

Politics, Communication and Democracy

[View Online](#)

1.

Curran J, Taylor & Francis Group. Media and power [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203417744>

2.

Neilsen RK. The Power of Platforms. Inaugural Lecture, Oxford University [Internet]. 2018. Available from: <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/risj-review/lecture-power-platforms>

3.

United Kingdom - Reuters Institute Digital News Report [Internet]. 2018. Available from: <http://www.digitalnewsreport.org/survey/2018/united-kingdom-2018/>

4.

Ananny M. Networked press freedom: creating infrastructures for a public right to hear [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2019. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.7551/mitpress/9780262037747.001.0001/upso-9780262037747>

5.

Bell E. Who owns the news consumer: Social media platforms or publishers? Columbia Journalism Review [Internet]. 2016. Available from: https://www.cjr.org/tow_center/platforms_and_publishers_new_research_from_the_tow_center.php

6.

Sloan L, Quan-Haase A. The Sage handbook of social media research methods [Internet]. First edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Inc; 2016. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://methods.sagepub.com/book/the-sage-handbook-of-social-media-research-methods>

7.

Nechushtai E. Could digital platforms capture the media through infrastructure? Journalism. 2018 Aug;19(8):1043–58. doi:10.1177/1464884917725163

8.

Reuters Institute Digital News Report 2017 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.digitalnewsreport.org/>

9.

Nielsen RK. Varieties of Online Gatekeeping. SSRN Electronic Journal. 2014. doi:10.2139/ssrn.2675055

10.

Kleis Nielsen R, Ganter SA. Dealing with digital intermediaries: A case study of the relations between publishers and platforms. New Media & Society. 2018 Apr;20(4):1600–17. doi:10.1177/1461444817701318

11.

Peters C, Broersma MJ, editors. Rethinking journalism again: societal role and public relevance in a digital age [Internet]. London, [England]: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781317506416>

12.

Perloff RM, Ebooks Corporation Limited. The dynamics of political communication: media

and politics in a digital age [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2014. Available from: <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1575976>

13.

Pew Research Centre. The Modern News Consumer [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.journalism.org/2016/07/07/the-modern-news-consumer/>

14.

Pew Research Centre. Election 2016: Campaigns as a Direct Source of News [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.journalism.org/topics/2016-election/>

15.

Pew Research Centre. The Modern News Consumer [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.journalism.org/2016/07/07/the-modern-news-consumer/>

16.

Waisbord S. Truth is What Happens to News. *Journalism Studies*. 2018 Oct 3;19(13):1866–78. doi:10.1080/1461670X.2018.1492881

17.

Toril Aalberg and James Curran, editor. How media inform democracy: a comparative approach. Series: Routledge new developments in communication and society research. New York, N.Y.: Routledge; 2012.

18.

C. Edwin Baker. Media, markets, and democracy. Series: Communication, society, and politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002.

19.

Curran J. Media and power [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/97802>

03417744

20.

Geneva Overholser, Kathleen Hall Jamieson, editor. The press [Electronic resource]. Series: Institutions of American democracy series. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2005.

Available from:

<http://lib.myilibrary.com?id=84383&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

21.

J. Curran, S. Iyengar, A. Brink Lund, I. Salovaara-Moring. Media System, Public Knowledge and Democracy: A Comparative Study. *European Journal of Communication*. 2009 Mar 1;24(1):5-26. doi:10.1177/0267323108098943

22.

Graber, Doris. THE MEDIA AND DEMOCRACY: Beyond Myths and Stereotypes. *Annual Review of Political Science* [Internet]. 2003;6(1):139-60. Available from:

<https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=10609378&site=ehost-live>

23.

Denis McQuail. *Media performance: mass communication and the public interest*. London: Sage Publications; 1992.

24.

Nielsen RK. The One Thing Journalism Just Might do for Democracy. *Journalism Studies*. 2017 Oct 3;18(10):1251-62. doi:10.1080/1461670X.2017.1338152

25.

M. Scammell. Rethinking the Media's Duties to Democracy: watchdog, information and representation [Internet]. 2005. Available from:

<https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2017-11/Journalism%20Democracy%20%26%20Public%20Interest.pdf>

26.

Michael Schudson. The power of news. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1995.

27.

Schudson M, Dawson Books. Why democracies need an unlovable press [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2008. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780745675312>

28.

Frank Esser, Jesper Stromback, editor. Mediatization of politics: understanding the transformation of western democracies [Electronic resource]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://www.palgraveconnect.com/doifinder/10.1057/9781137275844>

29.

Fred S. Siebert, Theodore Peterson, Wilbur Schramm. Four theories of the press. Chicago, Ill: University of Illinois Press; 1984.

30.

John Street. Mass media, politics and democracy. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.

31.

Jesper Strömbäck. In Search of a Standard: four models of democracy and their normative implications for journalism. Journalism Studies. 2005 Aug;6(3):331-45. doi:10.1080/14616700500131950

32.

David Tewksbury, Jason Rittenberg. News on the internet: information and citizenship in the 21st century. Series: Oxford studies in digital politics. Oxford: Oxford University Press;

2012.

33.

Van Aelst P, Strömbäck J, Aalberg T, Esser F, de Vreese C, Matthes J, et al. Political communication in a high-choice media environment: a challenge for democracy? *Annals of the International Communication Association*. 2017 Jan 2;41(1):3-27. doi:10.1080/23808985.2017.1288551

34.

JOHN ZALLER. A New Standard of News Quality: Burglar Alarms for the Monitorial Citizen. *Political Communication*. 2003 Apr;20(2):109-30. doi:10.1080/10584600390211136

35.

Benjamin R. Barber. *Strong democracy: participatory politics for a new age*. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1984.

36.

Robert Alan Dahl. *Democracy and its critics*. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1989.

37.

David Held. *Models of democracy*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Polity; 1996.

38.

Brady AM. Plus ça change?: Media Control Under Xi Jinping. *Problems of Post-Communism*. 2017 Jul 4;64(3-4):128-40. doi:10.1080/10758216.2016.1197779

39.

Stockmann D. *Media Commercialization and Authoritarian Rule in China* [Electronic resource]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139087742>

40.

Repnikova M. Media Openings and Political Transitions: versus. Problems of Post-Communism. 2017 Jul 4;64(3-4):141-51. doi:10.1080/10758216.2017.1307118

41.

King G, Pan J, Roberts ME. How the Chinese Government Fabricates Social Media Posts for Strategic Distraction, Not Engaged Argument. American Political Science Review. 2017 Aug;111(03):484-501. doi:10.1017/S0003055417000144

42.

Roberts ME. Censored: distraction and diversion inside China's great firewall [Internet]. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press; 2018. Available from: <https://press.princeton.edu/titles/11341.html>

43.

Liberation Technology. Journal of Democracy. 2010;21(3):69-83. doi:10.1353/jod.0.0190

44.

Egorov G, Guriev S, Sonin K. Why Resource-poor Dictators Allow Freer Media: A Theory and Evidence from Panel Data. The American Political Science Review [Internet]. 2009;103(4):645-68. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/27798530>

45.

Freedom of the Press 2017 [Internet]. Freedom House; 2013. Available from: <https://freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-press/freedom-press-2017>

46.

Guriev S, Treisma D. How Modern Dictators Survive: Cooptation, Censorship, Propaganda, and Repression. CEPR discussion papers [Internet]. 2015. Available from:

https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://cepr.org/active/publications/discussion_papers/dp.php?dpno=10454

47.

Daniel C. Hallin, Paolo Mancini. Comparing media systems beyond the western world. Series: Communication, society and politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

48.

Morozov E. The net delusion: how not to liberate the world. London: Allen Lane; 2011.

49.

Norris P, World Bank, editors. Public sentinel: news media & governance reform. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank; 2010.

50.

Pearce KE, Kendzior S. Networked Authoritarianism and Social Media in Azerbaijan. Journal of Communication. 2012 Apr;62(2):283-98. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2012.01633.x

51.

Reuter OJ, Szakonyi D. Online Social Media and Political Awareness in Authoritarian Regimes. British Journal of Political Science. 2015 Jan;45(01):29-51. doi:10.1017/S0007123413000203

52.

Rød EG, Weidmann NB. Empowering activists or autocrats? The Internet in authoritarian regimes. Journal of Peace Research. 2015 May;52(3):338-51. doi:10.1177/0022343314555782

53.

Brady AM. Mass Persuasion as a Means of Legitimation and China's Popular

Authoritarianism. *American Behavioral Scientist*. 2009 Nov;53(3):434-57.
doi:10.1177/0002764209338802

54.

Brady AM, editor. *China's thought management*. Vol. 40. London: Routledge; 2014.

55.

Chen Y, Yang DY. *The Impact of Media Censorship: Evidence from a Field Experiment in China* [Internet]. 2018. Available from:
https://calendar.mit.edu/event/the_impact_of_media_censorship_evidence_from_a_field_experiment_in_china#.XDN7q2ngqUk

56.

Creemers R. *Cyber China: Upgrading Propaganda, Public Opinion Work and Social Management for the Twenty-First Century*. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2017 Jan 2;26(103):85-100. doi:10.1080/10670564.2016.1206281

57.

Ebooks Corporation Limited. *The Internet, social media, and a changing China* [Internet]. deLisle J, Goldstein A, Yang G, editors. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 2016. Available from:
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=4540252>

58.

Dimitrov MK. *The Political Logic of Media Control in China*. *Problems of Post-Communism*. 2017 Jul 4;64(3-4):121-7. doi:10.1080/10758216.2017.1318346

59.

Hassid J. *Safety Valve or Pressure Cooker? Blogs in Chinese Political Life*. *Journal of Communication*. 2012 Apr;62(2):212-30. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2012.01634.x

60.

HOBBS WR, ROBERTS ME. How Sudden Censorship Can Increase Access to Information. *American Political Science Review*. 2018 Aug;112(03):621–36. doi:10.1017/S0003055418000084

61.

Huhe N, Tang M, Chen J. Creating Democratic Citizens: Political Effects of the Internet in China. *Political Research Quarterly*. 2018 Dec;71(4):757–71. doi:10.1177/1065912918764338

62.

King G, Pan J, Roberts ME. How Censorship in China Allows Government Criticism but Silences Collective Expression. *American Political Science Review*. 2013 May;107(02):326–43. doi:10.1017/S0003055413000014

63.

Peter Lorentzen. China's Strategic Censorship. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2014 Apr;58(2):402–14. doi:10.1111/ajps.12065

64.

MacKinnon R. China's 'Networked Authoritarianism'. *Journal of Democracy*. 2011;22(2):32–46. doi:10.1353/jod.2011.0033

65.

Meng B, SpringerLink (Online service). *The Politics of Chinese Media: Consensus and Contestation* [Electronic resource]. New York: Palgrave Macmillan US; 2018. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-46214-5>

66.

Miller BA, Gallagher M. *Astroturfing in China: Three Case Studies* [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <http://blakeapm.com/>

67.

Qin B, Strömberg D, Wu Y. Why Does China Allow Freer Social Media? Protests versus Surveillance and Propaganda. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives* [Internet]. 2017;31(1). Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/44133953>

68.

Rawnsley GD, Rawnsley MYT, editors. *Routledge handbook of Chinese media*. Paperback edition. London: Routledge; 2018.

69.

Repnikova M. *Media politics in China: improvising power under authoritarianism*. Cambridge, United Kingdom: University Printing House; 2017.

70.

Roberts ME, Stewart BM. Localization and Coordination: How Propaganda and Censorship Converge in Chinese Newspapers - Working paper [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <https://www.kellogg.northwestern.edu/~media/Files/Research/fordcenter/text-as-data-2014/RobertsStewartPropaganda.ashx>

71.

Susan L. Shirk, editor. *Changing media, changing China* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from: <http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=602492>

72.

D. Stockmann, M. E. Gallagher. Remote Control: How the Media Sustain Authoritarian Rule in China. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2011 Apr 1;44(4):436–67. doi:10.1177/0010414010394773

73.

Stockmann D. *Media Commercialization and Authoritarian Rule in China* [Electronic resource]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from:

<https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139087742>

74.

Sullivan J. China's Weibo: Is faster different? *New Media & Society*. 2014 Feb;16(1):24-37. doi:10.1177/1461444812472966

75.

Tager J, Glenn Bass K, Lopez S. Forbidden Feeds: Government Controls on Social Media in China [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://pen.org/research-resources/forbidden-feeds/>

76.

L. Tang, H. Sampson. The interaction between mass media and the internet in non-democratic states: The case of China. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2012 May 1;34(4):457-71. doi:10.1177/0163443711436358

77.

Wang H, Sparks C, Lü N, Huang Y. Differences within the mainland Chinese press: a quantitative analysis. *Asian Journal of Communication*. 2017 Mar 4;27(2):154-71. doi:10.1080/01292986.2016.1240818

78.

Xin X. Popularizing party journalism in China in the age of social media: The case of Xinhua News Agency. *Global Media and China*. 2018 Mar;3(1):3-17. doi:10.1177/2059436418768331

79.

Yang G. Internet Activism & the Party-State in China. *Daedalus*. 2014 Apr;143(2):110-23. doi:10.1162/DAED_a_00276

80.

Peidong Y. 'Positive Energy': Hegemonic Intervention and Online Media Discourse in China's Xi Jinping Era. *China: An International Journal* [Internet]. 2018;16(1):1-22. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://muse.jhu.edu/article/688045>

81.

Hallin DC, Mancini P. Comparing media systems beyond the western world. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

82.

McQuail D. Media performance: mass communication and the public interest [Internet]. London: Sage Publications; 1992. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=34349f5a-ef40-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

83.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

84.

Berry M. No alternative to austerity: how BBC broadcast news reported the deficit debate. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2016 Sep;38(6):844-63. doi:10.1177/0163443715620931

85.

Boden H. In Search of Unbiased Reporting in Light of Brexit, Trump and Other Reporting Challenges in the UK and US - Shorenstein Center [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://shorensteincenter.org/unbiased-reporting-brexit-trump-uk-us/>

86.

Entman RM. Framing Bias: Media in the Distribution of Power. *Journal of Communication*. 2007 Mar;57(1):163-73. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00336.x

87.

Hackett RA. Decline of a paradigm? Bias and objectivity in news media studies. *Critical Studies in Mass Communication*. 1984 Sep;1(3):229–59. doi:10.1080/15295038409360036

88.

Kenski K, Jamieson KH, editors. *The Oxford handbook of political communication* [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001>

89.

Starkey G. *Balance and bias in journalism: representation, regulation, and democracy*. Houndmills, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2007.

90.

Aday S, Livingston S, Hebert M. Embedding the Truth. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2005 Jan;10(1):3–21. doi:10.1177/1081180X05275727

91.

Bell CV, Entman RM. The Media's Role in America's Exceptional Politics of Inequality. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2011 Oct;16(4):548–72. doi:10.1177/1940161211417334

92.

Benson R. *Shaping immigration news: a French-American comparison*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 2014.

93.

Boykoff MT, Boykoff JM. Climate change and journalistic norms: A case-study of US mass-media coverage. *Geoforum*. 2007 Nov;38(6):1190–204. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2007.01.008

94.

Gamson WA, Modigliani A. Media Discourse and Public Opinion on Nuclear Power: A Constructionist Approach. *American Journal of Sociology* [Internet]. 1989;95(1). Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2780405>

95.

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. *Bad news: Volume 1* [Electronic resource]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480>

96.

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. *Bad news: Volume 1* [Electronic resource]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480>

97.

Lawrence RG. Framing Obesity. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2004 Jul;9(3):56–75. doi:10.1177/1081180X04266581

98.

US Election Analysis 2016 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.electionanalysis2016.us/us-election-analysis-2016/introduction/>

99.

Lundström R. Framing fraud: Discourse on benefit cheating in Sweden and the UK. *European Journal of Communication*. 2013 Dec;28(6):630–45. doi:10.1177/0267323113502737

100.

Philo G, Briant E, Donald P. The role of the press in the war on asylum. *Race & Class*. 2013

Oct;55(2):28–41. doi:10.1177/0306396813497873

101.

Roggeband C, Vliegthart R. Divergent framing: The public debate on migration in the Dutch parliament and media, 1995–2004. *West European Politics*. 2007 May;30(3):524–48. doi:10.1080/01402380701276352

102.

Rose M, Baumgartner FR. Framing the Poor: Media Coverage and U.S. Poverty Policy, 1960–2008. *Policy Studies Journal*. 2013 Feb;41(1):22–53. doi:10.1111/psj.12001

103.

Semetko HA, Valkenburg PMV. Framing European politics: A Content Analysis of Press and Television News. *Journal of Communication*. 2000 Jun 1;50(2):93–109. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2000.tb02843.x

104.

Smith D, Deacon D. Immigration and the British news media: Continuity or change? *Sociology Compass*. 2018 Sep;12(9). doi:10.1111/soc4.12618

105.

American Behavioral Scientist : Special Issue Title: Framing Irregular Immigration in Western Media: Voices, Stories and Audience Impact [Internet]. Vol. 59. 2015;59(7). Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://journals.sagepub.com/toc/absb/59/7>

106.

Van Gorp B. Where is the Frame? *European Journal of Communication*. 2005 Dec;20(4):484–507. doi:10.1177/0267323105058253

107.

Johnston H, Noakes JA, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Frames of protest: social movements and the framing perspective [Electronic resource]. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc; 2005. Available from:
<http://www.GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1322109>

108.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from:
<https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

109.

Bennett WL, Lawrence RG, Livingston S. None Dare Call It Torture: Indexing and the Limits of Press Independence in the Abu Ghraib Scandal. *Journal of Communication*. 2006 Sep 1;56(3):467–85. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00296.x

110.

Entman RM. Cascading Activation: Contesting the White House's Frame After 9/11. *Political Communication*. 2003 Oct;20(4):415–32. doi:10.1080/10584600390244176

111.

Shehata A. Facing the Muhammad Cartoons: Official Dominance and Event-Driven News in Swedish and American Elite Press. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2007 Oct;12(4):131–53. doi:10.1177/1081180X07307869

112.

Entman RM, Usher N. Framing in a Fractured Democracy: Impacts of Digital Technology on Ideology, Power and Cascading Network Activation. *Journal of Communication*. 2018 Apr 1;68(2):298–308. doi:10.1093/joc/jqx019

113.

Bennett WL. Toward a Theory of Press-State Relations in the United States. *Journal of Communication*. 1990 Jun 1;40(2):103–27. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1990.tb02265.x

114.

Bennett WL, Livingston S. Editors' Introduction: A Semi-Independent Press: Government Control and Journalistic Autonomy in the Political Construction of News. *Political Communication*. 2003 Oct;20(4):359–62. doi:10.1080/10584600390244086

115.

Kenski K, Jamieson KH, editors. *The Oxford handbook of political communication* [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001>

116.

Ciboh R. Journalists and Political Sources in Nigeria. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2017 Apr;22(2):185–201. doi:10.1177/1940161216681164

117.

Cook TE. *Governing with the news: the news media as a political institution*. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2005.

118.

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. *Bad news: Volume 1* [Electronic resource]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480>

119.

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. *Bad news: Volume 1* [Electronic resource]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480>

120.

Lawrence RG. Framing Obesity. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2004

Jul;9(3):56–75. doi:10.1177/1081180X04266581

121.

Lundström R. Framing fraud: Discourse on benefit cheating in Sweden and the UK. *European Journal of Communication*. 2013 Dec;28(6):630–45. doi:10.1177/0267323113502737

122.

Miller D. Official sources and 'primary definition': the case of Northern Ireland. *Media, Culture & Society*. 1993 Jul;15(3):385–406. doi:10.1177/016344393015003004

123.

Philo G, Briant E, Donald P. The role of the press in the war on asylum. *Race & Class*. 2013 Oct;55(2):28–41. doi:10.1177/0306396813497873

124.

Semetko HA, Valkenburg PMV. Framing European politics: A Content Analysis of Press and Television News. *Journal of Communication*. 2000 Jun 1;50(2):93–109. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2000.tb02843.x

125.

Carragee KM, Roefs W. The Neglect of Power in Recent Framing Research. *Journal of Communication*. 2004 Jun 1;54(2):214–33. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2004.tb02625.x

126.

Chong D, Druckman JN. Framing Theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2007 Jun;10(1):103–26. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.10.072805.103054

127.

Semetko HA, Scammell M. *The SAGE handbook of political communication*. London: SAGE; 2012.

128.

Entman RM. Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm. *Journal of Communication*. 1993 Dec 1;43(4):51–8. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x

129.

Gamson WA, Croteau D, Hoynes W, Sasson T. Media Images and the Social Construction of Reality. *Annual Review of Sociology* [Internet]. 1992;18. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2083459>

130.

Gamson W, Ryan C. Thinking about Elephants. Toward a Dialogue with George Lakoff. *Public Eye Magazine* [Internet]. 2005. Available from: http://www.publiceye.org/magazine/v19n2/gamson_elephants.html

131.

Goffman E. *Frame analysis: an essay on the organization of experience*. Northeastern University Press ed. Boston: Northeastern University Press; 1986.

132.

Pan Z, Kosicki G. Framing analysis: An approach to news discourse. *Political Communication*. 1993;10(1):55–75. doi:10.1080/10584609.1993.9962963

133.

Perloff RM. *The dynamics of political communication: media and politics in a digital age* [Internet]. Second edition. New York, NY: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2018. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5108778>

134.

Reese SD, Gandy OH, Grant AE. *Framing public life: perspectives on media and our understanding of the social world*. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2003.

135.

Van Gorp B. The Constructionist Approach to Framing: Bringing Culture Back In. *Journal of Communication*. 2007 Mar;57(1):60–78. doi:10.1111/j.0021-9916.2007.00329.x

136.

Vliegenthart R, van Zoonen L. Power to the frame: Bringing sociology back to frame analysis. *European Journal of Communication*. 2011 Jun;26(2):101–15. doi:10.1177/0267323111404838

137.

Bucy EP, Holbert RL. *Sourcebook for political communication research: methods, measures, and analytical techniques*. New York: Routledge; 2011.

138.

Matthes J, Kohring M. The Content Analysis of Media Frames: Toward Improving Reliability and Validity. *Journal of Communication*. 2008 Jun;58(2):258–79. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2008.00384.x

139.

Murray R. *Cracking the highest glass ceiling: a global comparison of women's campaigns for executive office* [Electronic resource]. Santa Barbara, Calif: Praeger Publishers; 2010. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780313382499>

140.

Harp D, Loke J, Bachmann I. Hillary Clinton's Benghazi Hearing Coverage: Political Competence, Authenticity, and the Persistence of the Double Bind. *Women's Studies in Communication*. 2016 Apr 2;39(2):193–210. doi:10.1080/07491409.2016.1171267

141.

Higgins M, McKay FM. Gender and the development of a political persona: The case of Scottish First Minister Nicola Sturgeon. *British Politics*. 2016 Sep;11(3):283–300.

doi:10.1057/bp.2015.44

142.

Miller MK, Peake JS. Press Effects, Public Opinion, and Gender. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2013 Oct;18(4):482–507. doi:10.1177/1940161213495456

143.

McGregor SC, Lawrence RG, Cardona A. Personalization, gender, and social media: gubernatorial candidates' social media strategies. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2017 Feb;20(2):264–83. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2016.1167228

144.

Meeks L. Is She "Man Enough"? Women Candidates, Executive Political Offices, and News Coverage. *Journal of Communication*. 2012 Feb;62(1):175–93. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2011.01621.x

145.

Perry S. Gender Difference in French Political Communication: From Handicap to Asset? *Modern & Contemporary France*. 2005 Aug;13(3):337–52. doi:10.1080/09639480500177773

146.

Ward O. Intersectionality and Press Coverage of Political Campaigns. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2017 Jan;22(1):43–66. doi:10.1177/1940161216673195

147.

Counting Women In - Centre for Women and Democracy [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.cfwd.org.uk/current-projects/counting-women-in>

148.

Women and Journalism | Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism [Internet]. Available

from: <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/our-research/women-and-journalism>

149.

Aaldering L, Van Der Pas DJ. Political Leadership in the Media: Gender Bias in Leader Stereotypes during Campaign and Routine Times. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2018 Mar 5;1–21. doi:10.1017/S0007123417000795

150.

Aday S, Devitt J. Style over Substance: Newspaper Coverage of Elizabeth Dole's Presidential Bid. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2001 Mar;6(2):52–73. doi:10.1177/108118001129172134

151.

Adcock C. The Politician, The Wife, The Citizen, and her Newspaper. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2010 Jun;10(2):135–59. doi:10.1080/14680771003672254

152.

Bachmann I, Harp D, Loke J. Covering Clinton (2010–2015): meaning-making strategies in US magazine covers. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2018 Sep 3;18(5):793–809. doi:10.1080/14680777.2017.1358204

153.

Bauer NM. Emotional, Sensitive, and Unfit for Office? Gender Stereotype Activation and Support Female Candidates. *Political Psychology*. 2015 Dec;36(6):691–708. doi:10.1111/pops.12186

154.

Campus D. Women political leaders and the media [Electronic resource]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://www.palgraveconnect.com/doi/10.1057/9781137295545>

155.

Carroll SJ. Reflections on Gender and Hillary Clinton's Presidential Campaign: The Good, the Bad, and the Misogynic. *Politics & Gender*. 2009 Mar;5(01). doi:10.1017/S1743923X09000014

156.

Craig SC, Rippere PS. He Said, She Said: The Impact of Candidate Gender in Negative Campaigns. *Politics & Gender*. 2016 Jun;12(02):391–414. doi:10.1017/S1743923X15000550

157.

Dunaway J, Lawrence RG, Rose M, Weber CR. Traits versus Issues. *Political Research Quarterly*. 2013 Sep;66(3):715–26. doi:10.1177/1065912913491464

158.

Escobar-Lemmon MC, Hoekstra V, Kang A, Kittilson MC. Just the Facts? Media Coverage of Female and Male High Court Appointees in Five Democracies. *Politics & Gender*. 2016 Jun;12(02):254–74. doi:10.1017/S1743923X16000234

159.

Fahey AC. French and Feminine: Hegemonic Masculinity and the Emasculation of John Kerry in the 2004 Presidential Race. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*. 2007 Jun;24(2):132–50. doi:10.1080/07393180701262743

160.

Calhoun CJ. *Habermas and the public sphere*. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 1992.

161.

Garcia-Blanco I, Wahl-Jorgensen K. The Discursive Construction of Women Politicians in the European Press. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2012 Sep;12(3):422–41. doi:10.1080/14680777.2011.615636

162.

Hayes D, Lawless JL. A Non-Gendered Lens? Media, Voters, and Female Candidates in Contemporary Congressional Elections. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2015 Mar;13(01):95–118. doi:10.1017/S1537592714003156

163.

Hayes D, Lawless JL. *Women on the run: gender, media, and political campaigns in a polarized era*. New York NY: Cambridge University Press; 2016.

164.

Heflick NA, Goldenberg JL. Objectifying Sarah Palin: Evidence that objectification causes women to be perceived as less competent and less fully human. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 2009 May;45(3):598–601. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2009.02.008

165.

Heflick NA, Goldenberg JL, Cooper DP, Puvia E. From women to objects: Appearance focus, target gender, and perceptions of warmth, morality and competence. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 2011 May;47(3):572–81. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2010.12.020

166.

Jamieson KH. *Beyond the double bind: women and leadership*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1995.

167.

Kahn KF. *The political consequences of being a woman: how stereotypes influence the conduct and consequences of political campaigns*. New York: Columbia University Press; 1996.

168.

Kittilson MC, Fridkin K. Gender, Candidate Portrayals and Election Campaigns: A Comparative Perspective. *Politics & Gender*. 2008 Sep;4(03). doi:10.1017/S1743923X08000330

169.

Lachover E. Signs of change in media representation of women in Israeli politics: Leading and peripheral women contenders. *Journalism: Theory, Practice & Criticism*. 2017 Apr;18(4):446–63. doi:10.1177/1464884915610991

170.

Lawrence RG, Rose M. Hillary Clinton's race for the White House: gender politics and the media on the campaign trail. Boulder, Colo: Lynne Rienner Publishers; 2010.

171.

Ross K, Comrie M. The rules of the (leadership) game: Gender, politics and news. *Journalism: Theory, Practice & Criticism*. 2012 Nov;13(8):969–84. doi:10.1177/1464884911433255

172.

Meeks L. Getting Personal: Effects of Twitter Personalization on Candidate Evaluations. *Politics & Gender*. 2017 Mar;13(01):1–25. doi:10.1017/S1743923X16000696

173.

Semetko HA, Boomgaarden HG. Reporting Germany's 2005 Bundestag Election Campaign: Was Gender an Issue? *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2007 Oct;12(4):154–71. doi:10.1177/1081180X07307383

174.

Thomas ML, Bittner A, editors. Mothers and others: the role of parenthood in politics. Vancouver, BC: UBC Press; 2018.

175.

Trimble L, Wagner A, Sampert S, Raphael D, Gerrits B. Is It Personal? Gendered Mediation in Newspaper Coverage of Canadian National Party Leadership Contests, 1975–2012. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2013 Oct;18(4):462–81.

doi:10.1177/1940161213495455

176.

Trimble L, Raphael D, Sampert S, Wagner A, Gerrits B. Politicizing Bodies: Hegemonic Masculinity, Heteronormativity, and Racism in News Representations of Canadian Political Party Leadership Candidates. *Women's Studies in Communication*. 2015 Jul 3;38(3):314–30. doi:10.1080/07491409.2015.1062836

177.

Trimble LJ (Linda J. Ms. Prime Minister: gender, media, and leadership. Toronto, ON: University of Toronto Press; 2017.

178.

Valenzuela S, Correa T. Press Coverage and Public Opinion On Women Candidates. *International Communication Gazette*. 2009 Apr;71(3):203–23. doi:10.1177/1748048508100913

179.

Zoonen L van. *Entertaining the citizen: when politics and popular culture converge*. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2005.

180.

Wagner A, Trimble L, Sampert S, Gerrits B. Gender, Competitiveness, and Candidate Visibility in Newspaper Coverage of Canadian Party Leadership Contests. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2017 Oct;22(4):471–89. doi:10.1177/1940161217723150

181.

Ward O. Seeing Double: Race, Gender, and Coverage of Minority Women's Campaigns for the U.S. House of Representatives. *Politics & Gender*. 2016 Jun;12(02):317–43. doi:10.1017/S1743923X16000222

182.

Wasburn PC, Wasburn MH. Media coverage of women in politics: The curious case of Sarah Palin. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2011 Oct;33(7):1027–41. doi:10.1177/0163443711415744

183.

Kenski K, Jamieson KH, editors. *The Oxford handbook of political communication* [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001>

184.

Wolfsfeld G. *Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication* [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

185.

Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. *Trump and the media* [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2018. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880>

186.

W. Lance Bennett, Shanto Iyengar. A New Era of Minimal Effects? The Changing Foundations of Political Communication. *Journal of Communication*. 2008 Dec;58(4):707–31. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2008.00410.x

187.

Heinz Brandenburg, Marcel Van Egmond. Pressed into Party Support? Media Influence on Partisan Attitudes during the 2005 UK General Election Campaign. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2012 Apr;42(02):441–63. doi:10.1017/S0007123411000445

188.

Curran J. *Media and power* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

03417744

189.

D. T. Denver. Elections and voters in Britain. 3rd ed. Series: Contemporary Political Studies. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.

190.

David M. Farrell & Rüdiger Schmitt-Beck, editor. Do political campaigns matter?: campaign effects in elections and referendums. London: Routledge; 2002.

191.

Iyengar S, Kinder DR. News that matters: television and American opinion. Updated ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2010.

192.

Kathleen Hall Jamieson. Everything you think you know about politics-- and why you're wrong. New York: Basic Books; 2000.

193.

Paul Felix Lazarsfeld, Bernard Berelson, Hazel Gaudet. The people's choice: how the voter makes up his mind in a presidential campaign. 3d ed. New York: Columbia University Press; 1965.

194.

Paul Manning. News and news sources: a critical introduction. London: SAGE; 2001.

195.

Doris A. Graber. Media power in politics. 6th ed. Washington, DC: CQ Press; 2011.

196.

William Lockley Miller. Media and voters: the audience, content and influence of press and television at the 1987 General Election. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1991.

197.

Diana Carole Mutz. Impersonal influence: how perceptions of mass collectives affect political attitudes. Series: Cambridge studies in political psychology and public opinion. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.

198.

D. C. Mutz, L. Young. Communication and Public Opinion: Plus Ça Change? Public Opinion Quarterly. 2011 Dec 1;75(5):1018-44. doi:10.1093/poq/nfr052

199.

NEWTON K. May the weak force be with you: The power of the mass media in modern politics. European Journal of Political Research. 2006 Mar;45(2):209-34. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2006.00296.x

200.

Pippa Norris. On message: communicating the campaign. London: Sage; 1999.

201.

Samuel L. Popkin. The reasoning voter: communication and persuasion in presidential campaigns. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1991.

202.

John Street. Mass media, politics, and democracy. Basingstoke: Palgrave; 2001.

203.

Lynn Vavreck. The message matters: the economy and presidential campaigns [Electronic

resource]. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 2009. Available from:
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781400830480>

204.

Lynda Lee Kaid. Handbook of political communication research. Series: LEA's communication series. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2004.

205.

Lawrence Leduc. Opinion change and voting behaviour in referendums. European Journal of Political Research. 2002 Oct;41(6):711-32. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.00027

206.

Schuck ART, de Vreese CH. Reversed Mobilization in Referendum Campaigns: How Positive News Framing Can Mobilize the Skeptics. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2008 Nov 3;14(1):40-66. doi:10.1177/1940161208326926

207.

C. H. de Vreese, Holli A. Semetko. Political campaigning in referendums: framing the referendum issue. Series: Routledge research in political communication. London: Routledge; 2004.

208.

C. H. de Vreese. The dynamics of referendum campaigns: an international perspective [Electronic resource]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2007. Available from:
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780230591189>

209.

M. Wettstein. Frame Adoption in Referendum Campaigns: The Effect of News Coverage on the Public Salience of Issue Interpretations. American Behavioral Scientist. 2012 Mar 1;56(3):318-33. doi:10.1177/0002764211426328

210.

Chadwick A. **The hybrid media system: politics and power [Internet]. Second edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 2017. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190696726.001.0001>

211.

Grasseger H, Krogerus M. The Data That Turned the World Upside Down. Motherboard [Internet]. 2017. Available from: https://motherboard.vice.com/en_us/article/mg9vvvn/how-our-likes-helped-trump-win

212.

Baldwin-Philippi J. The Myths of Data-Driven Campaigning. Political Communication. 2017 Oct 2;34(4):627–33. doi:10.1080/10584609.2017.1372999

213.

50,000 Heck*ng Facebook Ad Variants?! – Steve Olson – Medium [Internet]. Available from: <https://medium.com/@steveolson/50-000-heck-ng-facebook-ad-variants-31eed233ac4a>

214.

Chadwick A, Howard PN, Dawson Books. Routledge handbook of internet politics [Electronic resource]. London: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780203962541>

215.

Vaccari C, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Digital politics in Western democracies: a comparative study [Internet]. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University Press; 2013. Available from: <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=3318750>

216.

Klinger U, Russmann U. "Beer is more efficient than social media"—Political parties and strategic communication in Austrian and Swiss national elections. Journal of Information Technology & Politics. 2017 Oct 2;14(4):299–313. doi:10.1080/19331681.2017.1369919

217.

Magin M, Podschuweit N, Haßler J, Russmann U. Campaigning in the fourth age of political communication. A multi-method study on the use of Facebook by German and Austrian parties in the 2013 national election campaigns. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2017 Nov 2;20(11):1698–719. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2016.1254269

218.

Theviot A. Towards a standardization of campaign strategies dictated by the Obama 'model'? The case of 'American-style' canvassing during the 2012 French presidential election campaign. *French Politics*. 2016 Jun;14(2):158–77. doi:10.1057/fp.2016.7

219.

Digital-Born and Legacy News Media on Twitter during the UK General Election [Internet]. Available from:
<https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2017-11/Digital-Born%20and%20Legacy%20News%20Media%20UK%20Factsheet.pdf>

220.

Volume 14, Issue 2, June 2016. Volume 14, Issue 2, June 2016 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://link-springer-com.login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/journal/41253/14/2>

221.

Jungherr A. Four Functions of Digital Tools in Election Campaigns. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2016 Jul;21(3):358–77. doi:10.1177/1940161216642597

222.

Magin M, Podschuweit N, Haßler J, Russmann U. Campaigning in the fourth age of political communication. A multi-method study on the use of Facebook by German and Austrian parties in the 2013 national election campaigns. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2017 Nov 2;20(11):1698–719. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2016.1254269

223.

Magin M, Podschuweit N, Haßler J, Russmann U. Campaigning in the fourth age of political communication. A multi-method study on the use of Facebook by German and Austrian parties in the 2013 national election campaigns. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2017 Nov 2;20(11):1698–719. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2016.1254269

224.

Dommett K, Temple L. Digital Campaigning: The Rise of Facebook and Satellite Campaigns. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2018 Mar 1;71(suppl_1):189–202. doi:10.1093/pa/gsx056

225.

Wring D, Mortimore R, Atkinson S, editors. *Political Communication in Britain* [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2017. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-40934-4> doi:10.1007/978-3-319-40934-4

226.

Holtz-Bacha C, Just MR. *Routledge handbook of political advertising* [Electronic resource]. New York, NY: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315694504>

227.

Alexander JC. *The performance of politics: Obama's victory and the democratic struggle for power* [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2010. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199744466.001.0001>

228.

Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. *Trump and the media* [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2018. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880>

229.

Enli G. Twitter as arena for the authentic outsider: exploring the social media campaigns of Trump and Clinton in the 2016 US presidential election. *European Journal of Communication*. 2017 Feb;32(1):50–61. doi:10.1177/0267323116682802

230.

Karpf D. Digital politics after Trump. *Annals of the International Communication Association*. 2017 Apr 3;41(2):198–207. doi:10.1080/23808985.2017.1316675

231.

Faris RM, Roberts H, Etling B, Bourassa N, Zuckerman E, Benkler Y. Partisanship, Propaganda, and Disinformation: Online Media and the 2016 U.S. Presidential Election [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://cyber.harvard.edu/publications/2017/08/mediacloud>

232.

Issenberg S. *The victory lab: the secret science of winning campaigns*. First paperback edition. New York: B/D/W/Y, Broadway Books; 2013.

233.

Kim YM, Hsu J, Neiman D, Kou C, Bankston L, Kim SY, et al. The stealth media? Groups and targets behind divisive issue campaigns on Facebook. *Political Communication*. 2018 Oct 2;35(4):515–41. doi:10.1080/10584609.2018.1476425

234.

Kreiss D, McGregor SC. Technology firms shape political communication: The work of Microsoft, Facebook, Twitter, and Google with campaigns during the 2016 US presidential cycle. *Political Communication*. 2018 Apr 3;35(2):155–77. doi:10.1080/10584609.2017.1364814

235.

Kreiss D. Trump, Breitbart, and the Rejection of Multicultural Democracy – Medium [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://medium.com/@dkreiss/trump-breitbart-and-the-rejection-of-multicultural-democracy-90f3f776bebd>

236.

Lawrence RG, Boydston AE. What We Should Really Be Asking About Media Attention to Trump. *Political Communication*. 2017 Jan 2;34(1):150–3. doi:10.1080/10584609.2016.1262700

237.

Lilleker D, Jackson D, Thorsen E, Veneti A, editors. *US Election Analysis 2016: Media, Voters and the Campaign* [Internet]. Bournemouth University, Fern Barrow, Poole, Dorset, BH12 5BB, UK; 2016. Available from: <http://www.electionanalysis2016.us/>

238.

Patterson TE. Pre-Primary News Coverage of the 2016 Presidential Race: Trump's Rise, Sanders' Emergence, Clinton's Struggle - Shorenstein Centre on Media, Politics and Public Policy [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <https://shorensteincenter.org/pre-primary-news-coverage-2016-trump-clinton-sanders>

239.

Penney J. Social Media and Citizen Participation in "Official" and "Unofficial" Electoral Promotion: A Structural Analysis of the 2016 Bernie Sanders Digital Campaign. *Journal of Communication*. 2017 Jun;67(3):402–23. doi:10.1111/jcom.12300

240.

Sides J, Farrell H. *The Science of Trump: Explaining the Rise of an Unlikely Candidate* [Internet]. The Monkey Cage; 2016. Available from: <https://www.amazon.co.uk/Science-Trump-Explaining-Unlikely-Candidate-ebook/dp/B01IL9DTG8>

241.

Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. *Trump and the media* [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2018. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880>

242.

Wells C, Shah DV, Pevehouse JC, Yang J, Pelled A, Boehm F, et al. How Trump Drove Coverage to the Nomination: Hybrid Media Campaigning. *Political Communication*. 2016 Oct;33(4):669–76. doi:10.1080/10584609.2016.1224416

243.

Blumler JG. Guest contribution by Jay G. Blumler: "The Fourth Age of Political Communication" [Internet]. 2013. Available from: <http://www.fgpk.de/en/2013/gastbeitrag-von-jay-g-blumler-the-fourth-age-of-political-communication-2/>

244.

Downs WM. There Goes the Neighbourhood? The 'Americanisation' of Elections, with Evidence from Scotland's Parliament. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2012 Oct 1;65(4):758–77. doi:10.1093/pa/gsr034

245.

Caramani D, editor. *Comparative politics*. Fourth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017.

246.

Esser F, Pfetsch B. *Comparing political communication: theories, cases, and challenges*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004.

247.

Holtz-Bacha C, Just MR. *Routledge handbook of political advertising* [Internet]. New York, NY: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315694504>

248.

Norris P. *A virtuous circle: political communications in postindustrial societies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

249.

Scammell M. Consumer democracy: the marketing of politics [Internet]. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2014. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139046107>

250.

Strömbäck J, Kaid LL. The handbook of election news coverage around the world. New York: Routledge; 2008.

251.

Reinemann C, editor. Political communication. volume 18. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton; 2014.

252.

Swanson DL, Mancini P. Politics, media, and modern democracy: an international study of innovations in electoral campaigning and their consequences. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 1996.

253.

Tenscher J, Mykkänen J, Moring T. Modes of professional campaigning: A four country comparison in the European parliamentary elections, 2009. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2012 Apr;17(2):145–68. doi:10.1177/1940161211433839

254.

Tenscher J, Koc-Michalska K, Lilleker DG, Mykkänen J, Walter AS, Findor A, et al. The professionals speak: Practitioners' perspectives on professional election campaigning. *European Journal of Communication*. 2016 Apr;31(2):95–119. doi:10.1177/0267323115612212

255.

West DM, Brookings Institution. Air wars: television advertising and social media in election campaigns, 1952-2016. 7 edition. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE/CQ Press; 2018.

256.

Baldwin-Philippi J. Using technology, building democracy: digital campaigning and the construction of citizenship [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2015. Available from:
<https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190231910.001.0001>

257.

Bennett WL, Segerberg A, Knüpfer CB. The democratic interface: technology, political organization, and diverging patterns of electoral representation. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2018 Nov 2;21(11):1655–80.
doi:10.1080/1369118X.2017.1348533

258.

Bruns A, Enli G, Skogerbø E, Larsson AO, Christensen C, editors. The Routledge companion to social media and politics [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2015. Available from:
<http://GLA.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=4218813>

259.

Chadwick A, Stromer-Galley J, editors. Special Issue Title: Digital Media, Power, and Democracy in Election Campaigns. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* [Internet]. 21(3). Available from:
<https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://journals.sagepub.com/toc/hijb/21/3>

260.

Dimitrova DV, Matthes J. Social Media in Political Campaigning Around the World: Theoretical and Methodological Challenges. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*. 2018 Jun;95(2):333–42. doi:10.1177/1077699018770437

261.

Freelon D, Karpf D. Of big birds and bayonets: hybrid Twitter interactivity in the 2012 Presidential debates. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2015 Apr 3;18(4):390–406. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2014.952659

262.

Gibson RK, McAllister I. Normalising or equalising party competition? Assessing the impact of the web on election campaigning. *Political Studies*. 2015 Aug;63(3):529–47. doi:10.1111/1467-9248.12107

263.

Karpf D. The MoveOn effect: the unexpected transformation of American political advocacy [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199898367.001.0001>

264.

Karpf D. Analytic activism: digital listening and the new political strategy [Internet]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2016. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190266127.001.0001>

265.

Kreiss D. Taking our country back: the crafting of networked politics from Howard Dean to Barack Obama [Electronic resource]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199782536.001.0001>

266.

Coleman S, Freelon D, editors. *Handbook of digital politics*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited; 2015.

267.

Kreiss D. Prototype politics: technology-intense campaigning and the data of democracy

[Internet]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2016. Available from:
<https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199350247.001.0001>

268.

Kreiss D. Seizing the moment: The presidential campaigns' use of Twitter during the 2012 electoral cycle. *New Media & Society*. 2016 Sep;18(8):1473–90.
doi:10.1177/1461444814562445

269.

Lilleker DG, Jackson NA. Political campaigning, elections and the internet: comparing the US, UK, France and Germany. Vol. 4. London: Routledge; 2011.

270.

Lilleker DG, Tenscher J, Štětka V. Towards hypermedia campaigning? Perceptions of new media's importance for campaigning by party strategists in comparative perspective. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2015 Jul 3;18(7):747–65.
doi:10.1080/1369118X.2014.993679

271.

Nielsen RK. Ground wars: personalized communication in political campaigns. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 2012.

272.

Stromer-Galley J. Presidential campaigning in the Internet age [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from:
<https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199731930.001.0001>

273.

Vaccari C. From echo chamber to persuasive device? Rethinking the role of the Internet in campaigns. *New Media & Society*. 2013 Feb;15(1):109–27.
doi:10.1177/1461444812457336

274.

Vaccari C. Digital politics in Western democracies: a comparative study [Internet]. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University Press; 2013. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=3318750>

275.

Fisher J, editor. The Routledge handbook of elections, voting behavior and public opinion [Internet]. London, [England]: Routledge; 2018. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315712390>

276.

Dawson Books. Populist political communication in Europe [Internet]. Aalberg T, Esser F, Strömbäck J, Vreese CH de, editors. Vol. 1. New York, NY: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781315623016>

277.

US Election Analysis 2016 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.electionanalysis2016.us/us-election-analysis-2016/introduction/>

278.

Mark Rice-Oxley. How to spot a populist. Guardian [Internet]. 2018 Dec 3. Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/news/2018/dec/03/what-is-populism-trump-farage-orban-bolsonaro>

279.

Ernst N, Esser F, Blassnig S, Engesser S. Favorable Opportunity Structures for Populist Communication: Comparing Different Types of Politicians and Issues in Social Media, Television and the Press. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2018 Dec 22. doi:10.1177/1940161218819430

280.

Wettstein M, Esser F, Schulz A, Wirz DS, Wirth W. News Media as Gatekeepers, Critics, and Initiators of Populist Communication: How Journalists in Ten Countries Deal with the Populist Challenge. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2018 Oct;23(4):476–95. doi:10.1177/1940161218785979

281.

Albertazzi D, McDonnell D. *Twenty-first century populism: the spectre of Western European democracy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

282.

Elena Block. The Populist Communication Style: Toward a Critical Framework. *International Journal of Communication* [Internet]. 2017;11. Available from: <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/5820>

283.

Bos L, van der Brug W, de Vreese C. How the Media Shape Perceptions of Right-Wing Populist Leaders. *Political Communication*. 2011 Apr 28;28(2):182–206. doi:10.1080/10584609.2011.564605

284.

Bos L, Brants K. Populist rhetoric in politics and media: A longitudinal study of the Netherlands. *European Journal of Communication*. 2014 Dec;29(6):703–19. doi:10.1177/0267323114545709

285.

de Vreese CH, Esser F, Aalberg T, Reinemann C, Stanyer J. Populism as an Expression of Political Communication Content and Style: A New Perspective. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2018 Oct;23(4):423–38. doi:10.1177/1940161218790035

286.

Jagers J, Walgrave S. Populism as political communication style: An empirical study of political parties' discourse in Belgium. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2007

May;46(3):319–45. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2006.00690.x

287.

Jensen MJ, Bang HP. Populism and Connectivism: An Analysis of the Sanders and Trump Nomination Campaigns. *Journal of Political Marketing*. 2017 Oct 2;16(3–4):343–64. doi:10.1080/15377857.2017.1345846

288.

Kavada A. Editorial: media and the 'populist moment'. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2018 Jul;40(5):742–4. doi:10.1177/0163443718772144

289.

ProQuest (Firm). Trump and the media [Internet]. Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2018. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880>

290.

Mazzoleni G, Stewart J, Horsfield B. The media and neo-populism: a contemporary comparative analysis. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 2003.

291.

Murphy J, Devine D. Does Media Coverage Drive Public Support for UKIP or Does Public Support for UKIP Drive Media Coverage? *British Journal of Political Science*. 2018 Jul 31;1–18. doi:10.1017/S0007123418000145

292.

Rooduijn M. The Mesmerising Message: The Diffusion of Populism in Public Debates in Western European Media. *Political Studies*. 2014 Dec;62(4):726–44. doi:10.1111/1467-9248.12074

293.

Thesen G. News content and populist radical right party support. The case of Denmark. *Electoral Studies*. 2018 Dec;56:80–9. doi:10.1016/j.electstud.2018.09.003

294.

Vliegenthart R, Boomgaarden HG, Van Spanje J. Anti-Immigrant Party Support and Media Visibility: A Cross-Party, Over-Time Perspective. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 2012 Aug;22(3):315–58. doi:10.1080/17457289.2012.693933

295.

Wirth W. The appeal of populist ideas, strategies and styles: A theoretical model and research design for analyzing populist political communication — NCCR Democracy [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <http://www.nccr-democracy.uzh.ch/publications/workingpaper/wp88>

296.

Wood M, Corbett J, Flinders M. Just like us: Everyday celebrity politicians and the pursuit of popularity in an age of anti-politics. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2016 Aug;18(3):581–98. doi:10.1177/1369148116632182

297.

Political populism: a handbook, Heinisch: Christina Holtz-Bacha, Oscar Mazzoleni (eds.). 1st edition. Band 3. Baden-Baden: Nomos; 2017.

298.

Inglehart R, Norris P. Trump, Brexit, and the Rise of Populism: Economic Have-Nots and Cultural Backlash. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2016. doi:10.2139/ssrn.2818659

299.

Rovira Kaltwasser C, Taggart PA, Ochoa Espejo P, Ostiguy P, editors. *The Oxford handbook of populism* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198803560.001.0001>

300.

Müller JW. What is populism? Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 2016.

301.

March L. Left and right populism compared: The British case. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 2017 May;19(2):282–303. doi:10.1177/1369148117701753

302.

Moffitt B, Tormey S. Rethinking Populism: Politics, Mediatisation and Political Style. *Political Studies*. 2014 Jun;62(2):381–97. doi:10.1111/1467-9248.12032

303.

Mudde C. The Populist Zeitgeist. *Government and Opposition*. 2004 Sep;39(4):542–63. doi:10.1111/j.1477-7053.2004.00135.x

304.

Mudde C, Rovira Kaltwasser C. Populism in Europe and the Americas: Threat or Corrective for Democracy? [Electronic resource]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139152365>

305.

Mudde C, Rovira Kaltwasser C. Populism: a very short introduction. Vol. 510. New York: Oxford University Press; 2017.

306.

Oliver JE, Rahn WM. Rise of the. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 2016 Sep;667(1):189–206. doi:10.1177/0002716216662639

307.

Pauwels T. Measuring Populism: A Quantitative Text Analysis of Party Literature in Belgium. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 2011 Feb;21(1):97–119. doi:10.1080/17457289.2011.539483

308.

Rooduijn M, Pauwels T. Measuring Populism: Comparing Two Methods of Content Analysis. *West European Politics*. 2011 Nov;34(6):1272–83. doi:10.1080/01402382.2011.616665

309.

Snow D, Moffitt B. Straddling the divide: mainstream populism and conservatism in Howard's Australia and Harper's Canada. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*. 2012 Jul;50(3):271–92. doi:10.1080/14662043.2012.692922

310.

Stoker G, Hay C. Understanding and Challenging Populist Negativity towards Politics: The Perspectives of British Citizens. *Political Studies*. 2017 Mar;65(1):4–23. doi:10.1177/0032321715607511

311.

Weyland K. Clarifying a Contested Concept: Populism in the Study of Latin American Politics. *Comparative Politics*. 2001 Oct;34(1). doi:10.2307/422412

312.

Sevenans J. One concept, many interpretations: the media's causal roles in political agenda-setting processes. *European Political Science Review*. 2018 May;10(02):245–65. doi:10.1017/S1755773917000078

313.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <https://login.uofglasgow.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874>

314.

Dekker R, Scholten P. Framing the Immigration Policy Agenda. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2017 Apr;22(2):202–22. doi:10.1177/1940161216688323

315.

Elmelund-Præstekær C, Wien C. What's the Fuss About? The Interplay of Media Hypes and Politics. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2008 Jul;13(3):247–66. doi:10.1177/1940161208319292

316.

Ihlen Ø, Thorbjørnsrud K. Making news and influencing decisions: Three threshold cases concerning forced return of immigrants. *European Journal of Communication*. 2014 Apr;29(2):139–52. doi:10.1177/0267323114523149

317.

Melenhorst L. The Media's Role in Lawmaking. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*. 2015 Jul;20(3):297–316. doi:10.1177/1940161215581924

318.

Milne K. Manufacturing dissent : single-issue protest, the public and the press [Internet]. Demos; 2005. Available from: <https://www.demos.co.uk/files/manufacturingdissent.pdf>

319.

Robinson P. Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics. *European Journal of Communication*. 2001 Dec;16(4):523–44. doi:10.1177/0267323101016004005

320.

Aday S, Livingston S. Taking the state out of state—media relations theory: how transnational advocacy networks are changing the press—state dynamic. *Media, War & Conflict*. 2008 Apr;1(1):99–107. doi:10.1177/1750635207087630

321.

Reinemann C, editor. Political communication. volume 18. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton; 2014.

322.

Van Aelst P, Walgrave S. Information and Arena: The Dual Function of the News Media for Political Elites. *Journal of Communication*. 2016 Jun;66(3):496–518.
doi:10.1111/jcom.12229

323.

Iyengar S, Reeves R. Do the media govern?: politicians, voters, and reporters in America. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications; 1997.

324.

Schnell KC Frauke. Assessing the Democratic Debate: How the News Media Frame Elite Policy Discourse. *Political Communication*. 2001 Apr;18(2):183–213.
doi:10.1080/105846001750322970

325.

Joly J. Do the Media Influence Foreign Aid Because or in Spite of the Bureaucracy? A Case Study of Belgian Aid Determinants. *Political Communication*. 2014 Oct 2;31(4):584–603.
doi:10.1080/10584609.2013.879361

326.

Jones BD, Baumgartner FR. From There to Here: Punctuated Equilibrium to the General Punctuation Thesis to a Theory of Government Information Processing. *Policy Studies Journal*. 2012 Feb;40(1):1–20. doi:10.1111/j.1541-0072.2011.00431.x

327.

Kenamer JD. Public opinion, the press, and public policy. Paperback ed. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 1994.

328.

Kingdon JW. Agendas, alternatives, and public policies. 2nd ed. New York: Longman; 2003.

329.

Koch-Baumgarten S, Voltmer K. Public policy and mass media: the interplay of mass communication and political decision making [Electronic resource]. Vol. 66. London: Routledge/ECPR; 2010. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=257161&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

330.

Koch-Baumgarten S, Voltmer K. Public policy and mass media: the interplay of mass communication and political decision making. Vol. 66. London: Routledge/ECPR; 2010.

331.

Page BI, Shapiro RY. The rational public: fifty years of trends in Americans' policy preferences. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1992.

332.

Walgrave S, Soroka S, Nuytemans M. The Mass Media's Political Agenda-Setting Power. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2008 Jun;41(6):814–36. doi:10.1177/0010414006299098

333.

Walgrave S, Van Aelst P. The Contingency of the Mass Media's Political Agenda Setting Power: Toward a Preliminary Theory. *Journal of Communication*. 2006 Mar 1;56(1):88–109. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00005.x

334.

Yanovitzky I. Effects of News Coverage on Policy Attention and Actions. *Communication Research*. 2002 Aug;29(4):422–51. doi:10.1177/0093650202029004003

335.

Bennett WL, Paletz DL. Taken by storm: the media, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy in the Gulf War. Chicago, Ill: University of Chicago Press; 1994.

336.

Gilboa E. The CNN Effect: The Search for a Communication Theory of International Relations. Political Communication. 2005 Feb 16;22(1):27-44.
doi:10.1080/10584600590908429

337.

Livingston S. Clarifying the CNN Effect: An Examination of Media Effects According to Type of Military Intervention - Shorenstein Center [Internet]. Research Paper R-18. The Joan Shorenstein Center on the Press, Politics and Public Policy; 1997. Available from: <https://shorensteincenter.org/clarifying-the-cnn-effect-media-effects-and-military-intervention/>

338.

Robinson P. The CNN effect: the myth of news foreign policy and intervention [Electronic resource]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=10716&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth>

339.

Robinson P. The CNN effect: the myth of news foreign policy and intervention. New York: Routledge; 2002.

340.

Wolfsfeld G. Media and political conflict: news from the Middle East. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997.