

Psychology Level 3 Honours

[View Online](#)

1.

Ashcraft, M.H., Radvansky, G.A.: Cognition. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ (2010).

2.

Eysenck, M.W., Keane, M.T.: Cognitive psychology: a student's handbook. Psychology Press, Hove, East Sussex (2010).

3.

Ashcraft, M.H., Radvansky, G.A.: Cognition. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ (2010).

4.

Brysbaert, M.: Historical and conceptual issues in psychology. Pearson Education, Harlow, Essex (2013).

5.

Schultz, D.P., Schultz, S.E.: Modern psychology: a history. Wadsworth, Cengage Learning, Andover (2012).

6.

Field, Tiffany: The amazing infant. Blackwell Pub, Oxford, UK (2007).

7.

The scientific study of general intelligence: tribute to Arthur R. Jensen. Pergamon, Amsterdam (2003).

8.

Russell, Elbert W., ScienceDirect (Online service): The scientific foundation of neuropsychological assessment: with applications to forensic evaluation. Elsevier, London (2012).

9.

Keele, S.M., Bell, R.C.: The factorial validity of emotional intelligence: An unresolved issue. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 44, 487–500 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2007.09.013>.

10.

Ghisletta, P., Rabbitt, P., Lunn, M., Lindenberger, U.: Two thirds of the age-based changes in fluid and crystallized intelligence, perceptual speed, and memory in adulthood are shared. *Intelligence*. 40, 260–268 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2012.02.008>.

11.

Sorjonen, K., Hemmingsson, T., Lundin, A., Falkstedt, D., Melin, B.: Intelligence, socioeconomic background, emotional capacity, and level of education as predictors of attained socioeconomic position in a cohort of Swedish men. *Intelligence*. 40, 269–277 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2012.02.009>.

12.

Block, J.: A contrarian view of the five-factor approach to personality description. *Psychological Bulletin*. 117, 187–215 (1995).

13.

Bouchard, T.J., McGue, M.: Genetic and environmental influences on human psychological

differences. *Journal of Neurobiology*. 54, 4–45 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1002/neu.10160>.

14.

Buss, D.M.: Evolutionary Personality Psychology. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 42, 459–491 (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ps.42.020191.002331>.

15.

Chamorro-Premuzic, T., Swami, V., Furnham, A., Maakip, I.: The Big Five Personality Traits and Uses of Music. *Journal of Individual Differences*. 30, 20–27 (2009).

16.

Stolerman, I.P.: *Encyclopedia of psychopharmacology*. Springer, Berlin (2010).

17.

Gurven, M., von Rueden, C., Massenkoff, M., Kaplan, H., Lero Vie, M.: How universal is the Big Five? Testing the five-factor model of personality variation among forager-farmers in the Bolivian Amazon. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 104, 354–370 (2013).

18.

Johnson, D.L., Wiebe, J.S., Gold, S.M., Andreasen, N.C., Hichwa, R.D., Watkins, G.L., Boles Ponto, L.L.: Cerebral Blood Flow and Personality: A Positron Emission Tomography Study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 156, 252–257 (1999).

19.

Davis, K.L., Panksepp, J.: The brain's emotional foundations of human personality and the Affective Neuroscience Personality Scales. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 35, 1946–1958 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.04.004>.

20.

McCrae, R.R., Costa, P.T., Jr.: Personality trait structure as a human universal. *American Psychologist*. 52, 509–516 (1997).

21.

Cramer, P.: Personality change in later adulthood is predicted by defense mechanism use in early adulthood. *Journal of Research in Personality*. 37, 76–104 (2003).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-6566\(02\)00528-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-6566(02)00528-7).

22.

Roberts, B.W., DelVecchio, W.F.: The rank-order consistency of personality traits from childhood to old age: A quantitative review of longitudinal studies. *Psychological Bulletin*. 126, 3–25 (2000).

23.

Lamers, S.M.A., Westerhof, G.J., Kovács, V., Bohlmeijer, E.T.: Differential relationships in the association of the Big Five personality traits with positive mental health and psychopathology. *Journal of Research in Personality*. 46, 517–524 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2012.05.012>.

24.

Moritz, S., Kempke, S., Luyten, P., Randjbar, S., Jelinek, L.: Was Freud partly right on obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)? Investigation of latent aggression in OCD. *Psychiatry Research*. 187, 180–184 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2010.09.007>.

25.

Goldstein, E. Bruce: *Sensation and perception*. Cengage Learning, Australia (2010).

26.

Goldstein, E. Bruce: *Sensation and perception*. Cengage Learning, Australia (2010).

27.

DeAngelis, G.C.: Seeing in three dimensions: the neurophysiology of stereopsis. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 4, 80–90 (2000). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(99\)01443-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(99)01443-6).

28.

Ponce, C.R., Born, R.T.: Stereopsis. *Current Biology*. 18, R845–R850 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2008.07.006>.

29.

Parker, A.J.: Binocular depth perception and the cerebral cortex. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 8, 379–391 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2131>.

30.

Burr, D., Thompson, P.: Motion psychophysics: 1985–2010. *Vision Research*. 51, 1431–1456 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2011.02.008>.

31.

Britten, K.H.: Mechanisms of Self-Motion Perception. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*. 31, 389–410 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.29.051605.112953>.

32.

Kowler, E.: Eye movements: The past 25 years. *Vision Research*. 51, 1457–1483 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2010.12.014>.

33.

Martinez-Conde, S., Macknik, S.L., Hubel, D.H.: The role of fixational eye movements in visual perception. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 5, 229–240 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1348>.

34.

Gregory, R.L.: Seeing after blindness. *Nature Neuroscience*. 6, 909–910 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn0903-909>.

35.

Harris, H., Gliksberg, M., Sagi, D.: Generalized Perceptual Learning in the Absence of Sensory Adaptation. *Current Biology*. 22, 1813–1817 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.07.059>.

36.

Shams, L., Seitz, A.R.: Benefits of multisensory learning. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 12, 411–417 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2008.07.006>.

37.

Luck, S.J., Zhang, W.: Discrete fixed-resolution representations in visual working memory. *Nature*. 453, 233–235.

38.

Magnussen, S.: Low-level memory processes in vision. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 23, 247–251 (2000). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236\(00\)01569-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(00)01569-1).

39.

Olsson, H., Poom, L.: Visual memory needs categories. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 102, 8776–8780 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0500810102>.

40.

Goldstein, E.B.: *Sensation and perception*. Cengage Learning, Australia (2010).

41.

Goldstein, E.B.: *Sensation and perception*. Cengage Learning, Australia (2010).

42.

Scott, S.K., Johnsrude, I.S.: The neuroanatomical and functional organization of speech perception. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 26, 100–107 (2003).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236\(02\)00037-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(02)00037-1).

43.

Belin, P., Fecteau, S., Bédard, C.: Thinking the voice: neural correlates of voice perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 8, 129–135 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2004.01.008>.

44.

Calvo, Paco, Gomila, Antoni: *Handbook of cognitive science: an embodied approach*. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam (2008).

45.

Shergill, S.S.: Evidence for Sensory Prediction Deficits in Schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 162, 2384–2386 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.162.12.2384>.

46.

Wilson, M.: Six views of embodied cognition. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*. 9, 625–636 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03196322>.

47.

Wolpert, D.M., Ghahramani, Z., Flanagan, J.R.: Perspectives and problems in motor learning. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 5, 487–494 (2001).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(00\)01773-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01773-3).

48.

Ernst, M.O., Banks, M.S.: Humans integrate visual and haptic information in a statistically optimal fashion. *Nature*. 415, 429–433 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1038/415429a>.

49.

Petrini, K., Russell, M., Pollick, F.: When knowing can replace seeing in audiovisual integration of actions. *Cognition*. 110, 432–439 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2008.11.015>.

50.

Cognitive contributions to the perception of spatial and temporal events. Elsevier, Amsterdam (1999).

51.

Haxby, J.V., Hoffman, E.A., Gobbini, M.I.: The distributed human neural system for face perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 4, 223–233 (2000).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(00\)01482-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01482-0).

52.

Latinus, M., Belin, P.: Human voice perception. *Current Biology*. 21, R143–R145 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.12.033>.

53.

Johnson, K.L., Shiffrar, M.: People watching: social, perceptual, and neurophysiological studies of body perception. Oxford University Press, New York (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195393705.001.0001>.

54.

Sinha, P., Balas, B., Ostrovsky, Y., Russell, R.: Face Recognition by Humans: Nineteen Results All Computer Vision Researchers Should Know About. *Proceedings of the IEEE*. 94, 1948–1962 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2006.884093>.

55.

Chun, M.M., Golomb, J.D., Turk-Browne, N.B.: A Taxonomy of External and Internal Attention. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 62, 73–101 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100427>.

56.

Driver, J., Spence, C.: Attention and the crossmodal construction of space. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2, 254–262 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(98\)01188-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(98)01188-7).

57.

Simons, D.J., Chabris, C.F.: Gorillas in our midst: sustained inattention blindness for dynamic events. *Perception*. 28, 1059–1074 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1068/p2952>.

58.

Wolfe, J.M., Võ, M.L.-H., Evans, K.K., Greene, M.R.: Visual search in scenes involves selective and nonselective pathways. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 15, 77–84 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2010.12.001>.

59.

Lamme, V.A.F.: Why visual attention and awareness are different. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 7, 12–18 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(02\)00013-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(02)00013-X).

60.

Logothetis, N.K.: Single units and conscious vision. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 353, 1801–1818 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1998.0333>.

61.

Pins, D.: The Neural Correlates of Conscious Vision. *Cerebral Cortex*. 13, 461–474 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/13.5.461>.

62.

Kandel, E.R., Schwartz, J.H., Jessell, T.M.: *Essentials of neural science and behavior*. Appleton & Lange, Stamford, Conn (1995).

63.

DeBruine, L.: Beyond 'just-so stories'. *Psychologist*. 22, 930–932.

64.

Scott-Phillips, T.C., Dickins, T.E., West, S.A.: Evolutionary Theory and the Ultimate-Proximate Distinction in the Human Behavioral Sciences. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 6, 38–47 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691610393528>.

65.

Jackson, R.E., Cormack, L.K.: Evolved navigation theory and the descent illusion. *Perception & Psychophysics*. 69, 353–362 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03193756>.

66.

DeBruine, L.M., Jones, B.C., Little, A.C., Perrett, D.I.: Social Perception of Facial Resemblance in Humans. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 37, 64–77 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-007-9266-0>.

67.

Tybur, J.M., Gangestad, S.W.: Mate preferences and infectious disease: theoretical considerations and evidence in humans. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 366, 3375–3388 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0136>.

68.

Nassi, J.J., Callaway, E.M.: Parallel processing strategies of the primate visual system. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 10, 360–372.

69.

Luo, D.-G., Xue, T., Yau, K.-W.: How vision begins: An odyssey. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 105, 9855–9862 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0708405105>.

70.

Hubel, David H.: Eye, brain, and vision. Harvard Medical School, Boston (1995).

71.

Rolls, E.T.: Functions of the Primate Temporal Lobe Cortical Visual Areas in Invariant Visual Object and Face Recognition. *Neuron*. 27, 205–218 (2000).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)00030-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00030-1).

72.

Tanaka, K.: Columns for Complex Visual Object Features in the Inferotemporal Cortex: Clustering of Cells with Similar but Slightly Different Stimulus Selectivities. *Cerebral Cortex*. 13, 90–99 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/13.1.90>.

73.

Bushnell, I.W.R.: Life after university: a personal development programme. Pearson Custom Publishing, [S.I.] (2007).

74.

Bryman, A.: Social research methods. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

75.

Wilson, S., MacLean, R.: Research methods and data analysis for psychology. McGraw-Hill Higher Education, London (2011).

76.

Hogg, Michael A., 1954- author: Social psychology: Hogg: Graham M. Vaughan. Pearson, Harlow, England (2013).

77.

Srinivasan, S.-S., Maruping, L.M., Robert, L.P.: Mechanisms Underlying Social Loafing in

Technology Teams: An Empirical, http://aisel.aisnet.org/icis2010_submissions/183/.

78.

Shiue, Y.-C., Chiu, C.-M., Chang, C.-C.: Exploring and mitigating social loafing in online communities. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 26, 768–777 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2010.01.014>.

79.

Herberg, J.S., Levin, D.T., Saylor, M.M.: Social audiences can disrupt learning by teaching. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 48, 213–219 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2011.07.004>.

80.

Cockrell, C., Stone, D.N.: Team discourse explains media richness and anonymity effects in audit fraud cue brainstorming. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*. 12, 225–242 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2011.04.001>.

81.

Kerr, N.L., Rumble, A.C., Park, E.S., Ouwerkerk, J.W., Parks, C.D., Gallucci, M., van Lange, P.A.M.: "How many bad apples does it take to spoil the whole barrel?": Social exclusion and toleration for bad apples. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 45, 603–613 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2009.02.017>.

82.

Graziano, W.G., Habashi, M.M.: Motivational Processes Underlying Both Prejudice and Helping. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*. 14, 313–331 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868310361239>.

83.

Davies, K., Tropp, L.R., Aron, A., Pettigrew, T.F., Wright, S.C.: Cross-Group Friendships and Intergroup Attitudes: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*. 15, 332–351 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868311411103>.

84.

Fiske, S.T.: Journey to the edges: Social structures and neural maps of inter-group processes. *British Journal of Social Psychology*. 51, 1-12.

85.

Manstead, A.S.R.: The benefits of a critical stance: A reflection on past papers on the theories of reasoned action and planned behaviour. *British Journal of Social Psychology*. 50, 366-373 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8309.2011.02043.x>.

86.

Miller, J., Haden, P.: *Statistical Analysis with The General Linear Model*, <http://www.uv.es/~friasnav/librofactorial.pdf>, (2006).