

Politics, Communication and Democracy

View Online



1.

Curran J, Taylor & Francis Group. Media and power [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203417744>

2.

Neilsen RK. The Power of Platforms. Inaugural Lecture, Oxford University. 2018; Available from: <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/risj-review/lecture-power-platforms>

3.

United Kingdom - Reuters Institute Digital News Report [Internet]. 2018. Available from: <http://www.digitalnewsreport.org/survey/2018/united-kingdom-2018/>

4.

Ananny M. Networked press freedom: creating infrastructures for a public right to hear [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2019. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.7551/mitpress/9780262037747.001.0001/upso-9780262037747>

5.

Bell E. Who owns the news consumer: Social media platforms or publishers? Columbia Journalism Review [Internet]. 2016; Available from: https://www.cjr.org/tow_center/platforms_and_publishers_new_research_from_the_tow_center.php

6.

Sloan L, Quan-Haase A. The Sage handbook of social media research methods [Internet]. First edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Inc; 2016. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://methods.sagepub.com/book/the-sage-handbook-of-social-media-research-methods>

7.

Nechushtai E. Could digital platforms capture the media through infrastructure? Journalism. 2018 Aug;19(8):1043–1058.

8.

Reuters Institute Digital News Report 2017 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.digitalnewsreport.org/>

9.

Nielsen RK. Varieties of Online Gatekeeping. SSRN Electronic Journal. 2014;

10.

Kleis Nielsen R, Ganter SA. Dealing with digital intermediaries: A case study of the relations between publishers and platforms. New Media & Society. 2018 Apr;20(4):1600–1617.

11.

Peters C, Broersma MJ, editors. Rethinking journalism again: societal role and public relevance in a digital age [Internet]. London, [England]: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781317506416>

12.

Perloff RM, Ebooks Corporation Limited. The dynamics of political communication: media and politics in a digital age [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2014. Available from:

<http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1575976>

13.

Pew Research Centre. The Modern News Consumer. Available from:
<http://www.journalism.org/2016/07/07/the-modern-news-consumer/>

14.

Pew Research Centre. Election 2016: Campaigns as a Direct Source of News. Available from: <http://www.journalism.org/topics/2016-election/>

15.

Pew Research Centre. The Modern News Consumer. Available from:
<http://www.journalism.org/2016/07/07/the-modern-news-consumer/>

16.

Waisbord S. Truth is What Happens to News. *Journalism Studies*. 2018 Oct 3;19(13):1866–1878.

17.

Toril Aalberg and James Curran, editor. *How media inform democracy: a comparative approach*. New York, N.Y.: Routledge; 2012.

18.

C. Edwin Baker. *Media, markets, and democracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002.

19.

Curran J. Media and power [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203417744>

20.

Geneva Overholser, Kathleen Hall Jamieson, editor. The press [Internet]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2005. Available from: <http://lib.mylibrary.com?id=84383&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

21.

J. Curran, S. Iyengar, A. Brink Lund, I. Salovaara-Moring. Media System, Public Knowledge and Democracy: A Comparative Study. *European Journal of Communication*. 2009 Mar 1;24(1):5-26.

22.

Graber, Doris. THE MEDIA AND DEMOCRACY: Beyond Myths and Stereotypes. *Annual Review of Political Science* [Internet]. 2003;6(1):139-160. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=10609378&site=ehost-live>

23.

Denis McQuail. Media performance: mass communication and the public interest. London: Sage Publications; 1992.

24.

Nielsen RK. The One Thing Journalism Just Might do for Democracy. *Journalism Studies*. 2017 Oct 3;18(10):1251-1262.

25.

M. Scammell. Rethinking the Media's Duties to Democracy: watchdog, information and representation [Internet]. 2005. Available from: <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2017-11/Journalism%20Democracy%20%26%20Public%20Interest.pdf>

26.

Michael Schudson. The power of news. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1995.

27.

Schudson M, Dawson Books. Why democracies need an unlovable press [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2008. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780745675312>

28.

Frank Esser, Jesper Stromback, editor. Mediatization of politics: understanding the transformation of western democracies [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.palgraveconnect.com/doi/10.1057/9781137275844>

29.

Fred S. Siebert, Theodore Peterson, Wilbur Schramm. Four theories of the press. Chicago, Ill: University of Illinois Press; 1984.

30.

John Street. Mass media, politics and democracy. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.

31.

Jesper Strömbäck. In Search of a Standard: four models of democracy and their normative implications for journalism. Journalism Studies. 2005 Aug;6(3):331–345.

32.

David Tewksbury, Jason Rittenberg. News on the internet: information and citizenship in the 21st century. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

33.

Van Aelst P, Strömbäck J, Aalberg T, Esser F, de Vreese C, Matthes J, Hopmann D, Salgado S, Hubé N, Stępińska A, Papathanassopoulos S, Berganza R, Legnante G, Reinemann C, Sheafer T, Stanyer J. Political communication in a high-choice media environment: a challenge for democracy? *Annals of the International Communication Association*. 2017 Jan 2;41(1):3–27.

34.

JOHN ZALLER. A New Standard of News Quality: Burglar Alarms for the Monitorial Citizen. *Political Communication*. 2003 Apr;20(2):109–130.

35.

Benjamin R. Barber. *Strong democracy: participatory politics for a new age*. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1984.

36.

Robert Alan Dahl. *Democracy and its critics*. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1989.

37.

David Held. *Models of democracy*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Polity; 1996.

38.

Brady AM. Plus ça change?: Media Control Under Xi Jinping. *Problems of Post-Communism*. 2017 Jul 4;64(3–4):128–140.

39.

Stockmann D. *Media Commercialization and Authoritarian Rule in China* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139087742>

40.

Repnikova M. Media Openings and Political Transitions: versus. Problems of Post-Communism. 2017 Jul 4;64(3-4):141–151.

41.

King G, Pan J, Roberts ME. How the Chinese Government Fabricates Social Media Posts for Strategic Distraction, Not Engaged Argument. American Political Science Review. 2017 Aug;111(03):484–501.

42.

Roberts ME. Censored: distraction and diversion inside China's great firewall [Internet]. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press; 2018. Available from: <https://press.princeton.edu/titles/11341.html>

43.

Liberation Technology. Journal of Democracy. 2010;21(3):69–83.

44.

Egorov G, Guriev S, Sonin K. Why Resource-poor Dictators Allow Freer Media: A Theory and Evidence from Panel Data. The American Political Science Review [Internet]. 2009;103(4):645–668. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/27798530>

45.

Freedom of the Press 2017 [Internet]. Freedom House; 2013. Available from: <https://freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-press/freedom-press-2017>

46.

Guriev S, Treisma D. How Modern Dictators Survive: Cooptation, Censorship, Propaganda, and Repression. CEPR discussion papers [Internet]. 2015; Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cepr.org/active/publications/discussion_papers/dp.php?dpno=10454

47.

Daniel C. Hallin, Paolo Mancini. Comparing media systems beyond the western world. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

48.

Morozov E. The net delusion: how not to liberate the world. London: Allen Lane; 2011.

49.

Norris P, World Bank, editors. Public sentinel: news media & governance reform. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank; 2010.

50.

Pearce KE, Kendzior S. Networked Authoritarianism and Social Media in Azerbaijan. Journal of Communication. 2012 Apr;62(2):283–298.

51.

Reuter OJ, Szakonyi D. Online Social Media and Political Awareness in Authoritarian Regimes. British Journal of Political Science. 2015 Jan;45(01):29–51.

52.

Rød EG, Weidmann NB. Empowering activists or autocrats? The Internet in authoritarian regimes. Journal of Peace Research. 2015 May;52(3):338–351.

53.

Brady AM. Mass Persuasion as a Means of Legitimation and China's Popular Authoritarianism. American Behavioral Scientist. 2009 Nov;53(3):434–457.

54.

Brady AM, editor. China's thought management. London: Routledge; 2014.

55.

Chen Y, Yang DY. The Impact of Media Censorship: Evidence from a Field Experiment in China [Internet]. 2018. Available from: https://calendar.mit.edu/event/the_impact_of_media_censorship_evidence_from_a_field_experiment_in_china#.XDN7q2ngqUk

56.

Creemers R. Cyber China: Upgrading Propaganda, Public Opinion Work and Social Management for the Twenty-First Century. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2017 Jan 2;26(103):85–100.

57.

Ebooks Corporation Limited. The Internet, social media, and a changing China [Internet]. deLisle J, Goldstein A, Yang G, editors. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 2016. Available from: <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=4540252>

58.

Dimitrov MK. The Political Logic of Media Control in China. *Problems of Post-Communism*. 2017 Jul 4;64(3-4):121–127.

59.

Hassid J. Safety Valve or Pressure Cooker? Blogs in Chinese Political Life. *Journal of Communication*. 2012 Apr;62(2):212–230.

60.

HOBBS WR, ROBERTS ME. How Sudden Censorship Can Increase Access to Information. *American Political Science Review*. 2018 Aug;112(03):621–636.

61.

Huhe N, Tang M, Chen J. Creating Democratic Citizens: Political Effects of the Internet in

China. Political Research Quarterly. 2018 Dec;71(4):757–771.

62.

King G, Pan J, Roberts ME. How Censorship in China Allows Government Criticism but Silences Collective Expression. American Political Science Review. 2013 May;107(02):326–343.

63.

Peter Lorentzen. China's Strategic Censorship. American Journal of Political Science. 2014 Apr;58(2):402–414.

64.

MacKinnon R. China's 'Networked Authoritarianism'. Journal of Democracy. 2011;22(2):32–46.

65.

Meng B, SpringerLink (Online service). The Politics of Chinese Media: Consensus and Contestation [Internet]. New York: Palgrave Macmillan US; 2018. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-46214-5>

66.

Miller BA, Gallagher M. Astroturfing in China: Three Case Studies [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <http://blakeapm.com/>

67.

Qin B, Strömberg D, Wu Y. Why Does China Allow Freer Social Media? Protests versus Surveillance and Propaganda. The Journal of Economic Perspectives [Internet]. American Economic Association; 2017;31(1). Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/44133953>

68.

Rawnsley GD, Rawnsley MYT, editors. Routledge handbook of Chinese media. Paperback edition. London: Routledge; 2018.

69.

Repnikova M. Media politics in China: improvising power under authoritarianism. Cambridge, United Kingdom: University Printing House; 2017.

70.

Roberts ME, Stewart BM. Localization and Coordination: How Propaganda and Censorship Converge in Chinese Newspapers - Working paper [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <https://www.kellogg.northwestern.edu/~media/Files/Research/fordcenter/text-as-data-2014/RobertsStewartPropaganda.ashx>

71.

Susan L. Shirk, editor. Changing media, changing China [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from: <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=602492>

72.

D. Stockmann, M. E. Gallagher. Remote Control: How the Media Sustain Authoritarian Rule in China. Comparative Political Studies. 2011 Apr 1;44(4):436-467.

73.

Stockmann D. Media Commercialization and Authoritarian Rule in China [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139087742>

74.

Sullivan J. China's Weibo: Is faster different? New Media & Society. 2014 Feb;16(1):24-37.

75.

Tager J, Glenn Bass K, Lopez S. Forbidden Feeds: Government Controls on Social Media in China [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://pen.org/research-resources/forbidden-feeds/>

76.

L. Tang, H. Sampson. The interaction between mass media and the internet in non-democratic states: The case of China. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2012 May 1;34(4):457–471.

77.

Wang H, Sparks C, Lü N, Huang Y. Differences within the mainland Chinese press: a quantitative analysis. *Asian Journal of Communication*. 2017 Mar 4;27(2):154–171.

78.

Xin X. Popularizing party journalism in China in the age of social media: The case of Xinhua News Agency. *Global Media and China*. 2018 Mar;3(1):3–17.

79.

Yang G. Internet Activism & the Party-State in China. *Daedalus*. 2014 Apr;143(2):110–123.

80.

Peidong Y. 'Positive Energy': Hegemonic Intervention and Online Media Discourse in China's Xi Jinping Era. *China: An International Journal* [Internet]. NUS Press Pte Ltd; 2018;16(1):1–22. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://muse.jhu.edu/article/688045>

81.

Hallin DC, Mancini P. Comparing media systems beyond the western world. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

82.

McQuail D. Media performance: mass communication and the public interest [Internet]. London: Sage Publications; 1992. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=34349f5a-ef40-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

83.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

84.

Berry M. No alternative to austerity: how BBC broadcast news reported the deficit debate. Media, Culture & Society. 2016 Sep;38(6):844–863.

85.

Boden H. In Search of Unbiased Reporting in Light of Brexit, Trump and Other Reporting Challenges in the UK and US - Shorenstein Center. 2017; Available from: <https://shorensteincenter.org/unbiased-reporting-brexit-trump-uk-us/>

86.

Entman RM. Framing Bias: Media in the Distribution of Power. Journal of Communication. 2007 Mar;57(1):163–173.

87.

Hackett RA. Decline of a paradigm? Bias and objectivity in news media studies. Critical Studies in Mass Communication. 1984 Sep;1(3):229–259.

88.

Kenski K, Jamieson KH, editors. The Oxford handbook of political communication [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001>

89.

Starkey G. Balance and bias in journalism: representation, regulation, and democracy. Houndmills, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2007.

90.

Aday S, Livingston S, Hebert M. Embedding the Truth. Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics. 2005 Jan;10(1):3-21.

91.

Bell CV, Entman RM. The Media's Role in America's Exceptional Politics of Inequality. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2011 Oct;16(4):548-572.

92.

Benson R. Shaping immigration news: a French-American comparison. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 2014.

93.

Boykoff MT, Boykoff JM. Climate change and journalistic norms: A case-study of US mass-media coverage. Geoforum. 2007 Nov;38(6):1190-1204.

94.

Gamson WA, Modigliani A. Media Discourse and Public Opinion on Nuclear Power: A Constructionist Approach. American Journal of Sociology [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1989;95(1). Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2780405>

95.

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. Bad news: Volume 1 [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480>

96.

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. Bad news: Volume 1 [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480>

97.

Lawrence RG. Framing Obesity. Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics. 2004 Jul;9(3):56–75.

98.

US Election Analysis 2016 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.electionanalysis2016.us/us-election-analysis-2016/introduction/>

99.

Lundström R. Framing fraud: Discourse on benefit cheating in Sweden and the UK. European Journal of Communication. 2013 Dec;28(6):630–645.

100.

Philo G, Briant E, Donald P. The role of the press in the war on asylum. Race & Class. 2013 Oct;55(2):28–41.

101.

Roggeband C, Vliegenthart R. Divergent framing: The public debate on migration in the Dutch parliament and media, 1995–2004. West European Politics. 2007 May;30(3):524–548.

102.

Rose M, Baumgartner FR. Framing the Poor: Media Coverage and U.S. Poverty Policy, 1960–2008. Policy Studies Journal. 2013 Feb;41(1):22–53.

103.

Semetko HA, Valkenburg PMV. Framing European politics: A Content Analysis of Press and Television News. *Journal of Communication*. 2000 Jun 1;50(2):93–109.

104.

Smith D, Deacon D. Immigration and the British news media: Continuity or change? *Sociology Compass*. 2018 Sep;12(9).

105.

American Behavioral Scientist : Special Issue Title: Framing Irregular Immigration in Western Media: Voices, Stories and Audience Impact. Sage Publishing; 2015;59(7). Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://journals.sagepub.com/toc/absb/59/7>

106.

Van Gorp B. Where is the Frame? *European Journal of Communication*. 2005 Dec;20(4):484–507.

107.

Johnston H, Noakes JA, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Frames of protest: social movements and the framing perspective [Internet]. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc; 2005. Available from: <http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1322109>

108.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

109.

Bennett WL, Lawrence RG, Livingston S. None Dare Call It Torture: Indexing and the Limits of Press Independence in the Abu Ghraib Scandal. *Journal of Communication*. 2006 Sep 1;56(3):467–485.

110.

Entman RM. Cascading Activation: Contesting the White House's Frame After 9/11. *Political Communication*. 2003 Oct;20(4):415–432.

111.

Shehata A. Facing the Muhammad Cartoons: Official Dominance and Event-Driven News in Swedish and American Elite Press. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2007 Oct;12(4):131–153.

112.

Entman RM, Usher N. Framing in a Fractured Democracy: Impacts of Digital Technology on Ideology, Power and Cascading Network Activation. *Journal of Communication*. 2018 Apr 1;68(2):298–308.

113.

Bennett WL. Toward a Theory of Press-State Relations in the United States. *Journal of Communication*. 1990 Jun 1;40(2):103–127.

114.

Bennett WL, Livingston S. Editors' Introduction: A Semi-Independent Press: Government Control and Journalistic Autonomy in the Political Construction of News. *Political Communication*. 2003 Oct;20(4):359–362.

115.

Kenski K, Jamieson KH, editors. *The Oxford handbook of political communication* [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001>

116.

Ciboh R. Journalists and Political Sources in Nigeria. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2017 Apr;22(2):185–201.

117.

Cook TE. Governing with the news: the news media as a political institution. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2005.

118.

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. Bad news: Volume 1 [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480>

119.

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. Bad news: Volume 1 [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480>

120.

Lawrence RG. Framing Obesity. Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics. 2004 Jul;9(3):56–75.

121.

Lundström R. Framing fraud: Discourse on benefit cheating in Sweden and the UK. European Journal of Communication. 2013 Dec;28(6):630–645.

122.

Miller D. Official sources and 'primary definition': the case of Northern Ireland. Media, Culture & Society. 1993 Jul;15(3):385–406.

123.

Philo G, Briant E, Donald P. The role of the press in the war on asylum. Race & Class. 2013 Oct;55(2):28–41.

124.

Semetko HA, Valkenburg PMV. Framing European politics: A Content Analysis of Press and Television News. *Journal of Communication*. 2000 Jun 1;50(2):93–109.

125.

Carragee KM, Roefs W. The Neglect of Power in Recent Framing Research. *Journal of Communication*. 2004 Jun 1;54(2):214–233.

126.

Chong D, Druckman JN. Framing Theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2007 Jun;10(1):103–126.

127.

Semetko HA, Scammell M. *The SAGE handbook of political communication*. London: SAGE; 2012.

128.

Entman RM. Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm. *Journal of Communication*. 1993 Dec 1;43(4):51–58.

129.

Gamson WA, Croteau D, Hoynes W, Sasson T. Media Images and the Social Construction of Reality. *Annual Review of Sociology* [Internet]. Annual Reviews; 1992;18. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2083459>

130.

Gamson W, Ryan C. Thinking about Elephants. Toward a Dialogue with George Lakoff. *Public Eye Magazine* [Internet]. 2005; Available from: http://www.publiceye.org/magazine/v19n2/gamson_elephants.html

131.

Goffman E. Frame analysis: an essay on the organization of experience. Northeastern University Press ed. Boston: Northeastern University Press; 1986.

132.

Pan Z, Kosicki G. Framing analysis: An approach to news discourse. Political Communication. 1993;10(1):55–75.

133.

Perloff RM. The dynamics of political communication: media and politics in a digital age [Internet]. Second edition. New York, NY: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2018. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5108778>

134.

Reese SD, Gandy OH, Grant AE. Framing public life: perspectives on media and our understanding of the social world. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2003.

135.

Van Gorp B. The Constructionist Approach to Framing: Bringing Culture Back In. Journal of Communication. 2007 Mar;57(1):60–78.

136.

Vliegenthart R, van Zoonen L. Power to the frame: Bringing sociology back to frame analysis. European Journal of Communication. 2011 Jun;26(2):101–115.

137.

Bucy EP, Holbert RL. Sourcebook for political communication research: methods, measures, and analytical techniques. New York: Routledge; 2011.

138.

Matthes J, Kohring M. The Content Analysis of Media Frames: Toward Improving Reliability and Validity. Journal of Communication. 2008 Jun;58(2):258–279.

139.

Murray R. Cracking the highest glass ceiling: a global comparison of women's campaigns for executive office [Internet]. Santa Barbara, Calif: Praeger Publishers; 2010. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780313382499>

140.

Harp D, Loke J, Bachmann I. Hillary Clinton's Benghazi Hearing Coverage: Political Competence, Authenticity, and the Persistence of the Double Bind. *Women's Studies in Communication*. 2016 Apr 2;39(2):193-210.

141.

Higgins M, McKay FM. Gender and the development of a political persona: The case of Scottish First Minister Nicola Sturgeon. *British Politics*. 2016 Sep;11(3):283-300.

142.

Miller MK, Peake JS. Press Effects, Public Opinion, and Gender. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2013 Oct;18(4):482-507.

143.

McGregor SC, Lawrence RG, Cardona A. Personalization, gender, and social media: gubernatorial candidates' social media strategies. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2017 Feb;20(2):264-283.

144.

Meeks L. Is She "Man Enough"? Women Candidates, Executive Political Offices, and News Coverage. *Journal of Communication*. 2012 Feb;62(1):175-193.

145.

Perry S. Gender Difference in French Political Communication: From Handicap to Asset?

Modern & Contemporary France. 2005 Aug;13(3):337–352.

146.

Ward O. Intersectionality and Press Coverage of Political Campaigns. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2017 Jan;22(1):43–66.

147.

Counting Women In - Centre for Women and Democracy [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.cfwd.org.uk/current-projects/counting-women-in>

148.

Women and Journalism | Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism [Internet]. Available from: <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/our-research/women-and-journalism>

149.

Aaldering L, Van Der Pas DJ. Political Leadership in the Media: Gender Bias in Leader Stereotypes during Campaign and Routine Times. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2018 Mar 5;1–21.

150.

Aday S, Devitt J. Style over Substance: Newspaper Coverage of Elizabeth Dole's Presidential Bid. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2001 Mar;6(2):52–73.

151.

Adcock C. The Politician, The Wife, The Citizen, and her Newspaper. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2010 Jun;10(2):135–159.

152.

Bachmann I, Harp D, Loke J. Covering Clinton (2010–2015): meaning-making strategies in US magazine covers. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2018 Sep 3;18(5):793–809.

153.

Bauer NM. Emotional, Sensitive, and Unfit for Office? Gender Stereotype Activation and Support Female Candidates. *Political Psychology*. 2015 Dec;36(6):691–708.

154.

Campus D. Women political leaders and the media [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.palgraveconnect.com/doi/10.1057/9781137295545>

155.

Carroll SJ. Reflections on Gender and Hillary Clinton's Presidential Campaign: The Good, the Bad, and the Misogynic. *Politics & Gender*. 2009 Mar;5(01).

156.

Craig SC, Rippere PS. He Said, She Said: The Impact of Candidate Gender in Negative Campaigns. *Politics & Gender*. 2016 Jun;12(02):391–414.

157.

Dunaway J, Lawrence RG, Rose M, Weber CR. Traits versus Issues. *Political Research Quarterly*. 2013 Sep;66(3):715–726.

158.

Escobar-Lemmon MC, Hoekstra V, Kang A, Kittilson MC. Just the Facts? Media Coverage of Female and Male High Court Appointees in Five Democracies. *Politics & Gender*. 2016 Jun;12(02):254–274.

159.

Fahey AC. French and Feminine: Hegemonic Masculinity and the Emasculation of John Kerry in the 2004 Presidential Race. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*. 2007 Jun;24(2):132–150.

160.

Calhoun CJ. Habermas and the public sphere. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 1992.

161.

Garcia-Blanco I, Wahl-Jorgensen K. The Discursive Construction of Women Politicians in the European Press. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2012 Sep;12(3):422–441.

162.

Hayes D, Lawless JL. A Non-Gendered Lens? Media, Voters, and Female Candidates in Contemporary Congressional Elections. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2015 Mar;13(01):95–118.

163.

Hayes D, Lawless JL. Women on the run: gender, media, and political campaigns in a polarized era. New York NY: Cambridge University Press; 2016.

164.

Heflick NA, Goldenberg JL. Objectifying Sarah Palin: Evidence that objectification causes women to be perceived as less competent and less fully human. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 2009 May;45(3):598–601.

165.

Heflick NA, Goldenberg JL, Cooper DP, Puvia E. From women to objects: Appearance focus, target gender, and perceptions of warmth, morality and competence. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 2011 May;47(3):572–581.

166.

Jamieson KH. Beyond the double bind: women and leadership. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1995.

167.

Kahn KF. The political consequences of being a woman: how stereotypes influence the conduct and consequences of political campaigns. New York: Columbia University Press; 1996.

168.

Kittilson MC, Fridkin K. Gender, Candidate Portrayals and Election Campaigns: A Comparative Perspective. *Politics & Gender*. 2008 Sep;4(03).

169.

Lachover E. Signs of change in media representation of women in Israeli politics: Leading and peripheral women contenders. *Journalism: Theory, Practice & Criticism*. 2017 Apr;18(4):446–463.

170.

Lawrence RG, Rose M. Hillary Clinton's race for the White House: gender politics and the media on the campaign trail. Boulder, Colo: Lynne Rienner Publishers; 2010.

171.

Ross K, Comrie M. The rules of the (leadership) game: Gender, politics and news. *Journalism: Theory, Practice & Criticism*. 2012 Nov;13(8):969–984.

172.

Meeks L. Getting Personal: Effects of Twitter Personalization on Candidate Evaluations. *Politics & Gender*. 2017 Mar;13(01):1–25.

173.

Semetko HA, Boomgaarden HG. Reporting Germany's 2005 Bundestag Election Campaign: Was Gender an Issue? *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2007 Oct;12(4):154–171.

174.

Thomas ML, Bittner A, editors. Mothers and others: the role of parenthood in politics. Vancouver, BC: UBC Press; 2018.

175.

Trimble L, Wagner A, Sampert S, Raphael D, Gerrits B. Is It Personal? Gendered Mediation in Newspaper Coverage of Canadian National Party Leadership Contests, 1975–2012. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2013 Oct;18(4):462–481.

176.

Trimble L, Raphael D, Sampert S, Wagner A, Gerrits B. Politicizing Bodies: Hegemonic Masculinity, Heteronormativity, and Racism in News Representations of Canadian Political Party Leadership Candidates. *Women's Studies in Communication*. 2015 Jul 3;38(3):314–330.

177.

Trimble LJ (Linda J. Ms. Prime Minister: gender, media, and leadership. Toronto, ON: University of Toronto Press; 2017.

178.

Valenzuela S, Correa T. Press Coverage and Public Opinion On Women Candidates. *International Communication Gazette*. 2009 Apr;71(3):203–223.

179.

Zoonen L van. Entertaining the citizen: when politics and popular culture converge. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2005.

180.

Wagner A, Trimble L, Sampert S, Gerrits B. Gender, Competitiveness, and Candidate Visibility in Newspaper Coverage of Canadian Party Leadership Contests. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2017 Oct;22(4):471–489.

181.

Ward O. Seeing Double: Race, Gender, and Coverage of Minority Women's Campaigns for the U.S. House of Representatives. *Politics & Gender*. 2016 Jun;12(02):317–343.

182.

Wasburn PC, Wasburn MH. Media coverage of women in politics: The curious case of Sarah Palin. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2011 Oct;33(7):1027–1041.

183.

Kenski K, Jamieson KH, editors. *The Oxford handbook of political communication* [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001>

184.

Wolfsfeld G. *Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication* [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

185.

Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. *Trump and the media* [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2018. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880>

186.

W. Lance Bennett, Shanto Iyengar. A New Era of Minimal Effects? The Changing Foundations of Political Communication. *Journal of Communication*. 2008 Dec;58(4):707–731.

187.

Heinz Brandenburg, Marcel Van Egmond. Pressed into Party Support? Media Influence on Partisan Attitudes during the 2005 UK General Election Campaign. *British Journal of*

Political Science. 2012 Apr;42(02):441–463.

188.

Curran J. Media and power [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203417744>

189.

D. T. Denver. Elections and voters in Britain. 3rd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.

190.

David M. Farrell & Rüdiger Schmitt-Beck, editor. Do political campaigns matter?: campaign effects in elections and referendums. London: Routledge; 2002.

191.

Iyengar S, Kinder DR. News that matters: television and American opinion. Updated ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2010.

192.

Kathleen Hall Jamieson. Everything you think you know about politics-- and why you're wrong. New York: Basic Books; 2000.

193.

Paul Felix Lazarsfeld, Bernard Berelson, Hazel Gaudet. The people's choice: how the voter makes up his mind in a presidential campaign. 3d ed. New York: Columbia University Press; 1965.

194.

Paul Manning. News and news sources: a critical introduction. London: SAGE; 2001.

195.

Doris A. Graber. Media power in politics. 6th ed. Washington, DC: CQ Press; 2011.

196.

William Lockley Miller. Media and voters: the audience, content and influence of press and television at the 1987 General Election. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1991.

197.

Diana Carole Mutz. Impersonal influence: how perceptions of mass collectives affect political attitudes. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.

198.

D. C. Mutz, L. Young. Communication and Public Opinion: Plus Ça Change? Public Opinion Quarterly. 2011 Dec 1;75(5):1018-1044.

199.

NEWTON K. May the weak force be with you: The power of the mass media in modern politics. European Journal of Political Research. 2006 Mar;45(2):209-234.

200.

Pippa Norris. On message: communicating the campaign. London: Sage; 1999.

201.

Samuel L. Popkin. The reasoning voter: communication and persuasion in presidential campaigns. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1991.

202.

John Street. Mass media, politics, and democracy. Basingstoke: Palgrave; 2001.

203.

Lynn Vavreck. The message matters: the economy and presidential campaigns [Internet]. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 2009. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781400830480>

204.

Lynda Lee Kaid. Handbook of political communication research. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2004.

205.

Lawrence Leduc. Opinion change and voting behaviour in referendums. European Journal of Political Research. 2002 Oct;41(6):711-732.

206.

Schuck ART, de Vreese CH. Reversed Mobilization in Referendum Campaigns: How Positive News Framing Can Mobilize the Skeptics. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2008 Nov 3;14(1):40-66.

207.

C. H. de Vreese, Holli A. Semetko. Political campaigning in referendums: framing the referendum issue. London: Routledge; 2004.

208.

C. H. de Vreese. The dynamics of referendum campaigns: an international perspective [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2007. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780230591189>

209.

M. Wettstein. Frame Adoption in Referendum Campaigns: The Effect of News Coverage on

the Public Salience of Issue Interpretations. *American Behavioral Scientist*. 2012 Mar 1;56(3):318–333.

210.

Chadwick A. *The hybrid media system: politics and power* [Internet]. Second edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 2017. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190696726.001.0001>

211.

Grasseger H, Krogerus M. The Data That Turned the World Upside Down. *Motherboard* [Internet]. 2017; Available from: https://motherboard.vice.com/en_us/article/mg9vvvn/how-our-likes-helped-trump-win

212.

Baldwin-Philippi J. The Myths of Data-Driven Campaigning. *Political Communication*. 2017 Oct 2;34(4):627–633.

213.

50,000 Heck*ng Facebook Ad Variants?! – Steve Olson – Medium. Available from: <https://medium.com/@steveolson/50-000-heck-ng-facebook-ad-variants-31eed233ac4a>

214.

Chadwick A, Howard PN, Dawson Books. *Routledge handbook of internet politics* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780203962541>

215.

Vaccari C, Ebooks Corporation Limited. *Digital politics in Western democracies: a comparative study* [Internet]. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University Press; 2013. Available from: <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=3318750>

216.

Klinger U, Russmann U. "Beer is more efficient than social media"—Political parties and strategic communication in Austrian and Swiss national elections. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*. 2017 Oct 2;14(4):299–313.

217.

Magin M, Podschuweit N, Haßler J, Russmann U. Campaigning in the fourth age of political communication. A multi-method study on the use of Facebook by German and Austrian parties in the 2013 national election campaigns. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2017 Nov 2;20(11):1698–1719.

218.

Theviot A. Towards a standardization of campaign strategies dictated by the Obama 'model'? The case of 'American-style' canvassing during the 2012 French presidential election campaign. *French Politics*. 2016 Jun;14(2):158–177.

219.

Digital-Born and Legacy News Media on Twitter during the UK General Election [Internet]. Available from: <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2017-11/Digital-Born%20and%20Legacy%20News%20Media%20UK%20Factsheet.pdf>

220.

Volume 14, Issue 2, June 2016. Volume 14, Issue 2, June 2016 [Internet]. Available from: <https://link-springer-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/journal/41253/14/2>

221.

Jungherr A. Four Functions of Digital Tools in Election Campaigns. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2016 Jul;21(3):358–377.

222.

Magin M, Podschuweit N, Haßler J, Russmann U. Campaigning in the fourth age of political communication. A multi-method study on the use of Facebook by German and Austrian

parties in the 2013 national election campaigns. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2017 Nov 2;20(11):1698–1719.

223.

Magin M, Podschuweit N, Haßler J, Russmann U. Campaigning in the fourth age of political communication. A multi-method study on the use of Facebook by German and Austrian parties in the 2013 national election campaigns. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2017 Nov 2;20(11):1698–1719.

224.

Dommett K, Temple L. Digital Campaigning: The Rise of Facebook and Satellite Campaigns. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2018 Mar 1;71(suppl_1):189–202.

225.

Wring D, Mortimore R, Atkinson S, editors. *Political Communication in Britain* [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2017. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-40934-4>

226.

Holtz-Bacha C, Just MR. *Routledge handbook of political advertising* [Internet]. New York, NY: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315694504>

227.

Alexander JC. *The performance of politics: Obama's victory and the democratic struggle for power* [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2010. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199744466.001.0001>

228.

Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. *Trump and the media* [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2018. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880>

229.

Enli G. Twitter as arena for the authentic outsider: exploring the social media campaigns of Trump and Clinton in the 2016 US presidential election. *European Journal of Communication*. 2017 Feb;32(1):50-61.

230.

Karpf D. Digital politics after Trump. *Annals of the International Communication Association*. 2017 Apr 3;41(2):198-207.

231.

Faris RM, Roberts H, Etling B, Bourassa N, Zuckerman E, Benkler Y. Partisanship, Propaganda, and Disinformation: Online Media and the 2016 U.S. Presidential Election. Berkman Klein Center; 2017; Available from: <https://cyber.harvard.edu/publications/2017/08/mediacloud>

232.

Issenberg S. *The victory lab: the secret science of winning campaigns*. First paperback edition. New York: B/D/W/Y, Broadway Books; 2013.

233.

Kim YM, Hsu J, Neiman D, Kou C, Bankston L, Kim SY, Heinrich R, Baragwanath R, Raskutti G. The stealth media? Groups and targets behind divisive issue campaigns on Facebook. *Political Communication*. 2018 Oct 2;35(4):515-541.

234.

Kreiss D, McGregor SC. Technology firms shape political communication: The work of Microsoft, Facebook, Twitter, and Google with campaigns during the 2016 US presidential cycle. *Political Communication*. 2018 Apr 3;35(2):155-177.

235.

Kreiss D. Trump, Breitbart, and the Rejection of Multicultural Democracy – Medium. 2017;

Available from:

<https://medium.com/@dkreiss/trump-breitbart-and-the-rejection-of-multicultural-democracy-90f3f776bebd>

236.

Lawrence RG, Boydston AE. What We Should Really Be Asking About Media Attention to Trump. *Political Communication*. 2017 Jan 2;34(1):150-153.

237.

Lilleker D, Jackson D, Thorsen E, Veneti A, editors. *US Election Analysis 2016: Media, Voters and the Campaign* [Internet]. Bournemouth University, Fern Barrow, Poole, Dorset, BH12 5BB, UK; 2016. Available from: <http://www.electionanalysis2016.us/>

238.

Patterson TE. Pre-Primary News Coverage of the 2016 Presidential Race: Trump's Rise, Sanders' Emergence, Clinton's Struggle - Shorenstein Centre on Media, Politics and Public Policy. 2016; Available from: <https://shorensteincenter.org/pre-primary-news-coverage-2016-trump-clinton-sanders>

239.

Penney J. Social Media and Citizen Participation in "Official" and "Unofficial" Electoral Promotion: A Structural Analysis of the 2016 Bernie Sanders Digital Campaign. *Journal of Communication*. 2017 Jun;67(3):402-423.

240.

Sides J, Farrell H. *The Science of Trump: Explaining the Rise of an Unlikely Candidate* [Internet]. The Monkey Cage; 2016. Available from: <https://www.amazon.co.uk/Science-Trump-Explaining-Unlikely-Candidate-ebook/dp/B01IL9DTG8>

241.

Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. *Trump and the media* [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2018. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880>

242.

Wells C, Shah DV, Pevehouse JC, Yang J, Pelled A, Boehm F, Lukito J, Ghosh S, Schmidt JL. How Trump Drove Coverage to the Nomination: Hybrid Media Campaigning. *Political Communication*. 2016 Oct;33(4):669–676.

243.

Blumler JG. Guest contribution by Jay G. Blumler: "The Fourth Age of Political Communication" [Internet]. 2013. Available from: <http://www.fgpk.de/en/2013/gastbeitrag-von-jay-g-blumler-the-fourth-age-of-political-communication-2/>

244.

Downs WM. There Goes the Neighbourhood? The 'Americanisation' of Elections, with Evidence from Scotland's Parliament. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2012 Oct 1;65(4):758–777.

245.

Caramani D, editor. *Comparative politics*. Fourth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017.

246.

Esser F, Pfetsch B. *Comparing political communication: theories, cases, and challenges*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004.

247.

Holtz-Bacha C, Just MR. *Routledge handbook of political advertising* [Internet]. New York, NY: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315694504>

248.

Norris P. A virtuous circle: political communications in postindustrial societies. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

249.

Scammell M. Consumer democracy: the marketing of politics [Internet]. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139046107>

250.

Strömbäck J, Kaid LL. The handbook of election news coverage around the world. New York: Routledge; 2008.

251.

Reinemann C, editor. Political communication. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton; 2014.

252.

Swanson DL, Mancini P. Politics, media, and modern democracy: an international study of innovations in electoral campaigning and their consequences. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 1996.

253.

Tenscher J, Mykkänen J, Moring T. Modes of professional campaigning: A four country comparison in the European parliamentary elections, 2009. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2012 Apr;17(2):145–168.

254.

Tenscher J, Koc-Michalska K, Lilleker DG, Mykkänen J, Walter AS, Findor A, Jalali C, Róka J. The professionals speak: Practitioners' perspectives on professional election campaigning. European Journal of Communication. 2016 Apr;31(2):95–119.

255.

West DM, Brookings Institution. Air wars: television advertising and social media in election campaigns, 1952-2016. 7 edition. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE/CQ Press; 2018.

256.

Baldwin-Philippi J. Using technology, building democracy: digital campaigning and the construction of citizenship [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2015. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190231910.001.0001>

257.

Bennett WL, Segerberg A, Knüpfer CB. The democratic interface: technology, political organization, and diverging patterns of electoral representation. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2018 Nov 2;21(11):1655–1680.

258.

Bruns A, Enli G, Skogerbø E, Larsson AO, Christensen C, editors. The Routledge companion to social media and politics [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2015. Available from: <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=4218813>

259.

Chadwick A, Stromer-Galley J, editors. Special Issue Title: Digital Media, Power, and Democracy in Election Campaigns. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* [Internet]. Sage Publishing; 21(3). Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://journals.sagepub.com/toc/hijb/21/3>

260.

Dimitrova DV, Matthes J. Social Media in Political Campaigning Around the World: Theoretical and Methodological Challenges. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*. 2018 Jun;95(2):333–342.

261.

Freelon D, Karpf D. Of big birds and bayonets: hybrid Twitter interactivity in the 2012 Presidential debates. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2015 Apr 3;18(4):390–406.

262.

Gibson RK, McAllister I. Normalising or equalising party competition? Assessing the impact of the web on election campaigning. *Political Studies*. 2015 Aug;63(3):529–547.

263.

Karpf D. The MoveOn effect: the unexpected transformation of American political advocacy [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199898367.001.0001>

264.

Karpf D. Analytic activism: digital listening and the new political strategy [Internet]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2016. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190266127.001.0001>

265.

Kreiss D. Taking our country back: the crafting of networked politics from Howard Dean to Barack Obama [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199782536.001.0001>

266.

Coleman S, Freelon D, editors. *Handbook of digital politics*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited; 2015.

267.

Kreiss D. Prototype politics: technology-intense campaigning and the data of democracy [Internet]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2016. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199350247.001.0001>

268.

Kreiss D. Seizing the moment: The presidential campaigns' use of Twitter during the 2012 electoral cycle. *New Media & Society*. 2016 Sep;18(8):1473–1490.

269.

Lilleker DG, Jackson NA. Political campaigning, elections and the internet: comparing the US, UK, France and Germany. London: Routledge; 2011.

270.

Lilleker DG, Tenscher J, Štětka V. Towards hypermedia campaigning? Perceptions of new media's importance for campaigning by party strategists in comparative perspective. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2015 Jul 3;18(7):747–765.

271.

Nielsen RK. Ground wars: personalized communication in political campaigns. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 2012.

272.

Stromer-Galley J. Presidential campaigning in the Internet age [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199731930.001.0001>

273.

Vaccari C. From echo chamber to persuasive device? Rethinking the role of the Internet in campaigns. *New Media & Society*. 2013 Feb;15(1):109–127.

274.

Vaccari C. Digital politics in Western democracies: a comparative study [Internet]. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University Press; 2013. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=3318750>

275.

Fisher J, editor. The Routledge handbook of elections, voting behavior and public opinion [Internet]. London, [England]: Routledge; 2018. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315712390>

276.

Dawson Books. Populist political communication in Europe [Internet]. Aalberg T, Esser F, Strömbäck J, Vreese CH de, editors. New York, NY: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781315623016>

277.

US Election Analysis 2016 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.electionanalysis2016.us/us-election-analysis-2016/introduction/>

278.

Mark Rice-Oxley. How to spot a populist. Guardian [Internet]. 2018 Dec 3; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/news/2018/dec/03/what-is-populism-trump-farage-orban-bolsonaro>

279.

Ernst N, Esser F, Blassnig S, Engesser S. Favorable Opportunity Structures for Populist Communication: Comparing Different Types of Politicians and Issues in Social Media, Television and the Press. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2018 Dec 22;

280.

Wettstein M, Esser F, Schulz A, Wirz DS, Wirth W. News Media as Gatekeepers, Critics, and Initiators of Populist Communication: How Journalists in Ten Countries Deal with the Populist Challenge. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2018 Oct;23(4):476–495.

281.

Albertazzi D, McDonnell D. Twenty-first century populism: the spectre of Western European democracy. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

282.

Elena Block. The Populist Communication Style: Toward a Critical Framework. *International Journal of Communication* [Internet]. 2017;11. Available from: <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/5820>

283.

Bos L, van der Brug W, de Vreese C. How the Media Shape Perceptions of Right-Wing Populist Leaders. *Political Communication*. 2011 Apr 28;28(2):182–206.

284.

Bos L, Brants K. Populist rhetoric in politics and media: A longitudinal study of the Netherlands. *European Journal of Communication*. 2014 Dec;29(6):703–719.

285.

de Vreese CH, Esser F, Aalberg T, Reinemann C, Stanyer J. Populism as an Expression of Political Communication Content and Style: A New Perspective. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2018 Oct;23(4):423–438.

286.

Jagers J, Walgrave S. Populism as political communication style: An empirical study of political parties' discourse in Belgium. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2007 May;46(3):319–345.

287.

Jensen MJ, Bang HP. Populism and Connectivism: An Analysis of the Sanders and Trump Nomination Campaigns. *Journal of Political Marketing*. 2017 Oct 2;16(3–4):343–364.

288.

Kavada A. Editorial: media and the 'populist moment'. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2018 Jul;40(5):742–744.

289.

ProQuest (Firm). Trump and the media [Internet]. Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2018. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880>

290.

Mazzoleni G, Stewart J, Horsfield B. The media and neo-populism: a contemporary comparative analysis. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 2003.

291.

Murphy J, Devine D. Does Media Coverage Drive Public Support for UKIP or Does Public Support for UKIP Drive Media Coverage? *British Journal of Political Science*. 2018 Jul 31;1–18.

292.

Rooduijn M. The Mesmerising Message: The Diffusion of Populism in Public Debates in Western European Media. *Political Studies*. 2014 Dec;62(4):726–744.

293.

Thesen G. News content and populist radical right party support. The case of Denmark. *Electoral Studies*. 2018 Dec;56:80–89.

294.

Vliegenthart R, Boomgaarden HG, Van Spanje J. Anti-Immigrant Party Support and Media Visibility: A Cross-Party, Over-Time Perspective. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 2012 Aug;22(3):315–358.

295.

Wirth W. The appeal of populist ideas, strategies and styles: A theoretical model and research design for analyzing populist political communication — NCCR Democracy [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <http://www.nccr-democracy.uzh.ch/publications/workingpaper/wp88>

296.

Wood M, Corbett J, Flinders M. Just like us: Everyday celebrity politicians and the pursuit of popularity in an age of anti-politics. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2016 Aug;18(3):581–598.

297.

Political populism: a handbook, Heinisch: Christina Holtz-Bacha, Oscar Mazzoleni (eds.). 1st edition. Baden-Baden: Nomos; 2017.

298.

Inglehart R, Norris P. Trump, Brexit, and the Rise of Populism: Economic Have-Nots and Cultural Backlash. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2016;

299.

Rovira Kaltwasser C, Taggart PA, Ochoa Espejo P, Ostiguy P, editors. *The Oxford handbook of populism* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198803560.001.0001>

300.

Müller JW. *What is populism?* Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 2016.

301.

March L. Left and right populism compared: The British case. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2017 May;19(2):282–303.

302.

Moffitt B, Tormey S. Rethinking Populism: Politics, Mediatisation and Political Style. *Political Studies*. 2014 Jun;62(2):381–397.

303.

Mudde C. The Populist Zeitgeist. *Government and Opposition*. 2004 Sep;39(4):542–563.

304.

Mudde C, Rovira Kaltwasser C. *Populism in Europe and the Americas: Threat or Corrective for Democracy?* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139152365>

305.

Mudde C, Rovira Kaltwasser C. *Populism: a very short introduction*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2017.

306.

Oliver JE, Rahn WM. Rise of the. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 2016 Sep;667(1):189–206.

307.

Pauwels T. Measuring Populism: A Quantitative Text Analysis of Party Literature in Belgium. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 2011 Feb;21(1):97–119.

308.

Rooduijn M, Pauwels T. Measuring Populism: Comparing Two Methods of Content Analysis. *West European Politics*. 2011 Nov;34(6):1272–1283.

309.

Snow D, Moffitt B. Straddling the divide: mainstream populism and conservatism in Howard's Australia and Harper's Canada. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*. 2012

Jul;50(3):271–292.

310.

Stoker G, Hay C. Understanding and Challenging Populist Negativity towards Politics: The Perspectives of British Citizens. *Political Studies*. 2017 Mar;65(1):4–23.

311.

Weyland K. Clarifying a Contested Concept: Populism in the Study of Latin American Politics. *Comparative Politics*. 2001 Oct;34(1).

312.

Sevenans J. One concept, many interpretations: the media's causal roles in political agenda-setting processes. *European Political Science Review*. 2018 May;10(02):245–265.

313.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

314.

Dekker R, Scholten P. Framing the Immigration Policy Agenda. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2017 Apr;22(2):202–222.

315.

Elmelund-Præstekær C, Wien C. What's the Fuss About? The Interplay of Media Hypes and Politics. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2008 Jul;13(3):247–266.

316.

Ihlen Ø, Thorbjørnsrud K. Making news and influencing decisions: Three threshold cases

concerning forced return of immigrants. *European Journal of Communication*. 2014 Apr;29(2):139–152.

317.

Melenhorst L. The Media's Role in Lawmaking. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2015 Jul;20(3):297–316.

318.

Milne K. Manufacturing dissent : single-issue protest, the public and the press [Internet]. Demos; 2005. Available from: <https://www.demos.co.uk/files/manufacturingdissent.pdf>

319.

Robinson P. Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics. *European Journal of Communication*. 2001 Dec;16(4):523–544.

320.

Aday S, Livingston S. Taking the state out of state—media relations theory: how transnational advocacy networks are changing the press—state dynamic. *Media, War & Conflict*. 2008 Apr;1(1):99–107.

321.

Reinemann C, editor. *Political communication*. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton; 2014.

322.

Van Aelst P, Walgrave S. Information and Arena: The Dual Function of the News Media for Political Elites. *Journal of Communication*. 2016 Jun;66(3):496–518.

323.

Iyengar S, Reeves R. *Do the media govern?: politicians, voters, and reporters in America*. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications; 1997.

324.

Schnell KC Frauke. Assessing the Democratic Debate: How the News Media Frame Elite Policy Discourse. *Political Communication*. 2001 Apr;18(2):183–213.

325.

Joly J. Do the Media Influence Foreign Aid Because or in Spite of the Bureaucracy? A Case Study of Belgian Aid Determinants. *Political Communication*. 2014 Oct 2;31(4):584–603.

326.

Jones BD, Baumgartner FR. From There to Here: Punctuated Equilibrium to the General Punctuation Thesis to a Theory of Government Information Processing. *Policy Studies Journal*. 2012 Feb;40(1):1–20.

327.

Kenamer JD. Public opinion, the press, and public policy. Paperback ed. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 1994.

328.

Kingdon JW. Agendas, alternatives, and public policies. 2nd ed. New York: Longman; 2003.

329.

Koch-Baumgarten S, Voltmer K. Public policy and mass media: the interplay of mass communication and political decision making [Internet]. London: Routledge/ECPR; 2010. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=257161&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/sibboleth>

330.

Koch-Baumgarten S, Voltmer K. Public policy and mass media: the interplay of mass

communication and political decision making. London: Routledge/ECPR; 2010.

331.

Page BI, Shapiro RY. The rational public: fifty years of trends in Americans' policy preferences. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1992.

332.

Walgrave S, Soroka S, Nuytemans M. The Mass Media's Political Agenda-Setting Power. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2008 Jun;41(6):814-836.

333.

Walgrave S, Van Aelst P. The Contingency of the Mass Media's Political Agenda Setting Power: Toward a Preliminary Theory. *Journal of Communication*. 2006 Mar 1;56(1):88-109.

334.

Yanovitzky I. Effects of News Coverage on Policy Attention and Actions. *Communication Research*. 2002 Aug;29(4):422-451.

335.

Bennett WL, Paletz DL. Taken by storm: the media, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy in the Gulf War. Chicago, Ill: University of Chicago Press; 1994.

336.

Gilboa E. The CNN Effect: The Search for a Communication Theory of International Relations. *Political Communication*. 2005 Feb 16;22(1):27-44.

337.

Livingston S. Clarifying the CNN Effect: An Examination of Media Effects According to Type of Military Intervention - Shorenstein Center [Internet]. The Joan Shorenstein Center on the Press, Politics and Public Policy; 1997. Available from:

<https://shorensteincenter.org/clarifying-the-cnn-effect-media-effects-and-military-intervention/>

338.

Robinson P. The CNN effect: the myth of news foreign policy and intervention [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=10716&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

339.

Robinson P. The CNN effect: the myth of news foreign policy and intervention. New York: Routledge; 2002.

340.

Wolfsfeld G. Media and political conflict: news from the Middle East. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997.