars: Danish and Dutch debates over Iraq and Afghanistan. *Cooperation and Conflict*. 2013 Dec 1;48(4):465–83.

54.

Martin LL. The Contributions of Rational Choice: A Defense of Pluralism. *International Security*. 1999 Oct;24(2):74–83.

55.

Nincic M. The National Interest and its Interpretation. *The Review of Politics*. 1999 Dec;61(01).

56.

Risse-Kappen T. Public Opinion, Domestic Structure, and Foreign Policy in Liberal Democracies. *World Politics* [Internet]. 1991;43(4):479–512. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010534>

57.

Oakes A. Diversionary War and Argentina's Invasion of the Falkland Islands. *Security Studies*. 2006 Sep;15(3):431–63.

58.

Maoz Z, Russett B. Normative and Structural Causes of Democratic Peace, 1946-1986. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 1993;87(3):624–38. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2938740>

59.

Levy JS. Preventive War and Democratic Politics. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 2008;52(1):1–24. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/29734222>

60.

Brown ME, Lynn-Jones SM, Miller SE. *Debating the democratic peace*. Vol. Series: International security readers. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 1996.

61.

Cowhey PF. Domestic Institutions and the Credibility of International Commitments: Japan and the United States. *International Organization* [Internet]. 1993;47(2):299–326. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706892>

62.

Oakes A. *Diversionary war: domestic unrest and international conflict*. Stanford, California: Stanford Security Studies, an imprint of Stanford University Press; 2012.

63.

Strong J. Why Parliament Now Decides on War: Tracing the Growth of the Parliamentary Prerogative through Syria, Libya and Iraq. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*. 2014 Jul;

64.

Bennett DS, Nordstrom T. Foreign Policy Substitutability and Internal Economic Problems in Enduring Rivalries. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution* [Internet]. 2000;44(1):33–61. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/174621>

65.

Leeds BA, Davis DR. Domestic Political Vulnerability and International Disputes. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution* [Internet]. 1997;41(6):814–34. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/174432>

66.

Richards D, Morgan TC, Wilson RK, Schwebach VL, Young GD. Good Times, Bad Times, and the Diversionary Use of Force: A Tale of Some Not-So-Free Agents. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 1993 Sep 1;37(3):504–35.

67.

Mor BD. *Conflict in world politics: advances in the study of crisis, war and peace*. Harvey FP, editor. Vol. Series: *Advances in political science*. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 1998.

68.

Gelpi C. Democratic Diversions: Governmental Structure and the Externalization of Domestic Conflict. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution* [Internet]. 1997;41(2):255–82. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/174373>

69.

Sprecher C, DeRouen K. The Domestic Determinants of Foreign Policy Behavior in Middle Eastern Enduring Rivals, 1948-1998. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2005 Feb 4;1(1):121–41.

70.

Ray JL. *Democracy and international conflict: an evaluation of the democratic peace proposition*. 1st pbk. ed. Vol. Series: *Studies in international relations*. Columbia, SC: University of South Carolina Press; 1998.

71.

Cederman LE. Back to Kant: Reinterpreting the Democratic Peace as a Macrohistorical Learning Process. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 2001;95(1):15–31. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3117626>

72.

Russett BM, Oneal JR. Triangulating peace: democracy, interdependence, and international organizations. 1st ed. New York, NY: Norton; 2001.

73.

Maoz Z. The Controversy over the Democratic Peace: Rearguard Action or Cracks in the Wall? *International Security* [Internet]. 1997;22(1):162–98. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539333>

74.

Gaubatz KT. Democratic States and Commitment in International Relations. *International Organization* [Internet]. 1996;50(1):109–39. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2707000>

75.

Schweller RL. Domestic Structure and Preventive War: Are Democracies More Pacific? *World Politics* [Internet]. 1992;44(2):235–69. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010448>

76.

Hill C. The changing politics of foreign policy. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

77.

Allison GT. Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 1969;63(3):689–718. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1954423>

78.

Narizny K. The Political Economy of Alignment: Great Britain's Commitments to Europe,

1905-39. *International Security* [Internet]. 2003;27(4):184–219. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4137608>

79.

Marsh K. Obama's Surge: A Bureaucratic Politics Analysis of the Decision to Order a Troop Surge in the Afghanistan War. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014 Jul;10(3):265–88.

80.

Sinno AH. *Organizations at war in Afghanistan and beyond*. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press; 2008.

81.

Solingen E. Domestic Coalitions, Internationalization, and War: Then and Now. *International Security* [Internet]. 39(1):44–70. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/ins/summary/v039/39.1.solingen.html>

82.

Levy JS. Organizational Routines and the Causes of War. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 1986;30(2):193–222. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600676>

83.

Hudson VM. *Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory*. Second edition. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield; 2014.

84.

Allison GT, Zelikow P. *Essence of decision: explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis*. 2nd ed. New York, N.Y.: Longman; 1999.

85.

Bendor J, Hammond TH. Rethinking Allison's Models. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 1992;86(2):301-22. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1964222>

86.

Krasner SD. Are Bureaucracies Important? (Or Allison Wonderland). *Foreign Policy* [Internet]. 1972;(7):159-79. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1147761>

87.

Destler IM. Presidents, bureaucrats, and foreign policy: the politics of organizational reform. 1st Princeton pbk. ed. Vol. Series: Princeton paperbacks. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1974.

88.

Halperin MH, Clapp P, Kanter A. Bureaucratic politics and foreign policy. Washington: The Brookings Institution; 1974.

89.

Kaarbo J. Coalition politics and cabinet decision making: a comparative analysis of foreign policy choices. First paperback edition. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press; 2013.

90.

Grodsky B. Lessons (Not) Learned: A New Look at Bureaucratic Politics and U.S. Foreign Policy-Making in the Post-Soviet Space. *Problems of Post-Communism*. 2014 Dec 8;56(2):43-57.

91.

Welch DA. The Organizational Process and Bureaucratic Politics Paradigms: Retrospect and Prospect. *International Security* [Internet]. 1992;17(2):112-46. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539170>

92.

Smith S. Policy Preferences and Bureaucratic Position: The Case of the American Hostage Rescue Mission. *International Affairs* (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-) [Internet]. 1985;61(1):9-25. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2619777>

93.

Snow DM, Brown E. *Puzzle palaces and Foggy Bottom: U.S. foreign and defense policy-making in the 1990s*. New York: St. Martin's Press; 1994.

94.

Lieberthal KG, Lampton DM. *Bureaucracy, politics, and decision making in post-Mao China*. Vol. Series: Studies on China. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1992.

95.

Rhodes E. Do Bureaucratic Politics Matter?: Some Disconfirming Findings from the Case of the U.S. Navy. *World Politics* [Internet]. 1994;47(1):1-41. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2950678>

96.

Valenta J. The Bureaucratic Politics Paradigm and the Soviet Invasion of Czechoslovakia. *Political Science Quarterly* [Internet]. 1979;94(1):55-76. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2150156>

97.

Brooks SG. Economic Actors' Lobbying Influence on the Prospects for War and Peace. *International Organization*. 2013 Oct;67(04):863-88.

98.

Abelson DE. Old world, new world: the evolution and influence of foreign affairs think-tanks. *International Affairs*. 2014 Jan;90(1):125-42.

99.

Alden C, Aran A. Foreign policy analysis: new approaches. London: Routledge; 2012.

100.

Vanderbush W. Exiles and the Marketing of U.S. Policy toward Cuba and Iraq. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2009 Jul;5(3):287–306.

101.

Mearsheimer J, Walt S. The Israel Lobby. *London Review of Books* [Internet]. 28(6). Available from: <https://www.lrb.co.uk/the-paper/v28/n06/john-mearsheimer/the-israel-lobby>

102.

Trubowitz P. Sectionalism and American Foreign Policy: The Political Geography of Consensus and Conflict. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 1992;36(2):173–90. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600880>

103.

Hiscox MJ. International trade and political conflict: commerce, coalitions, and mobility. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press; 2002.

104.

Brawley MR. Factoral or Sectoral Conflict? Partially Mobile Factors and the Politics of Trade in Imperial Germany. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 1997;41(4):633–53. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600856>

105.

Milner H. Trading Places: Industries for Free Trade. *World Politics* [Internet]. 1988;40(3):350–76. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010217>

106.

Rogowski R. Political Cleavages and Changing Exposure to Trade. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 1987;81(4):1121–37. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/1962581>

107.

Fearon JD. Domestic politics, foreign policy, and theories of international relations. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 1998 Jun;1(1):289–313.

108.

Sears DO, Huddy L, Levy JS. *The Oxford handbook of political psychology* [Internet]. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2013. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhpb/9780199760107.01.0001>

109.

Janis IL. *Groupthink: psychological studies of policy decisions and fiascoes*. Second edition. Boston: Wadsworth Cengage Learning; 1982.

110.

Levy JS. Prospect Theory, Rational Choice, and International Relations. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 1997;41(1):87–112. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600908>

111.

Mercer J. Rationality and Psychology in International Politics. *International Organization*. 2005 Jan;59(01).

112.

Hermann MG, Preston T, Korany B, Shaw TM. Who Leads Matters: The Effects of Powerful Individuals. *International Studies Review*. 2001 Sep;3(2):83–131.

113.

Kaarbo J. Prime Minister Leadership Styles in Foreign Policy Decision-Making: A Framework for Research. *Political Psychology* [Internet]. 1997;18(3):553–81. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3792101>

114.

Hermann MG, Hermann CF. Who Makes Foreign Policy Decisions and How: An Empirical Inquiry. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 1989;33(4):361–87. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600518>

115.

Hermann MG, Preston T. Presidents, Advisers, and Foreign Policy: The Effect of Leadership Style on Executive Arrangements. *Political Psychology* [Internet]. 1994;15(1):75–96. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3791440>

116.

James P, Zhang E. Chinese Choices: A Poliheuristic Analysis of Foreign Policy Crises, 1950-1996. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2005 Feb 4;1(1):31–54.

117.

Mintz A. How Do Leaders Make Decisions?: A Poliheuristic Perspective. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 2004 Feb 1;48(1):3–13.

118.

Goldgeier JM, Tetlock PE. Psychology and international relations theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2001 Jun;4(1):67–92.

119.

Jervis R. Hypotheses on Misperception. *World Politics* [Internet]. 1968;20(3):454–79. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2009777>

120.

Jervis R. Deterrence and Perception. *International Security* [Internet]. 1983;7(3):3–30. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2538549>

121.

George AL. The 'Operational Code': A Neglected Approach to the Study of Political Leaders and Decision-Making. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 1969;13(2):190–222. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3013944>

122.

O'Neill B. Risk Aversion in International Relations Theory. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 2001;45(4):617–40. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3096063>

123.

Blanton SL. Images in Conflict: The Case of Ronald Reagan and El Salvador. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 1996;40(1):23–44. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600930>

124.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T, editors. *Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases*. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

125.

Hymans JEC. *The Psychology of Nuclear Proliferation: Identity, Emotions and Foreign Policy* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491412>

126.

Hall TH. We will not Swallow This Bitter Fruit: Theorizing a Diplomacy of Anger. *Security Studies*. 2011 Oct;20(4):521–55.

127.

Holmes M. Believing This and Alieving That: Theorizing Affect and Intuitions in International Politics. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2015 May;n/a-n/a.

128.

Dyson SB. Cognitive Style and Foreign Policy: Margaret Thatcher's Black-and-White Thinking. *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique* [Internet]. 2009;30(1):33-48. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20445174>

129.

Jonathan Mercer. Emotional Beliefs. *International Organization*. 2010 Jan;64(01).

130.

Mercer J. Emotion and Strategy in the Korean War. *International Organization*. 2013 Apr;67(02):221-52.

131.

Hibbing JR. Ten Misconceptions Concerning Neurobiology and Politics. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2013 Jun;11(02):475-89.

132.

Holmes M. The Force of Face-to-Face Diplomacy: Mirror Neurons and the Problem of Intentions. *International Organization*. 2013 Oct;67(04):829-61.

133.

Horowitz MC, Stam AC. How Prior Military Experience Influences the Future Militarized Behavior of Leaders. *International Organization*. 2014;68(03):527-59.

134.

Rose McDermott. Political psychology in international relations. Vol. Series: Analytical perspectives on politics. Ann Arbor, Mich: University of Michigan Press; 2004.

135.

McDermott R. The Biological Bases for Aggressiveness and Nonaggressiveness in Presidents. Foreign Policy Analysis. 2014 Oct;10(4):313-27.

136.

Forsberg T. Crisis Decision-Making in Finland: Cognition, Institutions and Rationality. Cooperation and Conflict. 2006 Sep 1;41(3):235-60.

137.

Berejikian JD. Model Building with Prospect Theory: A Cognitive Approach to International Relations. Political Psychology [Internet]. 2002;23(4):759-86. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3792366>

138.

Robert M. Entman. Projections of power: framing news, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy [Internet]. Vol. Series: Studies in communication, media, and public opinion. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press; 2004. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780226210735>

139.

Herman ES, Chomsky N. Manufacturing consent: the political economy of the mass media. Vol. Vintage original. London: Vintage; 1994.

140.

Robinson P, Goddard P, Parry K, Murray C, Taylor PM, Askews & Holts Library Services. Pockets of resistance: British news media, war and theory in the 2003 invasion of Iraq [Internet]. Manchester: Manchester University Press; 2010. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781847794727>

141.

Gribble R, Wessley S, Klein S, Alexander DA, Dandeker C, Fear NT. British Public Opinion after a Decade of War: Attitudes to Iraq and Afghanistan. *Politics*. 2015 Jun;35(2):128–50.

142.

Robinson P, Seib PM, Fröhlich R, editors. *Routledge handbook of media, conflict and security*. New York, NY: Routledge; 2016.

143.

Eytan G, Robinson P, Miklian J, Gabrielsen Jumbert M. Moving Media and Conflict Studies beyond the CNN Effect. *Review of International Studies*. 2016;

144.

Herring E, Robinson P. Report X Marks the Spot: The British Government's Deceptive Dossier on Iraq and WMD. *Political Science Quarterly*. 2014 Dec;129(4):551–84.

145.

Hildebrandt T, Hillebrecht C, Holm PM, Pevehouse J. The Domestic Politics of Humanitarian Intervention: Public Opinion, Partisanship, and Ideology. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2013 Jul;9(3):243–66.

146.

Dixon P. Britain's 'Vietnam Syndrome'? Public Opinion and British Military Intervention from Palestine to Yugoslavia. *Review of International Studies* [Internet]. 2000;26(1):99–121. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/20097658>

147.

Ole R. Holsti. *Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Challenges to the Almond-Lippmann Consensus* Merston Series: Research Programs and Debates. *International Studies*

Quarterly [Internet]. 1992;36(4):439–66. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2600734>

148.

Gilboa E. Global Television News and Foreign Policy: Debating the CNN Effect. *International Studies Perspectives*. 2005 Aug;6(3):325–41.

149.

Foyle DC. Leading the Public To War? The Influence of American Public Opinion on the Bush Administration's Decision to go to War in Iraq. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*. 2004 Sep 1;16(3):269–94.

150.

Sobel R. The impact of public opinion on U.S. foreign policy since Vietnam: constraining the colossus. New York: Oxford University Press; 2001.

151.

Bjereld U, Ekengren AM. Foreign Policy Dimensions: A Comparison Between the United States and Sweden. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1999 Sep;43(3):503–18.

152.

Hurwitz J, Peffley M, Seligson MA. Foreign Policy Belief Systems in Comparative Perspective: The United States and Costa Rica. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 1993;37(3):245–70. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600808>

153.

Baum MA. Sex, Lies, and War: How Soft News Brings Foreign Policy to the Inattentive Public. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 2002;96(1):91–109. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3117812>

154.

Kull S, Ramsay C, Lewis E. Misperceptions, the Media, and the Iraq War. *Political Science Quarterly* [Internet]. 2004;118(4):569–98. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/30035697>

155.

Foyle DC. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: Elite Beliefs as a Mediating Variable. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1997 Mar;41(1):141–70.

156.

Kaufmann C. Threat Inflation and the Failure of the Marketplace of Ideas: The Selling of the Iraq War. *International Security* [Internet]. 2004;29(1):5–48. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4137546>

157.

Gaubatz KT. Intervention and Intransitivity: Public Opinion, Social Choice, and the Use of Military Force Abroad. *World Politics* [Internet]. 1995;47(4):534–54. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2950701>

158.

Gowa J. Politics at the Water's Edge: Parties, Voters, and the Use of Force Abroad. *International Organization* [Internet]. 1998;52(2):307–24. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601277>

159.

Herring E, Robinson P. Too Polemical or Too Critical? Chomsky on the Study of the News Media and US Foreign Policy. *Review of International Studies* [Internet]. 2003;29(4):553–68. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097876>

160.

Hill KA. The Domestic Sources of Foreign Policymaking: Congressional Voting and American Mass Attitudes toward South Africa. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 1993;37(2):195–214. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600768>

161.

Hixson WL. Parting the curtain: propaganda, culture, and the Cold War, 1945-61. Houndmills: Macmillan Press; 1998.

162.

Jentleson BW. The Pretty Prudent Public: Post Post-Vietnam American Opinion on the Use of Military Force. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 1992;36(1):49-73. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600916>

163.

Jentleson BW, Britton RL. Still Pretty Prudent: Post-Cold War American Public Opinion on the Use of Military Force. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution* [Internet]. 1998;42(4):395-417. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/174436>

164.

Marsh C, Fraser C. Public opinion and nuclear weapons. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Macmillan; 1989.

165.

Mazrui AA. Between the Crescent and the Star-Spangled Banner: American Muslims and US Foreign Policy. *International Affairs* (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-) [Internet]. 1996;72(3):493-506. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2625553>

166.

Houghton DP. Reinvigorating the Study of Foreign Policy Decision Making: Toward a Constructivist Approach. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2007 Jan;3(1):24-45.

167.

Hansen L. Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war [Internet]. Vol.

Series: New international relations. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from: <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=200689>

168.

Gaskarth J. Discourses and Ethics: The Social Construction of British Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2006 Oct;2(4):325–41.

169.

Campbell D, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Writing security: United States foreign policy and the politics of identity [Internet]. Revised edition. Manchester: Manchester University Press; 1998. Available from: <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=310792>

170.

Doty RL. Imperial encounters: the politics of representation in North-South relations. Vol. Series: Borderlines (Minneapolis, Minn.). Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press; 1996.

171.

Sjöstedt R. The Discursive Origins of a Doctrine. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2007 Jul;3(3):233–54.

172.

Buzan B, Wæver O, de Wilde J. Security: a new framework for analysis. Boulder, Colo: Lynne Rienner Pub; 1998.

173.

Larsen H. Foreign policy and discourse analysis: France, Britain, and Europe [Internet]. Vol. Series: Routledge advances in international relations and politics. London: Routledge/LSE; 1997. Available from: <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1138282>

174.

Farrell T. Constructivist Security Studies: Portrait of a Research Program. *International Studies Review* [Internet]. 2002;4(1):49–72. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3186274>

175.

Hopf T. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. *International Security* [Internet]. 1998;23(1):171–200. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539267>

176.

Finnemore M. The purpose of intervention: changing beliefs about the use of force. Vol. Series: Cornell studies in security affairs. Ithaca: Cornell University Press; 2003.

177.

Finnemore M. National interests in international society. Vol. Series: Cornell studies in political economy. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press; 1996.

178.

Wehner LE, Thies CG. Role Theory, Narratives, and Interpretation: The Domestic Contestation of Roles. *International Studies Review*. 2014 Sep;16(3):411–36.

179.

Moravcsik A. 'Is something rotten in the state of Denmark?' Constructivism and European integration. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1999 Jan;6(4):669–81.

180.

Checkel JT, Moravcsik A. A Constructivist Research Program in EU Studies? *European Union Politics*. 2001 Jun 1;2(2):219–49.

181.

Duffield JS. Political Culture and State Behavior: Why Germany Confounds Neorealism.

International Organization [Internet]. 1999;53(4):765–803. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601309>

182.

Agathangelou AM, Ling LHM. Power, Borders, Security, Wealth: Lessons of Violence and Desire from September 11. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 2004;48(3):517–38. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3693521>

183.

Barnett M. Culture, Strategy and Foreign Policy Change: Israel's Road to Oslo. *European Journal of International Relations*. 1999 Mar 1;5(1):5–36.

184.

Daddow O. Constructing a 'great' role for Britain in an age of austerity: Interpreting coalition foreign policy, 2010-2015. *International Relations*. 2015 Sep 1;29(3):303–18.

185.

Fisher K. Security, identity and British counterterrorism policy [Internet]. Vol. New security challenges series. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2015. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781137524225>

186.

Goddard SE, Krebs RR. Rhetoric, Legitimation, and Grand Strategy. *Security Studies*. 2015 Jan 2;24(1):5–36.

187.

Jelena Subotić. Narrative, Ontological Security, and Foreign Policy Change. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2016;12(4):610–27.

188.

Bevir M, Daddow O. Interpreting foreign policy: National, comparative and regional studies. *International Relations*. 2015 Sep 1;29(3):273–87.

189.

Solomon T. The politics of subjectivity in American foreign policy discourses. Vol. *Configurations: critical studies of world politics*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press; 2015.

190.

McCourt DM. Britain and world power since 1945: constructing a nation's role in international politics. Vol. *Configurations*. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press; 2014.

191.

Hayes J. Constructing national security: U.S. relations with China and India. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2015.

192.

Krebs RR. Narrative and the making of US national security. Vol. *Cambridge studies in international relations*. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press; 2015.

193.

Kettell S. Dilemmas of Discourse: Legitimising Britain's War on Terror. *The British Journal of Politics & International Relations*. 2013 May;15(2):263–79.

194.

Goddard SE. The Rhetoric of Appeasement: Hitler's Legitimation and British Foreign Policy, 1938–39. *Security Studies*. 2015 Jan 2;24(1):95–130.

195.

Krebs RR. Tell Me a Story: FDR, Narrative, and the Making of the Second World War. Security Studies. 2015 Jan 2;24(1):131–70.

196.

Krebs RR. How Dominant Narratives Rise and Fall: Military Conflict, Politics, and the Cold War Consensus. International Organization. 2015;69(04):809–45.

197.

Krebs RR, Lobasz JK. Fixing the Meaning of 9/11: Hegemony, Coercion, and the Road to War in Iraq. Security Studies. 2007 Aug 24;16(3):409–51.

198.

de Orellana P. Struggles over identity in diplomacy: 'Commie terrorists' contra 'imperialists' in Western Sahara. International Relations. 2015 Dec 1;29(4):477–99.

199.

Ostermann F. The end of ambivalence and the triumph of pragmatism? Franco-British defence cooperation and European and Atlantic defence policy traditions. International Relations. 2015 Sep 1;29(3):334–47.

200.

Holland J. Selling the War on Terror: foreign policy discourses after 9/11. Vol. Series: Critical terrorism studies. Abingdon, Oxfordshire: Routledge; 2013.

201.

Schmidt BC, Williams MC. The Bush Doctrine and the Iraq War: Neoconservatives Versus Realists. Security Studies. 2008 May 22;17(2):191–220.

202.

Jackson PT. Civilizing the enemy: German reconstruction and the invention of the West. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press; 2006.

203.

Nyman J. 'Red Storm Ahead': Securitisation of Energy in US-China Relations. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 2014 Sep 1;43(1):43-65.

204.

Croft S. *Culture, crisis and America's War on Terror*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006.

205.

Debrix F. *Tabloid terror: war, culture, and geopolitics*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2008.

206.

Jackson R. *Writing the war on terrorism: language, politics, and counter-terrorism*. Vol. Series: *New approaches to conflict analysis*. Manchester: Manchester University Press; 2005.

207.

Nabers D. Filling the Void of Meaning: Identity Construction in U.S. Foreign Policy After September 11, 2001. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2009 Apr;5(2):191-214.

208.

Reus-Smit C, Snidal D. *The Oxford handbook of international relations* [Internet]. Vol. *The Oxford handbooks of political science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199219322.01.0001>

209.

Smith KE, Lights M. *Ethics and foreign policy* [Internet]. Vol. Series: *LSE monographs in international studies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001. Available from:

<http://GLA.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=202006>

210.

Bulley D. The politics of ethical foreign policy: A responsibility to protect whom? *European Journal of International Relations*. 2010 Sep 1;16(3):441–61.

211.

Kennan GF. Morality and Foreign Policy. *Foreign Affairs* [Internet]. 1985;64(2). Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.proquest.com/docview/1290258825/fulltextPDF?accountid=14540>

212.

Busby JW. Moral movements and foreign policy. Vol. Series: Cambridge Studies in International Relations. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

213.

Lawler P. The Good State: In Praise of 'Classical' Internationalism. *Review of International Studies* [Internet]. 2005;31(3):427–49. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40072083>

214.

Kuperman AJ. The Moral Hazard of Humanitarian Intervention: Lessons from the Balkans. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 2008;52(1):49–80. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/29734224>

215.

Beitz CR. Political theory and international relations. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 1999.

216.

Walzer M. Just and unjust wars: a moral argument with historical illustrations. 4th ed. New York: Basic Books; 2006.

217.

Abrahamsen R, Williams P. Ethics and Foreign Policy: the Antinomies of New Labour's 'Third Way' in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Political Studies*. 2001 Jun;49(2):249–64.

218.

Little R, Wickham-Jones M. New Labour's foreign policy: a new moral crusade? Manchester: Manchester University Press; 2000.

219.

Fierke KM. Who is my neighbour? Memories of the Holocaust/al Nakba and a global ethic of care. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2014 Sep 1;20(3):787–809.

220.

Baehr PR, Castermans-Holleman MC. The role of human rights in foreign policy. Third edition. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2004.

221.

Buckler S. Dirty hands: the problem of political morality. Vol. Series: Avebury series in philosophy. Aldershot, Hants: Avebury; 1993.

222.

Campbell D. National deconstruction: violence, identity, and justice in Bosnia. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press; 1998.

223.

Cole P. Philosophies of exclusion: liberal political theory and immigration. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 2000.

224.

Benhabib S. The rights of others: aliens, residents and citizens. Vol. Series: The John Robert Seeley lectures. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004.

225.

Betts RK. The Delusion of Impartial Intervention. Foreign Affairs [Internet]. 73(6). Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://search.proquest.com/docview/1290286683/abstract/C39C5610F46A4868PQ/1?accountid=14540>

226.

Beardsworth R. Cosmopolitanism and international relations theory. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2011.

227.

Weber C. Imagining America at war: morality, politics, and film. London, New York: Routledge; 2006.

228.

Baylis J, Smith S, Owens P. The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.

229.

Chollet D, Lindberg T. A moral core for U.S. foreign policy. Policy Review [Internet]. 2007;2007(2007). Available from:
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A172911420/AONE?u=glasuni&sid=bookmark-AONE&xid=858d2035>

230.

Mapel DR, Nardin T. Traditions of international ethics. Vol. Series: Cambridge studies in international relations. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1992.