

Psychology Level 3 Honours

View Online



-
1.
Ashcraft MH, Radvansky GA. Cognition. 5th ed., International ed. Prentice Hall; 2010.

 2.
Eysenck MW, Keane MT. Cognitive Psychology: A Student's Handbook. 6th ed. Psychology Press; 2010.

 3.
Ashcraft MH, Radvansky GA. Cognition. 5th ed., International ed. Prentice Hall; 2010.

 4.
Brysbaert M. Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology. 2nd ed. Pearson Education; 2013.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780273743682>

 5.
Schultz DP, Schultz SE. Modern Psychology: A History. 10th International ed. Wadsworth, Cengage Learning; 2012.

 6.
Field, Tiffany. The Amazing Infant. 1st ed. Blackwell Pub; 2007.

7.

The Scientific Study of General Intelligence: Tribute to Arthur R. Jensen. 1st ed. Pergamon; 2003.

8.

Russell, Elbert W., ScienceDirect (Online service). The Scientific Foundation of Neuropsychological Assessment: With Applications to Forensic Evaluation. 1st ed. Elsevier; 2012.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/book/9780124160293>

9.

Keele SM, Bell RC. The factorial validity of emotional intelligence: An unresolved issue. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 2008;44(2):487-500.

doi:10.1016/j.paid.2007.09.013

10.

Ghisletta P, Rabbitt P, Lunn M, Lindenberger U. Two thirds of the age-based changes in fluid and crystallized intelligence, perceptual speed, and memory in adulthood are shared. *Intelligence*. 2012;40(3):260-268. doi:10.1016/j.intell.2012.02.008

11.

Sorjonen K, Hemmingsson T, Lundin A, Falkstedt D, Melin B. Intelligence, socioeconomic background, emotional capacity, and level of education as predictors of attained socioeconomic position in a cohort of Swedish men. *Intelligence*. 2012;40(3):269-277.

doi:10.1016/j.intell.2012.02.009

12.

Block J. A contrarian view of the five-factor approach to personality description. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1995;117(2):187-215.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=1995-21277-001&site=ehost-live>

13.

Bouchard TJ, McGue M. Genetic and environmental influences on human psychological differences. *Journal of Neurobiology*. 2003;54(1):4-45. doi:10.1002/neu.10160

14.

Buss DM. Evolutionary Personality Psychology. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 1991;42(1):459-491. doi:10.1146/annurev.ps.42.020191.002331

15.

Chamorro-Premuzic T, Swami V, Furnham A, Maakip I. The Big Five Personality Traits and Uses of Music. *Journal of Individual Differences*. 2009;30(1):20-27.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2009-02004-002&site=ehost-live>

16.

Stolerman IP. *Encyclopedia of Psychopharmacology*. Springer; 2010.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-68706-1>

17.

Gurven M, von Rueden C, Massenkoff M, Kaplan H, Lero Vie M. How universal is the Big Five? Testing the five-factor model of personality variation among forager-farmers in the Bolivian Amazon. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2013;104(2):354-370.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2012-33782-001&site=ehost-live>

18.

Johnson DL, Wiebe JS, Gold SM, et al. Cerebral Blood Flow and Personality: A Positron Emission Tomography Study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1999;156(2):252-257.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/abs/10.1176/ajp.156.2.252>

19.

Davis KL, Panksepp J. The brain's emotional foundations of human personality and the

Affective Neuroscience Personality Scales. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2011;35(9):1946-1958. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.04.004

20.

McCrae RR, Costa PT Jr. Personality trait structure as a human universal. *American Psychologist*. 1997;52(5):509-516.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=1997-04451-001&site=ehost-live>

21.

Cramer P. Personality change in later adulthood is predicted by defense mechanism use in early adulthood. *Journal of Research in Personality*. 2003;37(1):76-104.
doi:10.1016/S0092-6566(02)00528-7

22.

Roberts BW, DelVecchio WF. The rank-order consistency of personality traits from childhood to old age: A quantitative review of longitudinal studies. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2000;126(1):3-25.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2000-03445-001&site=ehost-live>

23.

Lamers SMA, Westerhof GJ, Kovács V, Bohlmeijer ET. Differential relationships in the association of the Big Five personality traits with positive mental health and psychopathology. *Journal of Research in Personality*. 2012;46(5):517-524.
doi:10.1016/j.jrp.2012.05.012

24.

Moritz S, Kempke S, Luyten P, Randjbar S, Jelinek L. Was Freud partly right on obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)? Investigation of latent aggression in OCD. *Psychiatry Research*. 2011;187(1-2):180-184. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2010.09.007

25.

Goldstein, E. Bruce. *Sensation and Perception*. 8th ed. Cengage Learning; 2010.

26.

Goldstein, E. Bruce. Sensation and Perception. 8th ed. Cengage Learning; 2010.

27.

DeAngelis GC. Seeing in three dimensions: the neurophysiology of stereopsis. Trends in Cognitive Sciences. 2000;4(3):80-90. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(99)01443-6

28.

Ponce CR, Born RT. Stereopsis. Current Biology. 2008;18(18):R845-R850. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2008.07.006

29.

Parker AJ. Binocular depth perception and the cerebral cortex. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2007;8(5):379-391. doi:10.1038/nrn2131

30.

Burr D, Thompson P. Motion psychophysics: 1985–2010. Vision Research. 2011;51(13):1431-1456. doi:10.1016/j.visres.2011.02.008

31.

Britten KH. Mechanisms of Self-Motion Perception. Annual Review of Neuroscience. 2008;31(1):389-410. doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.29.051605.112953

32.

Kowler E. Eye movements: The past 25years. Vision Research. 2011;51(13):1457-1483. doi:10.1016/j.visres.2010.12.014

33.

Martinez-Conde S, Macknik SL, Hubel DH. The role of fixational eye movements in visual perception. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2004;5(3):229-240. doi:10.1038/nrn1348

34.

Gregory RL. Seeing after blindness. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2003;6(9):909-910. doi:10.1038/nn0903-909

35.

Harris H, Glikberg M, Sagi D. Generalized Perceptual Learning in the Absence of Sensory Adaptation. *Current Biology*. 2012;22(19):1813-1817. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.07.059

36.

Shams L, Seitz AR. Benefits of multisensory learning. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2008;12(11):411-417. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2008.07.006

37.

Luck SJ, Zhang W. Discrete fixed-resolution representations in visual working memory. *Nature*. 453(7192):233-235.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA183424346&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=EAIM&sw=w&asid=c28742dab03693d240d909a0d0b6e735>

38.

Magnussen S. Low-level memory processes in vision. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 2000;23(6):247-251. doi:10.1016/S0166-2236(00)01569-1

39.

Olsson H, Poom L. Visual memory needs categories. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2005;102(24):8776-8780. doi:10.1073/pnas.0500810102

40.

Goldstein EB. Sensation and Perception. 8th ed. Cengage Learning; 2010.

41.

Goldstein EB. Sensation and Perception. 8th ed. Cengage Learning; 2010.

42.

Scott SK, Johnsrude IS. The neuroanatomical and functional organization of speech perception. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 2003;26(2):100-107. doi:10.1016/S0166-2236(02)00037-1

43.

Belin P, Fecteau S, Bédard C. Thinking the voice: neural correlates of voice perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2004;8(3):129-135. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2004.01.008

44.

Calvo, Paco, Gomila, Antoni. *Handbook of Cognitive Science: An Embodied Approach. Vol Perspectives on cognitive science*. 1st ed. Elsevier Science; 2008.

45.

Shergill SS. Evidence for Sensory Prediction Deficits in Schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2005;162(12):2384-2386. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.162.12.2384

46.

Wilson M. Six views of embodied cognition. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*. 2002;9(4):625-636. doi:10.3758/BF03196322

47.

Wolpert DM, Ghahramani Z, Flanagan JR. Perspectives and problems in motor learning. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2001;5(11):487-494. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01773-3

48.

Ernst MO, Banks MS. Humans integrate visual and haptic information in a statistically optimal fashion. *Nature*. 2002;415(6870):429-433. doi:10.1038/415429a

49.

Petrini K, Russell M, Pollick F. When knowing can replace seeing in audiovisual integration of actions. *Cognition*. 2009;110(3):432-439. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2008.11.015

50.

Cognitive Contributions to the Perception of Spatial and Temporal Events. Vol Advances in psychology. 1st ed. Elsevier; 1999.

51.

Haxby JV, Hoffman EA, Gobbini MI. The distributed human neural system for face perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2000;4(6):223-233. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01482-0

52.

Latinus M, Belin P. Human voice perception. *Current Biology*. 2011;21(4):R143-R145. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.12.033

53.

Johnson KL, Shiffrar M. People Watching: Social, Perceptual, and Neurophysiological Studies of Body Perception. Vol Oxford series in visual cognition. Oxford University Press; 2013. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195393705.001.0001

54.

Sinha P, Balas B, Ostrovsky Y, Russell R. Face Recognition by Humans: Nineteen Results All Computer Vision Researchers Should Know About. *Proceedings of the IEEE*. 2006;94(11):1948-1962. doi:10.1109/JPROC.2006.884093

55.

Chun MM, Golomb JD, Turk-Browne NB. A Taxonomy of External and Internal Attention. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2011;62(1):73-101. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100427

56.

Driver J, Spence C. Attention and the crossmodal construction of space. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 1998;2(7):254-262. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(98)01188-7

57.

Simons DJ, Chabris CF. Gorillas in our midst: sustained inattention blindness for dynamic events. *Perception*. 1999;28(9):1059-1074. doi:10.1068/p2952

58.

Wolfe JM, Võ MLH, Evans KK, Greene MR. Visual search in scenes involves selective and nonselective pathways. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2011;15(2):77-84. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2010.12.001

59.

Lamme VAF. Why visual attention and awareness are different. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2003;7(1):12-18. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(02)00013-X

60.

Logothetis NK. Single units and conscious vision. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 1998;353(1377):1801-1818. doi:10.1098/rstb.1998.0333

61.

Pins D. The Neural Correlates of Conscious Vision. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2003;13(5):461-474. doi:10.1093/cercor/13.5.461

62.

Kandel ER, Schwartz JH, Jessell TM. Essentials of Neural Science and Behavior. Appleton & Lange; 1995.

63.

DeBruine L. Beyond 'just-so stories'. *Psychologist*. 22(11):930-932.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pbh&AN=45649792&site=ehost-live>

64.

Scott-Phillips TC, Dickins TE, West SA. Evolutionary Theory and the Ultimate-Proximate Distinction in the Human Behavioral Sciences. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2011;6(1):38-47. doi:10.1177/1745691610393528

65.

Jackson RE, Cormack LK. Evolved navigation theory and the descent illusion. *Perception & Psychophysics*. 2007;69(3):353-362. doi:10.3758/BF03193756

66.

DeBruine LM, Jones BC, Little AC, Perrett DI. Social Perception of Facial Resemblance in Humans. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 2008;37(1):64-77. doi:10.1007/s10508-007-9266-0

67.

Tybur JM, Gangestad SW. Mate preferences and infectious disease: theoretical considerations and evidence in humans. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2011;366(1583):3375-3388. doi:10.1098/rstb.2011.0136

68.

Nassi JJ, Callaway EM. Parallel processing strategies of the primate visual system. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 10(5):360-372.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pbh&AN=37820955&site=ehost-live>

69.

Luo DG, Xue T, Yau KW. How vision begins: An odyssey. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2008;105(29):9855-9862. doi:10.1073/pnas.0708405105

70.

Hubel, David H. *Eye, Brain, and Vision*. Harvard Medical School; 1995.
<http://hubel.med.harvard.edu/index.html>

71.

Rolls ET. Functions of the Primate Temporal Lobe Cortical Visual Areas in Invariant Visual Object and Face Recognition. *Neuron*. 2000;27(2):205-218.
doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00030-1

72.

Tanaka K. Columns for Complex Visual Object Features in the Inferotemporal Cortex: Clustering of Cells with Similar but Slightly Different Stimulus Selectivities. *Cerebral Cortex* . 2003;13(1):90-99. doi:10.1093/cercor/13.1.90

73.

Bushnell IWR. *Life after University: A Personal Development Programme*. Vol A Person Custom Publication. Pearson Custom Publishing; 2007.

74.

Bryman A. *Social Research Methods*. 4th ed. Oxford University Press; 2012.

75.

Wilson S, MacLean R. *Research Methods and Data Analysis for Psychology*. McGraw-Hill Higher Education; 2011.

76.

Hogg, Michael A., 1954- author. Social Psychology: Hogg: Graham M. Vaughan. 7th edition. Pearson; 2013.

77.

Srinivasan SS, Maruping LM, Robert LP. Mechanisms Underlying Social Loafing in Technology Teams: An Empirical. http://aisel.aisnet.org/icis2010_submissions/183/

78.

Shiue YC, Chiu CM, Chang CC. Exploring and mitigating social loafing in online communities. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2010;26(4):768-777. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2010.01.014

79.

Herberg JS, Levin DT, Saylor MM. Social audiences can disrupt learning by teaching. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 2012;48(1):213-219. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2011.07.004

80.

Cockrell C, Stone DN. Team discourse explains media richness and anonymity effects in audit fraud cue brainstorming. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*. 2011;12(3):225-242. doi:10.1016/j.accinf.2011.04.001

81.

Kerr NL, Rumble AC, Park ES, et al. "How many bad apples does it take to spoil the whole barrel?": Social exclusion and toleration for bad apples. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 2009;45(4):603-613. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2009.02.017

82.

Graziano WG, Habashi MM. Motivational Processes Underlying Both Prejudice and Helping. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*. 2010;14(3):313-331. doi:10.1177/1088868310361239

83.

Davies K, Tropp LR, Aron A, Pettigrew TF, Wright SC. Cross-Group Friendships and Intergroup Attitudes: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*. 2011;15(4):332-351. doi:10.1177/1088868311411103

84.

Fiske ST. Journey to the edges: Social structures and neural maps of inter-group processes. *British Journal of Social Psychology*. 51(1):1-12.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pbh&AN=73552659&site=ehost-live>

85.

Manstead ASR. The benefits of a critical stance: A reflection on past papers on the theories of reasoned action and planned behaviour. *British Journal of Social Psychology*. 2011;50(3):366-373. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8309.2011.02043.x

86.

Miller J, Haden P. *Statistical Analysis with The General Linear Model*. Published online 2006. <http://www.uv.es/~friasnav/librofactorial.pdf>