

Psychology Level 3 Honours

[View Online](#)

1

Ashcraft MH, Radvansky GA. Cognition. 5th ed., International ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: : Prentice Hall 2010.

2

Eysenck MW, Keane MT. Cognitive psychology: a student's handbook. 6th ed. Hove, East Sussex: : Psychology Press 2010.

3

Ashcraft MH, Radvansky GA. Cognition. 5th ed., International ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: : Prentice Hall 2010.

4

Brysbaert M. Historical and conceptual issues in psychology. 2nd ed. Harlow, Essex: : Pearson Education 2013.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780273743682>

5

Schultz DP, Schultz SE. Modern psychology: a history. 10th International ed. Andover: : Wadsworth, Cengage Learning 2012.

6

Field, Tiffany. The amazing infant. 1st ed. Oxford, UK: : Blackwell Pub 2007.

7

The scientific study of general intelligence: tribute to Arthur R. Jensen. 1st ed. Amsterdam: : Pergamon 2003.

8

Russell, Elbert W., ScienceDirect (Online service). The scientific foundation of neuropsychological assessment: with applications to forensic evaluation. 1st ed. London: : Elsevier 2012.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/book/9780124160293>

9

Keele SM, Bell RC. The factorial validity of emotional intelligence: An unresolved issue. Personality and Individual Differences 2008;**44**:487–500. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2007.09.013

10

Ghisletta P, Rabbitt P, Lunn M, et al. Two thirds of the age-based changes in fluid and crystallized intelligence, perceptual speed, and memory in adulthood are shared. Intelligence 2012;**40**:260–8. doi:10.1016/j.intell.2012.02.008

11

Sorjonen K, Hemmingsson T, Lundin A, et al. Intelligence, socioeconomic background, emotional capacity, and level of education as predictors of attained socioeconomic position in a cohort of Swedish men. Intelligence 2012;**40**:269–77. doi:10.1016/j.intell.2012.02.009

12

Block J. A contrarian view of the five-factor approach to personality description. Psychological Bulletin 1995;**117**:187–215.
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=1995-21277-001&site=ehost-live>

13

Bouchard TJ, McGue M. Genetic and environmental influences on human psychological differences. *Journal of Neurobiology* 2003;**54**:4–45. doi:10.1002/neu.10160

14

Buss DM. Evolutionary Personality Psychology. *Annual Review of Psychology* 1991;**42**:459–91. doi:10.1146/annurev.ps.42.020191.002331

15

Chamorro-Premuzic T, Swami V, Furnham A, et al. The Big Five Personality Traits and Uses of Music. *Journal of Individual Differences* 2009;**30**:20–7. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2009-02004-002&site=ehost-live>

16

Stolerman IP. *Encyclopedia of psychopharmacology*. Berlin: : Springer 2010. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-68706-1>

17

Gurven M, von Rueden C, Massenkoff M, et al. How universal is the Big Five? Testing the five-factor model of personality variation among forager–farmers in the Bolivian Amazon. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 2013;**104**:354–70. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2012-33782-001&site=ehost-live>

18

Johnson DL, Wiebe JS, Gold SM, et al. Cerebral Blood Flow and Personality: A Positron Emission Tomography Study. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 1999;**156**:252–7. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/abs/10.1176/ajp.156.2.252>

19

Davis KL, Panksepp J. The brain's emotional foundations of human personality and the Affective Neuroscience Personality Scales. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 2011;**35**:1946–58. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.04.004

20

McCrae RR, Costa PT Jr. Personality trait structure as a human universal. *American Psychologist* 1997;**52**:509–16. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=1997-04451-001&site=ehost-live>

21

Cramer P. Personality change in later adulthood is predicted by defense mechanism use in early adulthood. *Journal of Research in Personality* 2003;**37**:76–104. doi:10.1016/S0092-6566(02)00528-7

22

Roberts BW, DelVecchio WF. The rank-order consistency of personality traits from childhood to old age: A quantitative review of longitudinal studies. *Psychological Bulletin* 2000;**126**:3–25. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2000-03445-001&site=ehost-live>

23

Lamers SMA, Westerhof GJ, Kovács V, et al. Differential relationships in the association of the Big Five personality traits with positive mental health and psychopathology. *Journal of Research in Personality* 2012;**46**:517–24. doi:10.1016/j.jrp.2012.05.012

24

Moritz S, Kempke S, Luyten P, et al. Was Freud partly right on obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)? Investigation of latent aggression in OCD. *Psychiatry Research* 2011;**187**:180–4. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2010.09.007

25

Goldstein, E. Bruce. *Sensation and perception*. 8th ed. Australia: : Cengage Learning 2010.

26

Goldstein, E. Bruce. Sensation and perception. 8th ed. Australia: : Cengage Learning 2010.

27

DeAngelis GC. Seeing in three dimensions: the neurophysiology of stereopsis. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 2000;**4**:80–90. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(99)01443-6

28

Ponce CR, Born RT. Stereopsis. Current Biology 2008;**18**:R845–50. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2008.07.006

29

Parker AJ. Binocular depth perception and the cerebral cortex. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 2007;**8**:379–91. doi:10.1038/nrn2131

30

Burr D, Thompson P. Motion psychophysics: 1985–2010. Vision Research 2011;**51**:1431–56. doi:10.1016/j.visres.2011.02.008

31

Britten KH. Mechanisms of Self-Motion Perception. Annual Review of Neuroscience 2008;**31**:389–410. doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.29.051605.112953

32

Kowler E. Eye movements: The past 25years. Vision Research 2011;**51**:1457–83. doi:10.1016/j.visres.2010.12.014

33

Martinez-Conde S, Macknik SL, Hubel DH. The role of fixational eye movements in visual perception. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2004;**5**:229–40. doi:10.1038/nrn1348

34

Gregory RL. Seeing after blindness. *Nature Neuroscience* 2003;**6**:909–10. doi:10.1038/nn0903-909

35

Harris H, Gliksberg M, Sagi D. Generalized Perceptual Learning in the Absence of Sensory Adaptation. *Current Biology* 2012;**22**:1813–7. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.07.059

36

Shams L, Seitz AR. Benefits of multisensory learning. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2008;**12**:411–7. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2008.07.006

37

Luck SJ, Zhang W. Discrete fixed-resolution representations in visual working memory. *Nature*; **453**:233–5. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://go.galegroup.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA183424346&v=2.1&u=glasuni&it=r&p=EAIM&sw=w&asid=c28742dab03693d240d909a0d0b6e735>

38

Magnussen S. Low-level memory processes in vision. *Trends in Neurosciences* 2000;**23**:247–51. doi:10.1016/S0166-2236(00)01569-1

39

Olsson H, Poom L. Visual memory needs categories. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2005;**102**:8776–80. doi:10.1073/pnas.0500810102

40

Goldstein EB. Sensation and perception. 8th ed. Australia: : Cengage Learning 2010.

41

Goldstein EB. Sensation and perception. 8th ed. Australia: : Cengage Learning 2010.

42

Scott SK, Johnsrude IS. The neuroanatomical and functional organization of speech perception. Trends in Neurosciences 2003;**26**:100–7. doi:10.1016/S0166-2236(02)00037-1

43

Belin P, Fecteau S, Bédard C. Thinking the voice: neural correlates of voice perception. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 2004;**8**:129–35. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2004.01.008

44

Calvo, Paco, Gomila, Antoni. Handbook of cognitive science: an embodied approach. 1st ed. Amsterdam: : Elsevier Science 2008.

45

Shergill SS. Evidence for Sensory Prediction Deficits in Schizophrenia. American Journal of Psychiatry 2005;**162**:2384–6. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.162.12.2384

46

Wilson M. Six views of embodied cognition. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review 2002;**9**:625–36. doi:10.3758/BF03196322

47

Wolpert DM, Ghahramani Z, Flanagan JR. Perspectives and problems in motor learning. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 2001;**5**:487–94. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01773-3

48

Ernst MO, Banks MS. Humans integrate visual and haptic information in a statistically optimal fashion. *Nature* 2002;**415**:429–33. doi:10.1038/415429a

49

Petrini K, Russell M, Pollick F. When knowing can replace seeing in audiovisual integration of actions. *Cognition* 2009;**110**:432–9. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2008.11.015

50

Cognitive contributions to the perception of spatial and temporal events. 1st ed. Amsterdam: : Elsevier 1999.

51

Haxby JV, Hoffman EA, Gobbini MI. The distributed human neural system for face perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2000;**4**:223–33. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01482-0

52

Latinus M, Belin P. Human voice perception. *Current Biology* 2011;**21**:R143–5. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.12.033

53

Johnson KL, Shiffrar M. People watching: social, perceptual, and neurophysiological studies of body perception. New York: : Oxford University Press 2013. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195393705.001.0001

54

Sinha P, Balas B, Ostrovsky Y, et al. Face Recognition by Humans: Nineteen Results All Computer Vision Researchers Should Know About. *Proceedings of the IEEE* 2006;**94**:1948–62. doi:10.1109/JPROC.2006.884093

55

Chun MM, Golomb JD, Turk-Browne NB. A Taxonomy of External and Internal Attention. *Annual Review of Psychology* 2011;**62**:73–101. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100427

56

Driver J, Spence C. Attention and the crossmodal construction of space. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 1998;**2**:254–62. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(98)01188-7

57

Simons DJ, Chabris CF. Gorillas in our midst: sustained inattention blindness for dynamic events. *Perception* 1999;**28**:1059–74. doi:10.1068/p2952

58

Wolfe JM, Võ ML-H, Evans KK, et al. Visual search in scenes involves selective and nonselective pathways. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2011;**15**:77–84. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2010.12.001

59

Lamme VAF. Why visual attention and awareness are different. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2003;**7**:12–8. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(02)00013-X

60

Logothetis NK. Single units and conscious vision. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 1998;**353**:1801–18. doi:10.1098/rstb.1998.0333

61

Pins D. The Neural Correlates of Conscious Vision. *Cerebral Cortex* 2003;**13**:461–74. doi:10.1093/cercor/13.5.461

62

Kandel ER, Schwartz JH, Jessell TM. Essentials of neural science and behavior. Stamford, Conn: : Appleton & Lange 1995.

63

DeBruine L. Beyond 'just-so stories'. Psychologist;**22**:930-2.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pbh&AN=45649792&site=ehost-live>

64

Scott-Phillips TC, Dickins TE, West SA. Evolutionary Theory and the Ultimate-Proximate Distinction in the Human Behavioral Sciences. Perspectives on Psychological Science 2011; **6**:38-47. doi:10.1177/1745691610393528

65

Jackson RE, Cormack LK. Evolved navigation theory and the descent illusion. Perception & Psychophysics 2007;**69**:353-62. doi:10.3758/BF03193756

66

DeBruine LM, Jones BC, Little AC, et al. Social Perception of Facial Resemblance in Humans. Archives of Sexual Behavior 2008;**37**:64-77. doi:10.1007/s10508-007-9266-0

67

Tybur JM, Gangestad SW. Mate preferences and infectious disease: theoretical considerations and evidence in humans. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 2011;**366**:3375-88. doi:10.1098/rstb.2011.0136

68

Nassi JJ, Callaway EM. Parallel processing strategies of the primate visual system. Nature Reviews Neuroscience;**10**:360-72.<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pbh&AN=37820955&site=ehost-live>

69

Luo D-G, Xue T, Yau K-W. How vision begins: An odyssey. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2008;**105**:9855–62. doi:10.1073/pnas.0708405105

70

Hubel, David H. *Eye, brain, and vision*. Boston: : Harvard Medical School 1995.
<http://hubel.med.harvard.edu/index.html>

71

Rolls ET. Functions of the Primate Temporal Lobe Cortical Visual Areas in Invariant Visual Object and Face Recognition. *Neuron* 2000;**27**:205–18.
doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00030-1

72

Tanaka K. Columns for Complex Visual Object Features in the Inferotemporal Cortex: Clustering of Cells with Similar but Slightly Different Stimulus Selectivities. *Cerebral Cortex* 2003;**13**:90–9. doi:10.1093/cercor/13.1.90

73

Bushnell IWR. *Life after university: a personal development programme*. [S.I.]: : Pearson Custom Publishing 2007.

74

Bryman A. *Social research methods*. 4th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.

75

Wilson S, MacLean R. *Research methods and data analysis for psychology*. London: : McGraw-Hill Higher Education 2011.

76

Hogg, Michael A., 1954- author. Social psychology: Hogg: Graham M. Vaughan. 7th edition. Harlow, England: : Pearson 2013.

77

Srinivasan S-S, Maruping LM, Robert LP. Mechanisms Underlying Social Loafing in Technology Teams: An Empirical. http://aisel.aisnet.org/icis2010_submissions/183/

78

Shiue Y-C, Chiu C-M, Chang C-C. Exploring and mitigating social loafing in online communities. Computers in Human Behavior 2010;**26**:768–77. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2010.01.014

79

Herberg JS, Levin DT, Saylor MM. Social audiences can disrupt learning by teaching. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology 2012;**48**:213–9. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2011.07.004

80

Cockrell C, Stone DN. Team discourse explains media richness and anonymity effects in audit fraud cue brainstorming. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems 2011;**12**:225–42. doi:10.1016/j.accinf.2011.04.001

81

Kerr NL, Rumble AC, Park ES, et al. "How many bad apples does it take to spoil the whole barrel?": Social exclusion and toleration for bad apples. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology 2009;**45**:603–13. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2009.02.017

82

Graziano WG, Habashi MM. Motivational Processes Underlying Both Prejudice and Helping. Personality and Social Psychology Review 2010;**14**:313–31. doi:10.1177/1088868310361239

83

Davies K, Tropp LR, Aron A, et al. Cross-Group Friendships and Intergroup Attitudes: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Personality and Social Psychology Review* 2011;**15**:332–51. doi:10.1177/1088868311411103

84

Fiske ST. Journey to the edges: Social structures and neural maps of inter-group processes. *British Journal of Social Psychology*; **51**:1–12. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pbh&AN=73552659&site=ehost-live>

85

Manstead ASR. The benefits of a critical stance: A reflection on past papers on the theories of reasoned action and planned behaviour. *British Journal of Social Psychology* 2011;**50**:366–73. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8309.2011.02043.x

86

Miller J, Haden P. Statistical Analysis with The General Linear Model. 2006. <http://www.uv.es/~friasnav/librofactorial.pdf>