

# Politics, Communication and Democracy

View Online



---

1

Curran J, Taylor & Francis Group. Media and power. London: : Routledge 2002.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203417744>

2

Neilsen RK. The Power of Platforms. Inaugural Lecture, Oxford University. Published Online First: 2018.<https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/risj-review/lecture-power-platforms>

3

United Kingdom - Reuters Institute Digital News Report.  
2018.<http://www.digitalnewsreport.org/survey/2018/united-kingdom-2018/>

4

Ananny M. Networked press freedom: creating infrastructures for a public right to hear. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2019.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.7551/mitpress/9780262037747.001.0001/upso-9780262037747>

5

Bell E. Who owns the news consumer: Social media platforms or publishers? Columbia Journalism Review Published Online First: 2016.[https://www.cjr.org/tow\\_center/platforms\\_and\\_publishers\\_new\\_research\\_from\\_the\\_tow\\_center.php](https://www.cjr.org/tow_center/platforms_and_publishers_new_research_from_the_tow_center.php)

6

Sloan L, Quan-Haase A. The Sage handbook of social media research methods. First edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: : SAGE Inc 2016.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://methods.sagepub.com/book/the-sage-handbook-of-social-media-research-methods>

7

Nechushtai E. Could digital platforms capture the media through infrastructure? *Journalism* 2018;**19**:1043–58. doi:10.1177/1464884917725163

8

Reuters Institute Digital News Report 2017. <http://www.digitalnewsreport.org/>

9

Nielsen RK. Varieties of Online Gatekeeping. *SSRN Electronic Journal Published Online First*: 2014. doi:10.2139/ssrn.2675055

10

Kleis Nielsen R, Ganter SA. Dealing with digital intermediaries: A case study of the relations between publishers and platforms. *New Media & Society* 2018;**20**:1600–17. doi:10.1177/1461444817701318

11

Peters C, Broersma MJ, editors. Rethinking journalism again: societal role and public relevance in a digital age. London, [England]: : Routledge 2017.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781317506416>

12

Perloff RM, Ebooks Corporation Limited. The dynamics of political communication: media and politics in a digital age. New York: : Routledge 2014.  
<http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1575976>

13

Pew Research Centre. The Modern News Consumer.  
<http://www.journalism.org/2016/07/07/the-modern-news-consumer/>

14

Pew Research Centre. Election 2016: Campaigns as a Direct Source of News.  
<http://www.journalism.org/topics/2016-election/>

15

Pew Research Centre. The Modern News Consumer.  
<http://www.journalism.org/2016/07/07/the-modern-news-consumer/>

16

Waisbord S. Truth is What Happens to News. *Journalism Studies* 2018;**19**:1866–78.  
doi:10.1080/1461670X.2018.1492881

17

Toril Aalberg and James Curran, editor. *How media inform democracy: a comparative approach*. New York, N.Y.: : Routledge 2012.

18

C. Edwin Baker. *Media, markets, and democracy*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2002.

19

Curran J. *Media and power*. London: : Routledge 2002.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203417744>

20

Geneva Overholser, Kathleen Hall Jamieson, editor. The press. New York, NY: : Oxford University Press 2005.

<http://lib.myilibrary.com?id=84383&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

21

J. Curran, S. Iyengar, A. Brink Lund, et al. Media System, Public Knowledge and Democracy: A Comparative Study. *European Journal of Communication* 2009;**24**:5–26.

doi:10.1177/0267323108098943

22

Graber, Doris. THE MEDIA AND DEMOCRACY: Beyond Myths and Stereotypes. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2003;**6**

:139–60. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=10609378&site=ehost-live>

23

Denis McQuail. *Media performance: mass communication and the public interest*. London: : Sage Publications 1992.

24

Nielsen RK. The One Thing Journalism Just Might do for Democracy. *Journalism Studies* 2017;**18**:1251–62. doi:10.1080/1461670X.2017.1338152

25

M. Scammell. Rethinking the Media's Duties to Democracy: watchdog, information and representation.

2005. <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2017-11/Journalism%20Democracy%20%26%20Public%20Interest.pdf>

26

Michael Schudson. *The power of news*. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1995.

27

Schudson M, Dawson Books. Why democracies need an unlovable press. Cambridge: : Polity Press 2008.

<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780745675312>

28

Frank Esser, Jesper Stromback, editor. Mediatization of politics: understanding the transformation of western democracies. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2014.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.palgraveconnect.com/doi/10.1057/9781137275844>

29

Fred S. Siebert, Theodore Peterson, Wilbur Schramm. Four theories of the press. Chicago, Ill: : University of Illinois Press 1984.

30

John Street. Mass media, politics and democracy. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2011.

31

Jesper Strömbäck. In Search of a Standard: four models of democracy and their normative implications for journalism. Journalism Studies 2005;6:331-45.

doi:10.1080/14616700500131950

32

David Tewksbury, Jason Rittenberg. News on the internet: information and citizenship in the 21st century. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.

33

Van Aelst P, Strömbäck J, Aalberg T, et al. Political communication in a high-choice media

environment: a challenge for democracy? *Annals of the International Communication Association* 2017;**41**:3–27. doi:10.1080/23808985.2017.1288551

34

JOHN ZALLER. A New Standard of News Quality: Burglar Alarms for the Monitorial Citizen. *Political Communication* 2003;**20**:109–30. doi:10.1080/10584600390211136

35

Benjamin R. Barber. *Strong democracy: participatory politics for a new age*. Berkeley: : University of California Press 1984.

36

Robert Alan Dahl. *Democracy and its critics*. New Haven: : Yale University Press 1989.

37

David Held. *Models of democracy*. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Polity 1996.

38

Brady A-M. Plus ça change?: Media Control Under Xi Jinping. *Problems of Post-Communism* 2017;**64**:128–40. doi:10.1080/10758216.2016.1197779

39

Stockmann D. *Media Commercialization and Authoritarian Rule in China*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139087742>

40

Repnikova M. Media Openings and Political Transitions: versus. *Problems of Post-Communism* 2017;**64**:141–51. doi:10.1080/10758216.2017.1307118

41

King G, Pan J, Roberts ME. How the Chinese Government Fabricates Social Media Posts for Strategic Distraction, Not Engaged Argument. *American Political Science Review* 2017;**111**:484-501. doi:10.1017/S0003055417000144

42

Roberts ME. *Censored: distraction and diversion inside China's great firewall*. Princeton, New Jersey: : Princeton University Press 2018.  
<https://press.princeton.edu/titles/11341.html>

43

Liberation Technology. *Journal of Democracy* 2010;**21**:69-83. doi:10.1353/jod.0.0190

44

Egorov G, Guriev S, Sonin K. Why Resource-poor Dictators Allow Freer Media: A Theory and Evidence from Panel Data. *The American Political Science Review* 2009;**103**:645-68.<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/27798530>

45

*Freedom of the Press* 2017.  
2013.<https://freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-press/freedom-press-2017>

46

Guriev S, Treisma D. How Modern Dictators Survive: Cooptation, Censorship, Propaganda, and Repression. CEPR discussion papers Published Online First: 2015.[https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cepr.org/active/publications/discussion\\_papers/dp.php?dpno=10454](https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cepr.org/active/publications/discussion_papers/dp.php?dpno=10454)

47

Daniel C. Hallin, Paolo Mancini. *Comparing media systems beyond the western world*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.

48

Morozov E. *The net delusion: how not to liberate the world*. London: : Allen Lane 2011.

49

Norris P, World Bank, editors. *Public sentinel: news media & governance reform*. Washington, D.C.: : The World Bank 2010.

50

Pearce KE, Kendzior S. Networked Authoritarianism and Social Media in Azerbaijan. *Journal of Communication* 2012;**62**:283–98. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2012.01633.x

51

Reuter OJ, Szakonyi D. Online Social Media and Political Awareness in Authoritarian Regimes. *British Journal of Political Science* 2015;**45**:29–51. doi:10.1017/S0007123413000203

52

Rød EG, Weidmann NB. Empowering activists or autocrats? The Internet in authoritarian regimes. *Journal of Peace Research* 2015;**52**:338–51. doi:10.1177/0022343314555782

53

Brady A-M. Mass Persuasion as a Means of Legitimation and China's Popular Authoritarianism. *American Behavioral Scientist* 2009;**53**:434–57. doi:10.1177/0002764209338802

54

Brady A-M, editor. *China's thought management*. London: : Routledge 2014.

55

Chen Y, Yang DY. *The Impact of Media Censorship: Evidence from a Field Experiment in China*.



2018.[https://calendar.mit.edu/event/the\\_impact\\_of\\_media\\_censorship\\_evidence\\_from\\_a\\_field\\_experiment\\_in\\_china#.XDN7q2ngqUk](https://calendar.mit.edu/event/the_impact_of_media_censorship_evidence_from_a_field_experiment_in_china#.XDN7q2ngqUk)

56

Creemers R. Cyber China: Upgrading Propaganda, Public Opinion Work and Social Management for the Twenty-First Century. *Journal of Contemporary China* 2017;**26**:85–100. doi:10.1080/10670564.2016.1206281

57

Ebooks Corporation Limited. *The Internet, social media, and a changing China*. Philadelphia: : University of Pennsylvania Press 2016.  
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=4540252>

58

Dimitrov MK. The Political Logic of Media Control in China. *Problems of Post-Communism* 2017;**64**:121–7. doi:10.1080/10758216.2017.1318346

59

Hassid J. Safety Valve or Pressure Cooker? Blogs in Chinese Political Life. *Journal of Communication* 2012;**62**:212–30. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2012.01634.x

60

HOBBS WR, ROBERTS ME. How Sudden Censorship Can Increase Access to Information. *American Political Science Review* 2018;**112**:621–36. doi:10.1017/S0003055418000084

61

Huhe N, Tang M, Chen J. Creating Democratic Citizens: Political Effects of the Internet in China. *Political Research Quarterly* 2018;**71**:757–71. doi:10.1177/1065912918764338

62

King G, Pan J, Roberts ME. How Censorship in China Allows Government Criticism but Silences Collective Expression. *American Political Science Review* 2013;**107**:326–43. doi:10.1017/S0003055413000014

63

Peter Lorentzen. China's Strategic Censorship. *American Journal of Political Science* 2014; **58**:402–14. doi:10.1111/ajps.12065

64

MacKinnon R. China's 'Networked Authoritarianism'. *Journal of Democracy* 2011;**22**:32–46. doi:10.1353/jod.2011.0033

65

Meng B, SpringerLink (Online service). *The Politics of Chinese Media: Consensus and Contestation*. New York: : Palgrave Macmillan US 2018. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-46214-5>

66

Miller BA, Gallagher M. *Astroturfing in China: Three Case Studies*. 2016.<http://blakeapm.com/>

67

Qin B, Strömberg D, Wu Y. Why Does China Allow Freer Social Media? Protests versus Surveillance and Propaganda. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives* 2017;**31**.[.http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/44133953](http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/44133953)

68

Rawnsley GD, Rawnsley M-YT, editors. *Routledge handbook of Chinese media*. Paperback edition. London: : Routledge 2018.

69

Repnikova M. Media politics in China: improvising power under authoritarianism. Cambridge, United Kingdom: : University Printing House 2017.

70

Roberts ME, Stewart BM. Localization and Coordination: How Propaganda and Censorship Converge in Chinese Newspapers - Working paper. 2014. <https://www.kellogg.northwestern.edu/~media/Files/Research/fordcenter/text-as-data-2014/RobertsStewartPropaganda.ashx>

71

Susan L. Shirk, editor. Changing media, changing China. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011. <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=602492>

72

D. Stockmann, M. E. Gallagher. Remote Control: How the Media Sustain Authoritarian Rule in China. *Comparative Political Studies* 2011;**44**:436–67. doi:10.1177/0010414010394773

73

Stockmann D. Media Commercialization and Authoritarian Rule in China. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139087742>

74

Sullivan J. China's Weibo: Is faster different? *New Media & Society* 2014;**16**:24–37. doi:10.1177/1461444812472966

75

Tager J, Glenn Bass K, Lopez S. Forbidden Feeds: Government Controls on Social Media in China. 2017. <https://pen.org/research-resources/forbidden-feeds/>

76

L. Tang, H. Sampson. The interaction between mass media and the internet in non-democratic states: The case of China. *Media, Culture & Society* 2012;**34**:457–71. doi:10.1177/0163443711436358

77

Wang H, Sparks C, Lü N, et al. Differences within the mainland Chinese press: a quantitative analysis. *Asian Journal of Communication* 2017;**27**:154–71. doi:10.1080/01292986.2016.1240818

78

Xin X. Popularizing party journalism in China in the age of social media: The case of Xinhua News Agency. *Global Media and China* 2018;**3**:3–17. doi:10.1177/2059436418768331

79

Yang G. Internet Activism & the Party-State in China. *Daedalus* 2014;**143**:110–23. doi:10.1162/DAED\_a\_00276

80

Peidong Y. 'Positive Energy': Hegemonic Intervention and Online Media Discourse in China's Xi Jinping Era. *China: An International Journal* 2018;**16**:1–22. <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://muse.jhu.edu/article/688045>

81

Hallin DC, Mancini P. *Comparing media systems beyond the western world*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.

82

McQuail D. *Media performance: mass communication and the public interest*. London: : Sage Publications 1992. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=34349f5a-ef40-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

83

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication. New York: : Routledge 2011.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

84

Berry M. No alternative to austerity: how BBC broadcast news reported the deficit debate. *Media, Culture & Society* 2016;**38**:844–63. doi:10.1177/0163443715620931

85

Boden H. In Search of Unbiased Reporting in Light of Brexit, Trump and Other Reporting Challenges in the UK and US - Shorenstein Center. Published Online First: 2017.<https://shorensteincenter.org/unbiased-reporting-brexit-trump-uk-us/>

86

Entman RM. Framing Bias: Media in the Distribution of Power. *Journal of Communication* 2007;**57**:163–73. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00336.x

87

Hackett RA. Decline of a paradigm? Bias and objectivity in news media studies. *Critical Studies in Mass Communication* 1984;**1**:229–59. doi:10.1080/15295038409360036

88

Kenski K, Jamieson KH, editors. *The Oxford handbook of political communication*. New York: : Oxford University Press 2014.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001>

89

Starkey G. *Balance and bias in journalism: representation, regulation, and democracy*. Houndmills, Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2007.

90

Aday S, Livingston S, Hebert M. Embedding the Truth. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics* 2005;**10**:3–21. doi:10.1177/1081180X05275727

91

Bell CV, Entman RM. The Media's Role in America's Exceptional Politics of Inequality. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 2011;**16**:548–72. doi:10.1177/1940161211417334

92

Benson R. *Shaping immigration news: a French-American comparison*. New York, NY: : Cambridge University Press 2014.

93

Boykoff MT, Boykoff JM. Climate change and journalistic norms: A case-study of US mass-media coverage. *Geoforum* 2007;**38**:1190–204. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2007.01.008

94

Gamson WA, Modigliani A. Media Discourse and Public Opinion on Nuclear Power: A Constructionist Approach. *American Journal of Sociology* 1989;**95**  
.https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2780405

95

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. *Bad news: Volume 1*. Abingdon: : Routledge 2010. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480

96

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. *Bad news: Volume 1*. Abingdon: : Routledge 2010. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480

97

Lawrence RG. Framing Obesity. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics* 2004;**9**:56–75. doi:10.1177/1081180X04266581

98

US Election Analysis 2016.  
<http://www.electionanalysis2016.us/us-election-analysis-2016/introduction/>

99

Lundström R. Framing fraud: Discourse on benefit cheating in Sweden and the UK. *European Journal of Communication* 2013;**28**:630–45. doi:10.1177/0267323113502737

100

Philo G, Briant E, Donald P. The role of the press in the war on asylum. *Race & Class* 2013;**55**:28–41. doi:10.1177/0306396813497873

101

Roggeband C, Vliegthart R. Divergent framing: The public debate on migration in the Dutch parliament and media, 1995–2004. *West European Politics* 2007;**30**:524–48. doi:10.1080/01402380701276352

102

Rose M, Baumgartner FR. Framing the Poor: Media Coverage and U.S. Poverty Policy, 1960–2008. *Policy Studies Journal* 2013;**41**:22–53. doi:10.1111/psj.12001

103

Semetko HA, Valkenburg PMV. Framing European politics: A Content Analysis of Press and Television News. *Journal of Communication* 2000;**50**:93–109. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2000.tb02843.x

104

Smith D, Deacon D. Immigration and the British news media: Continuity or change? *Sociology Compass* 2018;**12**. doi:10.1111/soc4.12618

105

American Behavioral Scientist : Special Issue Title: Framing Irregular Immigration in Western Media: Voices, Stories and Audience Impact. 2015;**59**  
[.https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://journals.sagepub.com/toc/absb/59/7](https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://journals.sagepub.com/toc/absb/59/7)

106

Van Gorp B. Where is the Frame? European Journal of Communication 2005;**20**:484–507.  
doi:10.1177/0267323105058253

107

Johnston H, Noakes JA, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Frames of protest: social movements and the framing perspective. Lanham, MD: : Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc 2005.  
<http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1322109>

108

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication. New York: : Routledge 2011.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

109

Bennett WL, Lawrence RG, Livingston S. None Dare Call It Torture: Indexing and the Limits of Press Independence in the Abu Ghraib Scandal. Journal of Communication 2006;**56**:467–85. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00296.x

110

Entman RM. Cascading Activation: Contesting the White House's Frame After 9/11. Political Communication 2003;**20**:415–32. doi:10.1080/10584600390244176

111

Shehata A. Facing the Muhammad Cartoons: Official Dominance and Event-Driven News in



Swedish and American Elite Press. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics* 2007;**12**:131–53. doi:10.1177/1081180X07307869

112

Entman RM, Usher N. Framing in a Fractured Democracy: Impacts of Digital Technology on Ideology, Power and Cascading Network Activation. *Journal of Communication* 2018;**68**:298–308. doi:10.1093/joc/jqx019

113

Bennett WL. Toward a Theory of Press-State Relations in the United States. *Journal of Communication* 1990;**40**:103–27. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1990.tb02265.x

114

Bennett WL, Livingston S. Editors' Introduction: A Semi-Independent Press: Government Control and Journalistic Autonomy in the Political Construction of News. *Political Communication* 2003;**20**:359–62. doi:10.1080/10584600390244086

115

Kenski K, Jamieson KH, editors. *The Oxford handbook of political communication*. New York: : Oxford University Press 2014.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001>

116

Ciboh R. Journalists and Political Sources in Nigeria. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 2017;**22**:185–201. doi:10.1177/1940161216681164

117

Cook TE. *Governing with the news: the news media as a political institution*. 2nd ed. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 2005.

118

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. Bad news: Volume 1. Abingdon: : Routledge 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480>

119

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. Bad news: Volume 1. Abingdon: : Routledge 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480>

120

Lawrence RG. Framing Obesity. Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics 2004;**9**:56–75. doi:10.1177/1081180X04266581

121

Lundström R. Framing fraud: Discourse on benefit cheating in Sweden and the UK. European Journal of Communication 2013;**28**:630–45. doi:10.1177/0267323113502737

122

Miller D. Official sources and 'primary definition': the case of Northern Ireland. Media, Culture & Society 1993;**15**:385–406. doi:10.1177/016344393015003004

123

Philo G, Briant E, Donald P. The role of the press in the war on asylum. Race & Class 2013;**55**:28–41. doi:10.1177/0306396813497873

124

Semetko HA, Valkenburg PMV. Framing European politics: A Content Analysis of Press and Television News. Journal of Communication 2000;**50**:93–109. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2000.tb02843.x

125

Carragee KM, Roefs W. The Neglect of Power in Recent Framing Research. Journal of Communication 2004;**54**:214–33. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2004.tb02625.x

126

Chong D, Druckman JN. Framing Theory. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2007;**10**:103–26. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.10.072805.103054

127

Semetko HA, Scammell M. *The SAGE handbook of political communication*. London: : SAGE 2012.

128

Entman RM. Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm. *Journal of Communication* 1993;**43**:51–8. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x

129

Gamson WA, Croteau D, Hoynes W, et al. Media Images and the Social Construction of Reality. *Annual Review of Sociology* 1992;**18**.  
.https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2083459

130

Gamson W, Ryan C. Thinking about Elephants. Toward a Dialogue with George Lakoff. *Public Eye Magazine* Published Online First: 2005.  
[http://www.publiceye.org/magazine/v19n2/gamson\\_elephants.html](http://www.publiceye.org/magazine/v19n2/gamson_elephants.html)

131

Goffman E. *Frame analysis: an essay on the organization of experience*. Northeastern University Press ed. Boston: : Northeastern University Press 1986.

132

Pan Z, Kosicki G. Framing analysis: An approach to news discourse. *Political Communication* 1993;**10**:55–75. doi:10.1080/10584609.1993.9962963

133

Perloff RM. The dynamics of political communication: media and politics in a digital age. Second edition. New York, NY: : Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group 2018.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5108778>

134

Reese SD, Gandy OH, Grant AE. Framing public life: perspectives on media and our understanding of the social world. Mahwah, N.J.: : Lawrence Erlbaum Associates 2003.

135

Van Gorp B. The Constructionist Approach to Framing: Bringing Culture Back In. *Journal of Communication* 2007;**57**:60–78. doi:10.1111/j.0021-9916.2007.00329.x

136

Vliegenthart R, van Zoonen L. Power to the frame: Bringing sociology back to frame analysis. *European Journal of Communication* 2011;**26**:101–15.  
doi:10.1177/0267323111404838

137

Bucy EP, Holbert RL. Sourcebook for political communication research: methods, measures, and analytical techniques. New York: : Routledge 2011.

138

Matthes J, Kohring M. The Content Analysis of Media Frames: Toward Improving Reliability and Validity. *Journal of Communication* 2008;**58**:258–79.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2008.00384.x

139

Murray R. Cracking the highest glass ceiling: a global comparison of women's campaigns for executive office. Santa Barbara, Calif: : Praeger Publishers 2010.  
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780313382499>

140

Harp D, Loke J, Bachmann I. Hillary Clinton's Benghazi Hearing Coverage: Political Competence, Authenticity, and the Persistence of the Double Bind. *Women's Studies in Communication* 2016;**39**:193-210. doi:10.1080/07491409.2016.1171267

141

Higgins M, McKay FM. Gender and the development of a political persona: The case of Scottish First Minister Nicola Sturgeon. *British Politics* 2016;**11**:283-300. doi:10.1057/bp.2015.44

142

Miller MK, Peake JS. Press Effects, Public Opinion, and Gender. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 2013;**18**:482-507. doi:10.1177/1940161213495456

143

McGregor SC, Lawrence RG, Cardona A. Personalization, gender, and social media: gubernatorial candidates' social media strategies. *Information, Communication & Society* 2017;**20**:264-83. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2016.1167228

144

Meeks L. Is She "Man Enough"? Women Candidates, Executive Political Offices, and News Coverage. *Journal of Communication* 2012;**62**:175-93. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2011.01621.x

145

Perry S. Gender Difference in French Political Communication: From Handicap to Asset? *Modern & Contemporary France* 2005;**13**:337-52. doi:10.1080/09639480500177773

146

Ward O. Intersectionality and Press Coverage of Political Campaigns. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 2017;**22**:43-66. doi:10.1177/1940161216673195

147

Counting Women In - Centre for Women and Democracy.  
<http://www.cfdw.org.uk/current-projects/counting-women-in>

148

Women and Journalism | Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism.  
<https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/our-research/women-and-journalism>

149

Aaldering L, Van Der Pas DJ. Political Leadership in the Media: Gender Bias in Leader Stereotypes during Campaign and Routine Times. *British Journal of Political Science* 2018;:1–21. doi:10.1017/S0007123417000795

150

Aday S, Devitt J. Style over Substance: Newspaper Coverage of Elizabeth Dole's Presidential Bid. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics* 2001;**6**:52–73. doi:10.1177/108118001129172134

151

Adcock C. The Politician, The Wife, The Citizen, and her Newspaper. *Feminist Media Studies* 2010;**10**:135–59. doi:10.1080/14680771003672254

152

Bachmann I, Harp D, Loke J. Covering Clinton (2010–2015): meaning-making strategies in US magazine covers. *Feminist Media Studies* 2018;**18**:793–809. doi:10.1080/14680777.2017.1358204

153

Bauer NM. Emotional, Sensitive, and Unfit for Office? Gender Stereotype Activation and Support Female Candidates. *Political Psychology* 2015;**36**:691–708. doi:10.1111/pops.12186

154

Campus D. *Women political leaders and the media*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.palgraveconnect.com/doi/10.1057/9781137295545>

155

Carroll SJ. Reflections on Gender and Hillary Clinton's Presidential Campaign: The Good, the Bad, and the Misogynic. *Politics & Gender* 2009;**5**. doi:10.1017/S1743923X09000014

156

Craig SC, Rippere PS. He Said, She Said: The Impact of Candidate Gender in Negative Campaigns. *Politics & Gender* 2016;**12**:391–414. doi:10.1017/S1743923X15000550

157

Dunaway J, Lawrence RG, Rose M, et al. Traits versus Issues. *Political Research Quarterly* 2013;**66**:715–26. doi:10.1177/1065912913491464

158

Escobar-Lemmon MC, Hoekstra V, Kang A, et al. Just the Facts? Media Coverage of Female and Male High Court Appointees in Five Democracies. *Politics & Gender* 2016;**12**:254–74. doi:10.1017/S1743923X16000234

159

Fahey AC. French and Feminine: Hegemonic Masculinity and the Emasculation of John Kerry in the 2004 Presidential Race. *Critical Studies in Media Communication* 2007;**24**:132–50. doi:10.1080/07393180701262743

160

Calhoun CJ. *Habermas and the public sphere*. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 1992.

161

Garcia-Blanco I, Wahl-Jorgensen K. The Discursive Construction of Women Politicians in the European Press. *Feminist Media Studies* 2012;**12**:422–41. doi:10.1080/14680777.2011.615636

162

Hayes D, Lawless JL. A Non-Gendered Lens? Media, Voters, and Female Candidates in Contemporary Congressional Elections. *Perspectives on Politics* 2015;**13**:95–118. doi:10.1017/S1537592714003156

163

Hayes D, Lawless JL. *Women on the run: gender, media, and political campaigns in a polarized era*. New York NY: : Cambridge University Press 2016.

164

Heflick NA, Goldenberg JL. Objectifying Sarah Palin: Evidence that objectification causes women to be perceived as less competent and less fully human. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 2009;**45**:598–601. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2009.02.008

165

Heflick NA, Goldenberg JL, Cooper DP, et al. From women to objects: Appearance focus, target gender, and perceptions of warmth, morality and competence. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 2011;**47**:572–81. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2010.12.020

166

Jamieson KH. *Beyond the double bind: women and leadership*. New York, NY: : Oxford University Press 1995.

167

Kahn KF. *The political consequences of being a woman: how stereotypes influence the conduct and consequences of political campaigns*. New York: : Columbia University Press



1996.

168

Kittilson MC, Fridkin K. Gender, Candidate Portrayals and Election Campaigns: A Comparative Perspective. *Politics & Gender* 2008;**4**. doi:10.1017/S1743923X08000330

169

Lachover E. Signs of change in media representation of women in Israeli politics: Leading and peripheral women contenders. *Journalism: Theory, Practice & Criticism* 2017;**18**:446–63. doi:10.1177/1464884915610991

170

Lawrence RG, Rose M. *Hillary Clinton's race for the White House: gender politics and the media on the campaign trail*. Boulder, Colo: : Lynne Rienner Publishers 2010.

171

Ross K, Comrie M. The rules of the (leadership) game: Gender, politics and news. *Journalism: Theory, Practice & Criticism* 2012;**13**:969–84. doi:10.1177/1464884911433255

172

Meeks L. Getting Personal: Effects of Twitter Personalization on Candidate Evaluations. *Politics & Gender* 2017;**13**:1–25. doi:10.1017/S1743923X16000696

173

Semetko HA, Boomgaarden HG. Reporting Germany's 2005 Bundestag Election Campaign: Was Gender an Issue? *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics* 2007;**12**:154–71. doi:10.1177/1081180X07307383

174

Thomas ML, Bittner A, editors. *Mothers and others: the role of parenthood in politics*.

Vancouver, BC: : UBC Press 2018.

175

Trimble L, Wagner A, Sampert S, et al. Is It Personal? Gendered Mediation in Newspaper Coverage of Canadian National Party Leadership Contests, 1975–2012. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 2013;**18**:462–81. doi:10.1177/1940161213495455

176

Trimble L, Raphael D, Sampert S, et al. Politicizing Bodies: Hegemonic Masculinity, Heteronormativity, and Racism in News Representations of Canadian Political Party Leadership Candidates. *Women's Studies in Communication* 2015;**38**:314–30. doi:10.1080/07491409.2015.1062836

177

Trimble LJ (Linda J. Ms. Prime Minister: gender, media, and leadership. Toronto, ON: : University of Toronto Press 2017.

178

Valenzuela S, Correa T. Press Coverage and Public Opinion On Women Candidates. *International Communication Gazette* 2009;**71**:203–23. doi:10.1177/1748048508100913

179

Zoonen L van. *Entertaining the citizen: when politics and popular culture converge*. Lanham, Md: : Rowman & Littlefield 2005.

180

Wagner A, Trimble L, Sampert S, et al. Gender, Competitiveness, and Candidate Visibility in Newspaper Coverage of Canadian Party Leadership Contests. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 2017;**22**:471–89. doi:10.1177/1940161217723150

181

Ward O. Seeing Double: Race, Gender, and Coverage of Minority Women's Campaigns for the U.S. House of Representatives. *Politics & Gender* 2016;**12**:317–43. doi:10.1017/S1743923X16000222

182

Wasburn PC, Wasburn MH. Media coverage of women in politics: The curious case of Sarah Palin. *Media, Culture & Society* 2011;**33**:1027–41. doi:10.1177/0163443711415744

183

Kenski K, Jamieson KH, editors. *The Oxford handbook of political communication*. New York: : Oxford University Press 2014. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001>

184

Wolfsfeld G. *Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication*. New York: : Routledge 2011. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

185

Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. *\*\*Trump and the media*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2018. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880>

186

W. Lance Bennett, Shanto Iyengar. A New Era of Minimal Effects? The Changing Foundations of Political Communication. *Journal of Communication* 2008;**58**:707–31. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2008.00410.x

187

Heinz Brandenburg, Marcel Van Egmond. Pressed into Party Support? Media Influence on Partisan Attitudes during the 2005 UK General Election Campaign. *British Journal of Political Science* 2012;**42**:441–63. doi:10.1017/S0007123411000445

188

Curran J. Media and power. London: : Routledge 2002.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203417744>

189

D. T. Denver. Elections and voters in Britain. 3rd ed. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2012.

190

David M. Farrell & Rüdiger Schmitt-Beck, editor. Do political campaigns matter?: campaign effects in elections and referendums. London: : Routledge 2002.

191

Iyengar S, Kinder DR. News that matters: television and American opinion. Updated ed. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 2010.

192

Kathleen Hall Jamieson. Everything you think you know about politics-- and why you're wrong. New York: : Basic Books 2000.

193

Paul Felix Lazarsfeld, Bernard Berelson, Hazel Gaudet. The people's choice: how the voter makes up his mind in a presidential campaign. 3d ed. New York: : Columbia University Press 1965.

194

Paul Manning. News and news sources: a critical introduction. London: : SAGE 2001.

195

Doris A. Graber. *Media power in politics*. 6th ed. Washington, DC: : CQ Press 2011.

196

William Lockley Miller. *Media and voters: the audience, content and influence of press and television at the 1987 General Election*. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1991.

197

Diana Carole Mutz. *Impersonal influence: how perceptions of mass collectives affect political attitudes*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1998.

198

D. C. Mutz, L. Young. *Communication and Public Opinion: Plus Ca Change?* *Public Opinion Quarterly* 2011; **75**:1018–44. doi:10.1093/poq/nfr052

199

NEWTON K. *May the weak force be with you: The power of the mass media in modern politics*. *European Journal of Political Research* 2006; **45**:209–34. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2006.00296.x

200

Pippa Norris. *On message: communicating the campaign*. London: : Sage 1999.

201

Samuel L. Popkin. *The reasoning voter: communication and persuasion in presidential campaigns*. 2nd ed. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 1991.

202

John Street. *Mass media, politics, and democracy*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave 2001.

203

Lynn Vavreck. The message matters: the economy and presidential campaigns. Princeton, NJ: : Princeton University Press 2009.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781400830480>

204

Lynda Lee Kaid. Handbook of political communication research. Mahwah, N.J.: : Lawrence Erlbaum Associates 2004.

205

Lawrence Leduc. Opinion change and voting behaviour in referendums. European Journal of Political Research 2002;**41**:711–32. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.00027

206

Schuck ART, de Vreese CH. Reversed Mobilization in Referendum Campaigns: How Positive News Framing Can Mobilize the Skeptics. The International Journal of Press/Politics 2008;**14**:40–66. doi:10.1177/1940161208326926

207

C. H. de Vreese, Holli A. Semetko. Political campaigning in referendums: framing the referendum issue. London: : Routledge 2004.

208

C. H. de Vreese. The dynamics of referendum campaigns: an international perspective. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2007.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780230591189>

209

M. Wettstein. Frame Adoption in Referendum Campaigns: The Effect of News Coverage on

the Public Saliency of Issue Interpretations. *American Behavioral Scientist* 2012;**56**:318–33.  
doi:10.1177/0002764211426328

210

Chadwick A. *The hybrid media system: politics and power*. Second edition. New York: : Oxford University Press 2017.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190696726.001.0001>

211

Grasseger H, Krogerus M. The Data That Turned the World Upside Down. Motherboard Published Online First: 2017.  
[https://motherboard.vice.com/en\\_us/article/mg9vvn/how-our-likes-helped-trump-win](https://motherboard.vice.com/en_us/article/mg9vvn/how-our-likes-helped-trump-win)

212

Baldwin-Philippi J. The Myths of Data-Driven Campaigning. *Political Communication* 2017; **34**:627–33. doi:10.1080/10584609.2017.1372999

213

50,000 Heck\*ng Facebook Ad Variants?! – Steve Olson – Medium.  
<https://medium.com/@steveolson/50-000-heck-ng-facebook-ad-variants-31eed233ac4a>

214

Chadwick A, Howard PN, Dawson Books. *Routledge handbook of internet politics*. London: : Routledge 2010.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780203962541>

215

Vaccari C, Ebooks Corporation Limited. *Digital politics in Western democracies: a comparative study*. Baltimore, Maryland: : Johns Hopkins University Press 2013.  
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=3318750>

216

Klinger U, Russmann U. "Beer is more efficient than social media"—Political parties and strategic communication in Austrian and Swiss national elections. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics* 2017;**14**:299–313. doi:10.1080/19331681.2017.1369919

217

Magin M, Podschuweit N, Haßler J, et al. Campaigning in the fourth age of political communication. A multi-method study on the use of Facebook by German and Austrian parties in the 2013 national election campaigns. *Information, Communication & Society* 2017;**20**:1698–719. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2016.1254269

218

Theviot A. Towards a standardization of campaign strategies dictated by the Obama 'model'? The case of 'American-style' canvassing during the 2012 French presidential election campaign. *French Politics* 2016;**14**:158–77. doi:10.1057/fp.2016.7

219

Digital-Born and Legacy News Media on Twitter during the UK General Election. <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2017-11/Digital-Born%20and%20Legacy%20News%20Media%20UK%20Factsheet.pdf>

220

Volume 14, Issue 2, June 2016. Volume 14, Issue 2, June 2016  
<https://link-springer-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/journal/41253/14/2>

221

Jungherr A. Four Functions of Digital Tools in Election Campaigns. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 2016;**21**:358–77. doi:10.1177/1940161216642597

222

Magin M, Podschuweit N, Haßler J, et al. Campaigning in the fourth age of political communication. A multi-method study on the use of Facebook by German and Austrian parties in the 2013 national election campaigns. *Information, Communication & Society*



2017;**20**:1698–719. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2016.1254269

223

Magin M, Podschuweit N, Haßler J, et al. Campaigning in the fourth age of political communication. A multi-method study on the use of Facebook by German and Austrian parties in the 2013 national election campaigns. *Information, Communication & Society* 2017;**20**:1698–719. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2016.1254269

224

Dommett K, Temple L. Digital Campaigning: The Rise of Facebook and Satellite Campaigns. *Parliamentary Affairs* 2018;**71**:189–202. doi:10.1093/pa/gsx056

225

Wring D, Mortimore R, Atkinson S, editors. *Political Communication in Britain*. Cham: : Springer International Publishing 2017. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-40934-4

226

Holtz-Bacha C, Just MR. *Routledge handbook of political advertising*. New York, NY: : Routledge 2017.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315694504>

227

Alexander JC. *The performance of politics: Obama's victory and the democratic struggle for power*. New York: : Oxford University Press 2010.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199744466.001.0001>

228

Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. *Trump and the media*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2018.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880>

229

Enli G. Twitter as arena for the authentic outsider: exploring the social media campaigns of Trump and Clinton in the 2016 US presidential election. *European Journal of Communication* 2017;**32**:50–61. doi:10.1177/0267323116682802

230

Karpf D. Digital politics after Trump. *Annals of the International Communication Association* 2017;**41**:198–207. doi:10.1080/23808985.2017.1316675

231

Faris RM, Roberts H, Etling B, et al. Partisanship, Propaganda, and Disinformation: Online Media and the 2016 U.S. Presidential Election. Published Online First: 2017. <https://cyber.harvard.edu/publications/2017/08/mediacloud>

232

Issenberg S. *The victory lab: the secret science of winning campaigns*. First paperback edition. New York: : B/D/W/Y, Broadway Books 2013.

233

Kim YM, Hsu J, Neiman D, et al. The stealth media? Groups and targets behind divisive issue campaigns on Facebook. *Political Communication* 2018;**35**:515–41. doi:10.1080/10584609.2018.1476425

234

Kreiss D, McGregor SC. Technology firms shape political communication: The work of Microsoft, Facebook, Twitter, and Google with campaigns during the 2016 US presidential cycle. *Political Communication* 2018;**35**:155–77. doi:10.1080/10584609.2017.1364814

235

Kreiss D. Trump, Breitbart, and the Rejection of Multicultural Democracy – Medium. Published Online First: 2017. <https://medium.com/@dkreiss/trump-breitbart-and-the-rejection-of-multicultural-democracy-90f3f776bebd>

236

Lawrence RG, Boydston AE. What We Should Really Be Asking About Media Attention to Trump. *Political Communication* 2017;**34**:150–3. doi:10.1080/10584609.2016.1262700

237

Lilleker D, Jackson D, Thorsen E, et al., editors. *US Election Analysis 2016: Media, Voters and the Campaign*. Bournemouth University, Fern Barrow, Poole, Dorset, BH12 5BB, UK 2016. <http://www.electionanalysis2016.us/>

238

Patterson TE. Pre-Primary News Coverage of the 2016 Presidential Race: Trump's Rise, Sanders' Emergence, Clinton's Struggle - Shorenstein Centre on Media, Politics and Public Policy. Published Online First: 2016. <https://shorensteincenter.org/pre-primary-news-coverage-2016-trump-clinton-sanders>

239

Penney J. Social Media and Citizen Participation in "Official" and "Unofficial" Electoral Promotion: A Structural Analysis of the 2016 Bernie Sanders Digital Campaign. *Journal of Communication* 2017;**67**:402–23. doi:10.1111/jcom.12300

240

Sides J, Farrell H. *The Science of Trump: Explaining the Rise of an Unlikely Candidate*. The Monkey Cage 2016. <https://www.amazon.co.uk/Science-Trump-Explaining-Unlikely-Candidate-ebook/dp/B01IL9DTG8>

241

Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. *Trump and the media*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2018. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880>

242

Wells C, Shah DV, Pevehouse JC, et al. How Trump Drove Coverage to the Nomination: Hybrid Media Campaigning. *Political Communication* 2016;**33**:669–76.  
doi:10.1080/10584609.2016.1224416

243

Blumler JG. Guest contribution by Jay G. Blumler: "The Fourth Age of Political Communication". 2013.  
<http://www.fgpk.de/en/2013/gastbeitrag-von-jay-g-blumler-the-fourth-age-of-political-communication-2/>

244

Downs WM. There Goes the Neighbourhood? The 'Americanisation' of Elections, with Evidence from Scotland's Parliament. *Parliamentary Affairs* 2012;**65**:758–77.  
doi:10.1093/pa/gsr034

245

Caramani D, editor. *Comparative politics*. Fourth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2017.

246

Esser F, Pfetsch B. *Comparing political communication: theories, cases, and challenges*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2004.

247

Holtz-Bacha C, Just MR. *Routledge handbook of political advertising*. New York, NY: : Routledge 2017.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315694504>

248

Norris P. *A virtuous circle: political communications in postindustrial societies*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2000.

249

Scammell M. Consumer democracy: the marketing of politics. New York: : Cambridge University Press 2014.

<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139046107>

250

Strömbäck J, Kaid LL. The handbook of election news coverage around the world. New York: : Routledge 2008.

251

Reinemann C, editor. Political communication. Berlin: : De Gruyter Mouton 2014.

252

Swanson DL, Mancini P. Politics, media, and modern democracy: an international study of innovations in electoral campaigning and their consequences. Westport, Conn: : Praeger 1996.

253

Tenscher J, Mykkänen J, Moring T. Modes of professional campaigning: A four country comparison in the European parliamentary elections, 2009. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 2012;**17**:145–68. doi:10.1177/1940161211433839

254

Tenscher J, Koc-Michalska K, Lilleker DG, et al. The professionals speak: Practitioners' perspectives on professional election campaigning. *European Journal of Communication* 2016;**31**:95–119. doi:10.1177/0267323115612212

255

West DM, Brookings Institution. Air wars: television advertising and social media in election campaigns, 1952-2016. 7 edition. Thousand Oaks, California: : SAGE/CQ Press 2018.

256

Baldwin-Philippi J. Using technology, building democracy: digital campaigning and the construction of citizenship. New York: : Oxford University Press 2015.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190231910.001.0001>

257

Bennett WL, Segerberg A, Knüpfner CB. The democratic interface: technology, political organization, and diverging patterns of electoral representation. *Information, Communication & Society* 2018;**21**:1655–80. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2017.1348533

258

Bruns A, Enli G, Skogerbø E, et al., editors. The Routledge companion to social media and politics. London: : Routledge 2015.  
<http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=4218813>

259

Chadwick A, Stromer-Galley J, editors. Special Issue Title: Digital Media, Power, and Democracy in Election Campaigns. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*;**21**.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://journals.sagepub.com/toc/hijb/21/3>

260

Dimitrova DV, Matthes J. Social Media in Political Campaigning Around the World: Theoretical and Methodological Challenges. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly* 2018;**95**:333–42. doi:10.1177/1077699018770437

261

Freelon D, Karpf D. Of big birds and bayonets: hybrid Twitter interactivity in the 2012 Presidential debates. *Information, Communication & Society* 2015;**18**:390–406.  
doi:10.1080/1369118X.2014.952659

262

Gibson RK, McAllister I. Normalising or equalising party competition? Assessing the impact of the web on election campaigning. *Political Studies* 2015;**63**:529–47.  
doi:10.1111/1467-9248.12107

263

Karpf D. *The MoveOn effect: the unexpected transformation of American political advocacy*. New York: : Oxford University Press 2012.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199898367.001.0001>

264

Karpf D. *Analytic activism: digital listening and the new political strategy*. New York, NY: : Oxford University Press 2016.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190266127.001.0001>

265

Kreiss D. *Taking our country back: the crafting of networked politics from Howard Dean to Barack Obama*. New York: : Oxford University Press 2012.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199782536.001.0001>

266

Coleman S, Freelon D, editors. *Handbook of digital politics*. Cheltenham: : Edward Elgar Publishing Limited 2015.

267

Kreiss D. *Prototype politics: technology-intense campaigning and the data of democracy*. New York, NY: : Oxford University Press 2016.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199350247.001.0001>

268

Kreiss D. *Seizing the moment: The presidential campaigns' use of Twitter during the 2012*

electoral cycle. *New Media & Society* 2016;**18**:1473–90. doi:10.1177/1461444814562445

269

Lilleker DG, Jackson NA. *Political campaigning, elections and the internet: comparing the US, UK, France and Germany*. London: : Routledge 2011.

270

Lilleker DG, Tenscher J, Štětka V. Towards hypermedia campaigning? Perceptions of new media's importance for campaigning by party strategists in comparative perspective. *Information, Communication & Society* 2015;**18**: 747–65. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2014.993679

271

Nielsen RK. *Ground wars: personalized communication in political campaigns*. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 2012.

272

Stromer-Galley J. *Presidential campaigning in the Internet age*. New York: : Oxford University Press 2014.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199731930.001.0001>

273

Vaccari C. From echo chamber to persuasive device? Rethinking the role of the Internet in campaigns. *New Media & Society* 2013;**15**:109–27. doi:10.1177/1461444812457336

274

Vaccari C. *Digital politics in Western democracies: a comparative study*. Baltimore, Maryland: : Johns Hopkins University Press 2013.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=3318750>



275

Fisher J, editor. The Routledge handbook of elections, voting behavior and public opinion. London, [England]: : Routledge 2018.  
<https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315712390>

276

Dawson Books. Populist political communication in Europe. New York, NY: : Routledge 2017.  
<https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781315623016>

277

US Election Analysis 2016.  
<http://www.electionanalysis2016.us/us-election-analysis-2016/introduction/>

278

Mark Rice-Oxley. How to spot a populist. Guardian Published Online First: 3 December 2018.  
<https://www.theguardian.com/news/2018/dec/03/what-is-populism-trump-farage-orban-bolsonaro>

279

Ernst N, Esser F, Blassnig S, et al. Favorable Opportunity Structures for Populist Communication: Comparing Different Types of Politicians and Issues in Social Media, Television and the Press. The International Journal of Press/Politics Published Online First: 22 December 2018. doi:10.1177/1940161218819430

280

Wettstein M, Esser F, Schulz A, et al. News Media as Gatekeepers, Critics, and Initiators of Populist Communication: How Journalists in Ten Countries Deal with the Populist Challenge. The International Journal of Press/Politics 2018;**23**:476–95.  
doi:10.1177/1940161218785979

281

Albertazzi D, McDonnell D. Twenty-first century populism: the spectre of Western European democracy. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2008.

282

Elena Block. The Populist Communication Style: Toward a Critical Framework. *International Journal of Communication* 2017;**11**.<https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/5820>

283

Bos L, van der Brug W, de Vreese C. How the Media Shape Perceptions of Right-Wing Populist Leaders. *Political Communication* 2011;**28**:182–206.  
doi:10.1080/10584609.2011.564605

284

Bos L, Brants K. Populist rhetoric in politics and media: A longitudinal study of the Netherlands. *European Journal of Communication* 2014;**29**:703–19.  
doi:10.1177/0267323114545709

285

de Vreese CH, Esser F, Aalberg T, et al. Populism as an Expression of Political Communication Content and Style: A New Perspective. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 2018;**23**:423–38. doi:10.1177/1940161218790035

286

Jagers J, Walgrave S. Populism as political communication style: An empirical study of political parties' discourse in Belgium. *European Journal of Political Research* 2007;**46**:319–45. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2006.00690.x

287

Jensen MJ, Bang HP. Populism and Connectivism: An Analysis of the Sanders and Trump Nomination Campaigns. *Journal of Political Marketing* 2017;**16**:343–64.  
doi:10.1080/15377857.2017.1345846

288

Kavada A. Editorial: media and the 'populist moment'. *Media, Culture & Society* 2018;**40**:742–4. doi:10.1177/0163443718772144

289

ProQuest (Firm). *Trump and the media*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2018. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880>

290

Mazzoleni G, Stewart J, Horsfield B. *The media and neo-populism: a contemporary comparative analysis*. Westport, Conn: : Praeger 2003.

291

Murphy J, Devine D. Does Media Coverage Drive Public Support for UKIP or Does Public Support for UKIP Drive Media Coverage? *British Journal of Political Science* 2018;:1–18. doi:10.1017/S0007123418000145

292

Rooduijn M. The Mesmerising Message: The Diffusion of Populism in Public Debates in Western European Media. *Political Studies* 2014;**62**:726–44. doi:10.1111/1467-9248.12074

293

Thesen G. News content and populist radical right party support. The case of Denmark. *Electoral Studies* 2018;**56**:80–9. doi:10.1016/j.electstud.2018.09.003

294

Vliementhart R, Boomgaarden HG, Van Spanje J. Anti-Immigrant Party Support and Media Visibility: A Cross-Party, Over-Time Perspective. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties* 2012;**22**:315–58. doi:10.1080/17457289.2012.693933

295

Wirth W. The appeal of populist ideas, strategies and styles: A theoretical model and research design for analyzing populist political communication — NCCR Democracy. 2016. <http://www.nccr-democracy.uzh.ch/publications/workingpaper/wp88>

296

Wood M, Corbett J, Flinders M. Just like us: Everyday celebrity politicians and the pursuit of popularity in an age of anti-politics. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations* 2016;**18**:581–98. doi:10.1177/1369148116632182

297

Political populism: a handbook, Heinisch: Christina Holtz-Bacha, Oscar Mazzoleni (eds.). 1st edition. Baden-Baden: : Nomos 2017.

298

Inglehart R, Norris P. Trump, Brexit, and the Rise of Populism: Economic Have-Nots and Cultural Backlash. *SSRN Electronic Journal* Published Online First: 2016. doi:10.2139/ssrn.2818659

299

Rovira Kaltwasser C, Taggart PA, Ochoa Espejo P, et al., editors. *The Oxford handbook of populism*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2017. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198803560.001.0001>

300

Müller J-W. *What is populism?* Philadelphia: : University of Pennsylvania Press 2016.

301

March L. Left and right populism compared: The British case. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations* 2017;**19**:282–303. doi:10.1177/1369148117701753

302

Moffitt B, Tormey S. Rethinking Populism: Politics, Mediatisation and Political Style. *Political Studies* 2014;**62**:381–97. doi:10.1111/1467-9248.12032

303

Mudde C. The Populist Zeitgeist. *Government and Opposition* 2004;**39**:542–63. doi:10.1111/j.1477-7053.2004.00135.x

304

Mudde C, Rovira Kaltwasser C. *Populism in Europe and the Americas: Threat or Corrective for Democracy?* Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139152365>

305

Mudde C, Rovira Kaltwasser C. *Populism: a very short introduction*. New York: : Oxford University Press 2017.

306

Oliver JE, Rahn WM. Rise of the. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 2016;**667**:189–206. doi:10.1177/0002716216662639

307

Pauwels T. Measuring Populism: A Quantitative Text Analysis of Party Literature in Belgium. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties* 2011;**21**:97–119. doi:10.1080/17457289.2011.539483

308

Rooduijn M, Pauwels T. Measuring Populism: Comparing Two Methods of Content Analysis. *West European Politics* 2011;**34**:1272–83. doi:10.1080/01402382.2011.616665

309

Snow D, Moffitt B. Straddling the divide: mainstream populism and conservatism in Howard's Australia and Harper's Canada. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics* 2012;**50**:271-92. doi:10.1080/14662043.2012.692922

310

Stoker G, Hay C. Understanding and Challenging Populist Negativity towards Politics: The Perspectives of British Citizens. *Political Studies* 2017;**65**:4-23. doi:10.1177/0032321715607511

311

Weyland K. Clarifying a Contested Concept: Populism in the Study of Latin American Politics. *Comparative Politics* 2001;**34**. doi:10.2307/422412

312

Sevenans J. One concept, many interpretations: the media's causal roles in political agenda-setting processes. *European Political Science Review* 2018;**10**:245-65. doi:10.1017/S1755773917000078

313

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication. New York: : Routledge 2011. <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

314

Dekker R, Scholten P. Framing the Immigration Policy Agenda. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 2017;**22**:202-22. doi:10.1177/1940161216688323

315

Elmelund-Præstekær C, Wien C. What's the Fuss About? The Interplay of Media Hypes and Politics. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 2008;**13**:247-66. doi:10.1177/1940161208319292

316

Ihlen Ø, Thorbjørnsrud K. Making news and influencing decisions: Three threshold cases concerning forced return of immigrants. *European Journal of Communication* 2014;**29**:139–52. doi:10.1177/0267323114523149

317

Melenhorst L. The Media's Role in Lawmaking. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 2015;**20**:297–316. doi:10.1177/1940161215581924

318

Milne K. Manufacturing dissent : single-issue protest, the public and the press. *Demos* 2005. <https://www.demos.co.uk/files/manufacturingdissent.pdf>

319

Robinson P. Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics. *European Journal of Communication* 2001;**16**:523–44. doi:10.1177/0267323101016004005

320

Aday S, Livingston S. Taking the state out of state—media relations theory: how transnational advocacy networks are changing the press—state dynamic. *Media, War & Conflict* 2008;**1**:99–107. doi:10.1177/1750635207087630

321

Reinemann C, editor. *Political communication*. Berlin: : De Gruyter Mouton 2014.

322

Van Aelst P, Walgrave S. Information and Arena: The Dual Function of the News Media for Political Elites. *Journal of Communication* 2016;**66**:496–518. doi:10.1111/jcom.12229

323

Iyengar S, Reeves R. Do the media govern?: politicians, voters, and reporters in America. Thousand Oaks, Calif: : SAGE Publications 1997.

324

Schnell KC Frauke. Assessing the Democratic Debate: How the News Media Frame Elite Policy Discourse. *Political Communication* 2001;**18**:183–213.  
doi:10.1080/105846001750322970

325

Joly J. Do the Media Influence Foreign Aid Because or in Spite of the Bureaucracy? A Case Study of Belgian Aid Determinants. *Political Communication* 2014;**31**:584–603.  
doi:10.1080/10584609.2013.879361

326

Jones BD, Baumgartner FR. From There to Here: Punctuated Equilibrium to the General Punctuation Thesis to a Theory of Government Information Processing. *Policy Studies Journal* 2012;**40**:1–20. doi:10.1111/j.1541-0072.2011.00431.x

327

Kenamer JD. Public opinion, the press, and public policy. Paperback ed. Westport, Conn: : Praeger 1994.

328

Kingdon JW. Agendas, alternatives, and public policies. 2nd ed. New York: : Longman 2003.

329

Koch-Baumgarten S, Voltmer K. Public policy and mass media: the interplay of mass communication and political decision making. London: : Routledge/ECPR 2010.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=257161&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>



330

Koch-Baumgarten S, Voltmer K. Public policy and mass media: the interplay of mass communication and political decision making. London: : Routledge/ECPR 2010.

331

Page BI, Shapiro RY. The rational public: fifty years of trends in Americans' policy preferences. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 1992.

332

Walgrave S, Soroka S, Nuytemans M. The Mass Media's Political Agenda-Setting Power. *Comparative Political Studies* 2008;**41**:814-36. doi:10.1177/0010414006299098

333

Walgrave S, Van Aelst P. The Contingency of the Mass Media's Political Agenda Setting Power: Toward a Preliminary Theory. *Journal of Communication* 2006;**56**:88-109. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00005.x

334

Yanovitzky I. Effects of News Coverage on Policy Attention and Actions. *Communication Research* 2002;**29**:422-51. doi:10.1177/0093650202029004003

335

Bennett WL, Paletz DL. Taken by storm: the media, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy in the Gulf War. Chicago, Ill: : University of Chicago Press 1994.

336

Gilboa E. The CNN Effect: The Search for a Communication Theory of International Relations. *Political Communication* 2005;**22**:27-44. doi:10.1080/10584600590908429

337

Livingston S. Clarifying the CNN Effect: An Examination of Media Effects According to Type of Military Intervention - Shorenstein Center. 1997; **Research Paper R-18**  
[.https://shorensteincenter.org/clarifying-the-cnn-effect-media-effects-and-military-intervention/](https://shorensteincenter.org/clarifying-the-cnn-effect-media-effects-and-military-intervention/)

338

Robinson P. The CNN effect: the myth of news foreign policy and intervention. London: : Routledge 2002.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=10716&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

339

Robinson P. The CNN effect: the myth of news foreign policy and intervention. New York: : Routledge 2002.

340

Wolfsfeld G. Media and political conflict: news from the Middle East. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1997.